

Contralateral fatigue during severe-intensity single-leg exercise: influence of acute acetaminophen ingestion

Original investigation

Paul T. Morgan¹, Stephen J. Bailey^{1,3}, Rhys A. Banks¹, Jonathan Fulford², Anni Vanhatalo¹, and Andrew M. Jones¹

¹ Department of Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, St. Luke's Campus, Heavitree Road, Exeter, EX1 2LU, UK.

²Peninsula NIHR Clinical Research Facility, College of Medicine and Health, Exeter, UK.

Address for Correspondence:

Professor Andrew Jones

Department of Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, St. Luke's Campus, Heavitree Road, Exeter, EX1 2LU, UK.

Tel: 01392 722 886

E-mail: A.M.Jones@exeter.ac.uk

³**Present address for Stephen J. Bailey:** School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Epinal Way, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE11 3TU

Running title: Effect of acetaminophen on contralateral leg fatigue

Disclosure of funding: This research was not sponsored by any funding body external to University of Exeter

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Exhaustive single-leg exercise has been suggested to reduce time to task failure (T_{lim}) during
3 subsequent exercise in the contralateral leg by exacerbating central fatigue development. We
4 investigated the influence of acetaminophen (ACT), an analgesic which may blunt central
5 fatigue development, on T_{lim} during single-leg exercise completed both with, and without, prior
6 fatiguing exercise of the contralateral leg. Fourteen recreationally-active men performed
7 single-leg, severe-intensity knee extensor exercise to T_{lim} on the left (Leg₁) and right (Leg₂)
8 legs without prior contralateral fatigue, and on Leg₂ immediately following Leg₁ (Leg₂-CONTRA).
9 The tests were completed following ingestion of 1 g ACT or maltodextrin (placebo) capsules.
10 Intramuscular phosphorous-containing metabolites and substrates, and muscle activation, were
11 assessed using ³¹P-MRS and electromyography, respectively. T_{lim} was not different between
12 the Leg₁ACT and Leg₁PL conditions (402 ± 101 vs. 390 ± 106 s; $P>0.05$). There was also no
13 difference in T_{lim} between Leg₂ACT-CONTRA and Leg₂PL-CONTRA (324 ± 85 vs. 311 ± 92 s; $P>0.05$),
14 but T_{lim} was shorter in these tests compared to Leg₂CON (385 ± 104 s; $P<0.05$). There were no
15 differences in intramuscular phosphorous-containing metabolites and substrates, or muscle
16 activation, between the Leg₁ACT and Leg₁PL or the Leg₂ACT-CONTRA and Leg₂PL-CONTRA
17 conditions ($P>0.05$). These findings suggest that task failure during single-leg severe-intensity
18 knee extensor exercise is associated with the attainment of a similar level of metabolic
19 perturbation and muscle activation, both with and without prior fatiguing exercise of the
20 contralateral leg. Despite the existence of contralateral fatigue, ACT ingestion did not alter
21 neuromuscular responses or exercise performance.

22

23 **Key words:** ³¹P-magnetic resonance spectroscopy; intramuscular metabolites; intramuscular
24 substrates; non-local muscle fatigue, paracetamol

25 INTRODUCTION

26 The mechanisms of exercise-induced fatigue can be attributed to processes within the central
27 nervous system, termed central fatigue, and within the contractile elements of the working
28 muscle, termed peripheral fatigue. It is now recognised that peripheral and central fatigue
29 development are interlinked, in part, via group III/IV muscle afferent feedback (25). Empirical
30 support for a role of group III/IV muscle afferent feedback in modulating the mechanisms of
31 neuromuscular fatigue is provided by reports that inhibiting group III/IV muscle afferent
32 feedback, via lumbar intrathecal administration of fentanyl, lowers central fatigue development
33 and results in increased skeletal muscle metabolic perturbation [greater and/or more rapid
34 increases in adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and inorganic phosphate (Pi) accumulation and
35 declines in phosphocreatine (PCr) and pH] and thus peripheral fatigue development (i.e., 1, 2,
36 8, 10, 11, 12, 38, 39, 40). Conversely, prior fatiguing single-limb exercise has been reported to
37 accentuate central fatigue development and lead to lower peripheral fatigue development
38 during subsequent fatiguing exercise in a contralateral or non-local (previously rested) muscle
39 group, when group III/IV muscle afferent feedback would be expected to be elevated (3, 22,
40 23, 26, 33, 40). However, the underlying mechanisms of non-local muscle fatigue, including
41 the effect of prior fatiguing single-limb exercise on skeletal muscle metabolic perturbation
42 during subsequent fatiguing exercise in a contralateral or non-local muscle group, have yet to
43 be resolved (ref. 23 *for review*). Moreover, while lumbar intrathecal administration of fentanyl
44 and prior fatigue of a contralateral or non-local muscle group can alter group III/IV muscle
45 afferent feedback and the physiological bases of exercise-induced neuromuscular fatigue, the
46 effect of such interventions on exercise performance is equivocal (i.e., 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 11, 12, 22,
47 23, 26, 28, 33).

48

49 There is an emerging body of evidence to suggest that oral ingestion of acetaminophen (ACT)
50 can blunt the development of exercise-induced neuromuscular fatigue and improve exercise
51 capacity and/or performance (19, 30, 31, 32). It is generally accepted that the principal
52 mechanism of action of ACT is the inhibition of cyclooxygenase, the enzyme that catalyses the
53 synthesis of prostaglandins from arachidonic acid (4). Since prostaglandins sensitize
54 nociceptors (36, 37), and since blocking cyclooxygenase attenuates group III/IV muscle
55 afferent discharge during dynamic exercise (24), this might account for reports of increased
56 work output for the same level of perceived pain and exertion (19, 30), and elevated muscle
57 activation (31, 32), during exercise after ACT ingestion. Therefore, ACT administration might
58 be ergogenic by reducing, but not abolishing, the net magnitude of group III/IV muscle afferent
59 feedback, leading to a blunting of exercise-induced central fatigue. Since ACT appears to
60 attenuate exercise-induced neuromuscular fatigue by abating aspects of central fatigue
61 development (19, 30, 31, 32), ACT might be more effective at lowering exercise-induced
62 neuromuscular fatigue following prior exhaustive exercise in a contralateral limb. However,
63 the effects of ACT ingestion on exercise-induced fatigue development and its underlying
64 mechanisms following prior exercise in a contralateral limb have yet to be investigated.

65

66 The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of ACT ingestion on exercise-induced
67 neuromuscular fatigue and some of its underlying mechanisms during single-leg severe-
68 intensity knee extensor exercise completed with and without prior exhaustive severe-intensity
69 knee extensor exercise in the contralateral leg. It was hypothesised that: 1) prior exhaustive
70 exercise would impair subsequent exercise tolerance in the contralateral leg by lowering
71 muscle activation and the degree of muscle metabolic perturbation [changes in muscle pH and
72 PCr ([PCr]), ADP ([ADP]) and Pi ([Pi]) concentrations] that could be attained; 2) ACT
73 ingestion would enhance single-leg knee extensor exercise tolerance by increasing muscle

74 activation (higher surface EMG) and permitting the attainment of a greater degree of muscle
75 metabolic perturbation; and 3) ACT ingestion would improve exercise tolerance to a greater
76 extent with, compared to without, the completion of prior exercise by the contralateral leg.

