A novel α-conotoxin, PeIA, cloned from *Conus pergrandis* discriminates between rat α9α10 and α7 nicotinic cholinergic receptors*

J. Michael McIntosh^{§t¶}, Paola V. Plazas[#], Maren Watkins^t, María E. Gomez-Casati[#], Baldomero M. Olivera[£] and A. Belén Elgoyhen[#]

From the Departments of [§]Psychiatry, [£]Biology and [†]Pathology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112 and [#]Instituto de Investigaciones en Ingeniería Genética y Biología Molecular, CONICET-UBA, Buenos Aires 1428, Argentina.

Running title: α -CTx PeIA discriminates between $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs

¹Address correspondence to: J. Michael McIntosh, Dept. Biology, University of Utah, 257 South 1400 East, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84112-0840, Tel.: 801-585-3622, Fax: 801-581-4668, e-mail: mcintosh@biology.utah.edu

The $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ nicotinic cholinergic subunits assemble to form the receptor believed to mediate synaptic transmission between efferent olivocochlear fibers and hair cells of the cochlea, one of the few examples of for postsynaptic function non-muscle a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR). However, it has been suggested that the expression profile of $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ overlaps with that of α 7 in the cochlea and in sites like dorsal root ganglion neurons, peripheral blood lymphocytes, developing thymocytes and skin. We now report the cloning, total synthesis and characterization of a novel toxin a-conotoxin PeIA that discriminates between $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs. This is the first toxin to be identified from Conus pergrandis, a species found in deep waters of the Western Pacific. a-Conotoxin PeIA displayed a 260-fold higher selectivity for α-bungarotoxin-sensitive α9α10 nAChRs to α -bungarotoxin-sensitive α 7 compared receptors. The IC₅₀ of the toxin was 6.9 ± 0.5 nM and 4.4 ± 0.5 nM, for recombinant $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and wild-type hair cell nAChRs, respectively. a-Conotoxin PeIA bears high resemblance to aconotoxins MII and GIC isolated from Conus magus and Conus geographus, respectively. However, neither a-conotoxin MII nor aconotoxin GIC at concentrations of 10 µM, blocked acetylcholine responses elicited in Xenopus oocytes injected with the $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ subunits. Among neuronal non-α-bungarotoxin sensitive receptors, *a*-conotoxin PeIA was also active at $\alpha 3\beta 2$ receptors and chimeric $\alpha 6/\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 3$ receptors. α-conotoxin PeIA represents a novel probe to differentiate responses mediated either through a9a10 or a7 nAChRs in those tissues where both receptors are expressed.

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors $(nAChRs)^1$ are widely distributed in both the central and peripheral nervous system. In vertebrates, nine α subunits ($\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 10$) and three β subunits ($\beta 2$ - $\beta 4$) have been cloned. The rules of association for functional nAChRs are broadening, and now permit receptors assembled from single α subunits ($\alpha 7$, $\alpha 8$ and $\alpha 9$)(1-3); receptors which contain multiple α subunits both with ($\alpha 2\alpha 5\beta 2$, $\alpha 3\alpha 5\beta 2$, $\alpha 3\alpha 5\beta 4$, $\alpha 4\alpha 5\beta 2$) (4-6) and without supplemental β subunits ($\alpha 7\alpha 8$, $\alpha 9\alpha 10$) (2, 7);

receptors with single α and multiple β subunits ($\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 4$, $\alpha 3\beta 3\beta 4$) (8, 9); receptors with multiple α and β subunits ($\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 4\alpha 5$, $\alpha 4\alpha 6\beta 2\beta 3$) (10-12); as well as heteromeric nAChRs formed via pairwise combinations of $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 6$ with either the $\beta 2$ or $\beta 4$ subunits (13-17). Thus, the number of potential molecular forms of nicotinic receptors is very large. Elucidation of the precise structure and function of various neuronal nAChRs *in vivo* is particularly challenging, in part because of the scarcity of ligands selective for specific receptor subtypes.

The venoms of predatory cone snails (Conus) represent a rich combinatorial-like library of evolutionarily selected, neuropharmacologically active peptides (18). There are more than 500 species of these snails. Each Conus venom appears to contain a unique set of 50-200 small disulfide-bonded peptides that target receptors and ion channels in a highly subtype-selective manner. For peptides where function has been determined, three classes of targets have been elucidated: voltage-gated ion channels, G-protein-coupled receptors and ligand-gated ion channels (19). Perhaps the most conserved feature of cone snail venom is the α -conotoxins; these are a series of structurally and functionally related peptides that target nAChRs. Every venom examined thus far has its own distinct complement of nicotinic receptor antagonists, suggesting that, within the genus, there are literally thousands of novel peptides that act on nAChRs. A major advance in recent years in the neuropharmacology of nAChRs has been the ability to more readily characterize particular neuronal subtypes by using specific conotoxins.

We now report the cloning of a gene encoding a novel peptide of the α -conotoxin family from *Conus pergrandis*, α -conotoxin PeIA (α -CTx PeIA). As far as we are aware, this is the first toxin to be characterized from this species found in deep waters (50-530 m) of the Western Pacific. We show that the peptide has unusual targeting specificity; it has high affinity for recombinant $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ -containing nAChR receptors, and can readily discriminate this α -bungarotoxinsensitive receptor from the neuronal $\alpha 7 \alpha$ bungarotoxin-sensitive receptor. In addition, α -CTx PeIA blocks native cochlear hair cell nAChRs with a high potency, demonstrating an *in vivo* target for the peptide. Thus, α -CTx PeIA can be used to selectively discern between $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ - and $\alpha 7$ -mediated functions at those sites where both types of receptors are expressed.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Identification and Sequencing of Genomic Clones Encoding α -CTx PeIA-Genomic DNA was prepared from 50 mg of Conus pergrandis hepatopancreas using the Gentra PUREGENE DNA Isolation Kit (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, MN), according to the manufacturer's standard protocol. It was used as a template for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with oligonucleotides corresponding to the conserved intron and 3' UTR sequences of α -conotoxin prepropeptides. The resulting PCR products were purified using the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). The eluted DNA fragments were annealed to pAMP1 vector, and the resulting products transformed into competent DH5a cells using the CloneAmp pAMP System for Rapid Cloning of Amplification Products (Life Technologies/Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY). The nucleic acid sequences of the resulting α conotoxin-encoding clones were determined according to the standard protocol for automated sequencing.

