Erratum to: Providing Patients with Implantable Cardiac Device Data through a Personal Health Record: A Qualitative Study

Carly N. Daley^{1,2} Elizabeth M. Chen^{1,3} Amelia E. Roebuck¹ Romisa Rohani Ghahari¹ Areej F. Sami⁴ Cayla G. Skaggs¹ Maria D. Carpenter¹ Michael J. Mirro^{1,4} Tammy R. Toscos^{1,2}

¹ Department of Research Informatics, Parkview Health System, Mirro Center for Research and Innovation, Fort Wayne, Indiana, United States

² Department of BioHealth Informatics, Indiana University School of Informatics and Computing, Indianapolis, Indiana, United States

³Marian University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Indianapolis,

⁴Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, United States

Appl Clin Inform 2017;8:e1.

ERRATUM

The authors would like to make modifications to the participants' age range in the above article in *Applied Clinical Informatics*, Volume 8, Number 4, 2017 (DOI: 10.4338/ACI-2017-06-RA-0090).

Address for correspondence Carly N. Daley, MS, Parkview Mirro

Center for Research and Innovation, 10622 Parkview Plaza Dr., Fort

Wayne, IN 46845, United States (e-mail: carly.daley@parkview.com).

- 1. In Abstract, Results, sentence 1, "Participants were **36 to 90** years old, mean age (SD) of 67 (14) years, predominately male (76%), and white (95%)" should read as "Participants were **36 to 86** years old, mean age (SD) of 67 (14) years, predominately male (76%), and white (95%)."
- 2. In Results, para 1, sentence 1, "Participants' age ranged from **36 to 90** years, with a mean (SD) of 67 (14) years, and were predominately male (76%)" should read as "Participants' age ranged from **36 to 86** years, with a mean (SD) of 67 (14) years, and were predominately male (76%)."
- 3. In Meaningful Applications for the ICD Data Summary, para 1, sentence 5, "As the participants in this study ranged in age between **36 and 90** years, it is worth noting that the interest in more complex data tracking seemed to be more common among younger participants" should read as "As the participants in this study ranged in age between **36 and 86** years, it is worth noting that the interest in more complex data tracking seemed to be more common among younger participants."

© 2017 Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0039-1681075. ISSN 1869-0327.

Indiana, United States