International Journal of Nanoscience Vol. X, No. X (2019) 1–6 © World Scientific Publishing Company

Trapping charge carriers in low-dimensional Dirac materials

C. A. Downing

Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50009, Spain downing@unizar.es

M. E. Portnoi

School of Physics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QL, United Kingdom & ITMO University, St. Petersburg 197101, Russia m.e.portnoi@exeter.ac.uk

> Received 19 January 2019 Revised XX XXX 2019

We consider the problem of confining the famously elusive Dirac-like quasiparticles, as found in some recently discovered low-dimensional systems. After briefly surveying the existing theoretical proposals for creating bound states in Dirac materials, we study relativistic excitations with a position-dependent mass term. With the aid of an exactly-solvable model, we show how bound states begin to emerge after a critical condition on the size of the mass term is met. We also reveal some exotic properties of the unusual confinement discovered, including an elegant chevron structure of the bound state energies as a function of the size of the mass.

Keywords: Dirac materials, bound states, Dirac equation, confinement, relativistic wave equation

1. On the problem of charge confinement

Since the discovery of graphene in 2004, the exciting field of Dirac materials (those which host excitations who obey a version of Dirac's relativistic equation for the electron) was born^{1 2 3}. These exotic materials, where relativistic quantum mechanics and condensed matter physics merge in a nontrivial way, are a playground for groundbreaking fundamental discoveries, as well as for the next generation of electronic devices⁴.

A key issue to address when considering the electronic properties of Dirac materials is how to confine their relativistic charge carriers. This is because these quasi-particles exhibit Klein (chiral) tunneling effects⁵, leading to high transmission probabilities in standard potential step barrier problems⁶. For the case of massless (ultrarelativistic) particles, the situation seems even more desperate, since their governing wave equation in potential wells maps onto the Schrödinger equation for scattering states, suggesting that the generation of bound states is an impossible task⁷.

In this work, we briefly review some of the theoretical suggestions for creating discrete states in Dirac materials. We then propose a theory of bound states of Dirac particles with a position-dependent mass term. Guided by an exactly-solvable model, we reveal how bound states first appear (at zero energy) at a threshold strength of the mass term; and how further bound states appear regularly in a remarkable chevron pattern. We also characterize, with a brief equation, the number of bound states in the system as a function of the size of the mass.

arXiv:1903.12465v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 29 Mar 2019

2 C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi

Therefore, our work opens up another avenue to explore in the quest to trap Dirac-like charge carriers.

In what follows, we review some theoretical proposals which enable bound states of Dirac particles in Sec. 2, and we introduce our theory of bound states of relativistic particles with a positiondependent mass in Sec. 3, before drawing some brief conclusions in Sec. 4.

2. Some previous proposals to bind relativistic particles

Here we briefly outline some theoretical proposals for achieving bound states in Dirac materials⁸ ⁹. We focus on four principle themes: trapping in magnetic fields in Sec. 2.1; confinement of zero energy states in electric fields in Sec. 2.2; bound states due to precise Fermi velocity engineering in Sec. 2.3; and finally we discuss the crucial role of the mass term in Sec. 2.4.

2.1. Magnetic fields

Perhaps the simplest method to bring about the ondemand confinement of Dirac particles is through the application of magnetic fields perpendicular to the Dirac material. Of course, a constant magnetic field profile leads to the emergence of relativistic Landau levels and the quantum Hall effect¹⁰. Socalled magnetic quantum dots,¹¹ where the magnetic field is spatially inhomogeneous, have also been considered recently and shown to lead to the formation of bound states,¹² ¹³ albeit under some quite strict criteria for the decay strength of the magnetic field¹⁴ ¹⁵. The experimental realization of magnetic quantum dots in Dirac materials is an open task.

2.2. Electric fields

Confinement in quantum dots formed by potential wells has been extensively studied ¹⁶ 17 ¹⁸. For massive particles, bound states are allowed despite the Klein phenomenon, as has been shown by a plethora of exact solutions of Dirac-like equations in various potential wells ¹⁹ ²⁰ ²¹ ²². However, the situation is very different for massless particles ²³ ²⁴. Then the Klein tunneling always succeeds and only quasi-bound states may form. However, a series of works has shown truly bound states may form at zero-energy, both in two-dimensional ²⁵ ²⁶ ²⁷ and one-dimensional ²⁸ ²⁹ Dirac materials. These works

exploit the fact that the pseudospin, the conserved quantity which allows for perfect chiral transmission, is ill-defined at the apex of the Dirac cone, that is, precisely at zero energy. The experimental signatures of zero energy bound states have been recently reported in groundbreaking measurements³⁰ 31

2.3. Fermi velocity engineering

It has been put forward that Dirac particles may also be confined by so-called velocity barriers³². This term refers to barriers formed by a region of one Fermi velocity surrounded by a region of a different Fermi velocity, leading to an exotic form of trapping potential. A succession of theoretical papers have described the scattering and bound state solutions in such circumstances³³ ³⁴ ³⁵, which may arise in certain strain configurations of the Dirac material, as shown in the latest experimental work ³⁶.

2.4. Inducing a mass term

It has already been mentioned in Sec. 2.2 that confinement in quantum dots is much easier when the Dirac particles are massive, that is, when the Hamiltonian contains a term proportional to the third Pauli matrix σ_z . Alternatively, if one is dealing with massless particles, one may employ the socalled Berry-Mondragon (or infinite-mass) boundary condition³⁷. This condition may confine ultrarelativistic excitations to a specific small region, outside of which their mass is considered infinite. Such a condition has wide applications in the latest nanoribbon and nanotube research, where edge termination is of particular importance³⁸ 39 40.

3. Bound states of Dirac particles with a position-dependent mass

Here we consider Dirac particles in one-dimension with a spatially inhomogeneous mass term, in a theoretical framework outlined in Sec. 3.1. Bound states of quantum particles with a position dependent mass have been studied before, predominately in the context of non relativistic systems⁴¹ 42 43, but also in the relativistic regime⁴⁴. Here we show some remarkable properties of bound states of relativistic particles with a position-dependent mass, with the aid of an elegant exactly-solvable model introduced in Sec. 3.2.

3.1. The Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian of a massive relativistic particle in a one-dimensional Dirac material reads

$$H = v_{\rm F} p_x \sigma_x + m v_{\rm F}^2 \sigma_z, \qquad (1)$$

where the Fermi velocity $v_{\rm F}$ plays the role of the speed of light c from Dirac's theory, p_x is the momentum operator and m is the quasiparticle mass. In Eq. (1), $\sigma_{x,z}$ are Pauli's first and third spin matrices respectively. The Hamiltonian (1) describes Dirac particles with the famous relativistic spectrum

$$E = \pm \sqrt{\left(mv_{\rm F}^2\right)^2 + \left(v_{\rm F} p_x\right)^2},$$
 (2)

where \pm alludes to electron and hole-like quasiparticles, which mimics the electron and positron symmetry from Dirac's original theory of the relativistic electron. Let us now consider a position dependent mass,

$$m = m(x), \tag{3}$$

in the 2×2 matrix Hamiltonian (1), which leads to the Schrödinger equation

$$H\Psi = E\Psi, \quad \Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_A(x) \\ \psi_B(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where the wavefunction Ψ is a two-component column vector, reflecting the pseudospin of the system. Therefore, one is presented with the following system of two coupled ordinary differential equations to be solved

$$\frac{d}{dx}\psi_B = (\varepsilon - \Delta(x))\psi_A, \qquad (5a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}\psi_A = (\varepsilon + \Delta(x))\,\psi_B,\tag{5b}$$

where the reduced energy is $\varepsilon = E/\hbar v_{\rm F}$ and the reduced mass profile function is $\Delta(x) = m v_{\rm F}/\hbar$. Switching the dependent variables with the relations $\psi_{1,2} = \psi_A \pm \psi_B$, leads to the new system of equations

$$\left(\varepsilon - \frac{d}{dx}\right)\psi_1 = \Delta(x)\psi_2,$$
 (6a)

$$\left(\varepsilon + \frac{d}{dx}\right)\psi_2 = \Delta(x)\psi_1,$$
 (6b)

which are in a convenient form for further calculations. Indeed, it is straightforward to show that Eq. (6) admit analytic solutions for a variety of band-gaps profiles $\Delta(x)$, including functional forms known from other studies⁴⁵ 46, and we will revisit such solutions in a future work. From now on, we label the total spinor wavefunction as $\phi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ and consider a specific $\Delta(x)$.