Chemical synthesis– α -CTx PeIA (0.45 mmol/g) was synthesized on an amide resin using Fmoc chemistry and standard side protection, except on cysteine residues. Cys residues were protected in pairs with either *S*-trityl on Cys² and Cys⁸, or *S*-acetamidomethyl on Cys³ and Cys¹⁶. The peptide was removed from the resin and

precipitated. A two-step oxidation protocol was used to selectively fold the peptides as described previously (20). Briefly, the disulfide bridge between Cys² and Cys⁸ was closed by dripping the peptide into an equal volume of 20 mM potassium ferricyanide, 0.1 M Tris, pH 7.5. The solution was allowed to react for 30 min, and the monocyclic peptide was purified by reverse-phase HPLC. Simultaneous removal of the S-acetamidomethyl groups and closure of the disulfide bridge between Cys³ and Cys¹⁶ was carried out by iodine The monocyclic peptide and HPLC oxidation. eluent were dripped into an equal volume of iodine (10 mM) in H₂0:trifluoroacetic acid:acetonitrile (78:2:20 by volume), and allowed to react for 10 min. The reaction was terminated by the addition of ascorbic acid, diluted 20-fold with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, and the bicyclic peptide was purified by HPLC on a reverse-phase C18 Vydac column using a linear gradient of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid 0.092% trifluoroacetic acid, 60% acetonitrile, remainder H₂O.

Mass spectrometry-Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) time-of-flight mass spectrometry was utilized.

Expression of recombinant receptors in Xenopus laevis oocytes-Capped cRNAs were *in vitro*-transcribed from linearized rat plasmid DNA templates using the mMessage mMachine Transcription Kit (Ambion Corporation, Austin, TX). The maintenance of *Xenopus laevis*, as well as the preparation and cRNA injection of stage V and VI oocytes has been described in detail elsewhere (21). Typically, oocytes were injected with 50 nl of RNase-free water containing 0.01-1.0 ng of cRNAs (at a 1:1 molar ratio when pairwise combined), and maintained in Barth's solution at 17° C.

Electrophysiological recordings were performed 2-6 days after cRNA injection under two-electrode voltage-clamp with an OC-725B oocyte clamp (Warner Instruments, Wamden, CT). Both voltage and current electrodes were filled with 3M KCl, and had resistances of ~1-2 M Ω . Data were digitized and stored on a PC computer. Data were analyzed using Clamp Fit from the pClamp 6 software (Axon Instruments Corp., Union City, CA). During electrophysiological recordings, oocytes were continuously superfused (~10 ml/min) with normal frog saline (comprised of, in mM): 115 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.8 CaCl₂, and 10 HEPES buffer, pH 7.2, and voltage-clamped at -70 mV. In order to follow the same conditions we have previously employed to analyze the properties of $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs (7), when expressing $\alpha 9\alpha 10$, experiments were performed in oocytes incubated with the Ca²⁺ chelator 1,2-bis(2aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acidacetoxymethyl ester (BAPTA-AM, 100 µM) for 3-4 hr prior to electrophysiological recordings. Drugs were applied in the perfusion solution of the All toxin solutions also oocyte chamber. contained 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin to reduce nonspecific adsorption of peptide. The toxin was pre-applied for 10 min prior to the addition of the agonist. For the construction of the inhibition curves, the concentration of acetylcholine (ACh) used was near the corresponding EC_{50} for each receptor; i.e., $\alpha 9\alpha 10$, 10 μ M; α 7, 100 μ M; α 3 β 2, 10 μ M; α 3 β 4, 100 μM; α4β2, 10 μM.

