

Astrocytes Regulate the Development and Maturation of Retinal Ganglion Cells Derived from Human Pluripotent Stem Cells

Kirstin B. VanderWall,¹ Ridhima Vij,¹ Sarah K. Ohlemacher,¹ Akshayalakshmi Sridhar,¹ Clarisse M. Fligor,¹ Elyse M. Feder,¹ Michael C. Edler,^{1,2} Anthony J. Baucum II,^{1,2} Theodore R. Cummins,^{1,2} and Jason S. Moyorl,^{2,3}4*

and Jason S. Meyer^{1,2,3,4,*}

¹Department of Biology, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis IN 46202, USA

²Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University, Indianapolis IN 46202, USA

³Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis IN 46202, USA

⁴Glick Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, Indiana University, Indianapolis IN 46202, USA

*Correspondence: meyerjas@iupui.edu

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.12.010

SUMMARY

Retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) form the connection between the eye and the brain, with this connectivity disrupted in numerous blinding disorders. Previous studies have demonstrated the ability to derive RGCs from human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs); however, these cells exhibited some characteristics that indicated a limited state of maturation. Among the many factors known to influence RGC development in the retina, astrocytes are known to play a significant role in their functional maturation. Thus, efforts of the current study examined the functional maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs, including the ability of astrocytes to modulate this developmental timeline. Morphological and functional properties of RGCs were found to increase over time, with astrocytes significantly accelerating the functional maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs. The results of this study clearly demonstrate the functional and morphological maturation of RGCs *in vitro*, including the effects of astrocytes on the maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs.

INTRODUCTION

Human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) have emerged as an advantageous in vitro model for studying neural development and neurodegeneration, as these cells can be differentiated into any cell type of the body, including those of the nervous system (Corti et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2011; Marchetto et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007). Moreover, when derived from patient-specific sources, hPSCs can serve as powerful tools for disease modeling as well as large-scale pharmacological screens (Ebert and Svendsen, 2010; Grskovic et al., 2011; Inoue and Yamanaka, 2011). However, for hPSCs to effectively serve in these applications, they must closely mirror the phenotypic and functional features of the affected cell type. Retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) form the essential connection between the eye and the brain, allowing for visual transduction and the ability to see. This important connection becomes severed in numerous blinding disorders termed optic neuropathies, which cause progressive degeneration and eventual death of RGCs in the retina (Almasieh et al., 2012; You et al., 2013).

Previous studies have demonstrated the ability to successfully differentiate RGCs from hPSCs in an efficient and reproducible manner (Fligor et al., 2018; Gill et al., 2016; Langer et al., 2018; Maekawa et al., 2016; Ohlemacher et al., 2016; Riazifar et al., 2014; Sluch et al., 2015; Tanaka et al., 2015; Teotia et al., 2017). RGCs derived using such methods have recapitulated the developmental

timing of the retina, as well as displaying various molecular and morphological characteristics similar to the in vivo cell type. As the projection neuron of the retina, RGCs extend long axons through the optic nerve to communicate visual information to the brain. hPSC-derived RGCs have demonstrated some capacity to exhibit predicted electrophysiological characteristics, including the ability to fire action potentials (APs), conduct ionic currents, and respond to glutamate (Gill et al., 2016; Ohlemacher et al., 2016; Riazifar et al., 2014; Sluch et al., 2015; Tanaka et al., 2015; Teotia et al., 2017). However, these studies have often demonstrated somewhat limited functional properties of hPSCderived RGCs and have failed to take into consideration the milieu of factors known to influence RGC development and maturation. Among the more influential of these factors are contributions from astrocytes, which are known to closely associate with RGCs in the nerve fiber layer and optic nerve (Bussow, 1980; Vecino et al., 2016; Zuchero and Barres, 2015).

As such, the current study focused on characterizing the functional maturation of hPSC (both embryonic stem and induced pluripotent stem)-derived RGCs based upon morphological complexity and electrophysiological properties, over time as well as in response to signaling from astrocytes. Initially, hPSC-derived RGCs demonstrated increased neurite complexity as a function of time, including enlargement of the cell somas and elongation of neurites. In addition, these hPSC-derived RGCs displayed increasing ionic currents, AP excitability, and the



expression of synaptic proteins. To study the influence of astrocytes upon hPSC-derived RGC maturation, cells were either plated in co-culture with hPSC-derived astrocytes or supplemented with astrocyte conditioned medium (ACM) to determine substrate-bound or secreted effects of astrocytes on RGCs, respectively. RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes demonstrated expedited and enhanced morphological and functional characteristics compared with RGCs cultured alone. The results of this study clearly demonstrate the functional and morphological maturation of RGCs in vitro as well as exploring how astrocytes modulate and expedite this maturation. These results will also lead to the development of more realistic hPSC-derived disease models, with important implications for pharmacological screenings and cell replacement strategies in future studies.

RESULTS

Increased RGC Neurite Complexity Over Time

RGCs exhibit complex morphological features within the retina, with long axons extending out of the eye through the optic nerve, while a complex and extensive network of dendrites reaches into the inner plexiform layer (Erskine and Herrera, 2014; Masland, 2012; Sernagor et al., 2001). Given this morphological complexity, an hPSC-derived RGC population should also exhibit intricate features to serve as an effective in vitro model. However, the study of hPSC-derived RGC morphological features has been somewhat limited in previous studies, as RGCs are often differentiated and examined in a mixed population of other cell types (Lamba et al., 2010; Meyer et al., 2011; Sridhar et al., 2013). In addition, the definitive identification of RGCs within such cultures has been complicated by the lack of unique, reliable markers that allow for the visualization of entire RGCs, including their extensive neurites. Thus, to overcome these issues, a CRISPR/Cas9-engineered cell line with a tdTomato reporter and mouse Thy1.2 selectable marker was used to identify and enrich for hPSCderived RGCs (Sluch et al., 2017).

In accordance with previous studies, hPSC-derived RGCs could be readily observed within the first 40 days of differentiation (Ohlemacher et al., 2016), at which point RGCs were enriched and plated to allow for maturation and examination. Over the next 10 days, hPSC-derived RGCs displayed features of increasing neurite complexity, including robust and complex neurite outgrowth (Figure 1). Purified RGCs demonstrated a dramatic and significant increase in soma size, more than doubling within the first 10 days of growth (Figure 1J). In addition, RGC neurites grew significantly in length over time, with neurites extending upward of 150 µm within 10 days of plating (Figures 1F–1I and 1K).

