



# Harpacticoid copepods in risk assessment - Combining life cycle experiments with population modeling

### Josef Koch<sup>1</sup>, Colin R. Janssen<sup>1</sup> and Karel De Schamphelaere<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Environmental Toxicology and Aquatic Ecology, Environmental Toxicology Unit (GhEnToxLab), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

## Introduction

- Better methods are needed to predict population level risks
- Combining life cycle experiments and population modeling can help



- Calibrating mechanistic effect models for individuals is a first step to develop accurate population models
- **Copepods** are an ideal test system:
- Largest animal biomass on earth (estimate)
- Small size
- Easy lab culture and handling

# **Materials & Methods**

## Life cycle experiments

Experimental setups were based on the OECD guidance document [1] for harpacticoid copepod life cycle testing

### Test species: *Nitocra spinipes*

- Sexual reproduction



#### **Brood size 1** Brood size 2

## Model approach

### **Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB)** theory approach

- Generic model with a mechanistic quantification of energetic processes
- Useful to identify a chemical's mode of action (MoA) on energy allocation
- Integrates **combined effects** of chemical stressors and environmental factors





Fig. 3: Offspring over two broods per female. Data were analyzed in one-way ANOVA with a one-sided Dunnett's test (\*\*\*p < 0.001 \*\* p < 0.01 \* p < 0.05).

**Stimulation effects** at and above 100 µg/L

#### **Identification of DEB-MoA**

- Presence of two individual MoAs likely:
- Effect on growth costs (a)
- Effect on reproduction costs (b)
- Stress function with shared parameters
  - $s = \frac{1}{a} \times \max(0, c_V c_0)^a$

- Brackish water habitats
- Worldwide distribution
- Test species since 70s
- Test temperature: 22 °C
- Food source: Rhodomonas salina (2.5 ×  $10^5$  cells/mL)

### **Test compound: Citalopram**

Selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor (antidepressant)



Fig. 1: DEBKiss ('Keep it simple, stupid') model [2] calibrated on development and reproduction data of *N. spinipes* at six food levels [3].

# **Results & Discussion**



s = Stress factor on MoA parameter  $c_0$  = No-effect concentration  $c_{T}$  = Tolerance concentration a = Slope parameter  $c_{v}$  = Scaled internal concentration



#### Conclusions

- Development delayed at 100 ng/L
- Stimulation of egg production at 100 µg/L
- DEBKiss allowed for effect identification of MoAs on energy allocation



100 1000 10  $\cap$ 0.1 Concentration [µg/L]

Fig. 2: Development time from nauplius to adult. Data were analyzed in one-way ANOVA with a one-sided Dunnett's test (\*\*\*p < 0.001 \*\* p < 0.01 \* p < 0.05).

- **Developmental delay** already at 100 ng/L (environmentally relevant)
- Stronger effects at and above  $100 \mu g/L$

Contact

in

josef.koch@ugent.be www.ecotox.ugent.be

@GhEnToxLab @ugent Y

Ghent University



#### References



[1] OECD. New Guidance Document on Harpacticoid Copepod Development and Reproduction Test with Amphiascus. Environmental Health and Safety Publications. Series on Testing and Assessment No. 201. Env/Jm/Mono(2014)17. Paris. 2014.

[2] Jager T, Martin BT, Zimmer EI. DEBkiss or the Quest for the Simplest Generic Model of Animal Life History. J Theor Biol. 2013;328: 9-18. [3] Koch J, Bui TT, Lundström Belleza E, Brinkmann M, Hollert H, Breitholtz M. Temperature and Food Quantity Effects on the Harpacticoid Copepod Nitocra spinipes: Combining in Vivo Bioassays with Population Modeling. PLOS ONE. 2017;12(3): e0174384.

