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#### Abstract

While plant polyphenols possess a variety of biological properties, exploration of chemical diversity around them is still problematic. Here, an example of application of the Ugi multicomponent reaction to the combinatorial assembly of artificial, yet "natural-like", polyphenols is presented. The synthesized compounds represent a second-generation library directed to the inhibition of $\beta$-amyloid protein aggregation. Chiral enantiopure compounds, and polyphenol- $\beta$-lactam hybrids have been prepared too. The biochemical assays have highlighted the importance of the key pharmacophores in these compounds. A lead for inhibition of aggregation of truncated protein $\mathrm{A} \beta \mathrm{pE} 3-42$ was selected.
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## 1. Introduction

Natural polyphenols of plant origin are important elements of our diet and, for this reason, their biological properties have been thoroughly studied [1,2]. Their most renowned characteristic is their antioxidant activity, which is believed to play an important role in preventing age-related diseases such as atherosclerosis. Since long ago, plant polyphenols have attracted much interest in the nutraceutical and cosmetic fields. On the other hand, the pharmaceutical industry has remained so far rather detached regarding the investigation of plant polyphenols as possible leads for drug development [3]. The reasons for this lack of interest are not fully clear but may be related to the poor pharmacokinetic properties of natural polyphenols, to their in vivo instability, and to the challenging synthetic modification of the natural members of this family. Actually, only few studies on the preparation of artificial analogues through total synthesis or semi-synthesis have been reported so far [3-12]. Nevertheless, several reports have pointed out that polyphenols, apart from anti-oxidant properties, may have a variety of other biological effects, such as anti-inflammatory [13], anti-cancer [12,14,15], anti-microbial [16], and anti-hyperglycemic [17] activity. Last but not least, some natural polyphenols have been demonstrated to be able to inhibit $\beta$-amyloid aggregation, thus being promising for the prevention of the Alzheimer's disease [18-21].

We reasoned that a "natural fragment-based approach" [22] to the combinatorial synthesis of artificial polyphenols starting from small, phenolic, building blocks, would allow a more systematic exploration of their chemical space, allowing to select possible hits for drug discovery,
endowed with modulable pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties. In particular, the Ugi multi-component reaction seemed particularly well suited for this approach, since it leads to mixed polyphenol-peptidomimetic structures and allows rigidification through post-MCR cyclization steps [23,24]. A similar approach was recently used by Ismaili et al. [7], who developed a multi-target lead for Alzheimer's disease by joining ferulic acid to an acetylcholine esterase inhibitor, lipoic acid and a melatonin analogue. However, in Ismaili's work, only one of the four components used as inputs in the Ugi reaction was a phenol, and the final product was not indeed polyphenols. On the contrary, in our plan, depicted in Figure 1, up to four of the components would be of phenolic nature, thus leading to true artificial, polyphenols of general formula 1. Our preliminary results using this strategy were reported in a previous paper [25]. Now, also on the basis of the biochemical properties of the first-generation library, we have extended exploration of the chemical space of these polyphenols, preparing new chemical entities, also exploiting components that are chiral enantiopure or that allow post-MCR cyclization.




Figure 1. General strategy for assembling artificial polyphenols from simple phenolic building blocks through the Ugi reaction.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Synthesis

Scheme 1 shows a specific example of the general synthetic strategy used for the preparation of polyphenols. This strategy was optimized also on the basis of the outcomes of our initial preliminary work. The need, in particular for in vivo experiments, of high purity final polyphenols 8, prompted us to prepare compounds 8 through a high yielding solvolysis of polyacetates 7 . In this way, the purification is very simple, and we can avoid an extractive and chromatographic purification of the polyphenols, which, in some cases, although not always, gave insufficiently pure compounds. On the other hand, the acetate protecting group was found to be not fully stable under the conditions of the Ugi reaction. Thus, our standard, optimized, procedure involved the use of phenolic building blocks protected as allyl ethers. The Ugi reaction gave best results when preformation of the imine was implemented and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ (TFE)/ethanol mixture was used as the solvent. Removal of the allyl protecting groups was best performed with a minimum amount of Pd catalyst and ammonium formate as the scavenger, followed by immediate acetylation. Chromatographic purification afforded acetates 7, which were obtained in high purity and fully characterized at this level. Finally, deacetylation was performed with a protocol that completely avoided both extractive and chromatographic purification of the final polyphenols 8. As shown in Scheme 1, for compound 8c this procedure was very efficient, and the final HPLC purity was $99 \%$.
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4) Amberlyst 15 quantitative



* Yield calculated taking into account the recovered aldehyde

Scheme 1. Representative example of the synthesis of artificial polyphenols.
Other similar polyphenols prepared by us are shown in Figure 2. In designing the new entities to be synthesized, we took as a model the best compounds that emerged from the preliminary studies [25]. In that paper, we tested the polyphenols on two amyloid proteins: $A \beta 1-42$ and $A \beta p E 3-42$. For the first one, the best compound was found to be $\mathbf{8 a}$. On the smaller fragment, the best hits contained a residue derived from caffeic acid, but we later found that polyphenols containing the catechol moiety typical of caffeic acid where less stable and, most of all, cytotoxic to neuronal cells [26]. Thus, we decided to keep, as the lead for $\mathrm{A} \beta$ pE3-42, compound $\mathbf{8 b}$, which was the best among those derived from ferulic acid.


6d: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{All}, 63 \%$ (91\%)*
7d: R = Ac, 60\%
8d: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 93 \%$, HPLC purity: 92\%


6e: R = All, 33 (59\%)*
7e: $R=A c, 73 \%$
8e: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 84 \%$,
HPLC purity: $96 \%$


6g: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{All}, 44 \%$
7g: $R=A c, 66 \%$
7h: $R=A c, 96 \%$
8h: $R=H, 94 \%$,
HPLC purity: 94\%

6f: R = All, 34\%
7f: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}, 67 \%$
8f: R = H, 72\%,
HPLC purity: 96\%


9: 89\%

* Yield calculated taking into account the recovered aldehyde

Figure 2. Simple analogues of 8a prepared.
Since the ferulic derived part seemed to be very important, in this study we maintained the carboxylic building block, and varied only the other three components. For example, looking at the structure of 8a, we replaced benzylamine with a phenol containing benzylamine (see 8c and 8d). In $8 \mathbf{f}$ and $8 \mathbf{g}$ we replaced $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde (that was the aldehyde component for preparation of both $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) with an aliphatic aldehyde (isobutyraldehyde). In $8 \mathbf{e}$ we replaced $t$-butyl isocyanide with a phenol containing an aromatic isocyanide, whereas for $\mathbf{8 g}$ and $\mathbf{8 h}$ a benzyl isocyanide containing a phenol was used. Finally, we also prepared compound $\mathbf{9}$, which is the analogue of $\mathbf{8 a}$, totally devoid of phenolic groups, in order to check the importance of this moiety for biological activity.

As far as it concerns the overall yields of the various syntheses, the most critical step was found to be the Ugi reaction. In general, we can say that aromatic isocyanides (see 6d) and aliphatic aldehydes (see $6 \mathbf{e}$ and $\mathbf{6 f}$ ) bring about a less efficient multicomponent reaction. The high yield achieved for compound 9 demonstrates that also protected ferulic acid, as the carboxylic component, is not ideal for the Ugi reaction. The decrease in the yield is typically due to sluggish reactions and incomplete
conversion. In some instances, we have determined the yield considering the recovered starting aldehyde. However, it should be noted that, since our main goal was to assess the biochemical properties of our polyphenols, the individual syntheses have not been optimized.

All the polyphenols prepared in the previous paper and those depicted in Scheme 1 and Figure 2 are racemic. To verify the possible influence of the absolute configuration of the stereogenic center generated during the Ugi reaction, we decided to use, as amine component, enantiopure $\alpha$-methylbenzylamine 10 (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Preparation of enantiopure polyphenols $\mathbf{1 3 a}, \mathbf{b}$.
As expected, the Ugi reaction was poorly diastereoselective (and slower compared to the ones employing unsubstituted benzylamines). The two diastereomers could be conveniently separated at this stage, and then independently converted into enantiopure polyphenols 13a and 13b.