Recordings from inner hair cells-Apical turns of the organ of Corti were excised from Sprague-Dawley rats at postnatal ages 8 to 10. Cochlear preparations were mounted under an Axioskope microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochem, viewed with Germany), and differential interference contrast (DIC) using a 63x water immersion objective and a camera with contrast enhancement (Hamamatsu C2400-07, Hamamatsu City, Japan). Methods to record from inner hair cells were essentially as described (22). Briefly, inner hair cells were identified visually, by the size of their capacitance (7 to 12 pF) and by their characteristic voltage-dependent Na⁺ and K⁺ currents, including at older ages a fast-activating K^+ -conductance (23). Some cells were removed to access inner hair cells, but mostly the pipette moved through the tissue using positive fluid flow to clear the tip. The extracellular solution was as follows (in mM): 155 NaCl, 5.8 KCl, 1.3 CaCl₂, 0.9 MgCl₂, 0.7 NaH₂PO₄, 5.6 D-glucose, and 10 Hepes buffer; pH 7.4. The pipette solution was (in mM): 150 KCl, 3.5 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 10 1,2bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (BAPTA), 5 Hepes buffer, 2.5 Na₂ATP, pH 7.2. Glass pipettes (1.2 mm i.d.) had resistances of 7-10 M Ω . Cells were held at a holding potential of -90 mV. Postsynaptic currents due to the spontaneous release of ACh from efferent synaptic terminals contacting inner hair cells are occasionally observed. Therefore, in order to study the effect of the toxin on synaptic currents in these cells, transmitter release from efferent endings was accelerated by depolarization using 25 mM external potassium saline. In this case, the pipette solution was (in mM): 150 KCl, 3.5 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 5 ethyleneglycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'- tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 5 Hepes buffer, 2.5 Na₂ATP, pH 7.2. Solutions containing 60 μ M ACh (the EC₅₀ in this preparation) or elevated potassium (25 mM K⁺) and the toxin were applied by a gravity-fed multi-channel glass pipette (~150 µm tip diameter) positioned about 300 µm from the recorded inner hair cell. All toxin solutions also contained 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin to reduce nonspecific adsorption of peptide. The extracellular solution containing the drugs was similar to that described above, except that Mg²⁺ was omitted, and the Ca²⁺ concentration was lowered to 0.5 mM to optimize the experimental conditions for measuring currents flowing through the $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ receptors (24, 25). To minimize the contribution of SK channel currents, 1 nM apamin, a specific SK channel blocker, was added to the external working solutions. Currents in inner hair cells were recorded in the whole-cell patch-clamp mode using (Axopatch 200B amplifier) low-pass filtered at 2-10 kHz and

4

digitized at 5-20 kHz with a Digidata 1200 board (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Recordings were made at room temperature (22-25 °C). Voltages were not corrected for the voltage drop across the uncompensated series resistance.

For constructing the inhibition curves, both in oocytes and the cochlear preparation, the average peak amplitude of three control responses just before the exposure to the toxin was used to normalize the amplitude of each test response in the presence of the toxin. Each data point of the inhibition curves represents the average value \pm S.E.M of measurements from at least three experiments. Curves were fitted with the following equation: % response = 100 / (1 + [(T)/IC₅₀]^{nH}), where nH is the Hill coefficient, T the concentration of toxin, and IC₅₀ the concentration of toxin that reduces to 50% the maximal response to ACh.

Statistical Analysis-Statistical significance was evaluated by the Student's t test (two-tailed, unpaired samples). A p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Cloning of α -CTx PeIA-Although the mature α -conotoxin sequences are highly variable, the organization of their encoding genes is constant across species. The α -conotoxins are proteolytically cleaved from a larger precursor protein. This prepropeptide is approximately 40 amino acids long, with the mature α -conotoxin moiety of ~13-18 amino acids located at the carboxy-terminus of the precursor. A basic amino acid, immediately preceding the mature toxin in the precursor sequence, acts as a processing site. In contrast to the highly variable sequence of the mature toxins, the precursor proteins of α conotoxins are highly conserved. The signal sequence region is practically invariant among the different α -conotoxin precursors, even in distantly related Conus species. Also, sequence segments in the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the α conotoxin mRNA and in the intron immediately preceding the toxin sequence are similarly conserved (18). We utilized conserved intronic and 3' UTR sequences of the α -conotoxin gene structure to design oligonucleotide primers for polymerase chain reaction amplification of the α conotoxin-coding region. The resulting sequence from *Conus pergrandis* is shown in Fig. 1. The toxin was named α -conotoxin PeIA ("Pe" designating the species, *pergrandis*; Roman numeral "I" to designate the canonical α conotoxin disulfide bond pattern; and "A" to indicate that it is the first α -conotoxin reported from this species).

Chemical synthesis of α -CTx PeIA- Solidphase chemical synthesis of the predicted mature toxin was performed. In synthesizing the peptide, it was assumed that the disulfide bridging pattern of α -CTx PeIA was the same as all previously characterized α -conotoxins, that is, Cys² to Cys⁸ and Cys³ to Cys¹⁶ (18).The glycine at the Cterminus was assumed to be posttranslationally modified to a C-terminal amide. Cys groups were orthogonally protected in pairs to direct disulfide bond formation. Acid-labile S-trityl groups were removed simultaneously with peptide cleavage from the resin, and closure of the disulfide bridge between these Cys residues was accomplished with FeCN. The single-bridge peptide was purified HPLC, and the acid-stable by acetomidomethyl groups were removed; the disulfide bridges formed by iodine oxidation. The bicyclic peptide was subsequently purified by HPLC and analyzed with MALDI mass spectrometry. The mass of the synthetic peptide was consistent with the amidated sequence (monoisotopic MH⁺: calculated. 1651.62; observed, 1651.6). This synthesized toxin was utilized in all subsequent experiments.

Effect of α -CTx PeIA on ACh-evoked currents through nAChRs-Conotoxins have been widely used as a pharmacological tool to characterize neuronal nAChRs (26). We therefore decided to analyze the effects of α -CTx PeIA on different nAChRs. Figure 2A shows representative responses of Xenopus laevis oocytes expressing either the α -bungarotoxin-sensitive $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ or $\alpha 7$ nAChRs to ACh, and blockage of responses in the presence of α-CTx PeIA. Complete block of AChevoked currents was obtained with 0.3 μ M α -CTx PeIA in the case of $\alpha 9\alpha 10$, compared to 100 μM in the case of α 7 nAChRs. As derived from the inhibition curves in Fig. 2B, currents elicited by ACh in $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs were potently blocked by α -CTx PeIA with a mean IC₅₀ and S.E.M. of 6.9 ± 0.5 nM (n = 6). In the case of α 7, the IC₅₀ value, $1.8 \pm 0.1 \ \mu M$ (n = 5), was 260-fold higher than that obtained for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$, thus indicating a high degree of selectivity of the toxin for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$. The blockage produced by α -CTx PeIA on both types of receptors was reversible after washing with saline solution. Figure 3 shows the washout kinetics in the case of $\alpha 9\alpha 10$. The effect of α -CTx PeIA was reversed relatively rapidly: >50% recovery in 3 minutes, and total recovery after 12-15 minutes.