77

78 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

79 *Subjects*

80 Fourteen active males volunteered to participate in this study (mean \pm SD: age 23.8 ± 4.7 y,
81 height 1.80 ± 0.10 m, body mass 81.6 ± 14.9 kg). All procedures were approved by the Ethics
82 Committee of the Department of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Exeter. This study
83 conformed to the principles of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.
84 Subjects completed a health questionnaire, which was checked by a medical doctor, to ensure
85 it was safe to consume ACT prior to performing exhaustive exercise. The questionnaire
86 incorporated questions pertaining to: known allergies to medications, current intake of
87 medication and prior use of ACT as well as any history of illnesses, cigarette and illegal drug
88 use, alcohol consumption, and chronic illnesses (personal and family history). Prior to each
89 visit, subjects were required to refrain from caffeine (for at least 12 h), strenuous exercise and
90 alcohol (for at least 24 h), analgesics and any form of anti-inflammatory drug (for the duration
91 of the experiment) and to arrive in a fully rested, hydrated state. With the exception of these
92 restrictions, subjects were instructed to maintain their usual diet and exercise regime during the
93 study. All tests were performed at a similar time of day (± 2 h).

94

95 *Pre-experimental procedures*

96 Subjects visited the laboratory on twelve occasions over an 8-12 week period to complete the
97 experimental testing, with a minimum of 72 h separating all tests (figure 1). The experimental
98 testing incorporated 4 pre-experimental trials (visits 1-4) and 8 experimental trials (visits 5-

99 12). *Visits 1-4* were completed within a replica of an MRI scanner (with no magnetic field
100 present). Initially, subjects completed a single-limb incremental test on the left leg (*visit 1*,
101 Leg₁) and right leg (*visit 2*, Leg₂) to task failure to establish the limb-specific work rates that
102 would be applied in subsequent experimental visits (as described below). Following these
103 preliminary tests, subjects completed a familiarisation session on *visits 3 and 4* which
104 comprised a single-leg severe-intensity constant work rate (CWR) test to task failure with the
105 left leg (Leg₁), a single-leg severe-intensity CWR test to task failure with the right leg (Leg₂),
106 and a crossover test where the Leg₁ protocol was repeated and immediately followed by the
107 Leg₂ protocol to assess contralateral fatigue in Leg₂. In these preliminary tests, the Leg₁, Leg₂
108 and Leg₂ contralateral protocols were interspersed by 10 min of passive recovery.

109

110 *Experimental procedures*

111 During *visits 5 and 6*, subjects completed the Leg₁ and Leg₂ protocols without oral consumption
112 of any capsules (Leg₁CON and Leg₂CON, respectively). On *visits 7 and 8*, subjects completed the
113 crossover limb tests described above, 45 mins following the consumption of 1 g maltodextrin
114 (placebo, PL) to determine time to task failure (T_{lim}) values for Leg₁ (Leg₁PL) and Leg₂
115 contralateral (Leg₂PL-CONTRA), and 45 mins following the consumption of 1 g ACT, to determine
116 T_{lim} values for Leg₁ (Leg₁ACT) and Leg₂ contralateral (Leg₂ACT-CONTRA). PL and ACT were
117 administered in the form of 2 identically coloured pills. The placebo was made from
118 maltodextrin powder inserted into gelatine capsules designed to have a similar appearance to
119 ACT without inducing any analgesic or antipyretic effects. The oral consumption of PL and
120 ACT ~45 min prior to commencing exercise was selected to broadly coincide with attainment
121 of the peak plasma [ACT], which occurs ~60 min post ACT ingestion (4, 17), at the onset of
122 the Leg₂-CONTRA tests. The PL and ACT conditions were administered double-blind in a
123 counterbalanced cross-over experimental design. *Visits 5-8* were completed within the bore of

124 an MRI scanner for assessment of exercise-induced changes in intramuscular phosphorous-
125 containing substrates and metabolites. *Visits 5-8* were replicated in *visits 9-12* within a replica
126 of the MRI scanner (with no magnetic field present) to assess muscle electromyography (EMG)
127 and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE).

128

129 *Experimental set-up*

130 Exercise tests were performed in a prone position within the bore of a 1.5 T superconducting
131 magnet (Gyrosan Clinical Intera, Philips, The Netherlands) using a custom-built ergometer
132 for the assessment of intra-muscular [PCr], [Pi], [ADP] and pH (*visits 5-8*) or within a replica
133 of the MRI scanner for preliminary testing (*visits 1-4*) and the assessment of EMG and RPE
134 responses (*visits 9-12*). Subjects' feet were fastened securely to padded foot braces using
135 Velcro straps and connected to the ergometer load baskets via a rope and pulley system. The
136 sprocket arrangement was such that when a bucket containing non-magnetic weights was
137 attached, it provided a concentric-only resistive load, allowing for the performance of rhythmic
138 knee-extension exercise. Single-leg knee-extensions over a distance of ~ 0.22 m were
139 performed continuously at a constant frequency which was set in unison with the magnetic
140 pulse sequence ($40 \text{ pulses min}^{-1}$) to ensure the quadriceps muscle was in the same phase of
141 contraction during each magnetic resonance pulse acquisition. To prevent displacement of the
142 quadriceps relative to the magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) coil, Velcro straps were
143 also fastened over the subject's thighs, hips and lower back.

144

145 *Experimental protocol*

146 To determine peak work rate (WR_{peak}) for each leg, subjects initially completed single-leg
147 incremental knee-extensor exercise on *visits 1 and 2* until they were unable to continue the
148 prescribed work rate, as described previously (43). The load for the initial increment was 4 kg

149 and this was increased by $0.5 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ thereafter until T_{lim} . T_{lim} was recorded when subjects
150 were unable to sustain the required contraction frequency for 3 consecutive repetitions.
151 Following these initial tests, subjects were familiarized with the different exercise tests that
152 comprised the experimental testing protocol. During these visits, a limb-specific, high-intensity
153 work rate, which was expected to elicit T_{lim} in approximately 5–8 min, was prescribed for each
154 subject.

155

156 The experimental exercise protocol consisted of CWR, single-leg knee-extension to T_{lim} .
157 Initially, subjects completed single-leg knee-extension exercise for each limb individually over
158 two separate laboratory visits. Subsequently, to investigate the influence of ACT on
159 contralateral leg fatigue, subjects completed single-leg knee-extension exercise until task
160 failure with Leg₁, followed consecutively (<3 s) by the identical task with the contralateral leg
161 (i.e., Leg₂). These crossover tests to assess contralateral fatigue in Leg₂ were completed 60 min
162 following the consumption of PL and ACT over two separate laboratory visits. For all trials,
163 subjects received strong verbal encouragement to continue for as long as possible but no
164 feedback was given on the elapsed time.