Finally, we wanted to prepare some rigidified analogues, by applying a post-MCR cyclization. In a previous work within our group [27], we have noticed that Ugi adducts derived from glycolaldehyde could be cyclized to $\beta$-lactams, exploiting the isocyanide derived secondary amide as the nucleophile in an intramolecular $S_{N} 2$ process [23]. This interesting reaction was not fully explored, but we reasoned that in the present case it could offer a possibility to obtain polyphenol- $\beta$-lactam hybrids as rigidified analogues of $\mathbf{8 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 b}$. Scheme 3 shows this approach.

1) benzylamine, $3 \AA$ mol. sieves, rt, $6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{EtOH}$


14
2) RNC, $5,48 \mathrm{~h}$


17a: $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, 93 \%$, HPLC purity 99\%
17b: $\mathrm{R}=4-(\mathrm{HO}) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 90 \%$, HPLC purity $99 \%$

$\mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 24 h


16a: $\mathrm{R}=t \mathrm{Bu}, 43 \%$
16b: $R=4-(A l l O) C_{6} H_{4}, 66 \%$

Scheme 3. Preparation of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 7 a , b}$.
Glycolaldehyde dimer 14 has been already used by us and others in Ugi or Ugi-type reactions [27-29]. Ugi reactions with 14 are known to proceed only in moderate yields, and, as stated above, protected ferulic acid, like other $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acids, is not an ideal component for this MCR. Thus, we were not surprised by the low to moderate yields achieved for 15a and 15b. Cyclization was then carried out using sulfonyl diimidazole in the presence of NaH . This method, first introduced by Hanessian [30,31], is a variant of the better renown Mitsunobu reaction, and offers advantages in terms of operational simplicity and atom economy $[32,33]$. Formation of the $\beta$-lactams took place quite fast, but it was much cleaner starting with the more acidic aromatic secondary amine $\mathbf{1 6 b}$, whereas with the $t$-butyl derivative 16a several side products were present, lowering the yields.

In this case, we preferred to avoid the final deacetylation. Therefore, after deprotection of the allyl groups, pure final phenols $\mathbf{1 7 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were directly obtained by chromatography in highly pure form, being not susceptible to degradation under chromatographic conditions.

### 2.2. Biochemical Assays

Then, we investigated the interaction of our candidates with two $\beta$-amyloid peptides, $A \beta 1-42$ and $\mathrm{A} \beta \mathrm{pE} 3-42$. While the full-length $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42$ is one of the most abundantly identified in the brain deposits, $\mathrm{A} \beta \mathrm{pE} 3-42$ is a peptide $N$-terminal truncated at residue $3(\mathrm{Glu})$ and further modified by cyclization of Glu (E) to pyroglutamic acid (pE). These structural modifications are known to increase A $\beta$ pE3-42 aggregation propensity [34].

First, in order to check that no precipitation of our phenols could occur under the assay conditions, we determined the solubility in phosphate buffer solution (PBS) at pH 7.4 containing $1 \%$ of DMSO. This percentage of DMSO does not alter the aggregation of $\beta$-amyloids, as demonstrated by control experiments carried out with the solvent alone. This analysis was performed by UV-VIS spectroscopic monitoring of the solutions of the compounds at different concentrations, both at the $\lambda_{\max }$ (at around 330 nm ) and at 405 nm to evidence the formation of turbidity. Only compound $8 \mathbf{e}$ was found to be fully soluble in the whole range of concentrations tested ( $10-500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). In this case the absorbance curve at 333 nm was linear and the line at 405 nm flat. For the other compounds bending of the absorbance curve and increase of turbidity started at the concentrations indicated in Table 1, which were anyway far beyond the one used for the subsequent experiments ( $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Interestingly, most of the newly
synthesized compounds turned out to be more soluble than our previous lead 8a, and this may represent ad advantage for in vivo tests. The aggregation inhibition experiments were carried out with the thioflavin T methodology. This assay was selected during our previous work as the most helpful in order to predict activity of our polyphenols. We also preliminary tried to investigate the activity of our polyphenols by ANS fluorescence. However, ANS tests were not informative in the case of compounds derived from ferulic acid, since they showed major spectral interferences with ANS. On the other hand, also circular dichroism experiments were not useful, because of interference of $1 \%$ DMSO, which was needed to keep our compounds in solution. During our preliminary work [25,26], we have also used electron microscopy and NMR studies, which confirmed the thioflavin data. However, these long studies were carried out only on 8a or other few selected compounds, and are not suited for a fast selection of the here reported second generation compounds, that was made with thioflavin T.

Table 1. Solubility and Thioflavin assays on compounds $\mathbf{8 a , g}, \mathbf{9 , 1 3 a , b}, \mathbf{1 7 a}, \mathbf{b}$.

| Entry | Compound | Solubility ${ }^{1}$ | Plateau $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42{ }^{2}$ | Plateau $\mathrm{A} \beta$ pE3-42 ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | control | - | 100\% | 100\% |
| 2 | 8a | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 50\% | 90\% |
| 3 | 8b | $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 65\% | 53\% |
| 4 | 8c | $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 74\% | 51\% |
| 5 | 8d | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 68\% | 89\% |
| 6 | 8 e | $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 68\% | 72\% |
| 7 | 8 f | $>500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 86\% | 192\% |
| 8 | 8g | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 102\% | 127\% |
| 9 | 8h | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 117\% | 72\% |
| 10 | 9 | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 136\% | 104\% |
| 11 | 13a | $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 87\% | 105\% |
| 12 | 13b | $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 86\% | 77\% |
| 13 | 17a | $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 96\% | 96\% |
| 14 | 17b | $250 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 70\% | 72\% |

${ }^{1}$ Concentration where the absorbance curve at about 330 nm starts to deflect from linearity and where the curve of turbidimetry at 405 nm starts to increase. ${ }^{2}$ ThT Fluorescence in percentage respect to the control sample, after 24 h of aggregation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the concentration was $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\beta$-amyloids and $25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for polyphenols in PBS $+1 \%$ DMSO.
$\beta$-Amyloid aggregation is known to start when a change of the secondary structure from $\alpha$-helix (in the membrane environment) or coil (in basic environment) to $\beta$-sheet conformation takes place [35]. In the presence of thioflavin-T, $\beta$-sheet formation results in a strong increase of fluorescence of the solution. Ideally, inhibitors of aggregation should decrease the maximum plateau of fluorescence obtained in the control experiment. Table 1 shows the relative decrease (or increase) of plateau achieved with compounds $8 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h}, 9,13 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}, 17 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}$.

From the results collected we can draw some useful information. First, as long as we consider $A \beta 1-42$, the lead compound 8a remains the best one. In particular, substitution of the $t$-Bu group of the isocyanide with a hydroxybenzyl group is highly deleterious, leading to a complete loss of the inhibitory effect (see entries 8 and 9). Only substitution with a hydroxyphenyl group is accepted (entry 6) although a decrease of activity is observed compared to the lead compound 8a. Also replacing of $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde with an aliphatic counterpart is detrimental (entry 7).

For A $\beta$ pE3-42, as already experienced in our previous work, even small differences seem to have a significant effect. The best compound, among those prepared in this second campaign, is $8 \mathbf{c}$, which turned out to be slightly superior to our lead $\mathbf{8 b}$. Moreover, this molecule is one of the few, together with $\mathbf{8 b}$, that shows acceptable activity towards both proteins. Therefore, we think that it is worth of further investigation through in vivo assays. The difference, compared to $\mathbf{8 b}$, is the amine component, which is a hydroxybenzyl instead of a hydroxyphenyl group. Also, for $A \beta p E 3-42$, the compounds derived from iso-butyraldehyde did not inhibit aggregation. On the contrary, they seem, especially $\mathbf{8 f}$, to even favor $\beta$-sheet formation.

Among the $\beta$-lactams, only the one containing the $p$-hydroxyphenyl group showed some activity (entry 14). It was equally active on both proteins and may be a good starting point for further refinement.

