DOI: 10.1002/ece3.5280

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Ecology and Evolution

WILEY

Is reproductive strategy a key factor in understanding the evolutionary history of Southern Ocean Asteroidea (Echinodermata)?

Camille Moreau ^{1,2} 🕩	Bruno Danis ¹	Quentin Jossart ^{1,3} 🕩	Marc Eléaume ⁴
Chester Sands ⁵ 💿 \mid	Guillaume Achaz ^{4,6}	Antonio Agüera ¹	Thomas Saucède ²

¹Marine Biology Lab, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Belgium

²Biogéosciences, UMR 6282 CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France

³Marine Biology, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Brussels, Belgium

⁴Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

⁵Natural Environment Research Council, British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

⁶Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherche en Biologie (CIRB), CNRS, INSERM, Collège de France, Paris, France

Correspondence

Camille Moreau, Laboratoire de Biologie Marine, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), CP160/15 50 Av. F.D. Roosevelt, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium. Email: mr.moreau.camille@gmail.com

Funding information

Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture; Belgian Science Policy Office, Grant/Award Number: BR/132/A1/vERSO

Abstract

Life traits such as reproductive strategy can be determining factors of species evolutionary history and explain the resulting diversity patterns. This can be investigated using phylogeographic analyses of genetic units. In this work, the genetic structure of five asteroid genera with contrasting reproductive strategies (brooding: Diplasterias, Notasterias and Lysasterias versus broadcasting: Psilaster and Bathybiaster) was investigated in the Southern Ocean. Over 1,400 mtDNA cytochrome C oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences were analysed using five species delineation methods (ABGD, ASAP, mPTP, sGMYC and mGMYC), two phylogenetic reconstructions (ML and BA), and molecular clock calibrations, in order to examine the weight of reproductive strategy in the observed differences among phylogeographic patterns. We hypothesised that brooding species would show higher levels of genetic diversity and species richness along with a clearer geographic structuring than broadcasting species. In contrast, genetic diversity and species richness were not found to be significantly different between brooders and broadcasters, but broadcasters are less spatially structured than brooders supporting our initial hypothesis and suggesting more complex evolutionary histories associated to this reproductive strategy. Broadcasters' phylogeography can be explained by different scenarios including deep-sea colonisation routes, bipolarity or cosmopolitanism, and sub-Antarctic emergence for the genus Bathybiaster; Antarctic- New Zealand faunal exchanges across the Polar Front for the genus Psilaster. Brooders' phylogeography could support the previously formulated hypothesis of a past trans-Antarctic seaway established between the Ross and the Weddell seas during the Plio-Pleistocene. Our results also show, for the first time, that the Weddell Sea is populated by a mixed asteroid fauna originating from both the East and West Antarctic.

KEYWORDS

Antarctica, Asteroidea, bipolarity, brooding, Echinodermata, emergence, invertebrate, thermohaline expressway, trans-Antarctic seaway

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The diversity of marine life in the Southern Ocean (SO) has long been underestimated, and many taxa could be overlooked or misidentified due to the reliance on systematics based solely on morphological characters (Clarke, 2008; Gutt, Sirenko, Smirnov, & Arntz, 2004). The growing awareness of these issues and the lack of representative sampling (Griffiths, Putte, & Danis, 2014) have prompted Antarctic marine biologists to undertake a series of comprehensive census surveys covering a wide range of taxa in the last two decades. International efforts such as the International Polar Year (IPY 2007-2008) and the Census of Antarctic Marine Life (CAML 2005-2010) have been the launching pads for a better assessment of SO biodiversity and its underlying ecological processes. Recent studies that have applied molecular techniques to these SO specimens, exploring diversity, systematics, and phylogeography, have significantly increased our understanding of Antarctic benthic ecosystems (Sands, O'Hara, Barnes, & Martín-Ledo, 2015). These international SO sampling expeditions have achieved several major objectives, such as the creation of a baseline census of biodiversity (De Broyer & Danis, 2011; Griffiths, Danis, & Clarke, 2011), proposing how evolution has been influenced by the regional geological, climatic, and oceanographic histories (Fraser, Nikula, Spencer, & Waters, 2009; González-Wevar et al., 2018), and disentangling phylogeographic patterns at lower taxonomic levels to better understand relationships among populations and species on a case-by-case strategy (Brasier et al., 2017; Dömel, Melzer, Harder, Mahon, & Leese, 2017). This extensive work led to the discovery and the description of many new species (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Verheye, 2017; Janosik & Halanych, 2010). More importantly, these studies show the frequent discordance existing between traditional (morphology-based) and molecular (DNA-based) methods for assessing species diversity (Dömel et al., 2017; Janosik, Mahon, & Halanych, 2011). Cryptic speciation is a documented source of species diversity underestimation, but it is not the only one. A recent study on the most studied sea star species in the SO, Odontaster validus, Peck, Clark, and Dunn (2018) showed that polymorphism in some morphological characters could lead to misidentification of this frequently encountered species. Incorrect taxonomic assignments due to the lack of clear identification keys (Allcock & Griffiths, 2014), descriptions of nominal species based on distribution only (Díaz, Féral, David, Saucède, & Poulin, 2011; Saucede et al., 2015), and descriptions based on juvenile specimens (Roberts, Hopcroft, & Hosie, 2014) or on deteriorated specimens due to inappropriate conservation practices are all common limitations in meaningful biodiversity assessments (Meyer, 2016).

