

## THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

### Edinburgh Research Explorer

# Quantitative modelling predicts the impact of DNA methylation on RNA polymerase II traffic

### Citation for published version:

Cholewa-Waclaw, J, Shah, R, Webb, S, Chhatbar, K, Ramsahoye, B, Pusch, O, Yu, M, Greulich, P, Waclaw, B & Bird, A 2019, 'Quantitative modelling predicts the impact of DNA methylation on RNA polymerase II traffic', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903549116

### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1073/pnas.1903549116

### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

Published In: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Quantitative modelling predicts the impact of DNA methylation on RNA polymerase II traffic

Justyna Cholewa-Waclaw<sup>1</sup>, Ruth Shah<sup>1</sup>, Shaun Webb<sup>1</sup>, Kashyap Chhatbar<sup>1</sup>, Bernard Ramsahoye<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Pusch<sup>2</sup>, Miao Yu<sup>3</sup>, Philip Greulich<sup>4</sup>, Bartlomiej Waclaw<sup>1</sup>, Adrian P Bird<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Edinburgh, <sup>2</sup>Medical University of Vienna, <sup>3</sup>Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research, La Jolla, <sup>4</sup>University of Southampton

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Patterns of gene expression are primarily determined by proteins that locally enhance or repress transcription. While many transcription factors target a restricted number of genes, others appear to modulate transcription levels globally. An example is MeCP2, an abundant methylated-DNA binding protein that is mutated in the neurological disorder Rett Syndrome. Despite much research, the molecular mechanism by which MeCP2 regulates gene expression is not fully resolved. Here we integrate quantitative, multidimensional experimental analysis and mathematical modelling to indicate that MeCP2 is a novel type of global transcriptional regulator whose binding to DNA creates "slow sites" in gene bodies. We hypothesise that waves of slowed-down RNA polymerase II formed behind these sites travel backward and indirectly affect initiation, reminiscent of defect-induced shock waves in non-equilibrium physics transport models. This mechanism differs from conventional gene regulation mechanisms, which often involve direct modulation of transcription initiation. Our findings point to a genome-wide function of DNA methylation that may account for the reversibility of Rett syndrome in mice. Moreover, our combined theoretical and experimental approach provides a general method for understanding how global gene expression patterns are choreographed.

MeCP2 | Gene regulation | Mathematical modelling | DNA methylation

### Introduction

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Many eukaryotic chromatin-associated factors modulate transcription by binding to specific sites in gene promoters or enhancers (1, 2). Most transcription factors are thought to modulate the initiation rate of transcription by altering histone-DNA interactions (2, 3) or imposing promoter-proximal obstacles (4). However, transcription can also be affected by processes that occur in the bodies of genes. In particular, DNA methylation, which is widespread in gene bodies, appears to affect progression of RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II) through densely methylated exons (5). The mechanism is unclear, but methyl-CpG binding proteins (6) may be involved. Since most gene bodies contain methylated CpGs, such proteins may have a global effect on transcription.

One putative global modulator is methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2) (7, 8), which is highly expressed in neurons. *MECP2* mutations, including loss-of-function or gene duplication, lead to severe neurological disorders (9, 10). MeCP2 does not behave as a conventional transcription factor with discrete targets, as its binding site occurs on average every  $\sim$ 100 base pairs. Evidence from *in vitro* systems (11, 12) and mouse models (13, 14) suggests that MeCP2 can mediate DNA methylationdependent transcriptional inhibition. Transcriptional changes in mouse brain when MeCP2 is absent or over-expressed are relatively subtle but widespread (15-17), and the molecular mechanisms underlying these changes are unknown.

Here we set out to resolve the mechanism of MeCP2dependent transcriptional regulation. Because MeCP2 binding sites occur in the vast majority of genes, we reasoned that most are likely to be influenced to some extent by its presence. To confront the technical and analytical challenges posed by modest changes in expression of large numbers of genes, we adopted a quantitative approach that combined deep, high quality datasets obtained from a uniform population of Lund Human Mesencephalic (LUHMES)-derived human dopaminergic neurons (18) with computational modelling. We created a spectrum of LUHMES cell lines expressing distinct levels of MeCP2. Using transposase-accessible chromatin sequencing (ATAC-seq) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) together with mathematical modelling, we detected a robust footprint of MeCP2 binding to mCG and mCA in vivo and determined the amount of MeCP2 bound to DNA. Quantification of mRNA abundance by RNA-seq revealed a relationship between changes in transcription and the density of mCG on gene bodies. To explain this observation, we proposed and tested several distinct mechanistic models. The only model consistent with our experimental results is one in which MeCP2 leads to slowing down of RNA polymerase II progression through a transcription unit. Importantly, mutant MeCP2 that is unable to bind the TBL1/TBLR1 subunits of the NCoR co-repressor complex fails to repress efficiently, suggesting that repression depends upon this interaction.