It was interesting to see if the different configuration of the new stereogenic center created during the Ugi MCR could influence activity. The results achieved with diastereomers $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ shows a negligible effect on $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42$, but a significant difference in behavior for $\mathrm{A} \beta \mathrm{pE} 3-42$. It is worth noting that 13b, which has just an additional methyl, displays a higher activity for the truncated peptide than 8a, but we do not know whether this is due to its enantiomeric purity or for the presence of the methyl group.

Finally, it should be noted that compound 9 , lacking any phenolic group, had no inhibitory activity at all. On the contrary, it seems to favor aggregation of $A \beta 1-42$. Furthermore, compounds $8 f$ and $\mathbf{1 7 a}$, that are just monophenols, behaved poorly, stressing the need for a polyphenolic system. The best candidates found so far $(\mathbf{8 a}, \mathbf{8 b}, \mathbf{8 c})$ are indeed either diphenols or triphenols.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### 3.1. General Information

NMR spectra (see Supplementary Materials) were recorded on a Gemini 300 Mhz instrument (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) at r.t. in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or in DMSO- $d_{6}$ at $300 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$, and $75 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$, using, as internal standard, TMS $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 0.000 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ or the central peak of DMSO $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\right.$ : $\left.2.506 \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}: 39.43 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ or the central peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} ; 77.02 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ scale). Peak assignments were made with the aid of gCOSY and gHSQC experiments. In $A B X$ system, the proton $A$ is considered upfield and $B$ downfield. IR spectra were recorded as solid, oil, or foamy samples, with the ATR (attenuated total reflectance) technique. TLC analyses were carried out on silica gel plates and viewed at UV $(\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$ or 360 nm$)$ and developed with Hanessian stain (dipping into a solution of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{4} \mathrm{MoO}_{4} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(21 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(31 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(469 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warming $)$. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ values were measured after an elution of $7-9 \mathrm{~cm}$. HRMS: samples were analyzed with a Synapt G2 QToF mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). MS signals were acquired from 50 to $1200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ in either ESI positive or negative ionization mode. Column chromatography was done with the "flash" methodology by using 220-400 mesh silica. Petroleum ether $\left(40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is abbreviated as PE. All reactions employing dry solvents were carried out under nitrogen. After extractions, the aqueous phases were always re-extracted 2 times with the appropriate organic solvent, and the organic extracts were always dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered before evaporation to dryness.

Due to a tendency to partially degrade, the free phenols ( $\mathbf{8 c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{1 3} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 8 a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) were fully characterized and stored in the acetylated form $(\mathbf{7 c}-\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{1 2 a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 7 a} \mathbf{a})$ and then deprotected shortly before use through procedure B, checking the purity by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and HPLC. HPLC analyses were carried out on a HP-1100 system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a Phenyl C6 reverse phase column $(150 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with flow $=0.34 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Gradient from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 40: 60$ (time 0) to pure $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (time 10). detection was done with a DAD detector at 330 nm . Compounds 2, 3 and 5 and 4-(allyloxy)phenyl isocyanide were prepared as previously described [25]. Known [36] 2-(allyloxy)benzylamine was prepared as previously described [32].

### 3.2. Syntheses

( $R, \quad$ S)-(E)-3-(4-(Allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(3-(allyloxy)benzyl)-N-(1-(4-(allyloxy)phenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide (6c). A solution of aldehyde $3(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry trifluoroethanol (TFE, 2.5 mL ) and dry ethanol ( 2.5 mL ) was treated with amine $2(221 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and freshly activated powdered $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 62 mg ). The suspension was stirred for 8 h at rt. Then, allylated ferulic acid $5(318 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyl isocyanide ( $154 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. After stirring for 48 h at rt , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ and filtered through a Celite cake. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was taken up in EtOAc and washed
with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to remove excess of 5, and then with brine. Evaporation of the organic phase to dryness, followed by chromatography (PE:EtOAc 7:3) gave pure $\mathbf{6 c}$ as a white foam ( $667 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). Unreacted aldehyde $3(24 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was also recovered. Yield based on unrecovered starting material: $98 \% . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.61$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, $7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (broad s, 1 H), $7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 6.11-5.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.63$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $5.44-5.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.3$, 168.3 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 158.7, 158.6, 149.5, 149.3, 140.5, 128.4, 127.6 (quat.), 143.2 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), 133.1, 133.0, 132.8 $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 131.1(\times 2), 129.3,121.9,118.7,114.8(\times 2), 113.4,112.8,112.4,110.0$ (aromatic CH$), 118.2$, $117.8,117.6\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 116.5(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 69.7,68.7,68.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.9(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $51.6\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 49.5\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 28.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)\right.$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 625.3270\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires 625.3278 .
(R,S)-(E)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(3-(acetoxy)benzyl)-N-(1-(4-(acetoxy)phenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide (7c). A solution of triallyl derivative $\mathbf{6 c}(252 \mathrm{mg}, 404 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{mg}$, $18.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.015 \mathrm{eq}$. calculated on the number of allyl groups), and ammonium formate ( 114 mg , $1.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq. calculated on the number of allyl groups) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a pressure tube was first flushed with argon and then sealed and heated at $81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . A tlc showed that the reaction was complete. The resulting dark solution was diluted with EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The phases were separated and the aqueous one re-extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic phases were washed with brine and evaporated to dryness. The crude was taken up in pyridine ( $1.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and treated with acetic anhydride $(1.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at rt for 3 h . The solution was diluted with EtOAc ( 20 mL ), water ( 20 mL ), and 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, checking that the resulting pH is $<2$ (otherwise more HCl is added). The phases were separated, and the aqueous one re-extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic extracts were washed with brine, evaporated to dryness and chromatographed (PE/EtOAc 60:40 $+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) to give pure 7 c as a white powder ( $221 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.30$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). IR: $v_{\max } 3318,2969,2936,1761,1680,1647,1601,1538$, $1505,1453,1417,1365,1300,1256,1189,1155,1121,1079,1031,1012,977,946,908,869,829,795,750$, $731,697,643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 3.76$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29,2.27,2.25\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.1$, $168.8,168.7,168.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2,150.8,150.7,141.0,140.0,134.0,132.5$ (quat.), 143.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), 130.9 $(\times 2), 129.4,123.5,123.1,121.8(\times 2), 120.9,120.2,119.5,111.4$ (aromatic CH$), 118.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 61.8$
 (ESI+): $631.2664\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires 631.2656.
(R, S)-(E)-N-(2-(tert-Butylamino)-1-(4-(hydroxy)phenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-N-(3-hydroxybenzyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylamide (8c). A 0.2 M solution of MeONa in MeOH is freshly prepared treating MeOH with solid $\mathrm{Na}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}$ per liter of MeOH$)$ under nitrogen. Triacetate $7 \mathrm{c}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ is treated with such solution ( $4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 920 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2$ eq. for each acetyl group). After stirring for 2 h at rt , the solution is treated with dry Amberlyst ${ }^{\circledR} 15(4.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g})$ (freshly thoroughly washed with dry methanol) so that the resulting pH is around $4-5$ (about 200 mg of dry resin). The resin was filtered off, washing with methanol and the resulting filtrate evaporated to dryness, to afford $8 \mathbf{8 c}$, pure enough for biochemical assays ( 80 mg , quantitative). The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $99 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.18$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.07,8.98,8.84(3$ broad s, $3 \times 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OH}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (broad t, 1 H$), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.68$ (broad t, 1 H$), 6.68$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ and 2 aromatic CH$), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
( $R, \quad$ S)-(E)-3-(4-(Allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2-(allyloxy)benzyl)-N-(1-(4-(allyloxy)phenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide (6d). This compound was prepared starting from 194 mg of aldehyde 2, following the same procedure above described for $\mathbf{6 c}$. Pure $\mathbf{6 d}$ was obtained after chromatography with PE/EtOAc 70:30 $+2 \%$ EtOH. Yield: 471 mg ( $63 \%$ ). Also 59 mg of unreacted aldehyde was recovered from the column (yield from unrecovered starting aldehyde $=91 \%$ ). Slightly yellow foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.36(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 70: 30) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (broad s, 1 H$), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.56$ (d, $J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12-5.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.43-5.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CHHAr), $4.60\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.4(\mathrm{~d}), 1.2(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.55-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.78$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.1,168.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 158.4,155.0,149.4$, $149.3,128.6,127.5,120.6$ (quat.), $143.1(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 133.2,133.0,132.9\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 131.0(\times 2), 127.9$, $127.8,121.6,120.6,114.5(\times 2), 112.8,110.9,110.6$ (aromatic CH$), 118.2,117.7,117.4\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 116.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 69.7,68.7(\times 2)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.1(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 44.9\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)\right.$, $28.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 625.3270\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ requires 625.3263.
(R, S)-(E)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2-(acetoxy)benzyl)-N-(1-(4-(acetoxy)phenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide (7d). It was prepared from 438 mg of $\mathbf{6 d}$ following the same procedure employed for 7c. Pure 7d was obtained after chromatography (PE/EtOAc 60:40 + 1\% EtOH) ( 267 mg , $60 \%$ ). White foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.27$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). IR: $v_{\max } 3322,2967,2930,1759,1681,1649,1602,1542$, 1506, 1454, 1418, 1367, 1302, 1259, 1190, 1156, 1122, 1093, 1032, 1011, 980, 951, 907, 826, 751, 664, $645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (broad $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NH}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH})_{3}\right), 2.30$, $2.28,2.27\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.22,169.16,168.8,168.5$, $168.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2,150.7,150.7,147.4,141.0,134.0,132.7$ (quat.), 143.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), $130.8(\times 2), 130.2$, 128.1, 127.5, 126.2, 122.9, $121.9(\times 2), 121.8,111.0$ (aromatic CH$), 117.7(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 61.8(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.8$
 $631.2678\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires 631.2656.
(R,S)-(E)-N-(2-(tert-Butylamino)-1-(4-(hydroxy)phenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(2(hydroxy)benzyl)acrylamide (8d). It was prepared from triacetate $7 \mathrm{c}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 160 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ following the same procedure used for $\mathbf{8 c}$, Yield: 74.7 mg ( $93 \%$ ). The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $92 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.18$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ): $\delta 9.33$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 9.08 (broad s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
(R,S)-(E)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-(acetoxy)phenyl)-2-((4-(acetoxy)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-$N$-benzylacrylamide (7e). Triallyl derivative $6 \mathbf{e}$ was prepared from 97 mg of aldehyde 3 ( $600 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 105 mg of 4-(allyloxy)phenyl isocyanide [25] ( $660 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 154.5 mg of acid $5(660 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $72 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of benzylamine ( $660 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) following the same procedure employed for 7 c . However, after 48 h , both aldehyde 3 and the starting isocyanide were visible at TLC. The reaction was worked out anyway. Chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 60: 40+1 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) gave pure $6 \mathbf{e}(127 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%)$. Starting aldehyde ( 43 mg ) was also recovered. Yield based on non-recovered starting aldehyde $=59 \%$. This triallyl derivative $\mathbf{6 e}$, pure at TLC, was not fully characterized, but directly converted into $7 \mathbf{7}$, following the same procedure described for 7c. Chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 70: 30+3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) gave pure $7 \mathrm{e}(94 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). White foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.50$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50). IR: $v_{\max } 3282,3070,2988,1756,1697,1621,1595,1546,1505,1494,1453$, $1409,1367,1310,1187,1163,1106,1075,1046,1014,966,909,846,757,737,700,675,634,611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh})$, $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29,2.27(\times 2)\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.5,169.1,168.8,168.4$, $168.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2,150.8,146.9,141.0,137.7,135.5,133.8,131.8$ (quat.), 143.7 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), $130.8(\times 2)$, $128.6(\times 2), 127.3,126.2(\times 2), 123.1,121.9(\times 2), 121.8(\times 2), 120.9(\times 2), 120.8,111.5$ (aromatic $C H), 118.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 63.1(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 21.1(\times 2), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}: m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+):$ $651.2371\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires 631.2343.
(R,S)-(E)-N-Benzyl-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-hydroxyphenyl)-amino)-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide ( $8 \mathbf{e}$ ). It was prepared from triacetate $7 \mathbf{e}(110 \mathrm{mg}, 169 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ following the same procedure used for $8 \mathbf{c}$, Yield: $74.2 \mathrm{mg}(84 \%)$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $96 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.17$ ( $\left.\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 50: 50\right)^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 9.14(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.99$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.32$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.96($ broad s, 1 H$), 6.90(\operatorname{broad} \mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78-6.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHAr}), 3.75$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).
(R,S)-(E)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-benzyl-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-isopropyl-2-oxoethyl)acrylamide (7f). Allyl derivative 6 f was prepared from 223.2 mg of acid $5(1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1.1 eq . of benzylamine, isobutyraldehyde and tert-butyl isocyanide, following the same procedure employed for 7c. However, after 48 h , acid 5 was still visible at TLC. The reaction was worked out anyway. Chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 60: 40+1 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) gave pure $\mathbf{6 f}(155 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ) This allyl derivative $\mathbf{6 f}$, pure at TLC, was not fully characterized, but directly converted into 7f, following the same procedure described for 7c. Chromatography (PE/EtOAc 70:30) gave pure $7 \mathrm{f}(103.8 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$. White foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.29$ (PE/AcOEt 70:30). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.35-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.27($ broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.56$ (broad d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.51-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.2,168.8,168.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2$, 140.9, 138.3, 134.1 (quat.), 142.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), $128.6(\times 2), 127.2,126.4(\times 2), 123.1,120.8,111.2$ (aromatic $\mathrm{CH}), 118.8(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 65.6$ (very broad) CHN$), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 48.5 \text { (very broad) }\right) ~\left(\mathrm{CH}^{2}\right)}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 19.7,19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right) . \text { HRMS: } \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+) \text { : }\right.}^{\text {2 }}\right.$ $481.2708\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 481.2702.
(R,S)-(E)-N-benzyl-N-(2-(tert-butylamino)-1-isopropyl-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-acrylamide (8f). Prepared from acetate $7 \mathrm{f}(89.6 \mathrm{mg}, 186 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ following the same procedure used for $8 \mathbf{c}$, Yield: $59.1 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $96 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.32$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; some signals were still rather broad at this temperature): $\delta 8.96$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.41$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.87$ (broad m, 2 H$), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94($ broad d,$J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.37-2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $1.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$.
(R,S)-(E)-N-(2-(4-(Acetoxy)benzyl)-1-isopropyl-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-benzylacrylamide $(7 \mathrm{~g})$. Diallyl derivative 6 g was prepared from 357 mg of acid $5(1.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1 eq. each of benzylamine and isobutyraldehyde and 1.3 eq. of 4-(allyloxy)benzyl isocyanide [32], following the same procedure employed for 7c. The reaction was worked out as usual after 48 h . As in our previous paper [32], the isocyanide was not stripped at high vacuum due to its volatility/lability and thus the actual amount used was slightly lower. Chromatography (PE/EtOAc 60:40) gave pure $\mathbf{6 g}(382 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%)$ This diallyl derivative $\mathbf{6 g}$, pure at TLC, was not fully characterized, but directly converted into $7 \mathbf{g}$, following the same procedure described for 7c. Chromatography (PE/EtOAc 70:30) gave pure 7 g ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ).

White foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.37$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). IR: $v_{\max } 3290,3065,2965,2874,1759,1673,1647,1600,1507$, 1453, 1418, 1367, 1301, 1257, 1213, 1190, 1156, 1121, 1080, 1031, 1013, 972, 941, 908, 830, 731, 697, $645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.31-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, 4.83, 4.77 (AB syst., $J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 4.62 (broad d, $J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 4.43 (dd, $J=14.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ CHHNH), 4.27 (dd, $J=14.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HCHHNH}), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.59-2.43$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 170.1,169.4,168.8$, $168.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2,149.8,141.0$, 137.7, 135.8, 133.9 (quat.), 143.0 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), 128.8 ( $\times 2$ ), 128.7 ( $\times 2$ ), 127.4, $126.4(\times 2$ ), 123.1, 121.7 ( $\times 2$ ), 120.8 , 111.3 (aromatic CH ), $118.4(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 65.8$ (very broad) $(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.2$ (very broad) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$, $20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 19.9,19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z$ (ESI+): $573.2608\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 573.2601.
(R,S)-(E)-N-benzyl-N-(2-(4-hydroxybenzyl)-1-isopropyl-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-acrylamide $(8 \mathrm{~g})$. Prepared from acetate $7 \mathrm{~g}(104.2 \mathrm{mg}, 182 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ following the same procedure used for 8 c , Yield: $71.9 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $96 \% . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; some signals were still rather broad at this temperature): $\delta 9.00$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), $8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, $7.36-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.93(\mathrm{broad} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ and CHN$), 4.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.41-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$.
N-3-(Allyloxy)benzyl formamide. Amine 2, prepared as previously described [25] ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 6.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethyl formate ( 27 mL ) and heated at reflux for 16 h . Evaporation of the solvent gave the title compound in quantitative yield ( 1.289 g ) as a white solid. M.p.: $39.3-41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.12$ (PE/AcOEt $50: 50)$. IR: $v_{\max } 3271,3044,2933,2914,2888,2863,2775,1642,1614,1587,1539,1486,1452,1423,1388$, $1367,1347,1310,1295,1261,1236,1216,1156,1098,1035,992,946,913,884,813,772,730,693,658$, $625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (two conformers A and B in $87: 13$ ratio, are visible): $\delta: \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~A})(\mathrm{s}, 0.87 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}), 8.15$ (B) (d, $J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}), 7.30-7.19(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.77(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.04(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})\left(\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.1,10.5(\mathrm{~d}), 5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{t}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.99(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})($ broad s, NH), $5.28(\mathrm{~A}+$ B) $(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.5(\mathrm{~d}), 1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHH}), 4.56-4.49(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B})\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.44(\mathrm{~A})(\mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.74 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.36(\mathrm{~B})\left(\mathrm{d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.26 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ only the peaks of major conformer are listed): $\delta 161.0,158.9$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 139.1, 129.8 (quat.), $133.0\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 129.8,120.1,114.2$, 113.8 (aromatic CH$), 117.7\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 192.1017$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires 192.1025.

3-(Allyloxy)benzyl isocyanide. N-3-(Allyloxy)benzyl formamide ( $386 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with triethylamine ( $1.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(282 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 3 h at the same temperature, the brown solution was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic extracts were washed with brine, evaporated to dryness and chromatographed ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 95: 5$ ) to give the pure title compound as a colorless liquid. Due to its partial volatility, it was evaporated only at 15 mbar and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yield ( $331 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) is therefore slightly overestimated, since it probably contains few amounts of solvent. Ths isocyanide was directly used for the next Ugi reaction to give $\mathbf{6 h}$ (see below).
(R, S)-(E)-N-(2-(3-(Acetoxy)benzyl)-1-(4-acetoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-(Acetoxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-Nbenzylacrylamide ( $\mathbf{7 h}$ ). Triallyl derivative $\mathbf{6 h}$ was prepared from 243 mg of aldehyde $\mathbf{3}(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, 387 mg of acid 5 ( 1.65 mmol ), 1.1 eq. of benzylamine and and freshly prepared 3-(allyloxy)benzyl isocyanide ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), following the same procedure employed for 7 c . The reaction was worked out as usual after 48 h . Chromatography (PE/EtOAc $60: 40$ ) gave pure $6 \mathrm{~h}(580 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ). Chromatography gave also mg 90 of recovered starting aldehyde 3 . Thus, the yield from unrecovered
starting aldehyde is $93 \%$. This triallyl derivative $\mathbf{6 h}$, pure at TLC, was not fully characterized, but directly converted into 7 h , following the same procedure described for $\mathbf{7 c}$. Chromatography (PE/EtOAc 40:60) gave pure 7h ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ). White foam. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.51$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50). IR: $v_{\max } 3297,3064,2938$, 1759, 1677, 1648, 1601, 1506, 1451, 1418, 1367, 1299, 1257, 1189, 1155, 1121, 1081, 1014, 976, 956, 907, 829, $793,731,696,635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, 6.33 (broad $\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.89,4.65\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., $\left.J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 4.51, 4.46 ( AB part of an ABX syst, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=14.6, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AX}}=5.0, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{BX}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.5,169.4$, 169.1, 168.8, $168.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.1,150.8,141.0,139.7,137.8,134.0,132.2$ (quat.), 143.2 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}$ ), 131.0 $(\times 2), 129.6,128.6(\times 2), 127.1,126.2(\times 2), 125.1,123.0,121.9(\times 2), 120.84,120.81,120.6,111.3$ (aromatic $\mathrm{CH}), 118.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 62.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 50.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 21.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 665.2522\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ requires 665.2499.
(R,S)-(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(2-(3-(hydroxy)benzyl)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl) acrylamide ( 8 h ). Prepared from acetate $7 \mathrm{~h}(100.0 \mathrm{mg}, 150 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ following the same procedure used for 8c. Yield: $76.2 \mathrm{mg}(94 \%)$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $94 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.32$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 60: 40$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) (some signals were still rather broad at this temperature): $\delta 9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.27$ (broad s, 1 H$), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, $7.25-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.14 (broad s, CHN ), $4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHPh}), 4.26,4.21$ (AB part of an ABX syst, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=15.2, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{AX}}=5.9, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{BX}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
$N$-Benzyl- $N$-(2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxo-1-phenylethyl)cinnamamide (9). Benzaldehyde ( $153 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cinnamic acid ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl isocyanide ( $185 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.65 mmol ) were reacted as described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{6 c}$. Chromatography (PE: AcOEt 75:25) gave pure 9 as a white foam ( $571 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.36$ (PE/EtOAc 75:25). IR: $v_{\max } 3316,3063,3030$, 2971, 2926, 1650, 1596, 1547, 1496, 1470, 1450, 1411, 1392, 1363, 1351, 1331, 1303, 1284, 1253, 1220, 1201, 1189, 1174, 1078, 1032, 997, 976, 947, 915, 892, 860, 841, 804, 768, 758, 740, 723, 695, 642, 622, $615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.43-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 7.01$ (broad d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CH}), 6.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.93,4.69$ (AB syst., $\left.J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 168.9,168.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 138.2, 135.3, 135.1 (quat.), 143.7 (CH=CHCO), $129.7(\times 3), 128.7(\times 2), 128.6(\times 2), 128.3(\times 3), 127.9,126.9$ $(\times 2), 126.2(\times 2)($ aromatic CH$), 118.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 62.9(\mathrm{CHN}), 51.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 49.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 28.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 427.2378\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires 427.2386.
( $\mathrm{E} \quad$ )-N-(1-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl))-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-((S)-1phenylethyl)acrylamide (12a) and (E)-N-(1-(4-Acetoxyphenyl)-2-(tert-butylamino)-2-oxoethyl))-3-(4-acetoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-((S)-1-phenylethyl)acrylamide (12b). Aldehyde 3 ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), protected ferulic acid 5 ( $387 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $(S)-\alpha$-methylbenzylamine ( $212.7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl isocyanide $(185 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted as described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{6 c}$. Chromatography (PE/EtOAc 70:30) gave: the faster running diastereomer 11a ( 182.1 mg ) ( $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.27, \mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 70: 30$ ), the slower running diastereomer $\mathbf{1 1 b}(190.4 \mathrm{mg})\left(\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.19, \mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAct} 70: 30\right)$, and some mixed fractions ( 37.6 mg ). A second chromatography of the mixed fractions gave additional 11a ( 21.7 mg ) and 11b ( 15.9 mg ). Overall yield: $420.1 \mathrm{mg}(44 \%)$. Diastereomeric ratio $=50: 50$. The realtive configuration was not established. Diallyl derivatives 11a and 11b, pure at TLC, were not fully characterized, but directly independently converted into $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, following the same procedure described for $\mathbf{7 c}$.

12a. Obtained in $45 \%$ yield ( 96.3 mg from 212.1 mg of 11a) after chromatography with PE/EtOAc 60:40). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). IR: $v_{\max } 3300,2965,2930,1768,1689,1644,1603,1547,1508,1452,1434,1416$, $1389,1368,1337,1260,1193,1152,1123,1034,1014,978,946,909,883,846,828,794 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (due to the presence of conformers around the tertiary amide, the signals are rather broad
and splitting of some signal is present): $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.64-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO})$, 6.59 (minor conformer) and 5.43 (major conformer) ( 2 very broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.53-1.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ and $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ (minor conformer)), $0.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right.$, major conformer). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.2,168.8,168.3$, 167.6 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 151.1, 150.0, 142.7, 141.0, 140.9, 134.0, 133.3 (quat.), $142.7(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 129.7(\times 2), 129.0$ $(\times 2), 128.6,127.9(\times 2), 123.0,121.7,121.1(\times 2), 111.3$ (aromatic CH$), 119.9(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 63.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $59.7(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.5(\mathrm{CHPh}), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 21.1,20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 16.3\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ESI+): $587.2774\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 587.2757.

12b. Obtained in $67 \%$ yield ( 145.5 mg from 216.5 mg of 11a) after chromatography with PE/EtOAc $60: 40$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.30$ (PE/EtOAc 60:40). IR: $v_{\max } 3300,2970,2936,1761,1685,1646,1600,1545,1506,1452$, $1431,1417,1393,1366,1339,1260,1191,1154,1121,1031,1012,975,945,908,882,844,827,792 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ (due to the presence of conformers around the tertiary amide, the signals are rather broad and splitting of some signal is present): $\delta 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.50-7.12$ ( m , $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.38\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.05$ $(\mathrm{CHN}), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 1.92-1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, $1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.5,169.1,168.8,167.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 151.2,150.0,140.9,139.9$, $134.2,133.9$ (quat.), $142.6(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 129.7(\times 2), 128.5(\times 2), 127.8,127.3(\times 2), 123.1,121.4(\times 2), 120.7$ $(\times 2), 111.2($ aromatic CH$), 119.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 64.2(\mathrm{CHN}), 60.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 55.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ and CHPh$), 28.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 21.1, $20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right), 16.3\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 587.2768\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ requires 587.2757.
(E )-N-(2-(tert-Butylamino)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl))-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-((S)-1phenylethyl)acrylamide (13a) and (E)-N-(2-(tert-Butylamino)-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoethyl))-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-((S)-1-phenylethyl)acrylamide (13b). They were independently prepared respectively from diacetates $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 b}$, following the same procedure used for $\mathbf{8 c}$.
13a. Yield: $90 \%$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $94 \% . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.29$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.09$ (broad s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}\right), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic CH and $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.81-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic CH$)$, $6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 5.79\left(\mathrm{broad} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $1.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

13b. Yield: $85 \%$. The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $98 \% \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.34$ (PE/EtOAc 50:50). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ): $\delta 9.02$ (broad s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 7.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.41-7.16 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic CH and $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81-6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, aromatic CH$), 6.60$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 5.68\left(\right.$ broad m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN})$, $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
(R,S)-(E)-3-(4-(Allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-benzyl-N-(1-(tert-butyl)-2-oxoazetidin-3-yl)acrylamide (16a). A solution of glycolaldehyde dimer ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 1.125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ethanol $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was treated with benzylamine ( $246 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and freshly activated powdered $3 \AA$ molecular sieves ( 115 mg ). The suspension was stirred for 6 h at rt. Then, allylated ferulic acid $5(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyl isocyanide ( $220 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. After stirring for 48 h at rt , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1$ and filtered through a Celite cake. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude was taken up in EtOAc and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to remove excess of 5 , and then with brine. Evaporation of the organic phase to dryness, followed by chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 50: 50+2 \%$ EtOH ) gave pure 15a as a brownish foam ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ). This compound ( $277 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was taken up in dry DMF ( 2.0 mL ) cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with sulfonyl diimidazole ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil) ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for 2.5 h at rt , the mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DCM} 20: 1$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous one re-extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DCM} 20: 1$. The organic phases were washed with brine $(\times 4)$,
evaporated to dryness and chromatographed ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 3: 2+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) to give pure $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ as a yellowish oil ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.49(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 50: 50+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.41-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.06\left(\mathrm{ddt}, J=5.4(\mathrm{t}), 10.6,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{~d}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.40(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.6$ (d), $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHH}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.30(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.6$ (d), $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHH}=\mathrm{CH}), 4.97$ (broad s, 1 H , $\mathrm{CHN}), 4.86,4.78\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., $\left.J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.62\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz} ., \mathrm{CHHCO}), 3.18($ broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHCO}), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.7,164.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 149.8,149.4,137.6,128.2$ (quat.), $144.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 132.8\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $128.9(\times 2), 127.8,126.7(\times 2), 121.9,112.9,110.4(\operatorname{aromatic} \mathrm{CH}), 118.3\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 114.7(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 69.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 61.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 53.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.7\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 27.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) .\right.}\right.$ HRMS: $m / z\left(\right.$ ESI + ): $449.2443\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 449.2440.
(R,S)-(E)-3-(4-(Allyloxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-allyloxyphenyl)-2-oxoazetidin-3-yl)-N-benzyl-acrylamide (16b). Prepared following the same procedure above described for 16a. Starting from 350 mg of allylated ferulic acid $5(1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, pure $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ was obtained after chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ from $50: 50+1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ to $50: 50+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) as yellow-green oil ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ). Then, this alcohol ( 210 mg , 0.40 mmol ) was converted into 16b as described for 16a. Chromatography: PE/EtOAc from 75:25 + $2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ to $50: 50+4 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. Yield: $139 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \% . \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.35$ (PE/EtOAc 70:30 $+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.40-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.04\left(\mathrm{ddt}, J=5.4(\mathrm{t}), 10.6,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{~d}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 6.03 (ddt, $\left.J=5.4(\mathrm{t}), 10.6,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{~d}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.39(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.4(\mathrm{~d}), 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHH}=\mathrm{CH})$, $5.30(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.6(\mathrm{~d}), 0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHH}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.28(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.6(\mathrm{~d}), 0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{q}), 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHH}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.25$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.83$ (broad s, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.62\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.4(\mathrm{~d}), 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{t}), 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.50\left(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.3(\mathrm{~d}), 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{t}), 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHHCO})$, 3.63 (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHCO}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.7,162.9$ ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 155.2, 149.9, 149.4, 137.1, 131.8, 128.0 (quat.), $144.6(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 133.1,132.7\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 129.0(\times 2), 127.9,126.6(\times 2), 122.1,117.9$ $(\times 2), 115.3(\times 2), 112.9,110.3$ (aromatic CH$), 118.3,117.7\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 114.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 69.7,69.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.6(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 45.7\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)\right.$. HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 525.2398$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 525.2389.
(R,S)-(E)-N-Benzyl-N-(1-(tert-butyl)-2-oxoazetidin-3-yl)-3-(4-(hydroxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-acrylamide (17a). A solution of allyl derivative 16a ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 187 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.015 \mathrm{eq}$. calculated on the number of allyl groups), and ammonium formate ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 280 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ eq. calculated on the number of allyl groups) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a pressure tube was first flushed with argon and then sealed and heated at $81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . A tlc showed that the reaction was complete. The resulting dark solution was diluted with EtOAc and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The phases were separated and the aqueous one re-extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic phases were washed with brine and evaporated to dryness. Chromatography ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 2: 3+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ ) gave pure 17 a as a white foam ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $>99 \%$. $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ $0.30(\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 50: 50+2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.41-7.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ not measurable, 1 H$), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.96$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}), 4.84,4.77\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ syst., $\left.J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz} ., \mathrm{CHHCO}), 3.18($ broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHCO}), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.8,164.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 147.7,146.7,137.6,127.5$ (quat.), $144.3(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 128.9$ $(\times 2), 127.8,126.7(\times 2), 122.3,114.8,109.9(\operatorname{aromatic} \mathrm{CH}), 114.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 61.3(\mathrm{CHN}), 56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $53.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 51.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.7\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 27.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)\right.$. HRMS: $m / z(\mathrm{ESI}+): 409.2133\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires 409.2127 .
(R,S)-(E)-N-Benzyl-3-(4-(hydroxy)-3-methoxyphenyl)-N-(1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-oxoazetidin-3-yl)acrylamide $\mathbf{( 1 7 b})$. It was prepared from $\mathbf{1 6 b}(93 \mathrm{mg}, 177 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, following the same procedure described above for 17a, but in this case the workup was only the evaporation to dryness due to the low solubility of the product. Chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} 100: 4$ ) gave pure $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ as a white solid ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ).