Processes that have and continue to drive complex diversity patterns in the SO are far from being fully understood, but the role of certain drivers has been demonstrated in a number of molecular studies. Processes can be extrinsic (e.g., paleogeographic, climatic, oceanographic) or intrinsic (life history traits) (Allcock & Strugnell, 2012; Thatje, 2012), and their combined effects are cumulative, making identification of explanatory processes a difficult endeavor. Life history traits such as reproductive strategies have been proven to shape the genetic structure of species in contrasting ways (Modica, Russini, Fassio, & Oliverio, 2017). Most marine benthic organisms show low to zero mobility during the adult stage. The dispersal capacity of larvae is thus expected to condition population genetic structure (Bowen, Bass, Muss, Carlin, & Robertson, 2006; Purcell, Cowen, Hughes, & Williams, 2006). Larval development (e.g., planktotrophic, lecithotrophic), parental care (brooders vs. broadcasters), and pelagic larval duration (from days to several months; Selkoe & Toonen, 2011) are intrinsic factors that can combine with extrinsic factors, such as oceanographic currents or geological history, to determine the population genetic structure (Hoffman, Clarke, Linse, & Peck, 2011; Jossart et al., 2017).

As a consequence of their high diversity and differentiated functional roles, benthic invertebrates have been the subject of many studies on genetic diversity and connectivity (see Riesgo, Taboada, & Avila, 2015 for a review). Sea stars (Asteroidea, Echinodermata) represent a diversified, abundant, and common ecological group in SO benthic habitats (Jossart, Moreau, Agüera, De Broyer, & Danis, 2015). To date, around 300 species have been recorded from the SO (Moreau, Agüera, Jossart, & Danis, 2015; Moreau et al., 2018) but it is likely that many more remain to be described. Recent studies based on species morphological identification tried to disentangle distribution patterns and biogeographic relationships in sea stars at the scale of the entire SO (Moles et al., 2015; Moreau et al., 2017). These studies described the spatial structure of asteroid assemblages and stressed the major influence of life history traits, among which reproductive strategy appeared to have the most notable effect (Moreau et al., 2017).

Few molecular studies have been performed on SO asteroids, and they are nearly exclusively focused on the common *Odontaster* genus (Janosik & Halanych, 2010; Janosik et al., 2011). The phylogeny and evolutionary history of the Asteroidea in the SO have, however, been discussed in several studies (Mah & Foltz, 2011a, 2011b, 2014; Mah et al., 2015). In their comprehensive studies on the molecular phylogeny of the superorders Valvatacea and Forcipulatacea, Mah and Foltz (2011a) describe some diversification processes in several Antarctic and sub-Antarctic families (e.g., Odontasteridae, Antarctic Asteriidae) but they did not analyze the lower taxonomic levels (i.e., genera and species).

Brooders and broadcasters have contrasting dispersal capabilities (low-range dispersal in brooders vs. high range in broadcasters), and brooding taxa are usually hypothesized to display (a) higher genetic diversity, (b) greater species richness, and (c) more genetic differentiation through space than broadcasters (Modica et al., 2017; Purcell et al., 2006). In the present study, we tested these assumptions by investigating the genetic diversity and the phylogeography of five widely distributed asteroid genera across the SO. We selected genera with contrasting reproductive strategies in two distinct clades: the three brooding genera *Diplasterias, Lysasterias,* and *Notasterias* in the monophyletic family Asteriidae (Foltz, Bolton, Kelley, Kelley, & Nguyen, 2007; Mah & Foltz, 2011b), and the two broadcasting genera *Psilaster* and *Bathybiaster* in a monophyletic group of the family Astropectinidae (Bosch & Pearse, 1990; Mah & Foltz, 2011a).