Cochlear outer and developing inner hair cells are the main targets of descending cholinergic olivocochlear efferent fibers (27). The efferent fibers-hair cell synapse is most likely mediated by a9a10 nAChRs (7, 28, 29), providing one of the few postsynaptic functions for non-muscle nAChRs. However, α 7 transcripts as well as α 7 immunostaining have been reported in the mammalian organ of Corti (30, 31). Recordings from inner hair cells are an excellent tool to evaluate the effects of the toxin in native hair cell nAChRs. As shown in Fig. 4 A, α -CTx PeIA potently blocked ACh-evoked responses in inner hair cells. The IC₅₀ value obtained, 4.4 ± 0.5 nM, was similar to that found in recombinant $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ receptors, thus confirming the $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ identity of this nAChR.

The physiologic conditions of neurotransmission *in vivo*, for instance a synaptic

regime, notably differ from the conditions of the oocyte recording or of a bath application of ACh to the organ of Corti. Transmitter released in a synaptic cleft, in close proximity to postsynaptic receptors reaches millimolar ranges, sufficient to activate in the millisecond range receptors with a low affinity active state and a fast desensitization rate (32). We therefore studied the effect of α -CTx PeIA on synaptic currents evoked by the release of presynaptic ACh in the presence of 25 mM KCl. As observed in Fig. 5, 30 nM α -CTx PeIA also blocked responses to synaptically released ACh, thus indicating that the toxin is a valuable tool to examine in vivo responses mediated through $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ receptors. Figure 5A shows K⁺-evoked synaptic currents either in the absence or presence of α -CTx PeIA. The blocking effect of the toxin was rapidly reversible upon washing the preparation. The effect of α -CTx PeIA was a reduction of the amplitude (Fig. 5B) of the synaptic currents (from 57.0 ± 0.7 pA, number of events: 997, number of cells: 3, to 37.5 ± 0.7 pA, number of events: 766, number of cells: 3, p< 0.0001). This result confirms a postsynaptic effect of the toxin on the nAChRs present in cochlear hair cells. A reduction in the frequency of events (from 2.2 \pm 0.5 Hz to 1.2 \pm 0.2 Hz, data not shown) was observed. This could be due either to the fact that the blocking action of the toxin on the synaptic events of small amplitudes could not be resolved within the noise of the recordings or to an additional presynaptic effect of the toxin. The latter was not further investigated.

To assess the effect of α -CTx PeIA on non- α -bungarotoxin-sensitive neuronal nAChRs, concentration-response analysis was conducted on rat $\alpha 2\beta 4$, $\alpha 2\beta 2$, $\alpha 4\beta 2$, $\alpha 4\beta 4$, $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and $\alpha 3\beta 4$ (Fig 6 and Table I). The toxin had little or no activity when bath-applied on $\alpha 2\beta 4$, $\alpha 2\beta 2$ and $\alpha 4\beta 4$ nAChRs at concentrations as high as 10 μ M. The percent response \pm S.E.M. to ACh was, respectively, 98.2 \pm 2.1%, 95.3 \pm 1.9%, and 91.4 \pm 2.3% (n = 3). Only a 39.2 \pm 5.5% (n = 4) block of $\alpha 4\beta 2$ was observed in the presence of 10 μ M α -CTx PeIA. On the other hand, α -CTx PeIA appeared to be effective on $\alpha 3$ -containing receptors, displaying a higher selectivity for $\alpha 3\beta 2$ (IC₅₀ = 23 ± 1 nM, n = 5) than for $\alpha 3\beta 4$ (IC₅₀ = 0.48 ± 0.03 μ M, n = 4) nAChRs. α -CTx PeIA was also tested on rat $\alpha 6/\alpha 3\beta 2\beta 3$ nAChRs, where $\alpha 6/\alpha 3$ is a chimeric alpha subunit containing the N-terminal 237 amino acids of $\alpha 6$ and the remainder of $\alpha 3$ (33). The toxin at 100 nM blocked 89.8 ± 1.8% of the response (n=4).

Nicotinic AChRs are members of the "Cys-loop" family of neurotransmitter-gated ion channels, which also includes GABA_A, GABA_C, glycine, 5-HT₃ and some invertebrate anionic glutamate receptors (34). In order to assess the selectivity of α -CTx PeIA for nAChRs, we tested the effect of the toxin on some other members of the family, such as the GABA_A and 5-HT_{3A} receptors. Concentrations of α -CTx PeIA as high as 10 μ M did not modify responses to 30 μ M γ -aminobutyric acid or 10 μ M serotonin in oocytes injected with the respective recombinant receptors (n = 4), thus confirming the selectivity of this α -conotoxin from *Conus pergrandis* on nAChRs.

The mature toxin sequence of α -CTx PeIA bears high resemblance to α -conotoxins MII (α -CTx MII) and GIC (α -CTx GIC) isolated from *Conus magus* and *Conus geographus*, respectively (Table 2). While α -CTx MII potently targets α 3and α 6-containing neuronal nAChRs, α -CTx GIC has a higher selectivity for α 6-containing receptors (35). We therefore examined the effect of these toxins in α 9 α 10-expressing oocytes. At a 10 μ M concentration, neither α -CTx MII nor α -CTx GIC blocked α 9 α 10 nAChRs (n = 3).