165

166 *MRS measurements*

167 ³¹P-MRS data were acquired every 1.5 s with a spectral width of 1,500 Hz and 1,000 data
168 points. Phase cycling with four phase cycles was used, leading to a spectrum being acquired
169 every 6 s. The subsequent spectra were quantified by peak fitting, using the AMARES fitting
170 algorithm in the jMRUI (v3) software package. Absolute values of [PCr] and [Pi]
171 concentrations were subsequently calculated via the ratio of PCr:adenosine triphosphate (ATP)
172 and Pi:ATP assuming an ATP concentration of 8.2 mM. Intracellular pH was calculated using
173 the chemical shift of the Pi spectra relative to the PCr peak. The ADP concentration was

174 calculated as described by Kemp *et al.* (27). In all cases, relative amplitudes were corrected for
175 partial saturation resulting from the short repetition time relative to T1 relaxation time, via a
176 spectrum consisting of 24 averages that was acquired with a TR of 20 s prior to the
177 commencement of exercise testing.

178

179 *Electromyography*

180 Throughout *visits 9-12*, muscle activity of the right and left *m.vastus lateralis* was recorded
181 using active bipolar bar electrodes with a single differential configuration (DE2.1, DelSys Inc,
182 Boston, MA, USA). Initially, the leg was shaved and cleaned with alcohol to minimize skin
183 impedance. The electrodes were placed over the respective muscle bellies parallel to the
184 longitudinal axis of each muscle (SENIAM guidelines). Double-sided adhesive tape and a
185 hypoallergenic medical tape were used to ensure the EMG sensor stability. The position of the
186 EMG electrodes was measured with respect to the location of the patella and the anterior
187 superior iliac spine and marked with indelible ink to ensure placement in the same location on
188 subsequent visits. The ground electrode was placed over the patella of the respective leg. The
189 EMG signals were pre-amplified (1,000x), band-pass filtered (20–450 Hz, Bagnoli-8, DelSys
190 Inc, Boston, MA, USA), and then transferred to a computer with a sampling frequency of 2
191 kHz. EMG data were recorded continuously and digitised synchronously with 16 bit resolution
192 via an A/D converter (± 5 V range, CED 1401 power, Cambridge, UK) using Spike2 software
193 (CED, Cambridge, UK). During these trials, ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) was measured
194 at 2-min intervals from the onset of exercise using Borg's 6-20 scale (9).

195

196 *Data Analysis*

197 Baseline values for [PCr], [P_i], [ADP], and pH were defined as the mean values measured over
198 the final 60 s of rest (i.e., prior to initiation of the severe-intensity exercise bout). Baseline

199 values for Leg₂ during the crossover protocol (for both PL and ACT) were calculated during
200 the final 60 s of exhaustive Leg₁ exercise. End-exercise values for these variables were defined
201 as the mean values measured over the final 30 s of exercise. The changes (Δ) in [PCr], [Pi],
202 [ADP] and pH across the protocol were then calculated as the difference between end-exercise
203 and baseline values. [PCr], [Pi] and [ADP] were expressed as absolute concentrations and as a
204 percentage change relative to resting baseline (i.e., 100%). The overall rate of change for [PCr],
205 [Pi], [ADP] and pH was calculated as the difference between end-exercise and baseline values
206 divided by T_{lim} . EMG was average rectified and normalised to the first 30 s of each trial
207 (aEMG). For analysis, T_{lim} values obtained from visits 5-8 were used. Visits 9-12 were used to
208 overlay EMG and RPE responses to ³¹P-MRS data.

209

210 *Statistics*

211 Differences in T_{lim} , baseline and end-exercise aEMG and muscle [PCr], [Pi], [ADP], and pH
212 between control limbs (i.e., Leg₁ vs. Leg₂) were assessed using paired samples *t*-tests. A two-
213 way repeated measures ANOVA (time x condition) was employed to test for differences in the
214 profiles of muscle [PCr], [Pi], [ADP] and pH, aEMG (using 30 s mean values), and RPE (using
215 120 s mean values). Where the ANOVA revealed a significant main or interaction effect, post-
216 hoc tests were completed using a Bonferroni correction. For calculation of effect size, partial
217 eta squared (η^2) was used for omnibus tests. Cohen's *d* was used to calculate the effect size for
218 paired *t*-tests and post-hoc comparisons. Where sphericity was violated, a greenhouse-geisser
219 correction factor was applied. For all tests, results were considered statistically significant
220 when $P < 0.05$. Data are presented as means \pm SD unless otherwise indicated. All statistical
221 analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 24.

222

223 **RESULTS**

224 There was no difference in T_{lim} during the Leg_{1CON} (396 ± 105 s) and Leg_{2CON} (385 ± 104 s)
225 protocols ($P>0.05$, $d=0.10$, figure 2). Moreover, there were no differences in [PCr], [Pi], [ADP],
226 pH (table 1, figure 3), aEMG amplitude (table 2, figure 5) and RPE (figure 6) between Leg_{1CON}
227 and Leg_{2CON} at any time (all $P>0.05$). Compared to Leg_{2CON}, T_{lim} was reduced by 19% when
228 Leg₂ was preceded by exhaustive exercise in Leg₁ following the consumption of PL (Leg_{2CON}:
229 385 ± 104 s vs. Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}: 311 ± 92 s, $P<0.01$, $d=0.76$, figure 2).

230

231 *Effect of ACT on single-leg exercise tolerance and contralateral leg fatigue*

232 There was no difference in T_{lim} between the Leg_{1CON} (396 ± 105 s), Leg_{1ACT} (402 ± 101 s) and
233 Leg_{1PL} (390 ± 106 s) conditions ($P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.07$, figure 2). Both Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-}
234 _{CONTRA} T_{lim} were significantly lower compared to Leg_{2CON} ($P<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.71$, figure 2).
235 However, there was no difference in T_{lim} between Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} (311 ± 92
236 s vs. 324 ± 85 s, respectively, $d=0.15$, $P>0.05$, figure 2).