FIGURE 1 Maps of the SO indicating sample location for each target group (red stars). Sampling locations are labeled: red–South Georgia; pink–South Sandwich Islands; yellow–Bouvet Island; dark blue–Kerguelen Islands; light blue–East Antarctica; light green–Amundsen Sea; orange–Antarctic Peninsula; dark green–Burdwood Bank; and purple–Weddell Sea. Projection: South Pole Stereographic

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Comprehensive sampling and DNA sequence compilation

Studied specimens were sampled during several expeditions in the SO (Figure 1; Appendix S1); all were preserved in 96% ethanol or frozen and identified at species or genus level (either by Christopher Mah or Camille Moreau). Genomic DNA was extracted using either a salting-out protocol (modified from Sunnucks & Hales, 1996, with larger volumes and incubation at 70°C for 10 min to inhibit protein activity after digestion) or Qiagen DNeasy extraction kits. A fragment (612 nucleotides) of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) was then amplified using the specific forward primer LCOech1aF1 for the class Asteroidea and the universal HCO2198 reverse primer (Folmer, Black, Hoeh, Lutz, & Vrijenhoek, 1994). COI sequences amplified using the same primers were also obtained through The Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD, Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2007) in both public and private datasets, accounting for 460 of the 1,416 sequences analyzed in this study (Appendix S1). As the

Astropectinidae genera are also recorded outside the SO (under different nominal species), all available sequences for these from outside the SO were included within this study (Appendix S1). Reverse and forward sequences were edited and assembled using CodonCode Aligner v6.0.2 and translated using the echinoderm mitochondrial genetic code to ensure the absence of a stop codon. Sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE alignment process (Edgar, 2004). Base compositional heterogeneity was examined using match-paired tests for symmetry (Ababneh, Jermiin, Ma, & Robinson, 2006) in SeqVis v1.5 (Ho et al., 2006).

2.2 | Phylogenetic reconstruction

Due to relatively high genetic distances, phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed independently within the Asteriidae and the Astropectinidae. *Coscinasterias muricata* and *Thrissacanthias penicillatus* were used as outgroups, respectively, following previous phylogenetic studies (Mah & Foltz, 2011a, 2011b). Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian analyses (BA) were used to construct the trees using only unique haplotypes. ML reconstructions were generated WILEY_Ecology and Evolution

using a codon partitioned model and the GTR + G substitution model in RAxML v 8.1.2 (Stamatakis, 2014) through the raxmIGUI interface (Silvestro & Michalak, 2012). To assess branch support, 10 runs were realized with 1,000 thorough bootstraps each. The PartitionFinder v2 software (Lanfear, Frandsen, Wright, Senfeld, & Calcott, 2016) was used for the BA reconstructions on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller, Pfeiffer, & Schwartz, 2010) to select for best-fit partitioning schemes and models of evolution. An XML file was created with BEAUti v1.8.4 (Drummond, Suchard, Xie, & Rambaut, 2012) using a partition for each codon position as specified by PartitionFinder v2. a strict clock model with a lognormal prior for "clock.rate" such that the median reflected a universal divergence time of echinoderm COI of 3.1%-3.5% per Myr (McCartney, Keller, & Lessios, 2000), a Markov chain Monte Carlo run of 20×10^6 generations sampling every 1,000 trees, and a Yule process speciation prior. The XML file was used through the software BEAST v1.8.4 on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al., 2010) to reconstruct time-calibrated trees. Tracer v1.6 allowed us to ensure an appropriate effective sampling size (ESS > 200) as recommended by the software documentation. TreeAnnotator v1.8.4 calculated a consensus tree which was visualized using FigTree v1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

2.3 | Species delineation

Several single-locus methods of species delineation were used to delineate and explore diversity among the studied genera. Two of these methods, the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent (GMYC-Pons et al., 2006; Fujisawa & Barraclough, 2013) and the multirate Poisson Tree Processes (mPTP-Kapli et al., 2017), are tree-based methods requiring an ultrametric tree for the former and a maximum likelihood tree for the latter. Both single (sGMYC)- and multiple-threshold (mGMYC) models were investigated using the R package SPLITS (Ezard, Fujisawa, & Barraclough, 2009), and the ultrametric tree was obtained using BEAST during the phylogenetic reconstruction. The online web service (available at http://mptp.hits.org) was used for mPTP with the ML tree constructed using RAxML. We also performed a distance-based analysis using the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD-Puillandre, Lambert, Brouillet, & Achaz, 2012) on the online server (http://wwwabi.snv. jussieu.fr/public/abgd/abgdweb.html) with default settings for the prior range (0.001, 0.1), K80-corrected distances, and a value of 1.5 for the relative gap width (X). We also used the newly developed ASAP method (http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/asap/; N. Puillandre et al., in prep.) with default settings and K80-corrected distances. For subsequent analyses, we have selected the method giving the smallest number of delineated entities as recommended to avoid false interpretation (Carstens, Pelletier, Reid, & Satler, 2013). All molecular diversity indexes were calculated using the DnaSP v6 software (Rozas et al., 2017) for each previously delineated species. Differences in haplotype and nucleotide diversity between brooders and broadcasters were tested using a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test (R Core Team, 2018). Only calculations for sample sizes ≥25 individuals were discussed as suggested