DISCUSSION

By utilizing conserved sequences in conotoxin genes, we have cloned a gene encoding a novel peptide of the α -conotoxin family from *Conus pergrandis.* This species was only very

rarely collected, and once regarded among the ten most valuable Conus species for shell collectors (36). In recent years, however, commercial collectors in the Central Philippines have collected a moderate number of specimens. α -CTx PeIA is the first toxin to be characterized form this species and adds to the arsenal of peptides active at nAChRs that have been isolated from Conus. Thus, Conus pergrandis represents a new source of useful pharmacological probes to characterize nAChRs. We note, however, that the peptide has not yet been isolated from the venom of Conus pergrandis, and it is possible that the native venom-derived peptide has posttranslational modifications not evident from inspection of the genetic sequence. In this report, we describe the properties of a synthetic version of the putative conotoxin. The peptide is 16 residues in length, with two disulfide bonds. α-CTx PeIA belongs to the A superfamily, as do the majority of Conus peptides that are known to affect the function of nAChRs (37). The spacing between Cys residues, four amino acids in the first loop and seven in the second loop, is typical of several previously isolated α -conotoxins of the α 4/7 family like MII and GIC, which preferentially target non-muscle nAChRs (18, 35).

 α -CTx PeIA selectively targets the α bungarotoxin-sensitive $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ rather than $\alpha 7$ nAChRs. a9a10 nAChRs pharmacologically differ from $\alpha 7$ in that nicotine and ICS 205-930 block the former whereas they activate the latter (7, 38). In addition, strychnine, a wellcharacterized glycine antagonist, potently blocks $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs, with a lower IC₅₀ for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ (7, 39, 40). α -CTx PeIA is the first reported conotoxin to block a9a10 nAChRs with high affinity. Similar to some previously characterized $\alpha 4/7$ family conotoxins, including α -CTx MII and α -CTx GIC, α -CTx PIA also potently blocks the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR. In contrast, however, α -CTx MII and α -CTx GIC show no activity at $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs at concentrations of up to 10 μ M.

Current data supports the notion that a receptor assembled from both $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ nAChR subunits mediates synaptic transmission between efferent olivocochlear fibers and cochlear hair cells (3, 7, 28, 29, 41). However, $\alpha 7$ transcripts as well as α 7 immunostaining have been reported in the mammalian organ of Corti (30, 31). The fact that native hair cell nAChRs were potently blocked by α -CTx PeIA, precludes the participation of a7 nAChRs in mediating AChevoked responses in inner hair cells. The situation for other tissues remains to be determined. Dorsal root ganglion neurons express multiple nAChR subtypes, including α 7-like, α 3 β 4-like and α 4 β 2like (42). They also co-express both $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ subunits (43, 44). In addition, peripheral blood lymphocytes and developing thymocytes have been shown to express cholinergic receptors, including the nAChR subunits $\alpha 2-5$, $\alpha 7$, $\alpha 9$, $\alpha 10$ and β 4, that could participate at different steps in the regulation of the immune response (45-47). Finally, $\alpha 7$, $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$ are expressed in skin keratinocytes and might be involved at different steps in the regulation of skin homeostasis (48-51). The observation that α -CTx PeIA has a 260-fold selectivity for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ compared to $\alpha 7$, indicates that it is a useful probe to differentiate responses mediated either through $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ or $\alpha 7$ nAChRs in the above mentioned tissues where both receptors are expressed.

The carnivorous marine snails of the genus *Conus* are a rich source of peptides targeted to nAChRs. A major component of the complex venomous arsenal that the fish-eating *Conus* employ, are toxins that act at the muscle nicotinic receptor type (18). Why might these snails have evolved a toxin with high affinity for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ receptors? *Conus pergrandis* is found in deep waters (50-530 m) of the Western Pacific. The feeding habits of *Conus pergrandis* are unknown, but *Conus* species in general prey upon fish,

mollusks and/or worms. Little is known about the nAChR subtypes found in these prey. However, the Fugu rubripes (pufferfish) genome contains three candidate α 7, two α 8 and four α 9 subunit genes. Moreover, it has been described that this fish genome contains the largest family of vertebrate nAChR subunits reported to date (52). In addition three $\alpha 9$ subunit genes have been described in the rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (53). Although, none of the Fugu nAChR sequences show close identity to the mammalian or avian $\alpha 10$ subunits, three of the $\alpha 9$ -like subunits possess glycosylation sites also found in the higher vertebrate $\alpha 10$ subunit (52), suggesting that these α 9-like subunits might co-assemble to form a functional nAChR, much like the higher vertebrate homomeric $\alpha 9$ and/or heteromeric $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs. Higher vertebrate $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs mediate efferent cholinergic inhibition at cochlear and vestibular hair cells (3, 7, 28, 29, 41). The cholinergic pharmacology of efferent block in the fish lateral line organ is similar to that in hair cells of the cochlea, indicating that the same nicotinic cholinergic receptor is likely involved (54)(55). The proper orientation of mechanosensory hair cells along the lateral-line organ of a fish is essential for the animal's ability to sense directional water movements. This sensory system appears to be important in many behavioral tasks such as prey capture, orientation with respect to external environmental cues, navigation in low-light conditions, and mediation of interactions with nearby animals (56-59) Thus, block of efferent modulation of the lateral line activity by Conus toxins could facilitate prey capture by these predatory snails.