The complexity of RGC neurites was examined and they showed increasingly complex morphologies, with the neurite branch order more than doubling within this time course (Figure 1L). RGC neurites also displayed a 13-fold increase in the number of branchpoints within the first 10 days of growth (Figure 1M). As such, hPSC-derived RGCs were capable of exhibiting increased morphological complexity over time, including the enlargement of the cell soma and elongation of complex neurites.

Functional Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs Over Time

Within the retina, RGCs are the most excitable cells, with the nearly exclusive ability to fire APs necessary for the propagation of visual signals to the brain (Erskine and Herrera, 2014; Gao and Wu, 1999; Guenther et al., 1999; Meister et al., 1991; Sernagor et al., 2001; Tian et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1997). As such, efforts were undertaken to examine the electrophysiological features of hPSC-derived RGCs, as well as how these characteristics change over time (Figure 2). Voltage-clamp recordings from hPSC-derived RGCs exhibited an increase in peak sodium conductance as neurons matured from 1 to 4 weeks (Figure 2A). The current-voltage relationship (I-V curve; Figure 2B) displayed increased sodium currents with the average peak current increasing over time. The voltage dependence of activation for normalized peak sodium conductance showed a significant hyperpolarized shift as the RGCs matured from week 1 to week 2 and further (Figure 2C), signifying functional maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs that is consistent with the developmental shift observed in rat and mouse RGCs (Rothe et al., 1999; Schmid and Guenther, 1998). Current density plots also displayed an increase in sodium currents from 1 to 4 weeks of RGC maturation, indicating an increase in the expression of voltage-gated sodium channels (Figure 2D).

Subsequently, the ability of hPSC-derived RGCs to fire spontaneous APs was examined, with no spontaneous activity detected after 1 week, but with spontaneous APs elicited as early as 2 weeks (Figure 3A). By 4 weeks, repetitive AP firing patterns emerged, along with occasional AP bursts. The percentage of hPSC-derived RGCs capable of spontaneous AP firing was found to continually increase from 1 to 4 weeks (Figure 3B). Furthermore, the average number of spontaneous APs fired increased significantly as hPSC-derived RGCs matured (Figure 3C).

To determine if hPSC-derived RGCs were capable of forming presumptive synaptic contacts, the expression of various synaptic proteins was analyzed from 1 to 3 weeks following purification (Figure 4). hPSC-derived RGCs demonstrated a significant increase in the number of SV2-positive puncta along their neurites from 1 to 3 weeks (Figures 4A–4D). In addition, western blot analyses revealed





Figure 1. Temporal Acquisition of Complex Neuronal Morphologies by hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A) Representative timeline for the experimental differentiation and analysis of hPSCderived RGCs.

(B–I) Immunocytochemistry (ICC) and representative tracings of hPSC-derived RGCs at 1 (B and F), 4 (C and G), 7 (D and H), and 10 days (E and I) post-purification displayed enhanced morphological maturation over time. RGC tracings in (F–I) represent neurites observed at $20 \times$ magnification, which allowed for the ability to image the entire cell, but due to the small diameter of the neurites, this level of magnification limited their ability to be readily observed in microscopy images.

(J) The area of RGC somas significantly increased over time.

(K) The average neurite length of hPSCderived RGCs significantly increased from 1 to 10 days.

(L and M) Last, neurite tracings demonstrated a significant increase in (L) neurite order complexity and (M) number of branches within 10 days of purification.

Scale bars, 30 μ m. Error bars represent SEM (n = 5 independent replicates for each bar using H7, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significance was determined using a 95% confidence interval; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001.

an increased expression of synaptic proteins over time, including Synapsin-1, vGlut2, Neurexin-1, and SNAP25 (Figures 4E and 4F). Taken together, these data reveal that hPSC-derived RGCs exhibited electrophysiological properties and formed presumptive synaptic contacts as predicted by *in vivo* studies, with these features increasing over time.

Astrocytes Modulate the Morphological Complexity of hPSC-Derived RGCs

Within the retina, astrocytes are closely associated with RGCs within the nerve fiber layer and optic nerve, where they are known to support RGCs through the release of growth factors and reuptake of neurotransmitter and by

maintaining ionic balances, among other roles (Allen and Barres, 2009; Barres, 1991; Ogden, 1978; Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994; Vecino et al., 2016; Wordinger et al., 2003). In addition, astrocytes have been shown to modulate the maturation of a variety of neuronal cell types and influence their synaptic development *in vitro* (Johnson et al., 2007; Odawara et al., 2014). However, similar experiments have not tested their ability to influence RGC development and maturation. As such, co-cultures with hPSC-derived astrocytes were developed as a strategy to enhance the maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs.

hPSCs were differentiated into an astrocytic lineage (Krencik and Zhang, 2011), yielding highly enriched populations of GFAP-positive astrocytes after 9 months of





Figure 2. Temporal Development of Functional Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A) Patch-clamp recordings revealed an increase in inward ionic currents within 4 weeks of purification.

(B) From 1 to 4 weeks, I-V curves displayed increased inward current as RGCs matured.(C) Voltage dependence of activation indicated a significant shift in the hyperpolarized direction over time.

(D) A current density plot demonstrated a significant increase in sodium currents over 4 weeks.

(E) A table representing the increases in peak conductance, midpoints of activation, and current density values at each time point.

Error bars represent SEM (n > 4 independent replicates for each bar using H7, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significant differences are indicated as *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

growth (Figure S1). In addition, these astrocyte populations also expressed a multitude of other glial cell markers, including Vimentin, S100β, SOX2, and Nestin (Figures S1C–S1E). The ability of these hPSC-derived astrocytes to support RGCs was also suggested by the expression of Excitatory Amino Acid Transporter 1 (EAAT1), important for the reuptake of excess glutamate at the synapse (Figure S1F). To establish a co-culture system with which to directly study the interactions between astrocytes and RGCs, hPSC-derived RGCs were purified and plated in association with hPSC-derived astrocytes and grown in co-culture for 10 days to observe changes in RGC morphology and neurite outgrowth (Figure S1G). In addition, to separate the effects of astrocytes as either substrate-bound or due to soluble factors secreted into the medium, hPSCderived RGCs were separately supplemented with ACM.