### Results

**Global changes in transcription correlate with MeCP2 expression level.** We created progenitor cell lines capable of differentiation to a uniform population of human neurons (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A-C) that expressed seven widely different levels of MeCP2, including knock-out (KO), wild-type (WT) and 11-

### Significance

Patterns of gene expression are primarily determined by proteins that locally enhance or repress transcription. While many transcription factors target a restricted number of genes, others appear to modulate transcription levels globally. An example is MeCP2, an abundant methylated-DNA binding protein that is mutated in the neurological disorder Rett Syndrome. Despite much research, the molecular mechanism by which MeCP2 regulates gene expression is not fully resolved. Here we integrate quantitative, multi-dimensional experimental analysis and mathematical modelling to indicate that MeCP2 is a novel type of global transcriptional regulator whose binding to DNA creates "slow sites" in gene bodies. Our combined theoretical and experimental approach provides a general method for understanding how gene expression patterns are choreographed.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes** 





Fig. 1. Gene expression strongly correlates with gene body mCG density and MeCP2 abundance. (A) Experimental design (Methods). (B) Mean number of MeCP2 molecules per nucleus. (C) Log-2 fold change of gene expression (Log2FC) relative to appropriate controls (ctr – unmodified controls; SCR – scrambled shRNA control, OE ctr – overexpression control) for all seven levels of MeCP2, plotted against gene body mCG density. All Log2FC values have been shifted so that Log2FC averaged over all genes is zero. Black line indicates the maximum slope. (D) The maximum slope for gene bodies varies proportionally to MeCP2 abundance. (E) Ratio between luciferase expressions from an unmethylated and gene-body methylated constructs, for three cases: no MeCP2, WT MeCP2, and an MBD mutant R111G that is unable to bind mCG. Points show individual replicates. In all panels, error bars represent +/- SEM.

fold over-expression (11x) (Fig. 1A,B and SI Appendix, Fig. S1D and Table S1). All lines differentiated into neurons with similar kinetics, expressed neuronal markers (SI Appendix, Fig. S1E), and had identical global levels of DNA methylation ( $\sim$ 3.7% of all cytosines were methylated) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Based on the known affinity of MeCP2 for methylated CG (mCG), we expected that the effect of MeCP2 on gene expression would depend on their mCG content. DNA methylation was therefore quantified for all genes in WT neurons using whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (TAB-seq) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2B,C). We calculated total methylation (total mCG,  $N_{mcc}$ ) as the number of methylated CG dinucleotides, mCG density  $(p_{mCG})$  as the number of mCGs per 100 bp, and mCG mean as the percentage of mCG in all CG dinucleotides. To determine the effects of MeCP2 on transcription, we performed RNA-seq on all seven cell lines. We included all expressed protein-coding genes (~ 17000 genes) in our analysis. Most genes responded to MeCP2 but changes



**Fig. 2. MeCP2 occupancy on the DNA is proportional to mCG density and MeCP2 level.** (A) MeCP2 ChIP- and ATAC-seq experimental procedures and their *in silico* counterparts. and are probabilities of background and MeCP2bound reads, respectively. Tn5 insertion sites (scissors) occur in exposed DNA regions. (B) ChIP-seq enrichment profiles centred at mCG dinucleotides for different cell lines. Black lines represent *in silico* profiles fitted to the experimental data. (C) MeCP2 ChIP-seq enrichment data in OE 11x/KO (red) as a function of mCG density. (D) Average depletion profiles (logarithm of the ratio between the number of Tn5 insertions in a given cell line and KO1, 2-4 biological replicates) in the +/-100 bp regions surrounding mCG dinucleotides. Black lines represent computer simulations of the model fitted to the data. (E) Predicted fraction of mCGs occupied by MeCP2 versus MeCP2 level obtained from depletion profiles in (D). Error bars represent +/- SEM.

were small, precluding definition of a subset of affected genes (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). To enhance a possible relationship between expression changes and DNA methylation that otherwise might be obscured by other regulatory mechanisms and statistical noise, genes were binned according to methylation density, considering gene bodies and promoters separately.

The average change in expression versus appropriate con-265 trols (Log2FC) showed a strong relationship to mCG density 266  $(p_{mCC})$  in gene bodies (Fig. 1C). The effect was the strongest for 267  $\rho_{mcc}=0.8-4.0$  mCG per 100bp which includes the vast majority 268 of genes (SI Appendix, Fig. \$3B). The apparent stimulation of 269 expression at very low mCG densities in OE neurons is discussed 270 in SI Appendix. Moreover, the maximum slope of the Log2FC 271 versus  $\rho_{mcc}$  in gene bodies (Fig. 1C, black lines) was strikingly 272

Footline Author

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263



273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

Fig. 3. MeCP2 does not regulate transcription via condensation of chromatin or premature termination. (A) A cartoon of the Condensation model. Tangles represent regions of condensed chromatin that are inaccessible to RNA Pol II. (B) Chromatin accessibility (measured by ATAC-seq) at promoters rapidly decreases with increasing promoter methylation. In contrast, MeCP2 has a minor effect on accessibility (curves for OEs 4x and 11x are slightly lower than for KO). (C) The Condensation model disagrees with Log2FC(OE 11x/KO) obtained from RNA-seq. (D) Schematic representation of the Detachment model. (E) Log2FC (gene expression) for KO/ctr (purple) versus the total number of mCGs per gene. Black lines represent predictions of Detachment model. Error bars represent +/- SEM. (F) As (E) for OE 11x/OE ctr (red).