 α -CTx PeIA shows considerable sequence similarity to the α 4/7 α -conotoxins MII and GIC (26). The comparison of the structure of α -CTx PeIA, MII and GIC, indicates that the four Cys residues are identically placed having the same disulfide connectivity. Moreover, a conserved proline and the identical placement of an histidine and an asparagine that are known to either initiate or immediately precede an α -helix in GIC and MII, indicate that the peptide backbone topology of α -CTx PeIA is likely similar to that of the other two α -conotoxins. Indeed, when compared as a group, there are only four non-conservative amino acid substitutions among the three peptides (see bold residues in Table 2). The $\alpha 4/7$ toxins have a common structural scaffold. Their polypeptide backbones appear to be virtually identical: the canonical α helical structure and two β turns are prominent structural features of the family. Given the near identity of the peptide backbones the ability of the different $\alpha 4/7$ Conus peptides to discriminate between different neuronal nAChR subtypes must clearly be mediated through their divergent side-chain groups (18). Although all three toxins, MII, GIC and PeIA, have high affinity for the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR (35, 60), only α -CTx PeIA has high affinity for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$. Thus, the amino acids shown in bold in Table 2 are likely structural determinants of the high selectivity of α -CTx PeIA for $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs.

Conclusion-A remarkable accomplishment of the Conus genus has been the evolution of nAChR antagonist of diverse subtype specificities. The diversity of their venom products is likely a consequence of the complex marine environment in which these slow-moving and otherwise unarmed predators must compete. Conus hunt a broad range of organisms (five different phyla) and also must defend themselves against crustaceans and other predators. We have now identified a novel peptide, α -CTx PeIA, that selectively targets the most recently identified nAChR subunits, $\alpha 9$ and $\alpha 10$. Thus, isolation of peptides from Conus venoms continues to prove useful to identify novel pharmacological tools to characterize the nAChR family.

REFERENCES

- 1. Couturier, S., Bertrand, D., Matter, J.-M., Hernandez, M.-C., Bertrand, S., Millar, N., Valera, S., Barkas, T., and Ballivet, M. (1990) Neuron **5**, 847-856
- Gotti, C., Hanke, W., Maury, K., Moretti, M., Ballivet, M., Clementi, F., and Bertrand, D. (1994) Eur. J. Neurosci. 6, 1281-1291
- 3. Elgoyhen, A. B., Johnson, D. S., Boulter, J., Vetter, D. E., and Heinemann, S. (1994) Cell **79**, 705-715
- 4. Bobbin, R. P., and Thompson, M. H. (1978) Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 87, 185-190
- 5. Conroy, W. G., and Berg, D. K. (1998) Mol. Pharmacol. 53, 392-401
- 6. Balestra, B., Vailati, S., Moretti, M., Hanke, W., Clementi, F. A., and Gotti, C. (2000) Mol. Pharmacol. **58**, 300-311
- 7. Elgoyhen, A. B., Vetter, D., Katz, E., Rothlin, C., Heinemann, S., and Boulter, J. (2001) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA **98**, 3501-3506
- 8. Groot-Kormelink, P. J., Luyten, W. H., Colquhoun, D., and Sivilotti, L. G. (1998) J. Biol. Chem. **273**, 15317-15320
- 9. Colquhoun, L. M., and Patrick, J. W. (1997) J. Neurochem. 69, 2355-2362
- 10. Gotti, C., Moretti, M., Clementi, F., Riganti, L., McIntosh, J. M., Collins, A. C., Marks, M. J., and Whiteaker, P. (2005) Mol. Pharmacol. Epub ahead of print
- 11. Champtiaux, N., Gotti, C., Cordero-Erausquin, M., David, D. J., Przybylski, C., Lena, C., Clementi, F., Moretti, M., Rossi, F. M., Le Novere, N., McIntosh, J. M., Gardier, A. M., and Changeux, J. P. (2003) J. Neurosci. **23**, 7820-7829
- 12. Gerzanich, V., Wang, F., Kuryatov, A., and Lindstrom, J. (1998) J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **286**, 311-320
- Boulter, J., Connolly, J., Deneris, E., Goldman, D., Heinemann, S., and Patrick, J. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 7763-7767
- 14. Goldman, D., Deneris, E., Luyten, W., Kochhar, A., Patrick, J., and Heinemann, S. (1987) Cell **48**, 956-973
- 15. Deneris, E. S., Connolly, J., Boulter, J., Wada, E., Wada, K., Swanson, L. W., Patrick, J., and Heinemann, S. (1988) Neuron 1, 45-54
- 16. Duvoisin, R. M., Deneris, E. S., Patrick, J., and Heinemann, S. (1989) Neuron 3, 487-496
- 17. Gerzanich, V., Kuryatov, A., Anand, R., and Lindstrom, J. (1997) Mol. Pharmacol. **51**, 320-327
- McIntosh, J. M., Santos, A. D., and Olivera, B. M. (1999) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 68, 59-88
- 19. Terlau, H., and Olivera, B. M. (2004) Physiol. Rev. 84, 41-68
- Walker, C. S., Steel, D., Jacobsen, R. B., Lirazan, M. B., Cruz, L. J., Hooper, D., Shetty, R., DelaCruz, R. C., Nielsen, J. S., Zhou, L. M., Bandyopadhyay, P., Craig, A. G., and Olivera, B. M. (1999) J. Biol. Chem. 274, 30664-30671
- 21. Katz, E., Verbitsky, M., Rothlin, C., Vetter, D., Heinemann, S., and Elgoyhen, A. (2000) Hearing Res. **141**, 117-128
- 22. Glowatzki, E., and Fuchs, P. (2000) Science 288, 2366-2368
- 23. Kros, C. J., Ruppersberg, J. P., and Rusch, A. (1998) Nature **394**, 281-284
- 24. Katz, E., Elgoyhen, A. B., Gomez-Casati, M. E., Knipper, M., Vetter, D. E., Fuchs, P. A., and Glowatzki, E. (2004) J. Neurosci. 24, 7814-7820