After 10 days of plating, purified RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes displayed significant and robust neurite outgrowth and complexity compared with RGCs grown alone or supplemented with ACM (Figures 5A–5F). Astrocyte co-cultures led to significant increases in RGC soma size as well as the average length of the longest neurite, while ACM showed no significant effects (Figures 5G and 5H). Moreover, RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes displayed significantly more complex neurite outgrowth as indicated by the number of primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary neurites and number of branchpoints (Figures 5I and

5J). As supplementing RGCs with ACM led to no significant changes in morphological analyses, subsequent experiments examined the effects of astrocytes solely through direct contact in co-cultures.

Astrocytes Accelerate the Functional Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs

Next, the effects of astrocyte co-cultures on the functional maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs were characterized. Electrophysiological analyses of the evoked APs were conducted on both the RGCs grown alone and those co-cultured with astrocytes at 3 weeks post-purification (Figures 6A–6D). Quantitatively, RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes demonstrated an increased number of AP firings (Figure 6E), in response to a steady current injection as well as in response to a ramp depolarization event. In addition, the presence of astrocytes in co-culture with hPSC-derived RGCs increased the AP amplitude (Figure 6F) and decreased the AP duration (Figure 6G) compared with RGCs cultured alone. Furthermore, RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes showed a more hyperpolarized AP threshold (Figure 6H).

In addition, the ability of astrocytes to enhance the formation of presumptive synaptic contacts was assessed by comparing RGCs grown alone with RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes. RGCs grown with astrocytes displayed a significantly higher number of SV2-positive presumptive synaptic puncta at 10 days post-purification (Figures 7A–7C), similar





Figure 3. Increased Excitability of hPSC-Derived RGCs Occurs in a Temporally Dependent Manner

(A) Patch-clamp recordings demonstrated the increased ability of hPSC-derived RGCs to fire spontaneous APs from 1 to 4 weeks post-purification.

(B) The percentage of spontaneous APs that increased between 1 (n = 12), 2 (n = 22), 3 (n = 16), and 4 (n = 17) weeks.

(C) Spiking behavior of hPSC-derived RGCs from 1 to 4 weeks significantly increased.

Error bars represent SEM (n > 12 independent replicates for each bar using H7, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significant differences are indicated as *p < 0.05.

to that observed for RGCs plated alone at 3 weeks post-purification (Figures 4A–4D). Analyses of spontaneous synaptic currents revealed that RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes also exhibited more frequent spontaneous inward ionic currents (Figure 7D). Furthermore, western blot analyses revealed that RGCs grown in co-culture with astrocytes demonstrated significantly increased expression of a variety of synaptic proteins (Figures 7E and 7F). Additional western blot analysis confirmed that increased synaptic protein expression in co-cultures was a result of increased RGC maturation and not derived from astrocyte populations (Figure S2).

DISCUSSION

The utilization of hPSCs to study RGCs has been more recently studied with an important emphasis on how these cells can serve as a translational model for the development of RGCs as well as diseases resulting in degeneration and eventual death of these cells (Corti et al., 2015; Gaillard and Sauve, 2007; Jin et al., 2011). However, to date, studies



Figure 4. Formation of Presumptive Synaptic Contacts by hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A-C) ICC demonstrated the increased presence of SV2 puncta within hPSC-derived RGC neurites at (A) 1, (B) 2, and (C) 3 weeks post-purification.

(D) The number of SV2 puncta per neurite length significantly increased over time.

(E and F) Western blot analyses displayed expression of a number of synaptic proteins (E) with densitometry measurements exhibiting increased expression over time (F).

Scale bars, 20 μ m. Error bars represent SEM (n = 3 independent replicates for each bar using H7, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significant differences were determined at a 95% confidence interval; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

of hPSC-derived RGCs have often shown limited functional capabilities and have overlooked the interactions of many other cell types closely associated with RGCs in the retina that can play a vital role in the development and maturation of these cells (Bussow, 1980; Vecino et al., 2016). As RGCs form the essential connection between the eye and the brain, these cells demonstrate a number of morphological and functional characteristics unique to RGCs within the retina, including the extension of long and complex neurites and the ability to fire APs (Guenther et al., 1999; Hebel and Hollander, 1983; Sernagor et al., 2001; Wang et al., 1997). The generation of hPSC-derived RGCs, which recapitulate these distinctive characteristics, would allow for a more representative model of these cells, with important implications for how these cells can serve as a tool for disease modeling, drug screenings, and future cell replacement therapies. The results of this study demonstrate the derivation of RGCs that exhibit characteristics closely resembling their in vivo counterparts, allowing for a greater understanding of how





Figure 5. Astrocytes Expedite and Enhance the Morphological Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A–F) At 10 days post-purification, ICC and representative neurite tracings of hPSCderived RGCs displayed enhanced morphological maturation for (C and F) RGCs grown with astrocytes compared with (A and D) RGCs grown alone or (B and E) RGCs supplemented with ACM.

(G and H) Significant increases were observed in (G) soma size area and (H) the length of the longest neurite for hPSC-derived RGCs grown with astrocytes.

(I and J) Neurite tracings displayed a significant increase in (I) neurite order complexity and (J) number of branches for hPSC-derived RGCs on astrocytes compared with other conditions.

Scale bars, 50 μ m. Error bars represent SEM (n = 5 independent replicates for each bar using H7, H9, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significant differences were determined at a 95% confidence interval; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. ****p < 0.001.

these cells develop and may be affected in disease states. Furthermore, the ability to extensively study the morphological and functional maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs over time demonstrates their ability to acquire advancing features of RGC maturation, with astrocytes significantly expediting and enhancing this maturation.

RGCs are the main cell type affected in numerous blinding disorders that result in the degeneration and death of these cells, leading to loss of vision and eventual blindness (Almasieh et al., 2012; Quigley, 2011; You et al., 2013). Therefore, the use of hPSC-derived RGCs allows for the establishment of translational models of these diseases to understand mechanisms causing the death of RGCs, as well as for the development of treatments and therapeutics targeting these disease phenotypes, including large-scale pharmaceutical screenings and potential cell replacement strategies. However, for hPSC-derived RGCs to serve as a reliable disease model, they must closely mimic the phenotypic and functional characteristics of the affected cell type, as disease states often manifest in fully differentiated and functionally mature cells. In the current study, hPSC-derived RGCs exhibited increased morphological complexity and functional maturation over time, including increasing inward ionic currents and the more



Figure 6. hPSC-Derived Astrocytes Modulate the Functional Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A and B) Patch-clamp recordings demonstrated the heightened excitability of (B) hPSC-derived RGCs grown on astrocytes compared with (A) hPSC-derived RGCs grown alone.

(C and D) A ramp depolarization indicated the ability of (D) hPSCderived RGCs grown with astrocytes to fire more and repetitive APs compared with (C) RGCs alone.

(E-H) hPSC-derived RGCs grown with astrocytes exhibited (E) a higher number of APs fired, (F) increased amplitude, (G) decreased duration, and (H) a more hyperpolarized AP threshold.

Error bars represent SEM (n = 8 independent replicates for each bar using H7, H9, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significance was determined at a 95% confidence interval; **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

frequent firing of spontaneous APs, with these features becoming significantly enhanced in the presence of astrocytes. The demonstration of these advancing features of RGC maturation thus allows for the ability to use these cells as a more faithful model for studying diseases affecting the health of RGCs, as well as aiding in the development of therapeutic strategies to target disease mechanisms.

A number of previous studies have demonstrated the ability to derive RGCs from hPSCs, with several of these studies establishing that these RGCs may possess a range of functional properties, including ionic currents, firing of APs, and response to glutamate (Gill et al., 2016; Ohlemacher et al., 2016; Riazifar et al., 2014; Sluch et al., 2015; Tanaka et al., 2015; Teotia et al., 2017). However, these results often indicated a degree of immaturity of these cells, and seldom examined their functional properties beyond a single time point post-purification. Results of the current study showed hPSC-derived RGCs over multiple time points, demonstrating progressive features of their development and growth as they differentiated through various stages of morphological and functional maturity. By 3 weeks, hPSC-derived RGCs were capable of conducting substantial inward ionic currents with a hyperpolarized voltage dependence of activation, firing trains of spontaneous APs, and expressing a variety of synaptic proteins, all characteristics consistent with a functionally mature RGC. The study of hPSC-derived RGCs in such a manner allows for their use as a more appropriate developmental model.

RGCs are the projection neuron of the retina forming the vital connection between the eye and the brain, doing so by extending long and intricate axons through the optic nerve as well as forming complex connections with retinal interneurons within the inner plexiform layers (Masland, 2012). The analysis of various morphological properties within 10 days demonstrated a significant increase in the length and complexity of hPSC-derived RGC neurites over time. Similarly, hPSC-derived RGC somas were shown to significantly increase in area over time, comparable with many RGCs found *in vivo* (Liu et al., 2017). Taken together, these results indicated the ability of hPSC-derived RGCs to acquire advancing features of morphological maturation and, as such, establish hPSC-derived RGCs as a more reliable model of RGC development.

RGCs form synaptic contacts both in the retina and in the brain, with pre-synaptic contacts formed with bipolar and amacrine cells in the inner plexiform layer, and postsynaptic contacts formed with targets including the lateral geniculate nucleus and the superior colliculus (Herrera et al., 2017; Martersteck et al., 2017). The presence of synaptic features within hPSC-derived RGCs would thus serve as an additional measure of their maturation and, likewise, their effectiveness as an *in vitro* model. A number of synaptic proteins were found to be expressed by hPSC-derived RGCs over time, indicating the formation of presumptive synaptic contacts. The expression of these proteins was found to increase over time, indicating the acquisition of advancing features of maturation. The prospective ability



Figure 7. Astrocytes Regulate the Synaptic Maturation of hPSC-Derived RGCs

(A and B) At 10 days post-purification, ICC demonstrated the increased presence of SV2 puncta within (B) hPSC-derived RGC neurites grown in the presence of astrocytes compared with (A) those grown alone.

(C) The number of SV2 puncta significantly increased in hPSCderived RGCs grown with astrocytes.

(D) Patch-clamp recordings demonstrated an increase in the number and frequency of spontaneous post-synaptic currents for RGCs grown with astrocytes.

(E and F) Western blot analyses displayed expression of a number of synaptic proteins when hPSC-derived RGCs were grown with astrocytes (E) with densitometry measurements exhibiting increased expression compared to RGCs grown alone (F).

Scale bars, 20 μ m. Error bars represent SEM (n = 3 independent replicates for each bar using H7, H9, tiPS5, and miPS2 cell lines) and significance was determined at a 95% confidence interval; ****p < 0.0001.

of these hPSC-derived RGCs not only contributes to their effectiveness as an appropriate *in vitro* model, but may also enable their use for cellular replacement if they are capable of incorporating into a neural network.

While hPSC-derived RGCs exhibited numerous features of maturation, many other cell types are known to play a role in this process *in vivo*. Astrocytes are found in close association with RGCs in the nerve fiber layer of the retina as well as within the optic nerve (Vecino et al., 2016). These cells provide support to RGCs in a number of ways, including the regulation ionic concentrations, the reuptake of excess neurotransmitters, and the release of various growth factors (Clarke and Barres, 2013; Eroglu and Barres,

208 Stem Cell Reports | Vol. 12 | 201-212 | February 12, 2019

2010; Pellerin and Magistretti, 1994; Pfrieger and Barres, 1997; Ullian et al., 2004). Therefore, the interaction of these two cell types could enhance the maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs when grown in a co-culture. In the current study, the ability of astrocytes to modulate RGC maturation was examined, with effects possibly due to the release of soluble factors or through direct contact between astrocytes and RGCs. hPSC-derived RGCs grown in direct contact with astrocytes displayed expedited and significantly enhanced morphological and functional maturation compared with RGCs grown alone or in the presence of astrocyte-derived soluble factors tested with ACM, including the extension of longer and more complex neurites as well as the ability to fire APs. As soluble factors tested by ACM did not demonstrate any significant differences compared with control experiments, the effects observed via direct contact with astrocytes could potentially be explained by multiple factors. The development of gap junctions between astrocytes and RGCs could allow for communication between these cell types, although preliminary data indicated a lack of expression of Connexin 43 in these cultures (data not shown), likely due to a somewhat immature stage of differentiation. Alternatively, these maturation-enhancing effects could be due to the activation of signaling pathways triggered through substratebound ligands found on the astrocytic cell membrane. Regardless, these results established the importance of direct contact between hPSC-derived RGCs and hPSCderived astrocytes, which more accurately represents an environment similar to that of the retina and optic nerve.

One the most profound effects of astrocytes upon hPSCderived RGCs was the enhancement of their electrophysiological properties. When grown with astrocytes, these cells had a higher level of activity, including increased firing of APs and presumptive synaptic currents. In addition, these characteristics were often easier to elicit in the presence of astrocytes as indicated by the injection of smaller amounts of current, indicating a heightened excitability of hPSC-derived RGCs. Taken together, these results indicated that co-culturing astrocytes with hPSC-derived RGCs created an expedited and enhanced degree of maturation compared with RGCs cultured alone. Moreover, RGCs co-cultured with astrocytes generated a cell type more closely resembling in vivo RGCs with the ability to extend significantly longer and more intricate neurites, fire repetitive APs, and form presumptive synaptic contacts. In future studies, numerous variables will need to be considered to establish the full functionality of hPSCderived RGCs, as many factors influence the growth and maturation of RGCs in vivo, including other glial cells, the blood-brain barrier, as well as the establishment of both pre- and post-synaptic contacts. As such, while the current study clearly demonstrates the ability of astrocytes



to enhance maturation of hPSC-derived RGCs, additional efforts will be needed in the future to elucidate cellular and molecular contributors to RGC maturation approaching that of endogenous RGCs. The ability to more faithfully emulate the RGC environment within the retina is also critical for the establishment of cellular replacement strategies, as transplanted RGCs would encounter a variety of factors influencing their integration and growth *in vivo* that would not typically be recapitulated in an *in vitro* setting. Thus, the current studies represent a model that more closely mimics the *in vivo* environment for RGCs, facilitating future studies for cellular replacement.

Overall, the results of the current study indicate the important contributions of astrocytes to RGC development and maturation. In future studies, the role of astrocytes should be considered in the establishment of developmental and disease models utilizing RGCs. The interaction of astrocytes with RGCs would allow for the development of a more mature cell type that can be used to properly identify and further understand disease deficits affecting RGCs. Moreover, given the important role of astrocytes in RGC maturation and function, future studies should also focus on the contributions of astrocytes to disease states and if diseased astrocytes are playing a role in the degeneration of RGCs. Furthermore, given their importance in the maturation and homeostasis of RGCs, astrocytes may provide an alternative target for therapeutics for optic neuropathies, and serve as a target for cell replacement strategies in future studies to enhance the survival and function of endogenous RGCs.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Maintenance of hPSCs

hPSCs were grown as previously described (Ohlemacher et al., 2015). Multiple hPSC lines were used throughout this study, including H7 (Thomson et al., 1998), H9 (Thomson et al., 1998), TiPSS (Phillips et al., 2012), and miPS2 (Sridhar et al., 2016). In short, hPSCs were maintained in the undifferentiated state using human embryonic stem cell-qualified Matrigel (Corning) on sixwell plates with mTeSR1 medium (STEMCELL Technologies) at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Passaging of hPSCs was accomplished by enzymatically lifting colonies using dispase (2 mg/mL) for approximately 15 min. Colonies were then mechanically disrupted to yield small aggregates of cells and split at a 1:6 ratio.

Differentiation of hPSCs into RGCs

hPSCs were differentiated to a retinal fate using previously described protocols with minor modifications (Ohlemacher et al., 2015). After 30 days of differentiation, early retinal organoids could be morphologically identified and isolated apart from other forebrain neurospheres. At day 45, retinal organoids were dissociated using Accutase for 20 min at 37°C. Following dissociation, single cells were immunopurified for RGCs with the Thy1.2 surface

receptor using the MACS magnetic cell separation kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Briefly, dissociated cells were incubated with CD90.2 microbeads for 15 min at 4° C in the dark at a ratio of 10 µL of beads:90 µL of MACS rinsing buffer per 10 million cells (Sluch et al., 2017). Purified RGCs were then plated on poly-D-ornithine and laminin-coated coverslips at a density of 10,000 cells/coverslip and maintained in BrainPhys neuronal medium (STEMCELL Technologies) supplemented with 20 ng/mL CNTF. Coverslips were then fixed at indicated time points post-plating to analyze RGC maturation, or utilized for subsequent patch-clamp recordings.

Astrocyte Differentiation from hPSCs

hPSCs were differentiated to astrocytes using previously described protocols (Krencik and Zhang, 2011). Within 40 days of differentiation, early neurospheres were supplemented with 20 ng/mL EFH (FGF2 and EGF) to induce proliferation to a gliogenic phase. Neurospheres were chopped and expanded using a mechanical tissue chopper to a size of 200 µm every 2 weeks for up to 12 months. Between 9 and 12 months, neurospheres became enriched for astrocytes and were then dissociated using Accutase for 20 min at 37°C. Single cells were plated at a density of 20,000 astrocytes per 12 mm coverslip and supplemented with BrainPhys neuronal medium with the addition of 20 ng/mL CNTF to yield GFAP-positive astrocytes within 3 weeks of plating. Subsequently, co-cultures of RGCs and astrocytes were established with 10,000 purified RGCs plated with adhered astrocytes to create a substrate-bound co-culture. In addition, ACM was collected from plated astrocytes, filtered to remove cellular components, and added every other day to RGC cultures at a dilution of 1:1 together with fresh BrainPhys as previously described (Pfrieger and Barres, 1997). Co-culture experiments were fixed at indicated time points post-plating and analyzed for RGC maturation, or utilized for patch-clamp analysis.

Immunocytochemistry

Samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and then washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Cells were then permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature, followed by blocking in 10% donkey serum for 1 hr at room temperature. Primary antibodies (Table S1) were diluted in 5% donkey serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 solution and applied to samples overnight at 4°C. The following day, cells were washed three times with PBS and blocked with 10% donkey serum for 10 min at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were diluted in 5% donkey serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 solution and added for 1 hr at room temperature. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and mounted onto slides for microscopy. Immunofluorescence images were obtained using a Leica DM5500 fluorescence microscope.

Quantification and Statistical Analyses

Samples were analyzed for RGC maturation based on soma size, neurite length, and complexity. Numerous biological replicates (n = 5) were obtained at each time point. Immunofluorescence images were taken and analyzed for the expression of tdTomato to identify RGCs. The RGC soma size area, neurite length, and branch order were quantified and recorded using ImageJ. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's



post hoc. Statistical differences were determined based on a p value of less than 0.05. In addition, the abundance of SV2 puncta was quantified using ImageJ, with the total neurite length associated with SV2 immunoreactivity quantified using the ImageJ plugin NeuronJ. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc for SV2 expression in RGCs alone over time and Student's t test for comparing SV2 expression in RGCs to that in RGCs grown on astrocytes. Statistical differences were determined based on a p value of less than 0.05.

Western Blot

RGCs were collected and sonicated in a 2% SDS solution. Protein concentration was determined using a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific) and samples were normalized so that equal amounts of protein were loaded into each well. Lysates were mixed with 4× sample buffer plus DTT and loaded onto 4%-15% gradient gels. Gels were transferred to nitrocellulose using the Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad), blocked with 5% milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) plus 0.1% Tween 20, and blotted with primary antibodies (Table S1) overnight at 4°C. After a wash with 5% milk in TBS plus 0.1% Tween 20, secondary antibody was applied for 1 hr using donkey anti-mouse 790 or donkey anti-rabbit 790 (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Blots were washed with TBS and imaged using the LI-COR Odyssey CLx imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). For the synaptic maturation of RGCs over time, histone H3 was used as a loading control. Fluorescence intensity was calculated for each band and normalized to histone H3 at week 1. A fold change was generated by dividing each normalized value for each synaptic protein by the normalized intensity at week 1 (n = 3). For RGCs grown alone or with astrocytes, BRN3B was used as a loading control to normalize to RGC-specific protein. Normalized relative fold changes for synaptic proteins were calculated by dividing the BRN3B normalized fluorescence intensity of each of the two groups by that of the RGCs grown alone (n = 3).

Electrophysiological Recordings

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made at room temperature (21°C) using a HEKA EPC 10 amplifier and Patchmaster data acquisition software (HEKA). Recording pipettes with a tip resistance of 4-7 MΩ were fabricated from borosilicate capillary glass (1.2 mm outer diameter, 0.60 mm inner diameter; Sutter Instrument Co.) using a P-1,000 puller (Sutter Instrument Co.). The bathing solution contained NaCl 140 mM, MgCl₂ 1 mM, KCl 5 mM, CaCl₂ 2 mM, HEPES 10 mM, glucose 10 mM (pH 7.3 adjusted with NaOH). For current-clamp recordings pipettes were filled with internal solution containing KCl 140 mM, MgCl₂ 5 mM, CaCl₂ 2.5 mM, EGTA 5 mM, HEPES 10 mM (pH 7.3 adjusted with KOH), and for voltage-clamp recordings the internal solution contained CsF 130 mM, NaCl 10 mM, HEPES 10 mM, CsEGTA (EGTA in CsOH) 1 mM. Inward sodium currents were recorded in voltage-clamp mode with a holding potential of -80 mV. Spontaneous AP activity was recorded in current-clamp mode at 0 pA immediately after establishing whole-cell configuration. For evoked APs, the cells were allowed to stabilize in the whole-cell configuration for 2 min before initiation of either step current injections with incremental steps of 2 pA up to 40 pA (pulse duration of 500 ms) or ramp current injection with depolarization from 0 to

40 pA for 1 s (V_{hold} = -80 mV). AP threshold was estimated from the first evoked spike using ramp current injection and was calculated using the dV/dt method. AP amplitude was measured as height of the peak from threshold and AP duration was measured as width at the threshold. When necessary, a small bias current was injected to maintain a similar baseline membrane potential (near -70 mV) before depolarizing current injections. Spontaneous post-synaptic currents were measured in the voltage-clamp mode at -80 mV.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes two figures and one table and can be found with this article online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j. stemcr.2018.12.010.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K.B.V., experimental design, data collection and interpretation, and manuscript writing. R.V., experimental design, data collection and interpretation, and manuscript writing. S.K.O., experimental design and data collection. E.F., data collection. M.C.E., experimental design and data interpretation. A.J.B., experimental design and data interpretation. T.C., experimental design and data interpretation. J.S.M., experimental design, data interpretation, manuscript writing, and final approval of manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Donald Zack and Dr. Valentin Sluch for providing and sharing the H7 BRN3:tdTomatoThy1.2 hPSC line used in the current study. Grant support was provided by the National Eye Institute (R01 EY024984 to J.S.M.), BrightFocus Foundation (G201027 to J.S.M.), Indiana Department of Health Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Fund (15779 and 26343 to J.S.M.), an IU Collaborative Research Grant from the Office of the Vice President for Research (J.S.M.), an award from the IU Signature Center for Brain and Spinal Cord Injury (J.S.M.), an IUPUI Graduate Office First Year University Fellowship (K.B.V.), the Purdue Research Foundation Fellowship (K.B.V.), and the Stark Neuroscience Research Institute/Eli Lilly and Company predoctoral fellowship (S.K.O.). This publication was also made possible with partial support from Grants UL1TR00108 and UL1TR002529 (A. Shekhar, PI) from the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Clinical and Translational Sciences Award (to S.K.O. and K.B.V., respectively).

Received: August 31, 2018 Revised: December 11, 2018 Accepted: December 13, 2018 Published: January 10, 2019

REFERENCES

Allen, N.J., and Barres, B.A. (2009). Neuroscience: glia—more than just brain glue. Nature *457*, 675–677.

Almasieh, M., Wilson, A.M., Morquette, B., Cueva Vargas, J.L., and Di Polo, A. (2012). The molecular basis of retinal ganglion cell death in glaucoma. Prog. Retin. Eye Res. *31*, 152–181.