3.2. The model

We consider a mass term changing exponentially in space, modeled with the symmetric reduced bandgap function

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta_0 e^{-\frac{|x|}{d}},\tag{7}$$

where Δ_0 is the maximal strength of the band-gap and d is the length scale over which the band-gap decays.

We begin by considering the system of equations Eq. (6) with the mass-profile (7) in the halfspace x > 0. Upon eliminating ψ_2 , the second-order differential equation governing ψ_1 is given by

$$\psi_1''(x) + \left(\frac{1}{d}\right)\psi_1'(x) + \left(\Delta_0 e^{-\frac{2x}{d}} - \varepsilon^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)\psi_1(x) = 0,$$
(8)

where the prime denotes taking a derivative with respect to x. Changing the independent variable to

$$z = e^{-\frac{x}{d}},\tag{9}$$

leads to the transformation of Eq. (8) into

$$\psi_1''(z) + \left([\Delta_0 d]^2 - \frac{\varepsilon d[1+\varepsilon d]}{z^2} \right) \psi_1(z) = 0, \qquad (10)$$

where the prime now refers to the new variable z, and where the term proportional to ψ'_1 has been eliminated. In Eq. (10), the ansatz

$$\psi_1(z) = \sqrt{z}f(z),\tag{11}$$

gives rise to the following equation for the unknown function f(z):

$$f''(z) + \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)f'(z) + \left(\left[\Delta_0 d\right]^2 - \frac{[\varepsilon d + 1/2]^2}{z^2}\right)f(z) = 0.$$
(12)

This equation is simply Bessel's differential equation in the scaled variable $\Delta_0 d z$, and thus has the standard solution

$$f(z) = c_1 J_{\varepsilon d+1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, z \right) + c_2 Y_{\varepsilon d+1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, z \right), \ (13)$$

where $J_{\alpha}(\xi)$ and $Y_{\alpha}(\xi)$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kinds respectively, both of order α , and $c_{1,2}$ are arbitrary constants. We consider positive energies $\varepsilon > 0$ for the moment, and always require ψ_1 to be square-integrable, so that the physical solution to Eq. (12) is with $J_{\alpha}(\xi)$ only. Along with Eq. (9) and Eq. (11), this selection from Eq. (13) completes the search for $\psi_1(x)$ for x > 0.

Recalling the coupled equation (6), once ψ_1 is known, ψ_2 directly follows from Eq. (6a). Hence

4 C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi

the complete spinor wavefunction in the half-space x > 0 reads

$$\phi^{x>0}(x) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{d}} e^{-\frac{x}{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} J_{\varepsilon d+1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, e^{-\frac{x}{d}} \right) \\ J_{\varepsilon d-1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, e^{-\frac{x}{d}} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

where C is a constant to be determined by normalization of the total wavefunction $\phi(x)$ over all space. The symmetries of the coupled equations (6) allow one to then write down the corresponding solution in the half-space x < 0 as

$$\phi^{x<0}(x) = \tau \frac{C}{\sqrt{d}} e^{\frac{x}{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} J_{\varepsilon d-1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, e^{\frac{x}{d}} \right) \\ J_{\varepsilon d+1/2} \left(\Delta_0 d \, e^{\frac{x}{d}} \right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where we have introduced the parameter

$$\tau = \pm 1. \tag{16}$$

After imposing the continuity of both wavefunction components ψ_1 and ψ_2 at the interface x = 0, the following condition arises

$$J_{\varepsilon d-1/2}\left(\Delta_0 d\right) = \tau J_{\varepsilon d+1/2}\left(\Delta_0 d\right),\qquad(17)$$

which is the exact expression governing the allowed eigenenergies ε of the system, as a function of the two mass profile parameters Δ_0 and d [c.f. Eq. (7)]. In the limit of small energy $\varepsilon d \ll 1$, Eq. (17) simplifies considerably into the analytic expression

$$\Delta_0 d = \pi \left(n_\tau + \frac{\tau}{4} \right), \quad \varepsilon d \ll 1, \tag{18}$$

where $n_{+} = 0, 1, 2...$ and $n_{-} = 1, 2, 3...$ are integers and τ is given by Eq. (16). Equation (18) simply governs the existence of zero energy ($\varepsilon = 0$) bound states at critical band-gap sizes. Away from this limit, one may find the exact bound state energies from the roots of Eq. (17). We note a similar equation to Eq. (17) can be derived when considering negative energies $\varepsilon < 0$.

The result of standard root-finding procedures for the eigenvalue equation (17) is shown in Fig. 1, which displays a beautiful chevron structure. It is immediately striking that bound states only occur above a threshold band-gap size, given by

$$(\Delta_0 d)_{\text{threshold}} = \frac{\pi}{4},\tag{19}$$

which is indeed a zero energy state, described by $n_+ = 0$ in Eq. (18). In Fig. 1, the dimensionless eigenenergies εd are found from Eq. (17) with $\tau = +$ (red lines) and $\tau = -$ (blue lines), as a function of the dimensionless band-gap strength $\Delta_0 d$ (scaled by $4/\pi \simeq 1.27$ for convenience). All of the bound states fall within the region demarcated by $\varepsilon = \pm \Delta_0$

(dashed black lines). The emergence of new zero energy states at constant intervals of $\Delta_0 d = \pi/2$ [c.f. Eq. (18)], leads to a neat classification of the total number of bound states N for a system with some finite $\Delta_0 d$. The categorization reads

$$N = 0, \quad \Delta_0 d < \frac{\pi}{4}, \tag{20a}$$

$$N = 1, 3, 5, ..., \quad \Delta_0 d = \frac{N\pi}{4},$$
 (20b)

$$N = 2, 4, 6, ..., \quad \frac{(N-1)\pi}{4} < \Delta_0 d < \frac{(N+1)\pi}{4}, \quad (20c)$$

such that are no bound states (N = 0) below a threshold band-gap strength [c.f. Eq. (19)]; there are an odd number of bound states at critical bandgap strengths [c.f. Eq. (18)]; and otherwise there are regions of an even number of bound states. This scheme is marked in Fig. 1 by the critical band-gap sizes $\Delta_0 d = \{\pi/4, 3\pi/4, 5\pi/4, 7\pi/4, 9\pi/4\}$ (dotted gray lines).



Fig. 1. A plot of eigenenergy εd against bandgap strength $\Delta_0 d$, as found from Eq. (17) for $\varepsilon > 0$, with $\tau = +$ (red lines) and $\tau = -$ (blue lines). Guides for the eye: $\varepsilon = \pm \Delta_0$ (dashed black lines). Critical bandgaps: $\Delta_0 d = \{\pi/4, 3\pi/4, 5\pi/4, 7\pi/4, 9\pi/4\}$ (dotted gray lines).

The results of this section highlight an underappreciated approach to bind relativistic Dirac particles: confinement by considering a positiondependent mass profile. We have seen some remarkable properties of bound states in such systems, via an exactly-solvable model, including the importance of a threshold strength of band-gap [c.f. Eq. (18)], and a beautiful chevron structure of the bound states trapped in the effective mass barrier [c.f. Fig. 1].

4. Conclusions

We have considered the most conceptually simple problem associated with Dirac-like charge carriers in the emerging field of Dirac materials: how can one confine and manipulate such elusive particles? We have briefly reviewed some theoretical literature on the topic of charge confinement low-dimensional Dirac materials, including the use of magnetic and electric fields, Fermi velocity engineering and the introduction of a mass term.

We have shown, through an analytic model, that Dirac particles with a position-dependent mass profile are able to be confined in certain situations. We have detailed the properties of such bound states, including how they form a remarkable chevron structure. Our work paves the way for more sophisticated theoretical studies of low-dimensional Dirac systems which host excitations with spatially inhomogeneous mass profiles, with the eventual aim of experimental detection of the novel bound states predicted in this work.

Acknowledgments

C.A.D. acknowledges support from the Juan de la Cierva program (MINECO, Spain). M.E.P. is grateful for the support of the EU H2020 RISE project CoExAN (Grant No. H2020-644076). This work was supported by the Government of the Russian Federation through the ITMO Fellowship and Professorship Program.

References

- B. Thaller, *The Dirac equation* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1956).
- S.-Q. Shen, Topological insulators: Dirac equation in condensed matters (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012).
- V. G. Bagrov and D. Gitman, *The Dirac equation and its solutions* (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014).
- T. O. Wehling, A. M. Black-Schaffer, and A. V. Balatsky, Adv. Phys. 76, 1 (2014).
- 5. O. Klein, Z. Phys. 53, 157 (1929).
- M. I. Katsnelson, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Nature Physics 2, 620 (2006).
- T. Y. Tudorovskiy and A. V. Chaplik, JETP Lett. 84, 619 (2007).
- A. Rozhkov, G. Giavaras, Y. P. Bliokh, V. Freilikher, and F. Nori, Phys. Rep. 503, 77 (2011).
- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Status Solidi B xx, xx (2019) [in press].
- Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer and P. Kim, Nature 438, 201 (2005).
- A. De Martino, L. Dell Anna, and R. Egger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 066802 (2007).
- M. Ramezani Masir, A. Matulis, and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 79, 155451 (2009).
- P. Roy, T. K. Ghosh, and K. Bhattacharya, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 055301 (2012).

- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 94, 165407 (2016).
- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 94, 045430 (2016).
- P. G. Silvestrov and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 016802 (2007).
- A. Matulis and F. M. Peeters, Phys. Rev. B 77, 115423 (2008).
- P. Recher and B. Trauzettel, Nanotechnology, 21 302001 (2010).
- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. A 90, 052116 (2014).
- R. R. Hartmann and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. A 89, 012101 (2014).
- R. R. Hartmann and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. A 95, 062110 (2017).
- R. R. Hartmann and M. E. Portnoi, Sci. Rep. 7, 11599 (2017).
- R. R. Hartmann, N. J. Robinson, and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 81, 245431 (2010).
- 24. C. A. Downing, D. A. Stone, and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 84, 155437 (2011).
- J. H. Bardarson, M. Titov, and P. W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 226803 (2009).
- C. A. Downing, A. R. Pearce, R. J. Churchill, and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 92, 165401 (2015).
- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, Nat Commun. 8, 897 (2017).
- 28. R. R. Hartmann, I. A. Shelykh, and M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 84, 035437 (2011).
- D. A. Stone, C. A. Downing, M. E. Portnoi, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075464 (2012).
- J. Lee, D. Wong, J. Velasco Jr, J. F. Rodriguez-Nieva, S. Kahn, H.-Z. Tsai, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, A. Zettl, F. Wang, L. S. Levitov and M. F. Crommie, Nature Physics 12, 1032 (2016).
- K.-K. Bai, J.-J. Zhou, Y.-C. Wei, J.-B. Qiao, Y.-W. Liu, H.-W. Liu, H. Jiang, and L. He, Phys. Rev. B 97, 045413 (2018).
- N. M. R. Peres, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 095501 (2009).
- A. Concha and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B 82, 033413 (2010).
- 34. A. Raoux, M. Polini, R. Asgari, A. R. Hamilton, R. Fazio, and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 81, 073407 (2010).
- C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 29, 315301 (2017).
- C. S. C. Downs, A. Usher and J. Martin, J. Appl. Phys. **119**, 194305 (2016).
- 37. M. V. Berry and R. J. Mondragon, Proc. R. Soc. A 412, 53 (1987).
- V. A. Saroka, K. G. Batrakov, L. A. Chernozatonskii, Physics of the Solid State 56, 2135 (2014).
- V. A. Saroka, K. G. Batrakov, V. A. Demin, and L. A. Chernozatonskii, Journal of Physics: Condensed

6 C. A. Downing and M. E. Portnoi

Matter 27, 145305 (2015).

- V. A. Saroka and K. G. Batrakov, Russian Physics Journal 59, 633 (2016).
- L. Dekar, L. Chetouani, T. F. Hammann, J. Math. Phys **39**, 2551 (1998).
- 42. A. D. Alhaidari, Phys. Rev. A 66, 042116 (2002).
- 43. R. Koc and M. Koca, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 8105 (2003).
- 44. A. D. Alhaidari, Physics Letters A 322, 72 (2004).
- 45. C. A. Downing, J. Math. Phys 54, 072101 (2013).
- 46. C. A. Downing, Phys. Rev. A **95**, 022105 (2017).