 α -CTx PeIA discriminates between $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs

- 25. Weisstaub, N., Vetter, D., Elgoyhen, A., and Katz, E. (2002) Hearing Res. 167, 122-135
- 26. Nicke, A., Wonnacott, S., and Lewis, R. J. (2004) Eur. J. Biochem. 271, 2305-2319
- 27. Guinan, J. J. (1996) in The Cochlea (Dallos, Popper and Fay, eds.), pp. 435-502, Springer-Verlag, New York
- 28. Sgard, F., Charpentier, E., Bertrand, S., Walker, N., Caput, D., Graham, D., Bertrand, D., and Besnard, F. (2002) Mol. Pharmacol. **61**, 150-159
- 29. Lustig, L. R., Peng, H., Hiel, H., Yamamoto, T., and Fuchs, P. (2001) Genomics **73**, 272-283
- 30. Morley, B. J., Li, H. S., Hiel, H., Drescher, D. G., and Elgoyhen, A. B. (1998) Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res. **53**, 78-87
- 31. Luebke, A. (1995) Assoc. Res. Otolaryn., Abstr. 18., 193
- 32. Le Novere, N., Corringer, P. J., and Changeux, J. P. (2002) J. Neurobiol. 53, 447-456
- Azam, L., Dowell, C., Watkins, M., Stitzel, J. A., Olivera, B. M., and McIntosh, J. M. (2005) J. Biol. Chem. 280, 80-87
- 34. Karlin, A. (2002) Nature Rev. Neurosc. 3, 102-114
- 35. McIntosh, J. M., Dowell, C., Watkins, M., Garrett, J. E., Yoshikami, D., and Olivera, B. M. (2002) J. Biol. Chem. 277, 33610-33615
- Wals, J. (1979) Cone shells. A synopsis of the living Conidae, T.F.H. Publications Inc. Ltd, Hong Kong
- 37. Santos, A. D., McIntosh, J. M., Hillyard, D. R., Cruz, L. J., and Olivera, B. M. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. **279**, 17596-17606
- 38. Rothlin, C. V., Lioudyno, M. I., Silbering, A. F., Plazas, P. V., Casati, M. E., Katz, E., Guth, P. S., and Elgoyhen, A. B. (2003) Mol. Pharmacol. **63**, 1067-1074
- 39. Seguela, P., Wadiche, J., Dineley-Miller, K., Dani, J. A., and Patrick, J. W. (1993) J. Neurosci. 13, 596-604
- 40. Baker, E. R., Zwart, R., Sher, E., and Millar, N. S. (2004) Mol. Pharmacol. 65, 453-460
- 41. Fuchs, P. (1996) Curr. Op. Neurobiol. 6, 514-519
- 42. Genzen, J. R., Van Cleve, W., and McGehee, D. S. (2001) J. Neurophysiol. **86**, 1773-1782
- 43. Haberberger, R. V., Bernardini, N., Kress, M., Hartmann, P., Lips, K. S., and Kummer, W. (2004) Auton. Neurosci. **113**, 32-42
- 44. Lips, K. S., Pfeil, U., and Kummer, W. (2002) Neuroscience 115, 1-5
- 45. Mihovilovic, M., and Roses, A. D. (1993) J Immunol 151, 6517-6524
- 46. Peng, H., Ferris, R. L., Matthews, T., Hiel, H., Lopez-Albaitero, A., and Lustig, L. R. (2004) Life Sci. **76**, 263-280
- 47. Sato, K. Z., Fujii, T., Watanabe, Y., Yamada, S., Ando, T., Kazuko, F., and Kawashima, K. (1999) Neurosci. Lett. **266**, 17-20
- 48. Arredondo, J., Nguyen, V. T., Chernyavsky, A. I., Bercovich, D., Orr-Urtreger, A., Kummer, W., Lips, K., Vetter, D. E., and Grando, S. A. (2002) J. Cell. Biol. **159**, 325-336
- 49. Chernyavsky, A. I., Arredondo, J., Marubio, L. M., Grando, S. A., Nguyen, V. T., Bercovich, D., Orr-Urtreger, A., Vetter, D. E., Wess, J., Beaudet, A. L., and Kitajima, Y. (2004) J. Cell. Sci. **117**, 5665-5679
- 50. Nguyen, V. T., Ndoye, A., and Grando, S. A. (2000) Am. J. Pathol. 157, 1377-1391
- 51. Kurzen, H., Berger, H., Jager, C., Hartschuh, W., and Maas-Szabowski, N. (2005) Exp. Dermatol. **14**, 155 Epub ahead of print

 α -CTx PeIA discriminates between $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs

- 52. Jones, A. K., Elgar, G., and Sattelle, D. B. (2003) Genomics 82, 441-541
- Drescher, D. G., Ramakrishnan, N. A., Drescher, M. J., Chun, W., Wang, X., Myers, S. F., Green, G. E., Sadrazodi, K., Karadaghy, A. A., Poopat, N., Karpenko, A. N., Khan, K. M., and Hatfield, J. S. (2004) Neuroscience 127, 737-752
- 54. Russell, I. J. (1971) J. Exp. Biol. 54, 643-658
- 55. Dawkins, R., Keller, S. L., and Sewell, W. F. (2004) J. Neurophysiol.
- 56. Pohlmann, K., Atema, J., and Breithaupt, T. (2004) J. Exp. Biol. 207, 2971-2978
- 57. Flock, A., and Wersall, J. (1962) J. Cell. Biol. 15, 19-27
- 58. Engelmann, J., Hanke, W., Mogdans, J., and Bleckmann, H. (2000) Nature 408, 51-52
- 59. Bleckmann, H. (1993) in Behavior of teleost fish (Pitcher, T. J., ed.), pp. 201-246, Chapman and Hall, London
- 60. Cartier, G. E., Yoshikami, D., Gray, W. R., Luo, S., Olivera, B. M., and McIntosh, J. M. (1996) J. Biol. Chem. **271**, 7522-8

FOOTNOTES

*This work was supported by NIH MH53631 (to J.M.M.), GM48677 (to B.M.O.) and a Howard Hughes International Scholar Grant, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica and Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina (to A.B.E.).

