

# *AR* splice variants in circulating tumor cells of patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer: relation with outcome to cabazitaxel

Anieta M. Sieuwerts<sup>1,2</sup> , Wendy Onstenk<sup>1</sup>, Jaco Kraan<sup>1</sup>, Corine M. Beaufort<sup>1</sup>, Mai Van<sup>1</sup>, Bram De Laere<sup>3,4</sup>, Luc Y. Dirix<sup>3,4</sup>, Paul Hamberg<sup>5</sup>, Aart Beeker<sup>6</sup>, Hielke J. Meulenbeld<sup>7</sup>, Geert-Jan Creemers<sup>8</sup>, Wytske M. van Weerden<sup>9</sup>, Guido W. Jenster<sup>9</sup>, Annemieke J. M. Nieuweboer<sup>1</sup>, Ron H. J. Mathijssen<sup>1</sup>, Ronald de Wit<sup>1</sup>, John W. M. Martens<sup>1,2</sup> and Stefan Sleijfer<sup>1</sup>

- 1 Department of Medical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 2 Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Genomics Netherlands, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 3 GZA Hospitals Sint-Augustinus, Wilrijk, Belgium
- 4 Center for Oncological Research, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
- 5 Department of Internal Medicine, Franciscus Gasthuis and Vlietland, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 6 Department of Internal Medicine, Spaarne Gasthuis, Hoofddorp, The Netherlands
- 7 Department of Internal Medicine, Gelre Ziekenhuizen, Zutphen, The Netherlands
- 8 Department of Internal Medicine, Catharina Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven, The Netherlands
- 9 Department of Urology, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

#### Keywords

androgen receptor; AR splice variants; cabazitaxel; castration-resistant prostate cancer; circulating tumor cells

#### Correspondence

A. M. Sieuwerts, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Department of Medical Oncology and Cancer Genomics Netherlands, Wytemaweg 40, 3015 GD, Rotterdam, The Netherlands Tel: 0031 10 7044 372 E-mail: a.sieuwerts@erasmusmc.nl

Anieta M. Sieuwerts, Wendy Onstenk, John W. M. Martens and Stefan Sleijfer contributed equally to this work

(Received 24 March 2019, revised 1 June 2019, accepted 7 June 2019)

doi:10.1002/1878-0261.12529

The androgen receptor splice variant (AR-V) 7 in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) is a predictor for resistance to anti-AR-targeted treatment, but not to taxane-based chemotherapy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). In this study, we investigated whether the presence of two constitutively active variants (AR-V3, AR-V7) and two other conditionally activated variants (AR-V1, AR-V9) vs full-length androgen receptor (AR-FL) measured in CTCs from patients with mCRPC were associated with outcome to therapy with the taxane cabazitaxel. Blood was collected at baseline and after two cycles of cabazitaxel from 118 mCRPC patients starting cabazitaxel in a prospective phase II trial. CellSearch-enriched CTCs were enumerated and in parallel characterized for the presence of the AR-Vs by reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Correlations with CTC and prostate-specific antigen response to cabazitaxel as well as associations with overall survival (OS) were investigated. All AR-Vs were frequently present and co-expressed at frequencies of 31-48% at baseline and at 19-40% after two cycles of cabazitaxel. No specific directions of change in the measured variants were detected between the start of treatment and after two cycles of cabazitaxel. No associations between the presence of AR-V3 and AR-V7 and outcome to cabazitaxel were observed. While a reduction in CTCs to < 5 CTCs during treatment (CTC5-response) was less often observed in patients with AR-V9-positive CTCs at baseline (P = 0.004), the CTC5-adjusted detection of AR-V1 after two cycles of cabazitaxel was an independent prognostic

#### Abbreviations

AR, androgen receptor; AR-FL, full-length androgen receptor; AR-V(1/3/7/9), androgen receptor splice variant (1/3/7/9, ); cDNA, complementary deoxyribonucleic acid; *C*<sub>q</sub>, cycle threshold for quantification; CRPC, castration-resistance prostate cancer; CTC, circulating tumor cell; HR, hazard ratio; IQR, interquartile range; LOD, limit of detection; LOQ, limit of quantification; mCRPC, metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; mRNA, messenger ribonucleic acid; OS, overall survival; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; RR, response rate; RT– qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction; SD, standard deviation.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use,

Molecular Oncology (2019) © 2019 The Authors. Published by FEBS Press and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

factor for OS [HR 2.4 (95% CI 1.1–5.1, P = 0.03)]. These novel findings are expected to contribute to more personalized treatment approaches in mCRPC patients.

## 1. Introduction

The presence of the androgen receptor (AR) splice variant (AR-V) 7 in circulating tumor cells (CTCs) was recently shown to predict resistance to new-generation anti-AR-targeted treatments (abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide), but not to taxane-based chemotherapy in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) (Antonarakis et al., 2015; Antonarakis et al., 2017; Antonarakis et al., 2014; De Laere et al., 2017; De Laere et al., 2019; Nakazawa et al., 2015; Onstenk et al., 2016; Onstenk et al., 2015; Scher et al., 2018; Scher et al., 2017; Scher et al., 2016; Tagawa et al., 2019). If further validated, like currently ongoing in the CABA-V7 study (NCT03050866), the AR-V7 status of CTCs may be used in clinical care to select the best treatment for an individual patient at a specific time (Sieuwerts et al., 2018).

Similar to the constitutively, ligand-independent, active AR-V7, several other AR-Vs, including AR-V3 that lack the ligand-binding domain, have been identified. In addition, more cell-context-dependent variants like AR-V1 and AR-V9, which like the full-length AR (AR-FL) are conditionally activated variants, have been described (Hu *et al.*, 2009; Hu *et al.*, 2011; Jernberg *et al.*, 2017). The clinical relevance of these splice variants to predict the type of response to taxanebased chemotherapy in patients with mCRPC, however, remains to be established (Antonarakis *et al.*, 2016; De Laere *et al.*, 2019).

In previous reports, we demonstrated in cases included in the prospective CABARESC trial (Nieuweboer *et al.*, 2017) the feasibility of measuring the AR-V7 status of CellSearch-enriched CTCs (Sieuwerts et al., 2018) and showed that the presence of the constitutively active AR-V7 variant at baseline had no association with outcome to cabazitaxel chemotherapy (Onstenk et al., 2015). In the current study, we used our CellSearch-based assay to further investigate the role of AR-V7 in CTCs in an extended series recruited within the framework of the prospective CABARESC trial (Nieuweboer et al., 2017). Besides AR-V7, we determined the prevalence of another constitutively active, ligand-independent, AR variant (AR-V3) and two, like AR-FL, conditionally activated variants (AR-V1 and AR-V9) in CTCs at baseline and after two

cycles of cabazitaxel. Finally, we investigated their possible clinical relevance.

## 2. Patients and methods

## 2.1. Patients

As reported before (Onstenk et al., 2016; Onstenk et al., 2015), patients with progressive mCRPC were recruited from the multicenter prospective phase II CABARESC trial (Dutch trial registry number NTR2840) (Nieuweboer et al., 2017). In our pilot study, we reported on 29 patients with  $\geq 10$  CTCs at baseline (Onstenk et al., 2015). Here, we report on all patients from the trial from which we had collected CTCs. Full inclusion criteria are listed in Data S1. Patients were randomized between three-weekly cabazitaxel,  $25 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$  with or without budesonide, a locally active corticosteroid, during the first two treatment cycles. No difference in overall survival (OS) [log-rank (Mantel–Cox) P = 0.41] or incidence or severity of cabazitaxel-induced diarrhea (Nieuweboer et al., 2017) was seen between the two arms, and therefore, the budesonide treatment arm was only evaluated as a stratification factor in the comparisons between the patient groups with CTCs negative or positive for the AR-Vs. The work described has been carried out in accordance with Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki). The Erasmus MC and the local Institutional Review Boards at sites that collaborated in the study lent approval. All patients provided written informed consent.

#### 2.2. Blood sampling and processing

Blood for the CTC analyses was sampled in CellSave [Menarini, Silicon Biosystems, Castel Maggiore (BO), Italy] and EDTA tubes before the first and after the second cycle of cabazitaxel. As described before (Onstenk *et al.*, 2015; Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018), CTCs were enumerated and characterized from 7.5 mL peripheral CellSave and EDTA blood, respectively, using the CellSearch System (Menarini Silicon Biosystems). The CTC enumeration was performed in CellSave blood within 96 h

after sampling and the characterization in EDTA blood within 24 h after sampling. CellSearch-enriched CTCs from the EDTA characterization tube were subjected to RNA isolation using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Micro Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) followed by complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) generation and preamplification of individual genes. Individual transcript expression levels were measured using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) applying the same protocol as described before (Onstenk *et al.*, 2015; Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018).

#### 2.3. Validation processes of the qPCR assays

Details of the used TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) can be found in Table S1. Analytical validation data for each of the *AR-V* measurements are given in Tables S2 toS4.

In brief, all qPCR assays performed with an equal efficiency [slope log cDNA input vs cycle threshold for quantification ( $C_q$ ) value: -3.38 to -3.82]. The limit of detection (LOD), defined as the lowest input of RNA isolated from VCaP cells that could be reliably identified as being qualitatively present in the sample. was calculated to be the RNA equivalent of 0.03 (for AR-WT) to 0.5 (for AR-V3) VCaP cells in the final reverse transcription qPCR (RT-qPCR). The limit of quantification (LOQ), defined as the lowest input of RNA isolated from VCaP cells that could be reproducibly quantified, was set at a CV of less than 25% and calculated to be equal to the RNA equivalent of 0.02 (for AR-V1) to 12 (for AR-V9) VCaP cells in the final RT-qPCR (Table S2). For the intralaboratory validation, ddCq AR and its splice variants results were generated by five different technicians, starting at the RNA isolation step. No significant differences were observed between the data generated by the different technicians (Kruskal–Wallis test P > 0.05) (Table S3). For the interlaboratory validation, RNA extracted from five different cell lines and CTC fractions of 10 different patients with unknown AR status were exchanged between two laboratories (Rotterdam and Antwerp). For both the cell line and clinical samples, similar outcomes for AR and its splice variants were obtained (Table S4).

#### 2.4. Normalization and statistical analysis

Evaluation of the RT–qPCR data was performed as described in full detail before (Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018). In brief, only those samples with (a) an average  $C_q$  of the reference genes *GUSB*, *HMBS*, and *HPRT1* < 26.5 (indicating sufficient overall RNA quality and

quantity) and (b) an average  $C_q$  of the epithelial genes EPCAM and KRT19 < 26.0 (indicating sufficient epithelial tumor cell input) were selected for the analyses. All  $C_{q}$  values were normalized to the average  $C_{q}$ value of the two epithelial genes to avoid confounding of the analyses by the number of CTCs present in a sample. As established before for AR-V7 (Sieuwerts et al., 2018), samples were considered to be positive for any of the AR variants if a positive signal was detected within 8.5 cycles after the epithelial signal was detected. To ensure data were comparable in-between experiments, a calibrator consisting of total RNA from cultured VCaP prostate cancer cells was included in each RT-qPCR session and processed identically to the samples. To calculate the calibrator normalized messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) expression level of the target, the delta-delta  $C_q$  (dd $C_q$ ) method was used.

The primary endpoint of this study was the difference in CTC response rate (CTC-RR), either defined as a conversion from  $\geq$  5 CTCs/7.5 mL to < 5 CTCs during treatment (CTC5) (de Bono et al., 2008) or a clearance from  $\geq 1$  CTC (s) to 0 during treatment (CTC0) (Heller et al., 2017), between patients with CTCs positive for one of the AR-Vs and patients with CTCs negative for the particular AR-V splice variant at baseline. Secondary objectives comprised prostatespecific antigen (PSA)-RR (30% and/or 50% decline from baseline to 12 weeks) and OS by the different AR-Vs. No formal sample size calculations were performed since we were restricted to the inclusion of patients from the CABARESC trial who consented for additional blood sampling for CTCs. Therefore, our analyses should be considered exploratory.

Standard statistical tests were applied to address the objectives. Differences between patient groups were tested by chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Survival was calculated from the registration date in the CABARESB trial, that is, start with cabazitaxel, until the date of death or last contact in the case of baseline parameters and from the time of second blood sampling until date of death or last contact for the onstudy parameters. The survival analyses were conducted using univariate and multivariate (with backward stepwise selection) Cox regression models. For the post-treatment OS analyses, we conducted landmark analyses to compensate for the 6-week treatment by removing the patients which experienced an event prior to the 6-week landmark and then resetting the time to zero at the landmark. All statistical tests were two-sided. Bootstrapping and a stringent P value < 0.01 were applied to correct for multiple testing. Statistics were performed using spss 24.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).



Fig. 1. Selection of evaluable patients for the analyses.

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patient characteristics

For the current analyses, we selected all n = 124patients that had been included in the prospective CABARESC trial (Nieuweboer et al., 2017) and who had consented for additional blood sampling. Figure 1 shows the selection of patients and evaluable samples. Patient characteristics for the finally clinically evaluable n = 118 patients and n = 104 patients with an evaluable AR profile are summarized in Table 1 and specified for all n = 124 patients which gave informed consent for additional blood sampling in Table S5. All patients had been previously treated with docetaxel, and one-third had also received next-generation ARtargeted agents (Table 1, left column). As expected, patients with sufficient epithelial cell input in the baseline blood sample, indicating the presence of CTCs in the sample (evaluable patients with epithelial signal), had worse baseline prognostic characteristics (higher LDH, AP, PSA and CTC count) than the patients with a low or absent epithelial signal in the blood sample (HBD-like patients, Table 1, left columns).

Consistent with our previous studies reporting on a subset of this cohort (Onstenk *et al.*, 2015; Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018), AR-V7 was detected in 48% (25 out of 52) of the evaluable baseline samples. Of the 12 patients previously treated with abiraterone or

enzalutamide, 6 (50%) expressed AR-V7 at baseline compared with 19/40 patients (48%) who had not received prior abiraterone or enzalutamide (P = 1.00, Table 1, right columns).

#### 3.2. Prevalence of the AR-Vs

Ninety-nine samples were evaluable for the splice-variant analyses; 52 were baseline samples and 47 were follow-up samples taken after the second cycle of cabazitaxel. Evaluable sample pairs to assess changes in AR-V status during cabazitaxel were available for 26 patients.

The prevalence of full-length AR and the four AR-Vs in the baseline and follow-up samples is shown in Table 2. Whereas 98% of the baseline patients were positive for AR-FL, the detection rates for AR-V1, AR-V3, AR-V7, and AR-V9 were lower at 31%, 31%, 48%, and 42%, respectively. Twenty-four of the 36 (67%) patients positive for one AR-V co-expressed at least one other AR-V. After two cycles of cabazitaxel, 96% of the patients remained positive for AR-FL, the variants AR-V1, AR-V3, AR-V7, and AR-V9 were detected in 30%, 19%, 40%, and 32% of the patients, respectively. Twenty-six of the 36 patients positive for one AR-V co-expressed at least one other AR-V (50% of all patients, Table 2, right panel; Table S5). Except for AR-V9, loss of expression during cabazitaxel treatment was more frequently observed than a gain, albeit not statistically significant (Table 2, bottom panel).

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics. Patient characteristics of all patients with at least one available blood sample (N = 118 from the total of 124, first column) and the evaluable patients for the analyses with a baseline blood sample containing sufficient reference and epithelial gene signal (N = 52, patients with epithelial signal, third column) and non-evaluable patients with insufficient epithelial signal, indicating the absence of tumor cell signal (N = 52, HBD-like patients, second column); P values in the fourth column are from the comparisons between the evaluable and excluded patients. The fifth and sixth columns show the characteristics of evaluable patients with AR-V7 negative CTCs (N = 27) or AR-V7 positive CTCs (N = 25) at baseline; P values in the last column are from the comparisons between the patients with AR-V7 positive and negative CTCs, respectively. All P values are from non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (for age, baseline chemistry and CTC counts) and Fisher exact tests for the categorical variables.

	All p	atients	HB pat	D-like ients	Pati with epit sigr	ients n helial nal	<i>P</i> value	AR- neg pati	-V7- jative ients	<i>AR</i> - pos pati	·V7- itive ents	P value
N	118	100%	52	100%	52	100%		27	100%	25	100%	
Mean age (years $\pm$ SD)	69 ±	= 7	71	± 7	69	± 7	0.17 <sup>a</sup>	70	± 8	68	± 7	0.23 <sup>a</sup>
WHO performance status												
0	60	51%	28	54%	26	50%	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	13	48%	14	56%	1.00 <sup>b</sup>
1	58	49%	24	46%	26	50%		14	52%	12	48%	
N prior chemotherapy lines												
1	112	95%	50	96%	48	92%	0.68 <sup>b</sup>	27	100%	21	84%	0.047 <sup>b</sup>
2	6	5%	2	4%	4	8%		0	0%	4	16%	
Prior antiandrogens												
None	75	64%	33	63%	34	65%		16	59%	18	72%	
Orteronel	23	19%	3	6%	6	12%	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	5	19%	1	4%	0.19 <sup>b</sup>
Abiraterone	8	7%	14	27%	7	13%	0.14 <sup>b</sup>	2	7%	5	20%	0.24 <sup>b</sup>
Enzalutamide	11	9%	1	2%	5	10%	0.44 <sup>b</sup>	4	15%	1	4%	0.35 <sup>b</sup>
Both orteronel and enzalutamide	1	1%	1	2%	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%	
With budesonide												
No	63	53%	25	48%	33	63%	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	17	63%	16	64%	1.00 <sup>b</sup>
Yes	55	47%	27	52%	19	37%		10	37%	9	36%	
Baseline chemistry												
Lactate dehydrogenase (median, IQR)	312	(216–	270	) (200–	371	(250–	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	335	5 (224–	396	(275–	0.89ª
	454	L)	37	2)	57	5)		67	1)	55	4)	
Alkaline phosphatase (median, IQR)	128	(84–	122	2 (79	174	(98–	0.009 <sup>a</sup>	150	) (68–	192	(127–	0.16ª
	240	))	-1	62)	33	9)		36	8)	31	0)	_
Prostate-specific antigen (median, IQR)	149	(49–	106	6 (35–	209	(72–	0.046 <sup>a</sup>	186	64–	232	(77–	0.46 <sup>a</sup>
	365	5)	29	8)	51	0)		50	0)	70	7)	
Circulating tumor cells	N =	112	N =	= 51	N =	50		N =	= 26	N =	- 24	_
Median (IQR)	16 (3	3–95)	7 (1	–19)	83 (	(8–230)	< 0.0001ª	48	(5–230)	98 24	(35– 3)	0.46 <sup>a</sup>
CTCs > 0	97	87%	39	76%	49	98%	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	26	100%	23	96%	0.48 <sup>b</sup>
$CTCs \ge 5$	76	68%	28	55%	40	80%	0.010 <sup>b</sup>	20	77%	20	83%	0.73 <sup>b</sup>
Cycles of cabazitaxel received (median, IQR)	7 (4-	-9)	8 (4	I–10)	6 (3	-8)		6 (3	3–8)	6 (3	8–8)	
Abiraterone/enzalutamide after cabazitaxel												
No	47	40%	22	42%	22	42%		13	48%	9	36%	
Abiraterone	28	24%	10	19%	13	25%	0.80 <sup>b</sup>	8	30%	5	20%	1.00 <sup>b</sup>
Enzalutamide	33	28%	15	29%	13	25%	0.81 <sup>b</sup>	3	11%	10	40%	0.08 <sup>b</sup>
Both	9	8%	5	10%	4	8%	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	3	11%	1	4%	0.64 <sup>b</sup>
Follow-up CTC count	N =	100	N =	= 42	N =	45		N =	= 23	N =	22	
Interval between start of cabazitaxel and second	6 (6-	-6)	6 (6	6–6)	6 (6	6)		6 (6	6)	6 (6	6)	
Circulating tumor cells (median LOR)	Q (1	_44)	6 /	1_271	15	2_1221	0 025ª	10	(1_79)	29	(2_125)	0 45ª
	76	76%	30	71%	36	80%	0.020	12	78%	18	87%	1.00 <sup>b</sup>
$CTC_{2} > 5$	54	54%	22	52%	28	62%	0.40 0.30p	1/	61%	1/	64%	0.73 <sup>b</sup>
	04	J4 /0	22	JZ /0	20	UZ /0	0.55	14	0170	14	04 /0	0.75

<sup>a</sup>Mann–Whitney *U*-test.

<sup>b</sup>Fisher's exact test.

Bold values indicate significant values.

**Table 2.** Prevalence of AR-FL and the four AR splice variants at base line and follow-up. Detection of different splice variants in the evaluable samples at baseline (upper panel) and after the second cabazitaxel cycle (lower row). The right panel shows the presence of multiple AR-V in one sample at a specific time point. The lower panel shows the changes in positivity for the different AR-Vs during cabazitaxel treatment; no significant differences in the directions of changes were observed. Percentages in the cross tables may not add up to exactly 100% due to rounding.

												Nur	mber of	patie	ents wit	th pos	sitive A	R-Vs	5		
	Ν	AR-	FL	AR-	-V1+	AR	- <i>V3</i> +	AR	-V7+	AR-	V9+	0		1		2		3		4	
Positive at baseline	52	51	98%	16	31%	16	31%	25	48%	22	42%	16	31%	12	23%	10	19%	9	17%	5	10%
Positive after c2	47	45	96%	14	30%	9	19%	19	40%	15	32%	21	45%	7	15%	10	21%	6	13%	3	6%
Overlapping baseline vs c2	26																				
Remain pos		25	96%	6	23%	3	12%	8	31%	10	38%										
Pos> Neg		1	4%	5	19%	9	35%	6	23%	1	4%										
Neg> Pos		0	0%	3	12%	2	8%	4	15%	5	19%										
Remain neg		0	0%	12	46%	12	46%	8	31%	10	38%										
McNemar for change during treatment		1.(	00	0.	73	0.0	06	0.	75	0.2	22										

c2; after the 2nd cycle of cabazitaxel.

## 3.3. CTC count and AR-Vs

No statistically significant association (P < 0.01) was observed between AR-Vs and any of the baseline clinical parameters, including prior anti-AR-targeted treatment (Table S6). After correction for sufficient epithelial signal, only patients positive for either AR-V1 or AR-V9 had higher median baseline CTC counts as measured in parallel from the enumeration tube. Only patients positive for AR-V3 also had higher median CTC counts after the second cycle of cabazitaxel.

**Table 3.** Correlations between CTC count, *AR-FL* and the *AR-Vs*. Spearman correlation coefficients and corresponding *P* values from the correlations between the CTC count, *AR-FL*, and the four *AR-Vs* at baseline (top panel) and during follow-up after the second cycle of cabazitaxel chemotherapy (bottom panel).

	Baselin	e [ <i>N</i> = 50ª/ <i>N</i>	V = 52]									
	CTC cc	ount <sup>a</sup>	AR-FL		AR-V1		AR-V3		AR-V7		AR-V	'9
	Γ <sub>s</sub>	Р	rs	Р	r <sub>s'</sub>	Р	r <sub>s</sub>	Р	r <sub>s</sub>	Р	r <sub>s</sub>	P
AR-FL	0.03	0.84										
AR-V1	0.43	< 0.01	0.23	0.11								
AR-V3	0.24	0.10	0.36	< 0.01	0.31	0.02						
AR-V7	0.01	0.96	0.14	0.33	0.00	0.99	0.06	0.69				
AR-V9	0.29	0.04	0.11	0.45	0.30	0.03	0.22	0.11	0.45	< 0.01		
	Follow-	up [ <i>N</i> = 47]										
	СТСсог	int	AR-FL		AR-V1		AR-V3		AR-V7		AR-V	/9
	Γs	Р	r <sub>s</sub>	Р	ľ,s	Р	ľs	Р	r <sub>s</sub>	Р	rs	Р
AR-FL	0.42	< 0.01										
AR-V1	0.37	0.01	0.36	0.01								
AR-V3	0.10	0.49	0.29	0.05	0.16	0.29						
AR-V7	0.35	0.02	0.50	< 0.01	0.62	< 0.01	0.38	< 0.01				
AR-V9	0.47	< 0.01	0.30	0.04	0.33	0.02	0.14	0.35	0.44	< 0.01		

Spearman rank correlation test; 2-sided P-values < 0.01 were considered statistically significant.

<sup>a</sup>For 50 of the n = 52 patients, a CTC count was available.

Bold values indicate significant values.

The correlations in  $ddC_q$  values between the *AR-Vs*, *AR-FL*, and the CTC counts are shown in Table 3. Noteworthy, both at baseline and at follow-up, there were no significant correlations between *AR-V3* and -*V7* and CTC count. At baseline, only *AR-V1* correlated with CTC count, and in the on-treatment samples, this was only the case for *AR-FL* and *AR-V9*. Furthermore, at baseline only *AR-V3* correlated with *AR-FL*, while *AR-V7* correlated with the presence of *AR-V9*. Interestingly, the strength of the observed correlations between *AR-V7* and the other splice variants was stronger in the on-treatment blood samples.

## 3.4. Association with CTC and PSA response

First, we evaluated the CTC5- and CTC0-RR after two cycles of cabazitaxel (defined as a conversion from  $\geq$  5 CTCs/7.5 mL to < 5 CTCs or a clearance from  $\geq 1$  CTC(s) to 0 during treatment, respectively). The overall CTC5-RR in the 43 evaluable patients was 35%; the overall CTC0-RR for these patients was 16%. No significant differences at our multiple correction adjusted P < 0.01 were seen in both CTC-RRs by AR-FL, AR-V1, AR-V3, and AR-V7 status (Table 4). Only patients with AR-V9-positive CTCs at baseline less frequently achieved a CTC5-RR response, associating the presence of AR-V9-positive CTCs at baseline with poor outcome to cabazitaxel (Table 4, upper panel). Next, we evaluated the PSA response. None of the splice variants associated with PSA response as measured at 12 weeks (Table 4, lower panel).

#### 3.5. Association with overall survival

Lastly, we investigated the impact on OS of the presence of the *AR-Vs*, at baseline and after two cabazitaxel cycles, respectively. Median OS for the n = 52baseline patients was 8.3 months (95% CI: 7.1–13.0) and 7.7 (95% CI: 7.0–10.6) for the n = 47 patients at follow-up.

At baseline, the AR-VI status and CTC count associated with decreased OS in the univariate model. Multivariate Cox regression analyses showed that at baseline only CTC count remained an independent poor prognostic factor for OS (Table 5, upper panel). AR-FL, AR-VI, and CTC count measured after two cycles of cabazitaxel, and anti-AR treatment after cabazitaxel, associated with OS in the univariate model. In the multivariate model, CTC count remained an independent prognostic factor. AR-VIstatus overruled AR-FL and was an additional CTC5independent predictive factor for OS after two cycles of cabazitaxel (Table 5, bottom panel). The OS curves as a function of *AR-V1* in CTCs after two cycles of cabazitaxel are shown in Fig. 2.

## 4. Discussion

Whereas the presence of AR-FL and the constitutively ligand independent active AR-V3 and AR-V7 were not associated with outcome to therapy, the presence of the conditionally activated AR-V9 and AR-V1 associated with a negative CTC RR during treatment and decreased OS after two cycles of cabazitaxel, respectively. In this study, we demonstrated in an extended cohort of metastatic prostate cancer patients that the presence of AR-V7 in CellSearch-enriched CTCs is not associated with outcome to cabazitaxel. In line with our previous findings in the first 29 patients with  $\geq 10$  CTCs we studied at baseline (Onstenk et al., 2015), we detected AR-V7 at baseline in 48% of all the 52 evaluable patients. We did not find a correlation between the presence of AR-V7 at baseline and prior anti-AR-targeted therapy. After the second cycle of cabazitaxel, the prevalence of AR-V7 had slightly but not significantly decreased from 48% to 40%, indicating that expression of AR-V7was neither specifically lost nor gained during treatment with cabazitaxel.

To investigate whether other AR splice variants than AR-V7 were associated with response or outcome to cabazitaxel, we similarly analyzed the expression levels of another variant with constitutive activity (De Laere *et al.*, 2017; Jernberg *et al.*, 2017) (AR-V3) and two more cell–context-dependent variants (AR-V1 and AR-V9) (Hu *et al.*, 2009; Hu *et al.*, 2011; Jernberg *et al.*, 2017) in CTCs of mCRPC patients at baseline and after 2 cycles of cabazitaxel.

Perhaps surprisingly, taking our associations with outcome to cabazitaxel only associated with the conditionally activated AR-V1 and AR-V9 into account, we noticed a positive correlation between AR-V7 and AR-V9 at baseline and AR-V7 and all studied splice variants after two cycles of cabazitaxel. However, although the presence of AR-V1, AR-V3, AR-V7, and AR-V9 in CTCs at baseline and during cabazitaxel treatment was frequently observed in our study, only 10% of the patients co-expressed all four AR-Vs at baseline and 6.5% after two cycles of cabazitaxel, suggesting the presence of an AR splice variant at our indicated cutoffs is a splice-variant-specific event.

Importantly, concern has been shared that the detection of AR splice variants might be intrinsically related to CTC count. However, after using our cutoffs and adjusting for the epithelial content present in the blood samples, at baseline only AR-VI and at follow-up only

Fisher exact test for the CTC5	and C1	TCO-RR	and th	ne ordinal	χ2 fo	r the PS	A-RRs.	Percent	ages	in the cr	oss te	ibles ma	y not	add up t	o exa	tly 100%	o due	to round	ing.			
			AR	-FL			AR-V	1			AR-V	/3			AR-	//			AR-	67		
	All p	atients	Ne	gative	Posit	ive	Nega	tive	Posit	ive	Nega	ative	Posi	tive	Neg	ative	Posi	tive	Neg	ative	Posi	tive
CTC response, N	43		-	100%	42	100%	28	100%	15	100%	28	100%	15	100%	22	100%	21	100%	24	100%	19	100%
CTC5-RR; Yes	15	35%	0	%0	15	36%	13	46%	2	13%	13	46%	2	13%	00	36%	4	33%	13	54%	2	11%
CTC5-RR; No	28	65%	-	100%	27	64%	15	54%	13	87%	15	54%	13	87%	14	64%	14	67%	1	46%	17	89%
$\chi^2$ yes vs no	N/A		1.0	0			0.045								0.04	ы			0.00	4		
CTC0-RR; Yes	7	16%	0	%0	7	17%	Ð	18%	2	13%	7	25%	0	%0	4	18%	ю	14%	വ	21%	2	11%
CTC0-RR; No	36	84%	-	100%	35	83%	23	82%	13	87%	21	75%	15	100%	18	82%	18	86%	19	79%	17	89%
$\chi^2$ yes vs no	N/A		1.0	0			1.00				0.08				1.00				0.44			
PSA response at 12 weeks, N	52	100%	-	100%	51	100%	36	100%	16	100%	36	100%	16	100%	27	100%	25	100%	30	100%	22	100%
No	33	63%	-	100%	32	63%	23	64%	10	63%	23	64%	10	63%	15	56%	18	72%	17	57%	16	73%
≥30–50%	4	8%	0	%0	4	8%	-	3%	ო	19%	2	6%	2	13%	2	7%	2	8%	-	3%	ო	14%
≥50%	15	29%	0	0%	15	29%	12	33%	с	19%	11	31%	4	25%	10	37%	വ	20%	12	40%	с	14%
χ <sup>2</sup>	N/A		1.0	0			0.12				0.72				0.34				0.0			
$\chi^2 \ge 30\%$ vs < 30%	N/A		1.0	0			1.00				1.00				0.26				0.26	10		
CTC5-RR; a conversion from ≥5	5 CTCs	:/7.5 mL	to ₹	5 CTCs du	uring t	reatmen																
CTC0-RR; a clearance from ≥1 v	CTC(s)	to 0 du	uring t	reatment																		
P values are from 2-tailed Fishe	er's exa	act tests	s. Per	centages	may r	ו add ו	up to 1	00% du	e to ro	ounding.												
Bold values indicate significant	values																					

Table 4. AR-Vs correlated with response. Cross-tables between the presence of the AR-Vs at baseline and the observed CTC5-RR, CTC0-RR and PSA responses. Overall response rates are shown in the first column. The response rates by AR-V status are shown as percentages of the total per AR-V positive and AR-V negative subgroups and differences were tested by

**Table 5.** *AR-Vs* correlated with overall survival. Univariate (left columns) and multivariate (right columns) Cox regression analyses for the associations of baseline parameters (upper rows) and on-study parameters (lower rows) with OS. For the on-study parameters, survival time was calculated from the second blood draw. The multivariate Cox regression analysis was carried out using backward selection. Non-significant factors were removed stepwise and the HRs and *P* values were taken from the removal step.

	Univariat	e		Multivari	ate	
	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
Baseline (N of cases = 52)						
AR-FL, continuous	1.0	0.9-1.1	0.90			
AR-V1, positive vs negative	1.9	1.0–3.6	0.04	1.3	0.7-2.6	0.42
AR-V3, positive vs negative	1.9	1.0–3.5	0.06			
AR-V7, positive vs negative	1.2	0.7-2.1	0.57			
AR-V9, positive vs negative	1.5	0.8–2.8	0.17			
CTC count, ≥5 vs < 5 CTCs	4.8	2.0–11.4	0.001	4.3	1.8–10.6	0.001
PSA, continuous	1.0	1.0-1.0	0.59			
Anti-AR prior to cabazitaxel, yes vs no	1.4	0.8–2.6	0.26			
After two cabazitaxel cycles ( $N$ of cases = 47	7)					
AR-FL, continuous	1.2	1.0–1.3	0.005	1.1	0.9-1.2	0.30
AR-V1, positive vs negative	3.0	1.5–6.3	0.003	2.4	1.1–5.1	0.031
AR-V3, positive vs negative	1.7	0.8–3.7	0.16			
AR-V7, positive vs negative	1.8	0.9–3.4	0.08			
AR-V9, positive vs negative	1.6	0.8–3.2	0.19			
CTC count, ≥5 vs < 5 CTCs	3.5	1.6–7.4	0.001	2.4	1.0–5.9	0.047
PSA at 12 weeks, continuous	1.0	1.0-1.0	0.17			
Anti-AR after cabazitaxel, yes vs no	0.4	0.2–0.8	0.009	0.6	0.3–1.1	0.11

Bold values indicate significant values.

AR-FL and AR-V9 correlated significantly with CTC count.

Confirming our prior observations (Onstenk et al., 2015), we found no associations between the AR-V7status and outcome to cabazitaxel in terms of the CTC/PSA-RR and/or OS. Similarly, the other constitutively activated AR variant we investigated, AR-V3, had no association with these outcome measures. In contrast, the presence of the conditionally activated AR-V1 showed an association with OS at baseline and after two cycles of cabazitaxel; patients with positive CTCs for the other conditional variant, AR-V9, associated with CTC5 response to cabazitaxel, but we found no impact of the presence of AR-V9 on OS. A lower sensitivity of our assay to detect AR-V9 could explain the lack of an association (although the LODs) were with the equivalent of 0.11 cells comparable for the two assays, the LOQ was the equivalent of 0.02 cells for AR-V1 and 12 cells for AR-V9; see also Table S2). Interestingly, the presence of AR-V1 in CTCs was a poor prognostic factor for OS at baseline, but this might merely have been a reflection of CTC count. After the second cabazitaxel cycle, the presence of AR-V1 kept its prognostic value. And at this time point, the presence of AR-V1 was, together with high CTC count, an independent factor for worse OS in our multivariate analysis.

The results from our study compare well with recent reports on the clinical value of the AR-V7 status of CTCs. The observed AR-V7 positivity rate of 48% at baseline in this study is similar to the previously reported 18-46% (Antonarakis et al., 2015; Antonarakis et al., 2014; De Laere et al., 2017; Miyamoto et al., 2015; Scher et al., 2016). The lack of association between the AR-V7 status of CTCs and outcome to taxane-based chemotherapy confirms both our prior findings (Onstenk et al., 2015), as well as those of others (Antonarakis et al., 2015; Scher et al., 2018). On the contrary, an association has been reported between the presence of AR-V7 at the protein level and worse outcome to taxane treatment, especially when the protein was localized in the cell nucleus (Scher et al., 2017; Scher et al., 2016; Tagawa et al., 2019). Nonetheless, the negative impact on outcome to anti-AR treatment was with an hazard ratio (HR) of 10.4 much higher than the HR of 3.2 for taxane treatment (Scher et al., 2017; Scher et al., 2016). The possible differences in functionality and clinical relevance of overall mRNA levels vs translated protein levels of AR-V7 need further investigation.

In line with our observations, switches in the AR-V7 status of CTCs during treatment have been reported. In a small group of just 14 patients, a loss of AR-V7 only occurred during taxane treatment and not during



Fig. 2. Overall survival as a function of AR-V1 in circulating tumor cells after two cycles of cabazitaxel. The reported P-value is from a logrank test and the test statistics from Cox regression analyses.

anti-AR treatment (Nakazawa *et al.*, 2015). We found 6 of 14 patients (43%) with *AR-V7*-positive CTCs at baseline who reverted to *AR-V7* negative during cabazitaxel (Table 2). Similar high percentages were seen for the other constitutively active *AR-V3* (75%). For the conditionally active *AR-V1* and *AR-V9*, these fractions were less with 45% and 9%, respectively. Given the negative impact of the presence of *AR-V7* on outcome to anti-AR-targeted compounds (Antonarakis *et al.*, 2015; Antonarakis *et al.*, 2014; Scher *et al.*, 2016), one may hypothesize that a loss of *AR-V7* and perhaps *AR-V3* during chemotherapy translates to a regained sensitivity to anti-AR treatments. However, this hypothesis needs to be tested.

To the best of our knowledge, only two studies reported on the clinical relevance of the presence of other AR-Vs besides AR-V7 in the CTCs of patients with mCRPC (De Laere *et al.*, 2017; De Laere *et al.*, 2019). In the first study (De Laere *et al.*, 2017), characterization of circulating tumor DNA and CTCs from 30 mCRPC patients showed a correlation between the presence of structural variants in the AR gene and AR-Vs. In the second, larger study of the same group (De Laere *et al.*, 2019), the prognostic value of different AR-Vs prior to starting first-line abiraterone and enzalutamide treatment was investigated and demonstrated that TP53 inactivation was an independently associated negative response biomarker, while AR and its splice variants were not. The authors concluded that further comprehensive AR profiling studies are required to determine which patients have a relevant AR biomarker output. Besides, preclinical studies show evidence for dimerization and interactions between different AR-Vs, such as AR-V7 and AR-V1 (Zhan *et al.*, 2017), as well as cross-reactivity of assays between different AR-Vs, such as AR-V7 and AR-V9 (Kohli *et al.*, 2017). Mutual correlations and individual biological functions of the different AR-Vs as well as assay specificity will thus need to be studied in more detail and will be the subject of a planned future study from our group.

A major advantage of our CellSearch-based assay to measure the expression of a panel of AR-Vs and AR-FL in CTCs as opposed to assays like the AdnaTest (Qiagen) is that it provides the possibility to obtain a CTC count in parallel to the CTC characterization. Importantly, our qPCR-based characterization assay incorporates a correction for the number of CTCs present in a sample by use of the expression levels of epithelial genes to limit confounding of the analyses by the CTC count. Both the CTC count and CTC dynamics during treatment have robustly been shown to be strongly associated with outcome (de Bono *et al.*, 2008; Scher *et al.*, 2015; Scher *et al.*, 2009).

The recruitment of patients from a clinical trial provided us with a homogeneous group of patients and samples with corresponding, prospectively collected, clinical data. Only patients with sufficient epithelial input in the sample were selected for the analyses to ascertain reliable results from the *AR-V* assays. A higher median baseline CTC count of 83 vs seven CTCs/7.5 mL blood was detected in the evaluable patients vs the excluded patients with an epithelial signal too low for a reliable *AR-V* assessment (Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018), respectively, which supports the robustness of our assay in measuring tumor-driven signals.

The main limitation of this prospective study is the modest sample size. No formal sample size calculations were performed and the exclusion of 50% of the patients based on insufficient epithelial signal in the samples for a meaningful AR-V analysis limits the power of our analyses. To diminish the chance of type I errors, we applied multiple testing corrections by bootstrapping and employing a more stringent P value < 0.01. Validation of our results in other retrospective and prospective studies is warranted. Other blood-based assays, for example on exosomes (Del Re *et al.*, 2017) or whole blood (Liu *et al.*, 2016; Qu *et al.*, 2017), may in this context aid in reporting the AR-V status in a larger proportion of patients, including those without or with low number of CTCs.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we confirm that the presence of AR-V7has no impact on outcome to cabazitaxel treatment in patients with mCRPC. We found that the presence of other AR-Vs, in particular AR-V1 and AR-V9, though, may predict adverse outcome in terms of survival after cabazitaxel and CTC-RR during treatment, respectively. Especially our analysis showing that the CTCadjusted AR-V1 detection after two cycles of cabazitaxel was an independent prognostic factor for OS is a potentially promising finding. Future studies on the functionality and clinical relevance of different AR-Vs are currently being set up in order to increase our knowledge and understanding. A prospective clinical study on the predictive value of the AR-V7 status of CellSearch-enriched CTCs is currently ongoing (NCT03050866).

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to all patients and their families for their willingness to participate in this study. The authors thank all participating doctors, research nurses, and laboratory technicians for their contributions. This study was financially supported by Sanofi Genzyme. The sponsor had no role in the design and conduct of the study. Cancer Genomics Netherlands financially sponsored co-author AMS.

# **Conflict of interest**

The described *AR-V7* assay has been patented (#WO2016133387 A1) by WO, AMS, and SS.

# **Author contributions**

AMS and WO involved in manuscript preparation, design of the work, data acquisition and data analysis; JK, CMB, NMV, and BdL acquired the data; LD, PH, AB, HM, and GJC included the patient; WMW, GWJ, AJN, and AHM designed the study; JWM supervised the project: SS supervised the project and designed the study. All co-authors have read and approved of the manuscript and acknowledge their contributions.

## References

- Antonarakis ES, Armstrong AJ, Dehm SM and Luo J (2016) Androgen receptor variant-driven prostate cancer: clinical implications and therapeutic targeting. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* **19**, 231–241.
- Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Luber B, Wang H, Chen Y, Nakazawa M, Nadal R, Paller CJ, Denmeade SR, Carducci MA *et al.* (2015) Androgen receptor splice variant 7 and efficacy of taxane chemotherapy in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *JAMA Oncol* **1**, 582–591.
- Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Luber B, Wang H, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Silberstein JL, Taylor MN, Maughan BL, Denmeade SR *et al.* (2017) Clinical significance of androgen receptor splice variant-7 mRNA detection in circulating tumor cells of men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with first-and second-line abiraterone and enzalutamide. *J Clin Oncol***35**, 2149–2156.
- Antonarakis ES, Lu C, Wang H, Luber B, Nakazawa M, Roeser JC, Chen Y, Mohammad TA, Chen Y, Fedor HL *et al.* (2014) AR-V7 and resistance to enzalutamide and abiraterone in prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* 371, 1028–1038.
- de Bono JS, Scher HI, Montgomery RB, Parker C, Miller MC, Tissing H, Doyle GV, Terstappen LW, Pienta KJ and Raghavan D (2008) Circulating tumor cells predict survival benefit from treatment in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 14, 6302–6309.
- De Laere B, van Dam PJ, Whitington T, Mayrhofer M, Diaz EH, Van den Eynden G, Vandebroek J, Del-Favero J, Van Laere S, Dirix L *et al.* (2017) Comprehensive profiling of the androgen receptor in

liquid biopsies from castration-resistant prostate cancer reveals novel intra-AR structural variation and splice variant expression patterns. *Eur Urol* **72**, 192–200.

- Del Re M, Biasco E, Crucitta S, Derosa L, Rofi E, Orlandini C, Miccoli M, Galli L, Falcone A, Jenster GW *et al.* (2017) The detection of androgen receptor splice variant 7 in plasma-derived exosomal RNA strongly predicts resistance to hormonal therapy in metastatic prostate cancer patients. *Eur Urol* **71**, 680– 687.
- DeLaere B, Oeyen S, Mayrhofer M, Whitington T, vanDam PJ, VanOyen P, Ghysel C, Ampe J, Ost P *et al.* (2019). TP53 outperforms other androgen receptor biomarkers to predict abiraterone or enzalutamide outcome in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 25, 1766–1773.
- Heller G, McCormack RT, Kheoh T, Smith MR, Dreicer R, Saad F, De Wit R, Aftab DT, Hirmand M, MacLean DB *et al.* (2017) Circulating tumor cell (CTC) number as a response endpoint in metastatic castration resistant (mCRPC) compared with PSA across five randomized phase 3 trials. *J Clin Oncol* 35, 5007–5007.
- Hu R, Dunn TA, Wei S, Isharwal S, Veltri RW, Humphreys E, Han M, Partin AW, Vessella RL, Isaacs WB *et al.* (2009) Ligand-independent androgen receptor variants derived from splicing of cryptic exons signify hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer Res* 69, 16–22.
- Hu R, Isaacs WB and Luo J (2011) A snapshot of the expression signature of androgen receptor splicing variants and their distinctive transcriptional activities. *Prostate* **71**, 1656–1667.
- Jernberg E, Bergh A and Wikstrom P (2017) Clinical relevance of androgen receptor alterations in prostate cancer. *Endocr Connect* **6**, R146–R161.
- Kohli M, Ho Y, Hillman DW, Van Etten JL, Henzler C, Yang R, Sperger JM, Li Y, Tseng E, Hon T *et al.* (2017) Androgen receptor variant AR-V9 Is coexpressed with AR-V7 in prostate cancer metastases and predicts abiraterone resistance. *Clin Cancer Res* 23, 4704–4715.
- Liu X, Ledet E, Li D, Dotiwala A, Steinberger A, Feibus A, Li J, Qi Y, Silberstein J, Lee B *et al.* (2016) A whole blood assay for AR-V7 and AR(v567es) in patients with prostate cancer. *J Urol* **196**, 1758–1763.
- Miyamoto DT, Zheng Y, Wittner BS, Lee RJ, Zhu H, Broderick KT, Desai R, Fox DB, Brannigan BW, Trautwein J et al. (2015) RNA-Seq of single prostate CTCs implicates noncanonical Wnt signaling in antiandrogen resistance. Science 349, 1351–1356.
- Nakazawa M, Lu C, Chen Y, Paller CJ, Carducci MA, Eisenberger MA, Luo J and Antonarakis ES (2015) Serial blood-based analysis of AR-V7 in men with advanced prostate cancer. Ann Oncol 26, 1859–1865.

- Nieuweboer AJ, de Graan AM, Hamberg P, Bins S, van Soest RJ, van Alphen RJ, Bergman AM, Beeker A, van Halteren H, Ten Tije AJ *et al.* (2017) Effects of budesonide on cabazitaxel pharmacokinetics and cabazitaxel-induced diarrhea: A randomized, open-label multicenter phase II study. *Clin Cancer Res* **23**, 1679–1683.
- Onstenk W, de Klaver W, de Wit R, Lolkema M, Foekens J and Sleijfer S (2016) The use of circulating tumor cells in guiding treatment decisions for patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* **46**, 42–50.
- Onstenk W, Sieuwerts AM, Kraan J, Van M, Nieuweboer AJ, Mathijssen RH, Hamberg P, Meulenbeld HJ, De Laere B, Dirix LY *et al.* (2015) Efficacy of cabazitaxel in castrationresistant prostate cancer is independent of the presence of AR-V7 in circulating tumor cells. *Eur Urol* **68**, 939–945.
- Qu F, Xie W, Nakabayashi M, Zhang H, Jeong SH, Wang X, Komura K, Sweeney CJ, Sartor O, Lee GM *et al.* (2017) Association of AR-V7 and prostate-specific antigen RNA levels in blood with efficacy of abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide treatment in men with prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 23, 726–734.
- Scher HI, Graf RP, Schreiber NA, Jayaram A, Winquist E, McLaughlin B, Lu D, Fleisher M, Orr S, Lowes L *et al.* (2018) Assessment of the validity of nuclear-localized androgen receptor splice variant 7 in circulating tumor cells as a predictive biomarker for castration-resistant prostate cancer. *JAMA Oncol* **4**, 1179–1186.
- Scher HI, Graf RP, Schreiber NA, McLaughlin B, Lu D, Louw J, Danila DC, Dugan L, Johnson A, Heller G *et al.* (2017) Nuclear-specific AR-V7 protein localization is necessary to guide treatment selection in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* **71**, 874–882.
- Scher HI, Heller G, Molina A, Attard G, Danila DC, Jia X, Peng W, Sandhu SK, Olmos D, Riisnaes R *et al.* (2015) Circulating tumor cell biomarker panel as an individuallevel surrogate for survival in metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 33, 1348–1355.
- Scher HI, Jia X, de Bono JS, Fleisher M, Pienta KJ, Raghavan D and Heller G (2009) Circulating tumour cells as prognostic markers in progressive, castrationresistant prostate cancer: a reanalysis of IMMC38 trial data. *Lancet Oncol* 10, 233–239.
- Scher HI, Lu D, Schreiber NA, Louw J, Graf RP, Vargas HA, Johnson A, Jendrisak A, Bambury R, Danila D *et al.* (2016) Association of AR-V7 on circulating tumor cells as a treatment-specific biomarker with outcomes and survival in castration-resistant prostate cancer. JAMA Oncol 2, 1441–1449.
- Sieuwerts AM, Mostert B, van der Vlugt-Daane M, Kraan J, Beaufort CM, Van M, Prager WJC, De Laere B, Beije N, Hamberg P *et al.* (2018) An in-depth evaluation of the validity and logistics surrounding the testing of AR-V7 mRNA expression in circulating tumor cells. *J Mol Diagn* **20**, 316–325.

- Tagawa ST, Antonarakis ES, Gjyrezi A, Galletti G, Kim S, Worroll D, Stewart J, Zaher A, Szatrowski TP, Ballman KV *et al.* (2019) Expression of AR-V7 and ARv567es in circulating tumor cells correlates with outcomes to taxane therapy in men with metastatic prostate cancer treated in TAXYNERGY. *Clin Cancer Res* 25, 1880–1888.
- Zhan Y, Zhang G, Wang X, Qi Y, Bai S, Li D, Ma T, Sartor O, Flemington EK, Zhang H *et al.* (2017)
  Interplay between cytoplasmic and nuclear androgen receptor splice variants mediates castration resistance. *Mol Cancer Res* 15, 59–68.

## **Supporting information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. Details Taqman gene expression assays.

**Table S2.** Limit of detection and limit of quantification for the AR-V assays. Experiments were performed as described before for AR-V7 (Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018). In brief, the limit of detection (LOD), defined as the lowest input of RNA isolated from VCaP cells that could be reliably identified as being qualitatively present in the sample, was calculated to be the RNA equivalent of 0.03 (for AR-WT) to 0.5 (for AR-V3) VCaP cells in the final RT–qPCR. The limit of quantification (LOQ), defined as the lowest input of RNA isolated from VCaP cells that could be reproducibly quantified, was set at a CV of less than 25% and calculated to be equal to the RNA equivalent of 0.02 (for AR-V1) to 12 (for AR-V9) VCaP cells in the final RT–qPCR.

**Table S3.** Intralaboratory validation for the AR-V assays on paired clinical samples. Experiments were performed as described before for AR-V7 (Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018).

**Table S4.** Interlaboratory validation for the *AR-V* assays. Experiments were performed as described before for *AR-V7* (Sieuwerts *et al.*, 2018).

 Table S5. Patient characteristics of all 124 patients

 participating to the CTC study as shown in Fig. 1.

**Table S6.** Extension of Table 1, now also including comparisons between the patient groups with CTCs negative or positive for the other *AR-Vs* besides *AR-V7*.

**Data S1.** In- and exclusion criteria for participation in CABARESC trial.