proportional to MeCP2 levels (Fig. 1D). In contrast, plots of Log2FC versus  $P_{mCG}$  in promoter regions showed a slope close to zero, indicating minimal dependence on promoter methylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). No clear dependence on MeCP2 level was observed for Log2FC versus total gene body mCG or mCG mean (SI Appendix, Fig. S3D,E). These results indicated that the gene-body mCG density is the strongest predictor of MeCP2-dependent transcriptional changes. This relationship is not affected when data are filtered by significance, gene length or promoter methylation (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A-D). Moreover, the relationship is maintained even when intronic reads are analyzed suggesting that pre-mRNA is affected in the same way as processed RNA (SI Appendix, Fig. S4E). To test for a causal relationship, we transfected cells with two versions (methylated or unmethylated gene body) of a luciferase reporter gene with a methylation-free promoter in the presence of wildtype or the DNA binding mutant MeCP2[R111G] (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A.B). We observed a two-fold repression of methylated versus unmethylated luciferase gene body in the presence of WT MeCP2 compared to either no MeCP2 or mutant MeCP2 (Fig. 1E).

**MeCP2 binds predominantly methylated CG genome-wide.** To map the binding of MeCP2 in human neurons, we performed MeCP2 ChIP-seq for KO, WT, OE 4x and OE 11x, and simultaneously developed a computer model that simulates the ChIPseq procedure and MeCP2 binding *in vivo* (Fig. 2A). As expected, ChIP enrichment was proportional to the level of MeCP2 in each cell line (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A-C) and showed a strong peak centred at mCGs in MeCP2-positive lines (Fig. 2B) as well as a correlation between MeCP2 enrichment and mCG density (Fig. 2C). Conversely, enrichment was absent at non-methylated CGs (SI Appendix, Fig. S6E).

To derive an independent measure of absolute MeCP2 density on the DNA and to detect its molecular footprint with high resolution, we performed ATAC-seq (19) in which transposase Tn5 cuts exposed DNA to reveal DNA accessibility within chromatin (Fig. 2A). In agreement with the ChIP-seq data, ATACseq Tn5 insertion profiles (Fig. 2D) showed a graded depletion of insertion sites centered around mCG in WT, OE 4x and OE 11x neurons, whose amplitude was proportional to MeCP2 concentration (Fig. 2E) and therefore represents a "molecular



Fig. 4. Mathematical modelling indicates that MeCP2 slows down transcriptional elongation. (A) Schematic representation of the Dynamical obstacles model. (B) Transcription rate predicted by the model, plotted as a function of the initiation rate, for different mean MeCP2 densities in gene bodies. (C) Space-time plots (kymographs) representing Pol II moving along the gene. Queues of Pol II induced by MeCP2 can reach TSS (red dot) and block initiation if both the initiation rate ( $\alpha$ ) and the density of MeCP2 (p) are sufficiently high (left panel). (D) Schematic representation of Pol II (grev) density shock waves forming behind MeCP2 (blue). Black line is the local density of Pol II. (E) Log2FC (gene expression) versus mCG density in gene bodies obtained in computer simulations of the Dynamical obstacles model (black solid lines) fitted to the OE 11x/OE ctr RNA-seq dataset (red) agrees well with experimental data for OE 4x/OE ctr (orange) and KO/ctr (purple) datasets. Error bars represent +/- SEM. (F) The maximum slope of Log2FC (gene expression) versus mCG density in gene bodies, predicted by the Dynamical obstacles model (black line). Points are experimental slopes from Fig. 1C.

footprint" of MeCP2 binding *in vivo*. The size and amplitude of the footprint agrees well with a computer model of ATAC-seq and MeCP2 binding (Fig. 2D, black lines) and previous *in vitro* data (20, 21), confirming that MeCP2 occupies 11bp of DNA in living cells. No depletion of insertion sites was observed over unmethylated CG (SI Appendix, Fig. S6F). The model revealed that only 6.3% of mCG sites are actually occupied by MeCP2 in *OE 11x* neurons, falling to less than 1% occupancy in *WT* (Fig. 2E), perhaps due in part to occlusion by nucleosomes. Excellent agreement between the models and ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq data allows us to predict MeCP2 occupancy from mCG density and MeCP2 level in each cell line (Fig. 2E and SI Appendix, Fig. S6D).

MeCP2 does not regulate transcription via condensation of chromatin or premature termination. To interpret these results mechanistically, we considered mathematical models based on a commonly accepted paradigm for gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A) (22). In the first class of models named Condensation models (Fig. 3A), MeCP2 affects the rate of transcription initiation via changes in chromatin structure. The possibility that MeCP2 affects the initiation rate  $\alpha$  by binding to promoters was rejected because it would imply a stronger correlation between gene expression and Pmccin promoters than in gene bodies, contrary to our observations (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C). MeCP2 could hypothetically affect the fraction fof cells with specific genes in the ON state via some long-distance mechanism involving binding 407 to gene bodies and leading to changes in the degree of chro-408

341

342

343

344

345



409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

Fig. 5. MeCP2 slows down transcription via a mechanism involving NCoR. (A)Location of two binding domains in MeCP2 that are relevant for the proposed mechanism: methyl-CpG binding domain (MBD) and NCoR-interaction domain (NID). The mutation R111G causes MeCP2 to lose the ability to bind specifically to mCG. The mutation R306C prevents MeCP2 from binding the NCoR complex. (B) Level of MeCP2 (Western blot) in two overexpressed mutant cell lines (R111G and R306C) and the overexpression control cell line (OE ctr). OE 11x is shown for comparison. Values are averaged over three biological replicates and normalised by the level of histone H3. (C) Log2FC (expression) of OE R111G/OE ctr shows almost no dependence on mCG density in gene bodies (black). Grey line shows the maximum slope. (D) Log2FC (expression) of OE R306C/OE ctr shows a small negative correlation with gene body mCG density (brown). Grey line shows the maximum slope. (E) Maximum slopes for all cell lines including OE R111G (black) and OE R306C (brown) from (C and D) versus MeCP2 level (Western blot). In all plots error bars represent +/-SEM.

matin openness near promoters. However, mapping chromatin accessibility using ATAC-seq showed that while there is a weak correlation between MeCP2 and accessibility (Fig. 3B), it cannot account for the observed Log2FC in gene expression (Fig. 3C).

We next considered potential effects of MeCP2 on the elongation phase of transcription. The Detachment Model posits that MeCP2 causes transcription to prematurely abort (Fig. 3D). Since the probability of termination increases with each blocking site, under this model the Log2FC is a function of the total number of methylated CGs ( $N_{mCG}$ ) in the gene:  $Log2FC = -\gamma(M-1)N_{mCG}$ , where *M* is MeCP2 concentration relative to WT, and the parameter  $\gamma$ is proportional to the probability that Pol II aborts transcription when it encounters MeCP2 or an MeCP2-induced chromatin modification. The unknown parameter  $\gamma$  can be obtained by fitting the model to the Log2FC (KO/WT) data (Fig. 3E). We found that the model failed to reproduce the Log2FC vs  $N_{mcG}$ relationship for the OE 11x cell line (Fig. 3F). The model also fails to correctly predict the observed relationship between Log2FC and mCG density in gene bodies (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B,C). Therefore, it is unlikely that MeCP2 affects transcription via premature termination.

**MeCP2 creates "Dynamical obstacles" that impede transcriptional elongation.** Finally, we considered a "Congestion model" whereby Pol II pauses when it encounters MeCP2 itself or an induced, transient structural modification of chromatin (Fig. 4A). The parameters are: the fraction p of mCGs bound by MeCP2, MeCP2 turn-over (unbinding) rate  $k_u$ , and (specific to each gene) the length *L* of the gene, the density  $p_{mCG}$  of methylated CGs, and the initiation rate  $\alpha$ . Fig. 4B shows the transcription rate for OE 11x predicted by the model as a function of  $\alpha$ , for different mean MeCP2 densities ( $pp_{mCG}$ ). The assumed value of  $k_u = 0.04$ s<sup>-1</sup> is compatible with the reported *in vivo* residence time of MeCP2 on chromatin (25-40s (23)). Inspired by non-equilibrium 477 statistical mechanics approaches that have been utilised to model 478 479 one-dimensional transport (24, 25), we expect a non-equilibrium phase transition from a low-density to a maximal-current (con-480 gested) phase as the initiation rate or the density of obstacles 481 increase beyond a critical point. Indeed, all curves in Fig. 4B 482 have a characteristic shape: a linear relationship  $l \approx \alpha$  for small  $\alpha$ , 483 followed by saturation at high initiation rates. Saturation occurs 484 due to congestion as polymerases queue upstream of obstacles 485 486 (Movies S1,2). However, even in the non-saturated regime of intermediate  $\alpha$ , excluded-volume interactions between polymerases 487 488 that have been slowed down by an obstacle cause a density shock wave that propagates backwards (Fig. 4C). A small increase in 489 the density of polymerases near the promoter decreases the rate 490 of Pol II binding to the TSS. Thus, even though MeCP2 does 491 not directly affect Pol II initiation, it does so indirectly by shock 492 waves that form behind MeCP2-induced obstacles in gene bodies 493 (Fig. 4D). To test the model against RNA-seq data, we estimated 494 average initiation rates for genes with similar mCG densities by 495 fitting the model to Log2FC data from one of the cell lines (OE 11x/OE ctr; Fig. 4E left and SI Appendix, Fig. S8F). We then used the model to predict Log2FC for the remaining 6 cell lines. The model strikingly reproduces the data (Fig. 4E for OE 4x and KO) as well as the slopes of the Log2FC plots for all seven cell lines (Fig. 4F). A similar behaviour occurs in a modified model in which Pol II slows down (rather than completely stops) on permanent or long-lasting structural modifications of chromatin (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A-E, Movie S3). We conclude that both congestion models are compatible with the experimental data presented in Fig. 1C and D. The models also predict that Log2FC should decrease with increasing expression (measured as TPMs) in agreement with the data (SI Appendix, Fig. S8G).

MeCP2 binding to both DNA and NCoR are essential to slow down RNA Pol II. To address the question of whether MeCP2 impedes Pol II progression directly by steric interference or indirectly by altering chromatin structure (e.g., by histone deacetylation (26)), we overexpressed mutated forms of MeCP2 in the presence of WT MeCP2. The mutants were either unable to bind methylated DNA (R111G) (27) or unable to recruit the histone deacetylase complex NCoR (R306C) (14, 28) (Figs. 5A and SI Appendix, Fig. S9A). As expected, 7-fold overexpression of MeCP2-R111G caused no mCG-density dependent transcriptional changes (Figs. 5B,C and SI Appendix, Fig. S9B,C). The R306C mutant, on the other hand, was predicted to repress transcription if inhibition is directly due to MeCP2 binding to DNA, but not if inhibition is mediated via the corepressor. In fact, 11-fold overexpression of MeCP2-R306C relative to WT MeCP2 caused only a small perturbation of gene expression, indicating a significant loss of DNA methylation-dependent repression (Figs. 5B,D and SI Appendix, Fig. S9B,C). The weak slope may represent minor direct interference of DNA-bound MeCP2-R306C with transcription. As neither mutant falls on the line defining the linear relationship between gene repression and MeCP2 concentration (Fig. 5E), our findings favour a predominantly indirect mechanism of repression, whereby corepressor recruitment alters the chromatin state to impede transcription.

### **Concluding remarks**

In summary, a close alliance between mathematical modelling and molecular biology has allowed us to discriminate molecular mechanisms underlying the relatively subtle global effects of MeCP2 on global gene expression. The proposed mechanism relies on MeCP2-NCOR interaction that slows down the progression of Pol II during transcription elongation. A candidate mediator of this effect is histone modification, in particular histone deacetylation, as cell transfection assays using methylated reporters demonstrate that repression depends upon histone deacetylase activity (11, 12). According to this scenario, MeCP2

545 recruitment of the histone deacetylase corepressor NCoR would 546 restrain transcription, perhaps by causing tighter binding of nucle-547 osomes to DNA (26). To explain the dramatic reversibility of Rett 548 syndrome in animal models (29) we propose that, in the absence 549 of MeCP2, DNA methylation patterns are unaffected, allowing 550 the re-expressed wildtype protein to bind within gene bodies and 551 commence normal modulation of transcriptional elongation. We 552 suggest that the Congestion model may apply to proteins other 553 than MeCP2. For example, other chromatin-binding factors that 554 bind short (and thus abundant) motifs, including other methyl-555 binding proteins, may modulate gene expression by a similar 556 mechanism.

#### 557 Materials and methods 558

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

Cell lines. The procedure for culture and differentiation of the LUHMES cell 559 line was previously described (18). To create two independent MECP2 knock-560 out lines, we used CRISPR-mediated gene disruption (30). To generate MeCP2 knock-downs, several shRNAs against MeCP2 were designed using Sigma 561 Aldrich Mission shRNA online software. Two shRNAs were chosen and cloned 562 into pLKO.1 vector including scrambled shRNA as a control and lentiviruses 563 were created (SI Appendix, Table S2). To increase the level of MeCP2 we created lentiviruses expressing MeCP2 from two alternative promoters in the 564 pLKO.1 vector: Synapsin and cytomegalovirus (CMV). Calculation of standard 565 deviation, standard error of mean and t tests for qPCR, Western blots, 566 methylation and total RNA quantification using HPLC were performed using 567 GraphPad Prism version 7. 568

Repression assay. CpG-free vector containing Firefly Luciferase with CpGs was methylated by M.Sssl methyltransferase in presence or absence of SAM. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 with three plasmids containing: Firefly Luciferase, Renilla Luciferase and MeCP2. Luciferase activity measurements were performed using Dual

Luciferase assay kit (Promega) according to manufacturer protocol. Library preparation for Illumina sequencing. All libraries were se-quenced as 75- or 100-nucleotide long paired-end reads on HiSeq 2000 and HiSeq 2500 Illumina platforms. Methylome of wildtype LUHMES-derived neurons at day 9 was obtained by TAB-seq according to the published protocol (31). RNA-seq library was performed according to manufacturer protocol for ScriptSeq Complete Gold kit (Human/Mouse/Rat). Total RNA was isolated from all generated cell lines (SI Appendix, Table S1) at day 9 of differentiation using either the RNeasy Mini kit or the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini kit (Qiagen). ATAC-seq in four cell lines (KO, WT, OE 4x and OE 11x, SI Appendix, Table S1) was performed as in (32).

MeCP2 ChIP-seq was performed using LUHMES-derived neurons at day 9 of differentiation with four levels of MeCP2: KO, WT, OE 4x and OE 11x (SI Appendix, Table S1). Libraries were prepared using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA library Prep kit (NEB) for both IPs and corresponding inputs.

Data processing of raw reads from Illumina sequencing. All reads were quality-controlled, trimmed to remove adapters (Trimmomatic) (33), and duplicated reads, and mapped to the human hg19 reference genome. Bismark (34) was used to extract cytosine methylation from TAB-seq. All raw data were deposited in GEO database (accession number GSE125660).

RNA-seq data analysis. We used a subset of protein-coding genes with sufficient methylation coverage (BS-seq; ≥80% C detected as methylated, coverage ≥20), and gene bodies 1kb or longer. This resulted in 15382 genes out of the initial 17764 protein-coding genes (86%). In all plots of Log2FC of differential gene expression we shifted the Log2FC values so that the average Log2FC in the range of mCG density  $P_{mCG} \in [1,6]$  100bp was zero for all samples. This was motivated by a difficulty in determining the absolute levels of expression since we did not quantify total mRNA.

ChIP-seq enrichment profiles. We first obtain accumulated counts (the number of reads)  $\mathcal{L}_{i}^{x}$  that overlap with *i*-th basepair to the right (i > 0) or left (i < 0) from feature x (x =mCG, mCA, ...). We then calculate enrichment profiles as

$$f_i = \frac{\operatorname{Norm}_1(c^{\operatorname{ChIP}, x})[i]}{\operatorname{Norm}_1(c^{\operatorname{input}, x})[i]} - 1,$$

where  $c_i^{\text{ChIP},x}$  and  $c_i^{\text{input},x}$  are accumulated counts from ChIP and input (genomic) DNA sequencing, respectively, and  $\operatorname{Norm}_1(c)[i]$  normalizes the counts profiles such that their flanks have values close to one:

Norm<sub>1</sub>(c)[i] = 
$$\frac{c_i}{(\sum_{j=-500}^{-301} c_j + \sum_{j=301}^{500} c_j)/400}$$
.

We consider a particular C to be methylated if it is methylated in 100% of the reads, and the coverage is at least 5. We consider a C to be unmethylated if it does not show up in any of the ChIP-seq reads as methylated.

Computer model of ChIP-seq. We assume that MeCP2 occupies methylated cytosines with probability p times the probability of binding to a particular motif. Binding probabilities for different motifs are based on

Footline Author

known binding affinities (35) and relative binding strengths (15). To create 613 simulated ChIP fragments, we assume that if a DNA fragment contains at 614 least one MeCP2 bound to it, it will be present in the simulated ChIP-seq. 615 Fragments that do not contain any MeCP2 may still be present in the ChIP-seq data with probability  $p_{bg}$  which accounts for "background" reads in ChIP-seq 616 even in the absence of MeCP2. This is similar to previous models of ChIP-seq 617 (36); even best ChIP-seq libraries can have a significant level of noise ( $p_{bg}$  close 618 to 1) (37). We also add CG- and length bias, and process simulated reads in 619 the same way as the experimental ChIP data. 620

For each ChIP-seq data set we fitted the simulated profile (parametrized by  $p, p_{\mathcal{S}}$  to the experimental profile. Any  $p \leq 0.1$  gives a good fit (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D), indicating that  $p \approx 0.1$  is the upper bound on mCG occupancy in 11x OE. We used best-fit parameters to predict profiles on features other than mCG (SI Appendix, Fig. S6E).

ATAC-seq footprints. ATAC-seq was analysed in a similar way to ChIPseq, except that we used fragments' endpoints (Tn5 insertion sites) to generate accumulated counts  $n_i$ . We calculated the insertion profiles as

$$f_i = \ln \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Norm}_2(n_i^{\text{cellbine}})}{\operatorname{Norm}_2(n_i^{KO})} \right],$$

where  $n_i^{c \in II \lim n}$  and  $n_i^{KO}$  are the insertion counts profiles for a given cell line and KO1, respectively, and  $Norm_2$  normalizes the counts profiles such that their flanks have values close to one:

Norm<sub>2</sub>(n<sub>i</sub>) = 
$$\frac{n_i}{(\sum_{j=-50}^{-41} n_j + \sum_{j=41}^{50} n_j)/20}$$
.

Computer model of ATAC-seq. We use the same binding model as in the ChIP-seq simulations. We assume that MeCP2 occupies 11bp (20) and that the protein is centred on an mC. We simulate the action of the Tn5 transposase by splitting the sequence into fragments in areas free of MeCP2, and we include Tn5 sequence bias, and CG- and length bias. The model has three parameters: the density p of MeCP2 on mCxx, the average density of insertion (cut) sites t, and the GC bias b. We process simulated DNA fragments in the same way as described above for the experimental data. We examined the role of the parameters on the shape and depth of the simulated footprint of MeCP2 and concluded that the footprint is not affected as long as the test and control samples have been processed in a similar way. To extract MeCP2 occupancy p from ATAC-seq data, we fitted the model (free parameters  $p_i t$ , and a fixed b = 6.0) to experimental footprints for all four cell lines. The relationship is linear (Fig. 2E), with the best-fit  $p = 0.0058 \times M_{celline}/M_{WT}$ .

**Chromatin accessibility from ATAC-seq.** For each gene, we calculated its mean insertion count  $\bar{n}$  and selected regions ("insertion peaks") in which  $n_i > 4\bar{n}$ . Accessibility was defined as the sum of all insertions in the peaks divided by the "background" n:

$$a = \frac{\sum_{i} n_i}{\bar{n}}.$$

Mathematical models of gene expression. The condensation model assumes that the fraction  $f_i$  of cells in which gene i is actively transcribed depends on promoter openness  $a_i$  (measured by ATAC-seq) which in turn depends on the level Mof MeCP2 and gene methylation  $\rho_c$ ,  $f_i = f_i(M, \rho_i) \propto a_i = a_i(M, \rho_i)$ . The model predicts that  $^{\text{Log2FC}}_{x/Yo}$  of the ratio of gene expression of cell line X versus cell line Y should yield the same curve (plus a constant) as the logarithm of the ratio of accessibilities of X versus Y when plotted as a function of  $ho_{mcc}$ . Data does not support this model (Fig. 3C). The detachment model poses that the probability that RNA Pol II successfully terminates is  $P=(1-\lambda)^n\cong e^{-\lambda n}$  ,where n is the number of "abort sites" on the gene, proportional to the number of MeCP2 molecules on the gene, and  $\lambda$  is the abortion probability. We show that

$$Log2FC_{X/Y} = const - \gamma \left(\frac{M_X}{M_Y} - 1\right)n,$$

where  $\gamma \propto \lambda$  is an unknown parameter identical for all cell lines, and  $M_{X}, M_{Y}$ are MeCP2 levels in cell lines X and Y. The model is rejected (Fig. 3F).