¹The abbreviations used are: nAChRs, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors; α -CTx PeIA, α -conotoxin PeIA; α -CTx MII, α -conotoxin MII; α -CTx GIC, α -conotoxin GIC; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; BAPTA-AM, 1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid-acetoxymethyl ester; acetylcholine, ACh; MALDI, Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mass spectrometry measurements were performed at the Salk Institute under the direction of Jean Rivier. We thank Dr Jim Boulter for sharing the rat $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 7$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 3$ and $\beta 4$ cDNA clones and Dr Eleonora Katz for her advice with hair cell recordings.

Fig. 1. Prepropeptide and encoded toxin of α -Ctx PeIA. A putative proteolityc processing site following the basic residue R is denoted. The mature toxin is indicated. The glycine following the C-terminal cysteine in the mature toxin is presumed to be processed to a C-terminal amide.

Fig. 2. Effect of α -CTx PeIA on $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nAChRs. *A*, representative traces of the response to ACh either alone or in the presence of α -CTx PeIA. *B*, inhibition curves performed by the co-application of 10 μ M ($\alpha 9\alpha 10$) or 100 μ M ACh ($\alpha 7$) and increasing concentrations of α -CTx PeIA. Oocytes were incubated with each concentration of the toxin for 10 min prior to the addition of ACh. Peak current values are plotted, expressed as the percentage of the peak control current evoked by ACh. The mean and S.E.M. of five to six experiments per group are shown.

Fig. 3. Wash-out kinetics of α -CTx PeIA from $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ receptors. After a control response to 10 μ M ACh was obtained, 1 μ M α -CTx PeIA was applied to $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ expressing oocytes for 10 minutes. The oocyte was then continuously perfused with saline solution without toxin while responses to ACh were recorded. Similar results were obtained in three other experiments.

Fig. 4. Effect of α -CTx PeIA on ACh-evoked currents of inner hair cells. *A*, representative traces to 60 μ M ACh either alone or in the presence of α -CTx PeIA. *B*, inhibition curves performed by the co-application of 60 μ M ACh and increasing concentrations of α -CTx PeIA. Cells were incubated with each concentration of the toxin for 10 min prior to the addition of ACh. Peak current values are plotted, expressed as the percentage of the peak control current evoked by ACh. The mean and S.E.M. of four to six cells per point are shown.

Fig. 5. Effect of \alpha-CTx PeIA on inner hair cell synaptic currents. *A*, representative traces of the effect of 30 nM α -CTx PeIA on synaptic currents evoked by 25 mM KCl. The insets show synaptic currents on an expanded time scale. *B*, bar diagram showing the effect of 30 nM α -CTx PeIA on the amplitude of synaptic currents. The recordings are from three independent inner hair cells and the number of analyzed events were 997 and 766, either in the absence or the presence of α -CTx PeIA, respectively. The asterisk denotes a significant difference, p < 0.0001.

Fig. 6. Effect of α -CTx PeIA on $\alpha 3\beta 2$, $\alpha 3\beta 4$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs. Inhibition curves obtained by the coapplication of 10 μ M ($\alpha 3\beta 2$), 100 μ M ($\alpha 3\beta 4$) or 10 μ M ACh ($\alpha 4\beta 2$) and increasing concentrations of α -CTx PeIA. Oocytes were incubated with each concentration of the toxin for 10 min prior to the addition of ACh. Peak current values are plotted, expressed as the percentage of the peak control current evoked by ACh. The mean and S.E.M. of four to five experiments per group are shown.

	IC ₅₀ (nM)	CI	Hill slope	CI	n
α9 α10	6.9	5.2 - 9.1	0.88	0.74 - 1.02	6
α7	1,800	1,396 - 2,206	1.10	0.86 - 1.33	5
α3β2	23	19.2 - 27.5	1.25	0.97 - 1.50	5
α3β4	480	372 - 640	1.23	0.89 - 1.58	4
α4β2	11,600	8,811 - 15,170	3.02	1.76 - 7.81	3

Table 1: Effects of α -Ctx PeIA on nAChRs

IC₅₀: concentration of toxin that produces 50% of block; CI: 95% confidence interval; n: number of experiments. In the case of $\alpha 4\beta 2$, the IC₅₀ was derived from the regression.

α-Conotoxin	Sequence	IC ₅₀ α9α10 (nM)
PeIA	GCCSHPAC SV NH PE LC	6.7
MII	GCCSNPVCHLEHSNLC	>10,000
GIC	GCCSHPACAGNNQHIC	>10,000

Table 2. Sequence comparison of α -conotoxins

Figure 1





ACGACGT

т т















Figure 5

A



Figure 6



A novel α -conotoxin, PeIA, cloned from Conus pergrandis discriminates between rat $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ and $\alpha 7$ nicotinic cholinergic receptors

J. Michael McIntosh, Paola V. Plazas, Maren Watkins, María E. Gomez-Casati, Baldomero M. Olivera and A. Belén Elgoyhen

J. Biol. Chem. published online June 27, 2005

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M504102200

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts