

Characterization of Attachment Differences of Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli (STEC) to Pre-Chill and Post-Chill Beef Tissues B.C. Uhl¹, D. A.Unruh¹, R. K.Phebus², S. E.Gragg¹

Introduction

Shigella toxin-producing Escherichia coli (STEC) has been implicated in beef-related foodborne illness outbreaks. Environmental factors influence bacterial attachment on understanding of bacterial and beef attachment may inform future innervations at the abattoir.

Objective

This study measured STEC attachment under simulated meat processing conditions on adipose and lean beef tissues.

Methods

Beef brisket was purchased from a local grocer, and 50 cm² adipose and lean tissue samples were obtained and stored overnight (18 h; 4°C). The following day, half of the samples were heated to a surface temperature of 30°C while the remaining samples were maintained at 4°C prior to inoculation with 150 µL STEC cocktail (026, 045, 0103, 0111, 0121, O145, and O157:H7; ca. 7 log CFU/mL) onto the meat surface. Samples were stored at 4°C 30 min after inoculation and enumerated at times 0, 3, 5, and 20 min and 1, 3, 8, 12, 24 and 48 h by spread plating loosely attached cells (buffer) and firmly attached cells (homogenized sample) on MacConkey Agar. At every sampling point, each meat sample was shaken for 90 s in a stomacher bag with 0.1% peptone water (PW), transferred into a second stomacher bag with fresh PW, and homogenized.

CFU/cm²

These data demonstrate that the firmly attached STEC population steadily increases on lean and adipose beef tissues over time. Future research should investigate if an increase in firmly attached STEC cells is correlated to reduced intervention efficacy on post-chill carcasses and subprimal cuts, as commonly observed.

¹Department of Animal Sciences & Industry, Kansas State University, Olathe, Kansas 66061 ²Department of Animal Sciences & Industry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506



Tissue type and temperature were not significant within the TSB or M9 data sets, within this experiment. Within the TSB model, the effect of the combination of sample type and tissue type was statistically significant, with a difference of 0.26 log CFU/cm^2 .

Note: superscripts symbolize varying statistical significance

Conclusions

Experimental Findings

Figure 5: Comparison of loose and firm populations of STEC cells grown in TSB over 48 h, at 4°C

2012-68003-30155.



Appreciation is expressed United States Department of Agriculture for financial support of this experiment provided by grant number

Support

Time (minutes)



 Loose
- Firm

Beef Tissue Type	
(log ₁₀ CFU/cm ²)	Firm (log ₁₀ CFU/cm ²)
4.90 ^a	4.38 ^{e,f,d}
4.94 ^a	4.63 ^{b,c}
4.89 ^a	4.38 ^{e,f,d}
4.89 ^a	4.37 ^{e,f,d}
4.57 ^{b,c,d}	4.47 ^{e,c,d}
4.43 ^{e,f,c,d}	4.57 ^{b,c,d}
4.37 ^{e,f,d}	4.56 ^{c,d}
4.25 ^f	4.54 ^{e,c,d}
4.25 ^f	4.63 ^{b,c}
4.33 ^{e,f}	4.79 ^{a,b}

Table 1: Comparison of Populations of STEC cells grown in TSB