The purity by HPLC (for conditions see the general remarks) was $99 \% \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.42$ ( $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc} 40: 60+$ $2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}),(7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 7.39-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 6.81-6.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.26$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHN}$ ), 4.92, 4.77 (AB syst., $\left.J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHHCO}), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.64-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHHCO})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 166.7,163.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 153.4,148.8,147.7,138.5,130.6,126.2$ (quat.), 143.3 $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 128.6(\times 2), 127.2,126.6(\times 2), 122.6,117.4(\times 2), 115.4(\times 3), 111.2$ (aromatic CH$), 114.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCO}), 63.2(\mathrm{CHN}), 55.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 44.8\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)\right.$. HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{ESI}+): 445.1766$ $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires 445.1763.

### 3.3. Thioflavin Experiments

One milliliter of DMSO was added to 1 mg of lyophilized synthetic peptide ( $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42, \mathrm{~A} \beta \mathrm{pE} 3-42$, AnaSpec, Fremont, CA, USA), to reach a final concentration of $1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$. Aliquots of $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ were lyophilized and stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until being used. For all experiments, stock peptides were reconstituted as reported [37]. For the preparation of the working samples, a stock solution of each peptide was divided into two or more aliquots. One was diluted to $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in PBS containing $1 \%(v / v)$ DMSO to have a reference sample, and the others were diluted in PBS containing the appropriate quantity of polyphenol stock solution in DMSO in such a manner that each sample contains $1 \%$ of DMSO. The final pH was measured and eventually corrected to 7.4 using a few $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of 1 M HCl . $\mathrm{A} \beta$ peptides $(5 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence/absence of polyphenols as previously described and analyzed in parallel. ThT fluorescence was followed in time during aggregation. For this purpose, $47.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{A} \beta$ with and without test compounds were mixed with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ThT $(400 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in a 3 mm path length fluorescence cuvette. ThT fluorescence was measured by using a luminescence spectrometer (LS50B, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) at excitation and emission wavelengths of 440 nm (slit width $=5 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and 482 nm (slit width $=10 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), respectively. ThT fluorescence data were plotted as a function of time and fitted by a sigmoidal curve described by the following equation: [38] y $=y_{i}+\frac{y_{f-} y_{i}}{1+e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) k_{f i b}}}$ where $y_{i}$ and $y_{f}$ are the initial and final ThT fluorescence, respectively and $k_{f i b}$ is the fibril growing rate, $t$ is time and $t_{0}$ is the time to $50 \%$ of maximal fluorescence. The lag time ( T ) is derived as $t_{0}-2 / k_{\text {fib }}$.

## 4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the present study has demonstrated that replacement of the various pharmacophores in our previous leads may be critical, only small changes being permitted. A new possible hit has been selected from the second-generation library produced during this work. From the synthetic point of view, the feasibility of the overall strategy was again demonstrated, as well as the possibility to use the Ugi reaction with glycolaldehyde dimer for the synthesis of $\beta$-lactam-polyphenol hybrids. Due to the wide range of biological activities of polyphenols, we plan to investigate the many compounds synthesized through this strategy on other biological targets or in phenotypic assays. Studies towards this goal are in progress.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online: copies of all NMR spectra.
Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.B., C.D. and C.L.; Data curation, D.G., C.D., R.R. and C.L.; Formal analysis, G.B., C.L. and D.L.; Funding acquisition, L.B. and C.D.; Investigation, G.B., D.L., L.M. and C.L.; Methodology, D.G., A.B., L.M. and C.L.; Resources, L.B., A.B. and R.R.; Supervision, D.G., L.B., C.D. R.R. and C.L.; Writing-original draft, L.B. and C.L.; Writing-review \& editing, D.G., C.D., L.M. and R.R.

Funding: This study is supported by Fondazione Cariplo, under the "Integrated Biotechnology and Bioeconomy" programme.