237

238 *Muscle metabolic measurements*

239 The [PCr], [Pi], [ADP] and pH profiles are illustrated in figure 3 for Leg_{1PL} and Leg_{1ACT} and in
240 figure 4 for Leg_{2CON}, Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}, respectively. There were no
241 significant differences in [PCr], [Pi], [ADP] or pH measured at any time points between
242 Leg_{1CON} and Leg_{2CON} ($P>0.05$, table 1, figure 3). Similarly, there were no differences in end-
243 exercise [PCr] (Leg_{2CON}: 16.0 ± 3.0 , Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}: 16.1 ± 2.4 , Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}: 15.7 ± 2.6
244 mM, $\eta^2=0.13$), [ADP] (Leg_{2CON}: 57.8 ± 20.7 , Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}: 56.4 ± 16.8 , Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}: 55.3
245 ± 17.8 μ M, $\eta^2=0.09$) and pH (Leg_{2CON}: 6.83 ± 0.15 , Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}: 6.83 ± 0.20 , Leg_{2ACT-}
246 _{CONTRA}: 6.80 ± 0.15 , $\eta^2=0.05$) between the Leg_{2CON}, Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}
247 conditions ($P>0.05$, table 1, figure 4). However, end-exercise [Pi] was significantly lower in
248 Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} compared to Leg_{2CON} (Leg_{2CON}: 21.8 ± 3.7 , Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}:

249 18.8 ± 4.1 , Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}: 18.7 ± 3.9 mM, $P=0.04$, $\eta^2=0.89$, table 1, figure 4). Baseline [PCr]
250 was significantly higher (36.6 ± 2.1 vs. 33.2 ± 3.2 vs. 33.2 ± 3.1 mM, $P<0.0001$, $\eta^2=3.04$), and
251 [Pi] (Pi: 3.96 ± 0.7 vs. 5.2 ± 1.1 vs. 5.2 ± 1.0 mM, $P<0.01$, $\eta^2=2.13$) and [ADP] (ADP: $5.8 \pm$
252 1.2 vs. 11.4 ± 4.3 vs. 11.4 ± 4.5 μ M, $P<0.01$, $\eta^2=2.55$, table 1, figure 4) were significantly
253 lower, in Leg_{2CON} when compared to Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}, respectively. The rates
254 of change for [Pi] (0.05 ± 0.01 vs. 0.05 ± 0.02 vs. 0.05 ± 0.02 mM/s, $P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.10$), [PCr]
255 (-0.06 ± 0.02 vs. -0.06 ± 0.04 vs. -0.06 ± 0.03 mmol/s, $P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.11$), [ADP] (0.15 ± 0.09
256 vs. 0.17 ± 0.10 vs. 0.15 ± 0.09 μ M/s, $P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.17$) and pH ($P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.08$) were not
257 different between the Leg_{2CON}, Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} conditions, respectively.

258

259 *Electromyography (n=10)*

260 aEMG amplitude of *m. vastus lateralis* rose significantly from the first minute of exercise to
261 end-exercise in all conditions (figure 5; $P<0.01$, $\eta^2=3.8$). However, there were no differences
262 in aEMG between Leg_{1CON}, Leg_{1PL} and Leg_{1ACT} at T_{lim} (Leg_{1CON}: 229 ± 54 , Leg_{1PL}: 224 ± 43 ,
263 Leg_{1ACT}: $238 \pm 51\%$, $P=0.69$, $\eta^2=0.09$, table 2, figure 5). End-exercise aEMG in Leg_{2CON} was
264 also similar to Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}, respectively (Leg_{2CON}: 234 ± 52 , Leg_{2PL-}
265 _{CONTRA}: 226 ± 58 , Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA}: $242 \pm 52\%$, $P=0.69$, $\eta^2=0.20$, table 2, figure 5). However,
266 absolute aEMG was elevated at the start of Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} and Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} exercise when
267 compared to Leg_{2CON} (Leg_{2CON}: 0.04 ± 0.02 , Leg_{2PL-CONTRA}: 0.05 ± 0.02 , Leg_{1ACT-CONTRA}: 0.05
268 ± 0.02 mV, $P<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.58$, table 2, figure 5).

269

270 *Ratings of perceived exertion*

271 RPE increased in all trials following the onset of exercise (figure 6). However, there were no
272 differences in RPE between Leg_{1CON}, Leg_{1PL} and Leg_{1ACT} at any time point ($P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.08$,
273 figure 6). The rate of rise and the end-exercise RPE were also similar during the Leg_{2CON} trial

274 compared with the Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA trials ($P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.18$). However, at
275 the onset of exercise, RPE was significantly higher in Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA when
276 compared to Leg₂CON ($P<0.05$, $\eta^2=0.55$, figure 6). Specifically, during the first 2 min of
277 exercise, there was a respective elevation in RPE of 14% and 13% in Leg₂PL-CONTRA and
278 Leg₂ACT-CONTRA, compared to Leg₂CON ($P<0.05$). There were no differences in RPE at any time
279 points between Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA ($P>0.05$, $\eta^2=0.21$, figure 6).

280

281 **DISCUSSION**

282 The principal original finding of this study was that, while time to task failure was lower during
283 severe-intensity single-leg knee extensor exercise after the completion of prior fatiguing
284 exercise in the contralateral leg, this effect was not mitigated by acute ACT ingestion. We
285 found no differences in the rates of change or end-exercise values for skeletal muscle activation
286 (via EMG), metabolic perturbation (via ³¹P-MRS) and perception of effort (via RPE) during
287 exercise after prior contralateral leg fatigue following ACT and PL ingestion. Moreover, there
288 were also no differences in time to task failure (i.e., T_{lim}), skeletal muscle activation, metabolic
289 perturbation and RPE during single-leg exercise without the completion of prior fatiguing
290 exercise in the contralateral leg following ACT and PL ingestion. These findings do not support
291 our experimental hypotheses and suggest that 1 g of acute ACT ingestion does not improve
292 time to task failure, skeletal muscle activation, metabolic perturbation or perceived exertion
293 during single-leg severe-intensity knee extensor exercise completed with or without prior
294 fatiguing exercise by the contralateral leg. Collectively, these results contribute to our
295 understanding of fatigue development during exercise, performed with or without prior
296 contralateral leg exercise, and in the presence or absence of ACT ingestion.

297

298 In the present study, T_{lim} in the Leg₂PL-CONTRA protocol was shorter than the Leg₂CON protocol,
299 indicative of an earlier task failure after completing exhaustive exercise in the contralateral leg
300 compared to no prior fatiguing contralateral leg exercise. This observation is consistent with
301 some (i.e., 3, 14, 22, 29, 33, 41), but not all (i.e., 16, 21, 34, 42, 45), previous studies reporting
302 greater fatigue development after prior contralateral or non-local muscle fatigue. While the
303 neuromuscular bases of contralateral fatigue development have yet to be fully resolved (23),
304 there is evidence to suggest that greater central fatigue makes an important contribution to this
305 phenomenon (3). In the current study, RPE was higher at baseline and over the initial stages
306 of the Leg₂PL-CONTRA test compared to the Leg₂CON test, leading to an earlier attainment of peak
307 RPE and T_{lim} , consistent with previous observations (3) and the notion that afferent feedback
308 may contribute to increased pain and effort sensation (1, 20). Amann and colleagues (3)
309 reported a lower EMG response at task failure and reduced peripheral fatigue development
310 after prior contralateral leg fatigue. Although the EMG amplitude was not different at task
311 failure in the current study between the Leg₂CON and Leg₂PL-CONTRA tests, baseline EMG was
312 elevated in the Leg₂PL-CONTRA condition, presumably due to isometric stabilisation, leading to
313 the earlier attainment of the same peak EMG amplitude. The greater muscle activation in the
314 non-exercising contralateral leg during the baseline ‘resting’ period in the Leg₂PL-CONTRA
315 condition was accompanied by lower muscle [PCr], and higher muscle [Pi] and [ADP],
316 compared to the Leg₂CON condition. Since there were no differences in muscle [PCr] and [ADP]
317 at T_{lim} , and since the rates of change in [PCr] and [ADP] were not different between the Leg₂CON
318 and Leg₂PL-CONTRA tests, the muscle [PCr] nadir and [ADP] peak were attained earlier in the
319 Leg₂PL-CONTRA test. These observations cohere with reports that the end-exercise values of
320 muscle [PCr], [ADP] and pH are consistent when several bouts of exhaustive exercise of
321 differing duration are completed within the severe-intensity domain (7, 44), and when T_{lim} is
322 altered via prior passive heating of the legs (6) or by hyperoxic gas inhalation (44).

323 Interestingly, however, and despite a higher baseline muscle [Pi] in the Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} condition
324 compared to the Leg_{2CON} condition, muscle [Pi] was lower at the point of task failure in the
325 Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} test. These novel observations suggest that the ergolytic effect of prior
326 contralateral fatigue may be related, at least in part, to a limitation in the attainment of peak
327 intramuscular [Pi].

328

329 It is unclear why prior contralateral leg fatigue limited the attainment of peak [Pi] in the Leg_{2PL-}
330 _{CONTRA} condition compared to the Leg_{2CON} condition, whereas the peak [ADP] and the nadir in
331 pH and [PCr] were not different between these conditions. However, our observations of a
332 limited peak perturbation of muscle [Pi], but not pH, [PCr] and [ADP], when group III/IV
333 muscle afferent feedback would be expected to be elevated via prior contralateral fatigue (3),
334 are in accord with studies from another group who observed greater peak perturbation of
335 muscle [Pi], but not pH, [PCr] and [ADP], when group III/IV muscle afferent feedback was
336 abolished via lumbar intrathecal administration of fentanyl (8, 11, 12). Taken together, these
337 complementary observations suggest that intramuscular phosphorous-containing metabolites
338 and substrates may not respond in a uniform manner to manipulations in skeletal muscle group
339 III/IV afferent feedback and that muscle [Pi] might be a more sensitive marker of peripheral
340 fatigue development. However, it should be acknowledged that, since inter-test variability is
341 greater for contracting skeletal muscle [Pi] than for pH, [PCr] and [ADP] (15), further research
342 is required to verify these observations.

343

344 Although the completion of prior single-leg fatiguing exercise lowered T_{lim} during subsequent
345 exercise in the contralateral leg in the current study, there were no differences between the
346 Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} and Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} conditions in T_{lim} , RPE, or muscle activation and
347 phosphorous-containing metabolites and substrates. Similarly, and also in contrast to our

348 hypothesis, acute ACT ingestion did not alter T_{lim} , RPE, or muscle activation, pH, [PCr], [ADP]
349 or [Pi], during single-leg severe-intensity knee extensor exercise completed without prior
350 fatiguing exercise in the contralateral leg, with these responses being similar between the
351 Leg_{ICON}, Leg_{1PL} and Leg_{1ACT} conditions. These findings conflict with reports that acute ACT
352 consumption can improve exercise performance by increasing work output for the same level
353 of pain and effort sensation (19, 30) and by increasing muscle activation (31, 32).

354

355 *Experimental Considerations*

356 The lack of an ergogenic effect of ACT administration in the current study might be due to
357 differences in the ACT administration procedure compared to previous studies reporting
358 improved performance and delayed neuromuscular fatigue development (19, 30, 31, 32). In the
359 present study, ACT was ingested 45 min prior to the start of the Leg_{1ACT} test, which
360 immediately transitioned into the Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} protocol that was the primary focus of the
361 current study. Since peak plasma [ACT] is attained ~60 min post oral ACT ingestion (4, 17),
362 we elected to administer ACT such that peak plasma [ACT] was expected to coincide with the
363 onset of the Leg_{2ACT-CONTRA} protocol rather than the Leg_{1ACT} protocol. This might account for
364 the lack of an ergogenic effect of ACT during the Leg_{1ACT} protocol compared to other studies
365 that administered ACT 60 min prior to the performance trial (19, 30, 31, 32). Therefore, we
366 cannot exclude the possibility that earlier ACT ingestion (18), at the same or a greater dose
367 (19, 30), might have resulted in improved single-leg severe-intensity exercise tolerance.
368 However, it should also be noted that inter-study differences in participant characteristics (i.e.,
369 training status, motivation and responsiveness to analgesic medication) may have contributed
370 to the differences in ergogenicity observed following ACT ingestion between the current study
371 and some previous studies (19, 30, 31, 32).

372

373 In addition to differences in the ACT dosing procedure, the lack of an ergogenic effect of ACT
374 administration in the current study might be linked to the nature of the fatiguing exercise test
375 administered. Our subjects completed continuous single-leg severe-intensity knee extensor
376 exercise until task failure with no pre-determined end-point (i.e., an ‘open loop’ exercise test).
377 This differs from situations in which ACT ingestion has been reported to be ergogenic, such as
378 completion of a fixed distance (16.1 km) time trial (30), a fixed number of maximal effort
379 repetitions (19, 31), or a fixed duration of maximal effort (32), all of which have a
380 predetermined end point (i.e., a ‘closed loop’ exercise task). Moreover, since exercise-induced
381 pain sensation is positively associated with exercise intensity (5, 13), and since ACT ingestion
382 is suggested to be ergogenic by mitigating pain sensation (19, 30), this might account for the
383 lack of improvement in performance in the longer duration, continuous severe-intensity
384 exercise test we employed compared to the improved exercise performance that has been
385 reported during maximal-intensity exercise (19, 31, 32). With regard to contralateral fatigue
386 development, we cannot exclude the possibility that ACT might have been effective at
387 attenuating the effects of prior single-leg fatigue on T_{lim} during subsequent exercise if a greater
388 degree of contralateral fatigue had been attained. For example, T_{lim} was lowered by 19% in
389 Leg_{2PL-CONTRA} compared to Leg_{2CON} in the current study, whereas Amann et al. (3) reported a
390 much larger (49%) reduction in T_{lim} following contralateral limb fatigue, which would have
391 provided greater scope for an ergogenic effect with ACT ingestion. Moreover, since RPE is
392 higher and T_{lim} is shorter at the same relative exercise intensity when a larger muscle mass is
393 recruited (35), it is possible that ACT ingestion might have improved T_{lim} during exercise after
394 prior fatigue had a larger muscle mass been recruited in either the initial or the subsequent
395 fatiguing exercise task. Further research is required to assess the exercise settings in which
396 ACT administration is more or less likely to be ergogenic.

397

398 In conclusion, the completion of prior single-leg fatiguing exercise compromised exercise
399 tolerance during subsequent exercise in the contralateral leg. This ergolytic effect of prior
400 contralateral leg fatigue was accompanied by elevated baseline RPE, muscle activation and
401 [ADP], and lower baseline [PCr], leading to the earlier attainment of peak (RPE, muscle
402 activation and [ADP]) or nadir (muscle [PCr]) values in these variables, and the attainment of
403 a submaximal end-exercise [Pi]. However, acute ACT ingestion was not effective at lowering
404 perceived exertion, increasing muscle activation or intramuscular perturbation or enhancing
405 T_{lim} during single-leg severe-intensity exercise completed with or without prior fatigue in the
406 contralateral leg. These findings do not support an ergogenic effect of analgesia, at least using
407 the ACT administration and exercise testing procedures employed in the current study.

408 **Conflict of interest**

409 The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this
410 manuscript.

411

412 **Acknowledgements**

413 This research was not sponsored by any funding body external to University of Exeter.

414 Jonathan Fulford's salary was supported via an NIHR grant to the University of Exeter
415 (CRF/2016/10027).

416 **References**

- 417 1. **Amann M, Blain GM, Proctor LT, Sebranek JJ, Pegelow DF and Dempsey JA.**
418 Implications of group III and IV muscle afferents for high-intensity endurance
419 exercise performance in humans. *J Physiol* 589: 5299-5309, 2011.
420
- 421 2. **Amann M, Proctor LT, Sebranek JJ, Pegelow DF and Dempsey JA.** Opioid-
422 mediated muscle afferents inhibit central motor drive and limit peripheral muscle
423 fatigue development in humans. *J Physiol* 587: 271-283, 2009.
424
- 425 3. **Amann M, Venturelli M, Ives SJ, McDaniel J, Layec G, Rossman MJ and**
426 **Richardson RS.** Peripheral fatigue limits endurance exercise via a sensory feedback-
427 mediated reduction in spinal motoneuronal output. *J Appl Physiol* 115: 355-364,
428 2013.
429
- 430 4. **Anderson BJ.** Paracetamol (Acetaminophen): mechanisms of action. *Paediatr*
431 *Anaesth* 18: 915–921, 2008.
432
- 433 5. **Astokorki AH and Mauger AR.** Tolerance of exercise-induced pain at a fixed rating
434 of perceived exertion predicts time trial cycling performance. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*
435 27: 309-317, 2017.
436
- 437 6. **Bailey SJ, Wilkerson DP, Fulford J and Jones AM.** Influence of passive lower-
438 body heating on muscle metabolic perturbation and high-intensity exercise tolerance
439 in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 112: 3569-3576, 2012.
440

- 441 7. BLACK
- 442
- 443 8. **Blain GM, Mangum TS, Sidhu SK, Weavil JC, Hureau TJ, Jessop JE, Bledsoe**
- 444 **AD, Richardson RS and Amann M.** Group III/IV muscle afferents limit the
- 445 intramuscular metabolic perturbation during whole body exercise in humans. *J*
- 446 *Physiol* 594: 5303–5315, 2016.
- 447
- 448 9. **Borg GAV.** An introduction to Borgs RPE Scale. New York: Movement Publications,
- 449 1985.
- 450
- 451 10. **Broxterman RM, Layec G, Hureau TJ, Amann M and Richardson RS.** Skeletal
- 452 muscle bioenergetics during all-out exercise: mechanistic insight into the oxygen
- 453 uptake slow component and neuromuscular fatigue. *J Appl Physiol* 122: 1208–17,
- 454 2017.
- 455
- 456 11. **Broxterman RM, Layec G, Hureau TJ, Morgan DE, Bledsoe AD, Jessop JE**
- 457 **Amann M and Richardson RS.** Bioenergetics and ATP Synthesis during Exercise:
- 458 Role of Group III/IV Muscle Afferents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 49: 2404-2413, 2017.
- 459
- 460 12. **Broxterman RM, Hureau TJ, Layec G, Morgan DE, Bledsoe AD, Jessop JE,**
- 461 **Amann M and Richardson RS.** Influence of group III/IV muscle afferents on small
- 462 muscle mass exercise performance: a bioenergetics perspective. *J Physiol* 596: 2301-
- 463 2314, 2018.
- 464

- 465 13. **Cook DB, O'Connor PJ, Eubanks SA, Smith JC and Lee M.** Naturally occurring
466 muscle pain during exercise: assessment and experimental evidence. *Med Sci Sports*
467 *Exerc* 29: 999-1012, 1997.
- 468
- 469 14. **Doix AC, Lefevre F and Colson SS.** Time course of the cross-over effect of fatigue
470 on the contralateral muscle after unilateral exercise. *PLoS One* 8: e64910, 2013.
- 471
- 472 15. **Edwards LM, Tyler DJ, Kemp GJ, Dwyer RM, Johnson A, Holloway CJ, Nevill**
473 **AM and Clarke K.** The reproducibility of 31-phosphorus MRS measures of muscle
474 energetics at 3 Tesla in trained men. *PLoS One* 7: e37237, 2012.
- 475
- 476 16. **Elmer SJ, Amann M, McDaniel J, Martin DT and Martin JC.** Fatigue is specific to
477 working muscles: no cross-over with single-leg cycling in trained cyclists. *Eur J Appl*
478 *Physiol* 113: 479–488, 2013.
- 479
- 480 17. **Forrest JAH, Clements JA and Prescott LF.** Clinical Pharmacokinetics of
481 Paracetamol. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 7: 93–107, 1982.
- 482
- 483 18. **Foster J, Mauger A, Thomasson K, White S and Taylor L.** Effect of
484 Acetaminophen Ingestion on Thermoregulation of Normothermic, Non-febrile
485 Humans. *Front Pharmacol* 7: 54, 2016.
- 486
- 487 19. **Foster J, Taylor L, Christmas BCR, Watkins SL and Mauger AR.** The influence
488 of acetaminophen on repeated sprint cycling performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 114:
489 41–48, 2014.

490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515

20. GAGNON

21. **Grabiner MD and Owings TM.** Effects of eccentrically and concentrically induced unilateral fatigue on the involved and uninvolved limbs. *J Electromyogr Kines* 9: 185-189, 1999.

22. **Halperin I, Copithorne D and Behm DG.** Unilateral isometric muscle fatigue decreases force production and activation of contralateral knee extensors but not elbow flexors. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 39: 1338–1344, 2014.

23. **Halperin I, Chapman DW and Behm DG.** Non-local muscle fatigue: effects and possible mechanisms. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 115: 2031-2048, 2015.

24. **Hayes SG, Kindig AE and Kaufman MP.** Cyclooxygenase blockade attenuates responses of group III and IV muscle afferents to dynamic exercise in cats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 290: 2239-2246, 2006.

25. **Hureau TJ, Romer LM and Amann M.** The 'sensory tolerance limit': A hypothetical construct determining exercise performance? *Eur J Sport Sci* 18: 13-24, 2018.

26. **Johnson MA, Sharpe GR, Williams NC and Hannah R.** Locomotor muscle fatigue is not critically regulated after prior upper body exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 119: 840-850, 2015.

- 516 27. **Kemp GJ, Roussel M, Bendahan D, Le Fur Y and Cozzone PJ.** Interrelations of
517 ATP synthesis and proton handling in ischaemically exercising human forearm
518 muscle studied by ³¹P magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *J Physiol* 535: 901-928,
519 2001.
- 520
- 521 28. **Kennedy DS, Fitzpatrick SC, Gandevia SC and Taylor JL.** Fatigue-related firing
522 of muscle nociceptors reduces voluntary activation of ipsilateral but not contralateral
523 lower limb muscles. *J Appl Physiol* 18: 408-418, 2015.
- 524
- 525 29. **Kennedy A, Hug F, Sveistrup H and Guevel A.** Fatiguing handgrip exercise alters
526 maximal force-generating capacity of plantar-flexors. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 113: 559–
527 566, 2013.
- 528
- 529 30. **Mauger AR, Jones AM and Williams CA.** Influence of acetaminophen on
530 performance during time trial cycling. *J Appl Physiol* 10: 98–104, 2010.
- 531
- 532 31. **Morgan PT, Bowtell JL, Vanhatalo A, Jones AM and Bailey SJ.** Acute
533 acetaminophen ingestion improves performance and muscle activation during
534 maximal intermittent knee extensor exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 118: 595–605, 2018.
- 535
- 536 32. **Morgan PT, Bowtell JL, Vanhatalo A, Jones AM and Bailey SJ.** Acute
537 acetaminophen ingestion improves performance and muscle activation during
538 maximal 3-min cycling test. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* (in press), 2018.
- 539

- 540 33. **Rathey J, Martin PG, Kay D, Cannon J and Marino FE.** Contralateral muscle
541 fatigue in human quadriceps muscle: evidence for a centrally mediated fatigue
542 response and cross-over effect. *Pflugers Arch* 452: 199-207, 2006.
- 543
- 544 34. **Regueme S, Barthélemy J and Nicol C.** Exhaustive stretch-shortening cycle exercise:
545 no contralateral effects on muscle activity in maximal motor performances. *Scand J*
546 *Med Sci Sport* 17: 547–555, 2007.
- 547
- 548 35. **Rossmann MJ, Venturelli M, McDaniel, J, Amann M and Richardson RS.** Muscle
549 mass and peripheral fatigue: a potential role for afferent feedback? *Acta Physiol* 206:
550 242-250, 2012.
- 551
- 552 36. **Rueff A and Dray A.** Sensitization of peripheral afferent fibres in the in vitro
553 neonatal rat spinal cord-tail by bradykinin and prostaglandins. *Neuroscience* 54: 527-
554 535, 1993.
- 555
- 556 37. **Schaible HG, Ebersberger A and Natura G.** Update on peripheral mechanisms of
557 pain: beyond prostaglandins and cytokines. *Arthritis Res Ther* 13: 210, 2011.
- 558
- 559 38. **Sidhu SK, Weavil JC, Mangum TS, Jessop JE, Richardson RS, Morgan DE and**
560 **Amann M.** Group III/IV locomotor muscle afferents alter motor cortical and
561 corticospinal excitability and promote central fatigue during cycling exercise. *Clin*
562 *Neurophysiol* 128: 44-55, 2017.
- 563

- 564 39. **Sidhu SK, Weavil JC, Thurston TS, Rosenberger D, Jessop JE, Wang E,**
565 **Richardson RS, McNeil CJ and Amann M.** Fatigue-related group III/IV muscle
566 afferent feedback facilitates intracortical inhibition during locomotor exercise. *J*
567 *Physiol* 596: 4789-4801, 2018.
- 568
- 569 40. **Sidhu SK, Weavil JC, Venturelli M, Garten RS, Rossman MJ, Richardson RS,**
570 **Gmelch BS, Morgan DE and Amann M.** Spinal mu-opioid receptor-sensitive lower
571 limb muscle afferents determine corticospinal responsiveness and promote central
572 fatigue in upper limb muscle. *J Physiol* 592: 5011–5024, 2014.
- 573
- 574 41. **Takahashi K, Maruyama A, Hirakoba K, Maeda M, Etoh S, Kawahira K and**
575 **Rothwell JC.** Fatiguing intermittent lower limb exercise influences corticospinal and
576 corticocortical excitability in the nonexercised upper limb. *Brain Stimul* 4: 90–96, 2011.
- 577
- 578 42. **Todd G, Petersen NT, Taylor JL and Gandevia SC.** The effect of a contralateral
579 contraction on maximal voluntary activation and central fatigue in elbow flexor
580 muscles. *Exp Brain Res* 150: 308–313, 2003.
- 581
- 582 43. **Vanhatalo A, Fulford J, Bailey SJ, Blackwell JR, Winyard PG and Jones AM.**
583 Dietary nitrate reduces muscle metabolic perturbation and improves exercise
584 tolerance in hypoxia. *J Physiol* 589: 5517-5528, 2011.
- 585
- 586 44. **Vanhatalo A, Fulford J, DiMenna FJ and Jones AM.** Influence of hyperoxia on
587 muscle metabolic responses and the power-duration relationship during severe-

588 intensity exercise in humans: a ³¹P magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Exp*
589 *Physiol* 95: 528-540, 2010.

590

591 45. **Zijdewind I, Zwarts MJ and Kernell D.** Influence of a voluntary fatigue test on the
592 contralateral homologous muscle in humans? *Neurosci Lett* 253: 41–44, 1998.

Table 1. Muscle metabolic responses in Leg₁CON, Leg₁PL, Leg₁ACT, Leg₂CON, Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA conditions.

| | Leg ₁ CON | Leg ₁ PL | Leg ₁ ACT | Leg ₂ CON | Leg ₂ PL-CONTRA | Leg ₂ ACT-CONTRA |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Muscle metabolic response | | | | | | |
| [PCr] | | | | | | |
| Baseline PCr (%) | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 92 ± 5* | 93 ± 4* |
| PCr at 120 s (%) | 70 ± 8 | 70 ± 8 | 71 ± 47 | 71 ± 8 | 62 ± 9* | 63 ± 7* |
| End-exercise PCr (%) | 42 ± 9 | 41 ± 9 | 41 ± 8 | 44 ± 8 | 45 ± 7 | 44 ± 8 |
| Rate of change PCr (mmol/s) | -0.06 ± 0.01 | -0.06 ± 0.03 | -0.06 ± 0.02 | -0.05 ± 0.03 | -0.06 ± 0.04 | -0.06 ± 0.03 |
| [Pi] | | | | | | |
| Baseline Pi (%) | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 125 ± 24* | 126 ± 23* |
| Pi at 120 s (%) | 310 ± 66 | 313 ± 71 | 306 ± 62 | 312 ± 66 | 316 ± 70 | 318 ± 64 |
| End-exercise Pi (%) | 590 ± 149 | 590 ± 137 | 594 ± 156 | 588 ± 177 | 459 ± 110* | 460 ± 109* |
| Rate of change Pi (mmol/s) | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02 |
| [ADP] | | | | | | |
| Baseline ADP (%) | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 100 ± 0 | 200 ± 78* | 201 ± 77* |
| ADP at 120 s (%) | 404 ± 161 | 415 ± 183 | 400 ± 148 | 412 ± 170 | 538 ± 176 | 516 ± 154* |
| End-exercise ADP (%) | 1028 ± 386 | 1036 ± 421 | 1046 ± 409 | 1024 ± 401 | 980 ± 316 | 978 ± 312 |
| Rate of change ADP (μmol/s) | 0.15 ± 0.08 | 0.15 ± 0.09 | 0.14 ± 0.07 | 0.15 ± 0.09 | 0.17 ± 0.10 | 0.15 ± 0.09 |
| pH | | | | | | |
| Baseline pH | 7.04 ± 0.01 | 7.03 ± 0.02 | 7.05 ± 0.04 | 7.04 ± 0.03 | 7.04 ± 0.03 | 7.05 ± 0.02 |
| pH at 120 s | 6.96 ± 0.09 | 6.94 ± 0.07 | 6.92 ± 0.08 | 6.95 ± 0.08 | 6.93 ± 0.10 | 6.94 ± 0.08 |
| End-exercise pH | 6.77 ± 0.18 | 6.76 ± 0.15 | 6.76 ± 0.16 | 6.83 ± 0.15 | 6.83 ± 0.20 | 6.80 ± 0.15 |