Barres, B.A. (1991). New roles for glia. J. Neurosci. 11, 3685-3694.

Bussow, H. (1980). The astrocytes in the retina and optic nerve head of mammals: a special glia for the ganglion cell axons. Cell Tissue Res. *206*, 367–378.

Clarke, L.E., and Barres, B.A. (2013). Emerging roles of astrocytes in neural circuit development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *14*, 311–321.

Corti, S., Faravelli, I., Cardano, M., and Conti, L. (2015). Human pluripotent stem cells as tools for neurodegenerative and neurodevelopmental disease modeling and drug discovery. Expert Opin. Drug Discov. *10*, 615–629.

Ebert, A.D., and Svendsen, C.N. (2010). Human stem cells and drug screening: opportunities and challenges. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *9*, 367–372.

Eroglu, C., and Barres, B.A. (2010). Regulation of synaptic connectivity by glia. Nature *468*, 223–231.

Erskine, L., and Herrera, E. (2014). Connecting the retina to the brain. ASN Neuro *6*, 1759091414562107.

Fligor, C.M., Langer, K.B., Sridhar, A., Ren, Y., Shields, P.K., Edler, M.C., Ohlemacher, S.K., Sluch, V.M., Zack, D.J., Zhang, C., et al. (2018). Three-dimensional retinal organoids facilitate the investigation of retinal ganglion cell development, organization and neurite outgrowth from human pluripotent stem cells. Sci. Rep. *8*, 14520.

Gaillard, F., and Sauve, Y. (2007). Cell-based therapy for retina degeneration: the promise of a cure. Vision Res. *47*, 2815–2824.

Gao, F., and Wu, S.M. (1999). Multiple types of spontaneous excitatory synaptic currents in salamander retinal ganglion cells. Brain Res. *821*, 487–502.

Gill, K.P., Hung, S.S., Sharov, A., Lo, C.Y., Needham, K., Lidgerwood, G.E., Jackson, S., Crombie, D.E., Nayagam, B.A., Cook, A.L., et al. (2016). Enriched retinal ganglion cells derived from human embryonic stem cells. Sci. Rep. *6*, 30552.

Grskovic, M., Javaherian, A., Strulovici, B., and Daley, G.Q. (2011). Induced pluripotent stem cells—opportunities for disease modelling and drug discovery. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. *10*, 915–929.

Guenther, E., Schmid, S., Reiff, D., and Zrenner, E. (1999). Maturation of intrinsic membrane properties in rat retinal ganglion cells. Vision Res. *39*, 2477–2484.

Hebel, R., and Hollander, H. (1983). Size and distribution of ganglion cells in the human retina. Anat. Embryol. *168*, 125–136.

Herrera, E., Erskine, L., and Morenilla-Palao, C. (2017). Guidance of retinal axons in mammals. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.11.027.

Inoue, H., and Yamanaka, S. (2011). The use of induced pluripotent stem cells in drug development. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. *89*, 655–661.

Jin, Z.B., Okamoto, S., Osakada, F., Homma, K., Assawachananont, J., Hirami, Y., Iwata, T., and Takahashi, M. (2011). Modeling retinal degeneration using patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells. PLoS One *6*, e17084.

Johnson, M.A., Weick, J.P., Pearce, R.A., and Zhang, S.C. (2007). Functional neural development from human embryonic stem cells: accelerated synaptic activity via astrocyte coculture. J. Neurosci. *27*, 3069–3077. Krencik, R., and Zhang, S.C. (2011). Directed differentiation of functional astroglial subtypes from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat. Protoc. *6*, 1710–1717.

Lamba, D.A., McUsic, A., Hirata, R.K., Wang, P.R., Russell, D., and Reh, T.A. (2010). Generation, purification and transplantation of photoreceptors derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. PLoS One *5*, e8763.

Langer, K.B., Ohlemacher, S.K., Phillips, M.J., Fligor, C.M., Jiang, P., Gamm, D.M., and Meyer, J.S. (2018). Retinal ganglion cell diversity and subtype specification from human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Reports *10*, 1282–1293.

Liu, Z., Kurokawa, K., Zhang, F., Lee, J.J., and Miller, D.T. (2017). Imaging and quantifying ganglion cells and other transparent neurons in the living human retina. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A *114*, 12803–12808.

Maekawa, Y., Onishi, A., Matsushita, K., Koide, N., Mandai, M., Suzuma, K., Kitaoka, T., Kuwahara, A., Ozone, C., Nakano, T., et al. (2016). Optimized culture system to induce neurite outgrowth from retinal ganglion cells in three-dimensional retinal aggregates differentiated from mouse and human embryonic stem cells. Curr. Eye Res. *41*, 558–568.

Marchetto, M.C., Brennand, K.J., Boyer, L.F., and Gage, F.H. (2011). Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and neurological disease modeling: progress and promises. Hum. Mol. Genet. *20*, R109– R115.

Martersteck, E.M., Hirokawa, K.E., Evarts, M., Bernard, A., Duan, X., Li, Y., Ng, L., Oh, S.W., Ouellette, B., Royall, J.J., et al. (2017). Diverse central projection patterns of retinal ganglion cells. Cell Rep. *18*, 2058–2072.

Masland, R.H. (2012). The neuronal organization of the retina. Neuron *76*, 266–280.

Meister, M., Wong, R.O., Baylor, D.A., and Shatz, C.J. (1991). Synchronous bursts of action potentials in ganglion cells of the developing mammalian retina. Science *252*, 939–943.

Meyer, J.S., Howden, S.E., Wallace, K.A., Verhoeven, A.D., Wright, L.S., Capowski, E.E., Pinilla, I., Martin, J.M., Tian, S., Stewart, R., et al. (2011). Optic vesicle-like structures derived from human pluripotent stem cells facilitate a customized approach to retinal disease treatment. Stem Cells *29*, 1206–1218.

Meyer, J.S., Shearer, R.L., Capowski, E.E., Wright, L.S., Wallace, K.A., McMillan, E.L., Zhang, S.C., and Gamm, D.M. (2009). Modeling early retinal development with human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A *106*, 16698–16703.