Acknowledgments: We thank Valeria Rocca for HPLC analyses and Andrea Armirotti for HRMS.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Abbas, M.; Saeed, F.; Anjum, F.M.; Afzaal, M.; Tufail, T.; Bashir, M.S.; Ishtiaq, A.; Hussain, S.; Suleria, H.A.R. Natural polyphenols: An overview. Int. J. Food Prop. 2017, 20, 1689-1699. [CrossRef]
2. Ganesan, K.; Xu, B.J. A critical review on polyphenols and health benefits of black soybeans. Nutrients 2017, 9, 17.
3. Quideau, S.; Deffieux, D.; Douat-Casassus, C.; Pouysegu, L. Plant polyphenols: Chemical properties, biological activities, and synthesis. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2011, 50, 586-621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. De Lucia, D.; Lucio, O.M.; Musio, B.; Bender, A.; Listing, M.; Dennhardt, S.; Koeberle, A.; Garscha, U.; Rizzo, R.; Manfredini, S.; et al. Design, synthesis and evaluation of semi-synthetic triazole-containing caffeic acid analogues as 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 101, 573-583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Imai, K.; Nakanishi, I.; Ohkubo, K.; Ohba, Y.; Arai, T.; Mizuno, M.; Fukuzumi, S.; Matsumoto, K.; Fukuhara, K. Synthesis of methylated quercetin analogues for enhancement of radical-scavenging activity. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 17968-17979. [CrossRef]
6. Khandelwal, A.; Hall, J.A.; Blagg, B.S.J. Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of EGCG analogues, a recently identified Hsp90 inhibitor. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7859-7884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Benchekroun, M.; Romero, A.; Egea, J.; Leon, R.; Michalska, P.; Buendia, I.; Jimeno, M.L.; Jun, D.; Janockova, J.; Sepsova, V.; et al. The antioxidant additive approach for Alzheimer's disease therapy: New ferulic (lipoic) acid plus melatonin modified tacrines as cholinesterases inhibitors, direct antioxidants, and nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 activators. J. Med. Chem. 2016, 59, 9967-9973. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Minassi, A.; Cicione, L.; Koeberle, A.; Bauer, J.; Laufer, S.; Werz, O.; Appendino, G. A multicomponent carba-betti strategy to alkylidene heterodimers-Total synthesis and structure-activity relationships of arzanol. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 772-779. [CrossRef]
9. Montanari, S.; Bartolini, M.; Neviani, P.; Belluti, F.; Gobbi, S.; Pruccoli, L.; Tarozzi, A.; Falchi, F.; Andrisano, V.; Miszta, P.; et al. Multitarget strategy to address Alzheimer's disease: Design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and computational studies of coumarin-based derivatives. ChemMedChem 2016,11,1296-1308. [CrossRef]
10. Vo, D.D.; Elofsson, M. Synthesis of 4-formyl-2-arylbenzofuran derivatives by $\mathrm{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{dppb}$-catalyzed tandem sonogashira coupling-cyclization under microwave irradiation-Application to the synthesis of viniferifuran analogues. ChemistrySelect 2017, 2, 6245-6248. [CrossRef]
11. Zhang, Z.; Su, P.; Li, X.; Song, T.; Chai, G.; Yu, X.; Zhang, K. Novel Mcl-1/Bcl-2 uual inhibitors created by the structure-based hybridization of drug-divided building blocks and a fragment deconstructed from a known two-face BH3 mimetic. Arch. Pharm. 2015, 348, 89-99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Tassano, E.; Alama, A.; Basso, A.; Dondo, G.; Galatini, A.; Riva, R.; Banfi, L. Conjugation of hydroxytyrosol with other natural phenolic fragments: From waste to antioxidants and antitumour compounds. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 6710-6726. [CrossRef]
13. Espley, R.V.; Butts, C.A.; Laing, W.A.; Martell, S.; Smith, H.; McGhie, T.K.; Zhang, J.; Paturi, G.; Hedderley, D.; Bovy, A.; et al. Dietary flavonoids from modified apple reduce inflammation markers and modulate gut microbiota in mice. J. Nutr. 2014, 144, 146-154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Daglia, M.; Di Lorenzo, A.; Nabavi, S.F.; Talas, Z.S.; Nabavi, S.M. Polyphenols: Well beyond the antioxidant capacity: Gallic acid and related compounds as neuroprotective agents: You are what you eat! Curr. Pharm. Biotech. 2014, 15, 362-372. [CrossRef]
15. Fresco, P.; Borges, F.; Marques, M.P.M.; Diniz, C. The anticancer properties of dietary polyphenols and its relation with apoptosis. Curr. Pharm. Design 2010, 16, 114-134. [CrossRef]
16. Coppo, E.; Marchese, A. Antibacterial activity of polyphenols. Curr. Pharm. Biotech. 2014, 15, 380-390. [CrossRef]
17. Bahadoran, Z.; Mirmiran, P.; Azizi, F. Dietary polyphenols as potential nutraceuticals in management of diabetes: A review. J. Diabetes Metab. Disord. 2013, 12, 43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Ngoungoure, V.L.N.; Schluesener, J.; Moundipa, P.F.; Schluesener, H. Natural polyphenols binding to amyloid: A broad class of compounds to treat different human amyloid diseases. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2015, 59, 8-20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Liu, Y.; Wang, S.H.; Dong, S.Z.; Chang, P.; Jiang, Z.F. Structural characteristics of (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibiting amyloid A beta 42 aggregation and remodeling amyloid fibers. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 62402-62413. [CrossRef]
20. Pate, K.M.; Rogers, M.; Reed, J.W.; van der Munnik, N.; Vance, S.Z.; Moss, M.A. Anthoxanthin polyphenols attenuate a oligomer-induced neuronal responses associated with Alzheimer's disease. CNS Neurosci. Therap. 2017, 23, 135-144. [CrossRef]
21. Randino, R.; Grimaldi, M.; Persico, M.; De Santis, A.; Cini, E.; Cabri, W.; Riva, A.; D'Errico, G.; Fattorusso, C.; D'Ursi, A.M.; et al. Investigating the neuroprotective effects of turmeric extract: Structural interactions of beta-amyloid peptide with single curcuminoids. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 38846. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
22. Over, B.; Wetzel, S.; Gruetter, C.; Nakai, Y.; Renner, S.; Rauh, D.; Waldmann, H. Natural-product-derived fragments for fragment-based ligand discovery. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 21-28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Banfi, L.; Riva, R.; Basso, A. Coupling isocyanide-based multicomponent reactions with aliphatic or acyl nucleophilic substitution processes. Synlett 2010, 23-41. [CrossRef]
24. Banfi, L.; Basso, A.; Lambruschini, C.; Moni, L.; Riva, R. Synthesis of seven-membered nitrogen heterocycles through the Ugi multicomponent reaction. Chem. Heterocycl. Comp. 2017, 53, 382-408. [CrossRef]
25. Lambruschini, C.; Galante, D.; Moni, L.; Ferraro, F.; Gancia, G.; Riva, R.; Traverso, A.; Banfi, L.; D’Arrigo, C. Multicomponent, fragment-based synthesis of polyphenol-containing peptidomimetics and their inhibiting activity on beta-amyloid oligomerization. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 9331-9351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Tomaselli, S.; Balducci, C.; Pagano, K.; Galante, D.; D'Arrigo, C.; Lambruschini, L.; Moni, L.; Banfi, L.; Molinari, H.; Forloni, G.; et al. Biophysical and in vivo studies identify a new natural-based polyphenol, counteracting $A \beta$ oligomerization in vitro and $A \beta$ oligomer-mediated memory impairment and neuroinflammation in an acute mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2019. submitted.
27. Banfi, L.; Basso, A.; Guanti, G.; Lecinska, P.; Riva, R. Multicomponent synthesis of benzoxazinones via tandem Ugi/Mitsunobu reactions: An unexpected cine-substitution. Mol. Div. 2008, 12, 187-190. [CrossRef]
28. Kim, Y.B.; Choi, E.H.; Keum, G.; Kang, S.B.; Lee, D.H.; Koh, H.Y.; Kim, Y.S. An efficient synthesis of morpholin-2-one derivatives using glycolaldehyde dimer by the Ugi multicomponent reaction. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4149-4152. [CrossRef]
29. Mossetti, R.; Pirali, T.; Tron, G.C. Synthesis of passerini-Ugi hybrids by a four-component reaction using the glycolaldehyde dimer. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4890-4892. [CrossRef]
30. Hanessian, S.; Couture, C.; Wiss, H. Design and reactivity of organic functional groups-Utility of imidazolylsulfonates in the synthesis of monobactams and 3-amino nocardicinic acid. Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 3613. [CrossRef]
31. Hanessian, S.; McNaughton-Smith, G.; Lombart, H.-G.; Lubell, W.D. Design and synthesis of conformationally constrained amino acids as versatile scaffolds and peptide mimetics. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12789-12854. [CrossRef]
32. Lambruschini, C.; Basso, A.; Moni, L.; Pinna, A.; Riva, R.; Banfi, L. Bicyclic heterocycles from levulinic acid through a fast and operationally simple diversity-oriented multicomponent approach. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 5445-5455. [CrossRef]
33. Banfi, L.; Basso, A.; Guanti, G.; Kielland, N.; Repetto, C.; Riva, R. Ugi multicomponent reaction followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic substitution: Convergent multicomponent synthesis of 1-sulfonyl 1,4-diazepan-5-ones and of their benzo-fused derivatives. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 2151-2160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Galante, D.; Ruggeri, F.S.; Dietler, G.; Pellistri, F.; Gatta, E.; Corsaro, A.; Florio, T.; Perico, A.; D'Arrigo, C. A critical concentration of N -terminal pyroglutamylated amyloid beta drives the misfolding of Ab1-42 into more toxic aggregates. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2016, 79, 261-270. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Ding, F.; Borreguero, J.M.; Buldyrey, S.V.; Stanley, H.E.; Dokholyan, N.V. Mechanism for the alpha-helix to beta-hairpin transition. Proteins 2003, 53, 220-228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
36. Sanguinetti, M.; Sanfilippo, S.; Castagnolo, D.; Sanglard, D.; Posteraro, B.; Donzellini, G.; Botta, M. Novel macrocyclic amidinoureas: Potent non-azole antifungals active against wild-type and resistant Candida species. Acs Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 852-857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Galante, D.; Corsaro, A.; Florio, T.; Vella, S.; Pagano, A.; Sbrana, F.; Vassalli, M.; Perico, A.; D'Arrigo, C. Differential toxicity, conformation and morphology of typical initial aggregation states of A beta 1-42 and A beta py3-42 beta-amyloids. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2012, 44, 2085-2093. [CrossRef]
38. Nielsen, L.; Khurana, R.; Coats, A.; Frokjaer, S.; Brange, J.; Vyas, S.; Uversky, V.N.; Fink, A.L. Effect of environmental factors on the kinetics of insulin fibril formation: Elucidation of the molecular mechanism. Biochemistry 2001, 40, 6036-6046. [CrossRef]

Sample Availability: No sample of compounds reported in this paper is available from the authors.

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