PL, placebo; ACT, acetaminophen; EMG, electromyography; PCr, Phosphocreatine; Pi, Inorganic Phosphate; ADP, Adenosine diphosphate;
*significantly different from Leg₂CON, $P < 0.05$

595 **Tables**Table2. Electromyography (EMG) responses of *m. vastus lateralis* in Leg₁CON, Leg₁PL, Leg₁ACT, Leg₂CON, Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA conditions.

| | Leg₁CON | Leg₁PL | Leg₁ACT | Leg₂CON | Leg₂PL- CONTRALATERAL | Leg₂ACT- CONTRALATERAL |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Neuromuscular function | | | | | | |
| Baseline EMG _{RMS} amplitude (mV) | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.05 ± 0.02* | 0.05 ± 0.02* |
| End-exercise EMG _{RMS} amplitude (%) | 229 ± 54 | 224 ± 43 | 238 ± 51 | 234 ± 52 | 226 ± 58 | 242 ± 52 |
| EMG _{RMS} amplitude at 120 s (%) | 150 ± 27 | 160 ± 25 | 166 ± 26 | 158 ± 29 | 155 ± 32 | 158 ± 34 |

PL, placebo; ACT, acetaminophen; EMG, electromyography; RMS, root mean square; *significantly different from Leg₂CON, $P < 0.05$. Data are from 10 subjects.

596

597 **Legends to figures**

598 *Figure 1*

599 Protocol schematic. Visits 1-4 were completed within a replica of the MRI scanner. Subjects
600 completed a single-leg incremental test on the left leg (visit 1, Leg₁) and right leg (visit 2, Leg₂).
601 Subjects then completed a familiarisation session on visits 3 and 4 which comprised a single-
602 leg severe-intensity constant work rate (CWR) test to task failure with Leg₁, Leg₂ and a
603 crossover test where the Leg₁ protocol was repeated and immediately followed by the Leg₂
604 protocol (interspersed by 10 min of passive recovery). During visits 5 and 6, subjects completed
605 the Leg₁ and Leg₂ protocols, respectively, without oral consumption of any capsules. On visits
606 7 and 8, subjects commenced the crossover test, 45 mins following the consumption of 1 g
607 maltodextrin (PL) and 45 mins following the consumption of 1 g ACT. Visits 5-8 were
608 completed within the bore of an MRI scanner for assessment of intramuscular phosphorous
609 substrates and metabolites and then replicated within a replica of the MRI scanner (visits 9-12)
610 to assess muscle electromyography (EMG) and ratings of perceived exertion (RPE). The
611 dashed vertical lines represent the limit of tolerance (i.e., T_{lim}) for each trial and/or leg,
612 respectively.

613

614 *Figure 2*

615 Exercise tolerance (time to task failure, s) in Leg₁CON, Leg₂CON, Leg₁PL, Leg₂PL-CONTRA, Leg₁ACT
616 and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA conditions. Data are presented as mean ± SD *significantly different from
617 Leg₁CON, Leg₂CON; Leg₁PL and Leg₁ACT ($P < 0.05$).

618

619 *Figure 3*

620 Intramuscular phosphocreatine concentration ([PCr]; panel A), inorganic phosphate
621 concentration ([Pi]; panel B), adenosine diphosphate ([ADP]; panel C) and pH (panel D) during

622 severe-intensity, single-leg knee-extensor exercise in the left leg following PL ingestion
623 (Leg₁PL, filled circles) and ACT (Leg₁ACT, clear circles) ingestion. Data are expressed as group
624 mean \pm SE.

625

626 *Figure 4*

627 Intramuscular phosphocreatine concentration ([PCr]; panel A), inorganic phosphate
628 concentration ([Pi]; panel B), adenosine diphosphate ([ADP]; panel C) and pH (panel D) during
629 severe-intensity, single-leg knee-extensor exercise in the right control leg (Leg₂CON, open
630 triangles) and in the right leg following prior exhaustive exercise in the left leg after PL
631 ingestion (Leg₂PL-CONTRA, filled circles) and ACT (Leg₂ACT-CONTRA, clear circles) ingestion. Data
632 are expressed as group mean \pm SE. *T_{lim} significantly different from Leg₂PL-CONTRA and
633 Leg₂ACT-CONTRA ($P < 0.05$); #significantly different from Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA
634 ($P < 0.05$).

635

636 *Figure 5*

637 Surface electromyography (EMG) of the *m.vastus lateralis* muscle during severe-intensity,
638 single-leg knee-extensor exercise in Leg₁PL (filled circles) and Leg₁ACT (clear circles) (panel
639 A), and in the right control leg (Leg₂CON, open triangles), and in Leg₂ following prior exhaustive
640 exercise in Leg₁ after PL (Leg₂PL-CONTRA, filled circles) and ACT (Leg₂ACT-CONTRA, clear circles)
641 ingestion (panel B). Mean values for average rectified EMG (aEMG) during each muscle
642 contraction were calculated and averaged over each 30-s period. Data are expressed as group
643 mean \pm SE relative to the first 30 s of each trial. *T_{lim} significantly different from Leg₂PL-CONTRA
644 and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA ($P < 0.05$).

645

646 *Figure 6*

647 Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) during severe-intensity, single-leg knee-extensor exercise
648 of the left leg in Leg₁PL (filled circles) and Leg₁ACT (clear circles) (panel A), in the right control
649 leg (Leg₂CON, open triangles), and in the right leg following prior exhaustive exercise in the left
650 leg after PL ingestion (Leg₂PL-CONTRA, filled circles) and ACT (Leg₂ACT-CONTRA, clear circles)
651 ingestion (panel B). Data are expressed as group mean \pm SE. *T_{lim} significantly different from
652 Leg₂PL-CONTRA and Leg₂ACT-CONTRA ($P < 0.05$); #RPE significantly different from Leg₂CON
653 ($P < 0.05$).