Odawara, A., Saitoh, Y., Alhebshi, A.H., Gotoh, M., and Suzuki, I. (2014). Long-term electrophysiological activity and pharmacological response of a human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neuron and astrocyte co-culture. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 443, 1176–1181.

Ogden, T.E. (1978). Nerve fiber layer astrocytes of the primate retina: morphology, distribution, and density. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. *17*, 499–510.

Ohlemacher, S.K., Iglesias, C.L., Sridhar, A., Gamm, D.M., and Meyer, J.S. (2015). Generation of highly enriched populations of



optic vesicle-like retinal cells from human pluripotent stem cells. Curr. Protoc. Stem Cell Biol. *32*, 1h.8.1–1h.8.20.

Ohlemacher, S.K., Sridhar, A., Xiao, Y., Hochstetler, A.E., Sarfarazi, M., Cummins, T.R., and Meyer, J.S. (2016). Stepwise differentiation of retinal ganglion cells from human pluripotent stem cells enables analysis of glaucomatous neurodegeneration. Stem Cells *34*, 1553–1562.

Pellerin, L., and Magistretti, P.J. (1994). Glutamate uptake into astrocytes stimulates aerobic glycolysis: a mechanism coupling neuronal activity to glucose utilization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A *91*, 10625–10629.

Pfrieger, F.W., and Barres, B.A. (1997). Synaptic efficacy enhanced by glial cells in vitro. Science *277*, 1684–1687.

Phillips, M.J., Wallace, K.A., Dickerson, S.J., Miller, M.J., Verhoeven, A.D., Martin, J.M., Wright, L.S., Shen, W., Capowski, E.E., Percin, E.F., et al. (2012). Blood-derived human iPS cells generate optic vesicle-like structures with the capacity to form retinal laminae and develop synapses. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. *53*, 2007–2019.

Quigley, H.A. (2011). Glaucoma. Lancet 377, 1367–1377.

Riazifar, H., Jia, Y., Chen, J., Lynch, G., and Huang, T. (2014). Chemically induced specification of retinal ganglion cells from human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cells Transl. Med. *3*, 424–432.

Rothe, T., Juttner, R., Bahring, R., and Grantyn, R. (1999). Ion conductances related to development of repetitive firing in mouse retinal ganglion neurons in situ. J. Neurobiol. *38*, 191–206.

Schmid, S., and Guenther, E. (1998). Alterations in channel density and kinetic properties of the sodium current in retinal ganglion cells of the rat during in vivo differentiation. Neuroscience *85*, 249–258.

Sernagor, E., Eglen, S.J., and Wong, R.O. (2001). Development of retinal ganglion cell structure and function. Prog. Retin. Eye Res. *20*, 139–174.

Sluch, V.M., Chamling, X., Liu, M.M., Berlinicke, C.A., Cheng, J., Mitchell, K.L., Welsbie, D.S., and Zack, D.J. (2017). Enhanced stem cell differentiation and immunopurification of genome engineered human retinal ganglion cells. Stem Cells Transl. Med. *6*, 1972–1986.

Sluch, V.M., Davis, C.H., Ranganathan, V., Kerr, J.M., Krick, K., Martin, R., Berlinicke, C.A., Marsh-Armstrong, N., Diamond, J.S., Mao, H.Q., et al. (2015). Differentiation of human ESCs to retinal ganglion cells using a CRISPR engineered reporter cell line. Sci. Rep. *5*, 16595.

Sridhar, A., Ohlemacher, S.K., Langer, K.B., and Meyer, J.S. (2016). Robust differentiation of mRNA-reprogrammed human induced pluripotent stem cells toward a retinal lineage. Stem Cells Transl. Med. *5*, 417–426.

Sridhar, A., Steward, M.M., and Meyer, J.S. (2013). Nonxenogeneic growth and retinal differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cells Transl. Med. *2*, 255–264.

Takahashi, K., Tanabe, K., Ohnuki, M., Narita, M., Ichisaka, T., Tomoda, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2007). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. Cell *131*, 861–872.

Tanaka, T., Yokoi, T., Tamalu, F., Watanabe, S., Nishina, S., and Azuma, N. (2015). Generation of retinal ganglion cells with functional axons from human induced pluripotent stem cells. Sci. Rep. *5*, 8344.

Teotia, P., Van Hook, M.J., and Ahmad, I. (2017). A co-culture model for determining the target specificity of the de novo generated retinal ganglion cells. Bio Protoc. 7. https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.2212.

Thomson, J.A., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Shapiro, S.S., Waknitz, M.A., Swiergiel, J.J., Marshall, V.S., and Jones, J.M. (1998). Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science *282*, 1145–1147.

Tian, N., Hwang, T.N., and Copenhagen, D.R. (1998). Analysis of excitatory and inhibitory spontaneous synaptic activity in mouse retinal ganglion cells. J. Neurophysiol. *80*, 1327–1340.

Ullian, E.M., Christopherson, K.S., and Barres, B.A. (2004). Role for glia in synaptogenesis. Glia 47, 209–216.

Vecino, E., Rodriguez, F.D., Ruzafa, N., Pereiro, X., and Sharma, S.C. (2016). Glia-neuron interactions in the mammalian retina. Prog. Retin. Eye Res. *51*, 1–40.

Wang, G.Y., Ratto, G., Bisti, S., and Chalupa, L.M. (1997). Functional development of intrinsic properties in ganglion cells of the mammalian retina. J. Neurophysiol. *78*, 2895–2903.

Wordinger, R.J., Lambert, W., Agarwal, R., Liu, X., and Clark, A.F. (2003). Cells of the human optic nerve head express glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) and the GDNF receptor complex. Mol. Vis. *9*, 249–256.

You, Y., Gupta, V.K., Li, J.C., Klistorner, A., and Graham, S.L. (2013). Optic neuropathies: characteristic features and mechanisms of retinal ganglion cell loss. Rev. Neurosci. *24*, 301–321.

Yu, J., Vodyanik, M.A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J.L., Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G.A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., et al. (2007). Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. Science *318*, 1917–1920.

Zuchero, J.B., and Barres, B.A. (2015). Glia in mammalian development and disease. Development *142*, 3805–3809.