We consider two mechanisms by which MeCP2 could affect elongation. To implement the slow sites model we use the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) with open boundaries (24). A gene is represented 671 as a chain of L sites. Each site (equivalent to 60bp of the DNA) is either 672 occupied by a particle (RNA Pol II) or is empty. Particles enter the chain at 673 site i = 1 with rate  $\alpha$  (transcription initiation rate), move along the chain and exit at site i = L with rate  $\beta = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . Sites can be "fast" or "slow". Slow 674 sites represent mCGs affected by the interaction with MeCP2, whereas fast 675 sites are all other sites (methylated or not). Particles jump with rate v = 1676 sec<sup>-1</sup> (equivalent to Pol II speed  $\approx$ 60bp/s) on fast sites and  $v_s = 0.05$  sec<sup>-1</sup> on 677 slow sites. Slow sites are randomly and uniformly distributed with density 678  $p_s = p p_{mCC}$  where p is the probability that an mCG is occupied by MeCP2. To 679 relate this model to the mRNA-seq differential expression data we calculate Log2FC as 680

PNAS | Issue Date | Volume | Issue Number | 5

621

622

623

624

625

626

644 645

643

646 647

648

649 650

651

52 653

654

655 656

657 658 659

660

661

662

668 669

$$-\text{Log2FC}_{X/Y} = \log_2 \frac{J(\alpha, \rho_{g,X})}{J(\alpha, \rho_{g,Y})}$$

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731 732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

where  $\rho_{sX} = \rho_{mCG} p_X$ ,  $\rho_{sY} = \rho_{mCG} p_Y$  in which  $p_X, p_Y$  are MeCP2 occupation probabilities for cell lines X, Y. In the above expression we know all quantities except the initiation rate  $\alpha$  which we fit to the OE 11x data.

The dynamical obstacles model is very similar with two exceptions: (i) Pol II always moves with the same speed v (no slow sites) as long as it is not blocked by other polymerases and obstacles, (ii) obstacles bind and unbind dynamically from the methylated sites. We assume that unbinding occurs with rate  $k_u$  per obstacle, whereas binding occurs with rate  $k_u p$  per unoccupied mCG. Obstacles do not bind if an mCG is already occupied by an obstacle or a polymerase. We assume that obstacles are not restricted to accessible mCGs and that their density on actively transcribed genes may be higher than p obtained from ATAC-seq but still proportional to MeCP2 level. We found that  $p = M/M_{OB11x}$  reproduces Log2FC data for all cell lines.

Additional details for Materials and Methods are provided in SI Appendix.

- Lin CY, et al. (2012) Transcriptional Amplification in Tumor Cells with Elevated c-Myc. Cell 151(1):56–67.
- Berry S, Dean C, Howard M (2017) Slow Chromatin Dynamics Allow Polycomb Target Genes to Filter Fluctuations in Transcription Factor Activity. *Cell Systems* 4(4):445–457.e8.
- Ouararhni K, et al. (2006) The histone variant mH2A1.1 interferes with transcription by down-regulating PARP-1 enzymatic activity. - PubMed - NCBI. Genes Dev 20(23):3324–3336.
- Hao N, Palmer AC, Dodd IB, Shearwin KE (2017) Directing traffic on DNA—How transcription factors relieve or induce transcriptional interference. *Transcription* 8(2):120–125.
- Jonkers I, Lis JT (2015) Getting up to speed with transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 16(3):167–177.
- Hendrich B, Bird A (1998) Identification and characterization of a family of mammalian methyl-CpG binding proteins. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 18(11):6538–6547.
- 7. Lewis JD, et al. (1992) Purification, sequence, and cellular localization of a novel chromosomal protein that binds to Methylated DNA. *Cell* 69(6):905–914.
- Skene PJ, et al. (2010) Neuronal MeCP2 is expressed at near histone-octamer levels and globally alters the chromatin state. *Molecular Cell* 37(4):457–468.
- Amir RE, et al. (1999) Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nat Genet 23(2):185–188.
- Ramocki MB, Tavyev YJ, Peters SU (2010) The MECP2 Duplication Syndrome. American journal of medical genetics Part A 152A(5):1079–1088.
- Nan X, Campoy FJ, Bird A (1997) McCP2 is a transcriptional repressor with abundant binding sites in genomic chromatin. *Cell* 88(4):471–481.
- Nan X, et al. (1998) Transcriptional repression by the methyl-CpG-binding protein MeCP2 involves a histone deacetylase complex. - PubMed - NCBI. Nature 393(6683):386–389.
- Guy J, Hendrich B, Holmes M, Martin JE, Bird A (2001) A mouse Mecp2-null mutation causes neurological symptoms that mimic Rett syndrome. *Nat Genet* 27(3):322–326.
- Lyst MJ, et al. (2013) Rett syndrome mutations abolish the interaction of MeCP2 with the NCoR/SMRT co-repressor. *Nat Neurosci* 16(7):898–902.
- Lagger S, et al. (2017) MeCP2 recognizes cytosine methylated tri-nucleotide and di-nucleotide sequences to tune transcription in the mammalian brain. *PLoS Genet* 13(5):e1006793.
- Kinde B, Wu DY, Greenberg ME, Gabel HW (2016) DNA methylation in the gene body influences MeCP2-mediated gene repression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(52):15114–15119.
- Gabel HW, et al. (2015) Disruption of DNA-methylation-dependent long gene repression in Rett syndrome. *Nature*:1–21.
- Scholz D, et al. (2011) Rapid, complete and large-scale generation of post-mitotic neurons from the human LUHMES cell line. J Neurochem 119(5):957–971.
- Buenrostro JD, Giresi PG, Zaba LC, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ (2013) Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding

### Acknowledgments

We thank Tanja Waldmann for introducing us to the LUHMES cell line, Beatrice Alexander-Howden for technical support, David Kelly for microscopy assistance, Martin Waterfall for help with FACS and Jim Selfridge for help in preparing samples for HPLC. We thank Sabine Lagger and John Connelly for critical assessment of the manuscript. The work has made use of resources provided by the Edinburgh Compute and Data Facility (ECDF; www.ecdf.ed.ac.uk) and was supported by a Wellcome Trust Programme Grant and Investigator Award to AB. AB is a member of the Simons Initiative for the Developing Brain. JCW was supported by a grant from the Rett Syndrome Research Trust. RS was supported by a Wellcome Trust 4 year PhD studentship. BW was supported by a Personal Research Fellowship from the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Author contributions J.C.W and R.S. designed experiments, made and characterised cell lines and interpreted data; J.C.W performed the NGS experiments; S.W and K.C. performed NGS data preparation; B.R. performed HPLC analysis; M.Y. performed initial reaction for TAB-seq; P.G. and B.W. developed the "Congestion model". B.W. conceived the mathematical modelling, analysed NGS data and interpreted data. A.B. conceived the study and, together with J.C.W., R.S. and B.W., wrote and edited manuscript.

proteins and nucleosome position. Nat Meth 10(12):1213-1218.

- Nan X, Meehan RR, Bird A (1993) Dissection of the methyl-CpG binding domain from the chromosomal protein MeCP2. *Nucleic Acids Research* 21(21):4886–4892.
- Nikitina T, et al. (2007) MeCP2-chromatin interactions include the formation of chromatosome-like structures and are altered in mutations causing Rett syndrome. - PubMed - NCBL J Biol Chem 282(38):28237–28245.
- Shahrezaei V, Ollivier JF, Swain PS (2008) Colored extrinsic fluctuations and stochastic gene expression. *Molecular Systems Biology* 4:196.
- Klose RJ, et al. (2005) DNA Binding Selectivity of MeCP2 Due to a Requirement for A/T Sequences Adjacent to Methyl-CpG. *Molecular Cell* 19(5):667–678.
- Blythe RA, Evans MR (2007) Nonequilibrium steady states of matrix-product form: a solver's guide. J Phys A: Math Theor 40(46):R333–R441.
- Derrida B (1998) An exactly soluble non-equilibrium system: The asymmetric simple exclusion process. *Physics Reports* 301(1-3):65–83.
- Zentner GE, Henikoff S (2013) Regulation of nucleosome dynamics by histone modifications. *Nature Publishing Group* 20(3):259–266.
- 27. Kudo S, et al. (2003) Heterogeneity in residual function of MeCP2 carrying missense mutations in the methyl CpG binding domain. *J Med Genet* 40(7):487–493.
- Kruusvee V, et al. (2017) Structure of the MeCP2–TBLR1 complex reveals a molecular basis for Rett syndrome and related disorders. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114(16):E3243–E3250.
- Guy J, Gan J, Selfridge J, Cobb S, Bird A (2007) Reversal of Neurological Defects in a Mouse Model of Rett Syndrome. *Science* 315(5815):1143–1147.
- Shah RR, et al. (2016) Efficient and versatile CRISPR engineering of human neurons in culture to model neurological disorders. *Wellcome Open Res* 1:13.
- Yu M, et al. (2012) Base-resolution analysis of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in the Mammalian genome. *Cell* 149(6):1368–1380.
- Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ (2015) ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA).
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30(15):2114–2120.
- Krueger F, Andrews SR (2011) Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. - PubMed - NCBI. *Bioinformatics* 27(11):1571–1572.
- Sperlaza MJ, Bilinovich SM, Sinanan LM, Javier FR, Williams DC Jr (2017) Structural Basis of MeCP2 Distribution on Non-CpG Methylated and Hydroxymethylated DNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 429(10):1581–1594.
  - Xu H, et al. (2010) A signal-noise model for significance analysis of ChIP-seq with negative control. PubMed NCBI. *Bioinformatics* 26(9):1199–1204.
- Liang K, Keles S (2012) Normalization of ChIP-seq data with control. BMC Bioinformatics 13(1):199.

816

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809