by Goodall-Copestake, Tarling, and Murphy (2012). Intra- and interspecific genetic distances within each genus were calculated using MEGA v7.0.18 (Kumar, Stecher, & Tamura, 2016) and the Kimura 2-parameter model. Haplotype networks were generated using a TCS network method (Clement, Snell, Walker, Posada, & Crandall, 2002) inferred in the software PopART (http://popart. otago.ac.nz). The genus *Psilaster* being recovered as polyphyletic, we focus on the SO nominal species *Psilaster charcoti*.

3 | RESULTS

In total, 1,416 sequences of 612bp were included in the analyses (Appendix S1, Table 1, Figure 1), varying from 224 for *Lysasterias* to 318 for *Notasterias*. Neither stop codons nor significant evidence for base heterogeneity were observed.

3.1 | Species delineation

Species delineation applied to all genera shows contrasting results dependent upon the method applied (Figures 2–4; Table 2). Overall, the mGMYC method delineated the highest number of entities (79), while mPTP was the most conservative (19). Following a conservative approach, we based our work on the following delineated entities: two for *Bathybiaster*, three for *Diplasterias* and *Notasterias*, and four for *P. charcoti* and *Lysasterias*. These results are in line with haplotype networks and phylogenetic groupings (Figures 2–4; Appendix S2). Among the brooding entities, only one matches with an identified morphological species: *Diplasterias meridionalis*. In broadcasters, one delineated entity of the genus *Bathybiaster* comprises specimens from the Arctic identified as *Bathybiaster vexillifer* and *Psilaster andromeda*.

Genetic diversity of the delineated entities ranged from 0.00160 (*P. charcoti*—clade 3) to 0.01547 (*Diplasterias* sp2) for nucleotide diversity and from 0.394 (*P. charcoti*—clade 3) to 0.924 (*P. charcoti* clade 1) for haplotype diversity (Table 1). The number of segregating sites varied from 11 (*D. meridionalis*) to 50 (*P. charcoti*—clade 1). Mean intraspecific distances varied from 0.16% (*P. charcoti*—clade 3) to 1.6% for *Diplasterias* sp2 (Table 1), while interspecific distances ranged from 2% between clades 2 and 3 (*P. charcoti*) to 6.8% between *Lysasterias* sp1 and *Lysasterias* sp4 (Appendix S2).

Haplotype and nucleotide diversity are not significantly different between brooders and broadcasters (Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney tests; *p*-values: 0.1709 and 0.9433, respectively).

3.2 | Phylogenies and divergence time estimates

In total, 578 sequences in broadcasters and 838 in brooders were used for ML and BA reconstructions. Both methods give congruent results for broadcasters with similar taxonomic groupings and high node supports (Figure 2). Node support was higher using the BA method for brooders. Branching patterns were, however, identical in all reconstructions. **TABLE 1** Molecular diversity statistics for each delineated species. Statistics for taxonomic groups with n < 25 are not represented. n: number of sequences. π : nucleotide diversity. H: haplotype diversity

Brooders Lysasterias sp1 81 0.0100 ± 0.0062 16 0.831 ± 0.027 25 0.0103 ± 0.0092 Lysasterias sp2 78 0.0114 ± 0.0093 12 0.840 ± 0.019 26 0.018 ± 0.0092 Lysasterias sp3 56 0.00825 ± 0.0095 13 0.765 ± 0.055 21 0.0085 ± 0.0092 Notasterias sp1 236 0.00561 ± 0.0032 23 0.733 ± 0.027 31 0.0114 ± 0.0096 Notasterias sp1 236 0.01262 ± 0.0030 21 0.909 ± 0.013 32 0.0130 ± 0.0069 Diplasterias sp1 105 0.01262 ± 0.0030 21 0.909 ± 0.013 32 0.0130 ± 0.0069 Diplasterias sp1 105 0.01262 ± 0.0030 21 0.909 ± 0.013 32 0.0130 ± 0.0069 Diplasterias sp1 105 0.01262 ± 0.0030 21 0.909 ± 0.013 32 0.0161 ± 0.0169 Bithybiaster sp2 116 0.01547 ± 0.00027 19 0.849 ± 0.021 34 0.0057 ± 0.0039 Psilaster charcot iclade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.0069	Taxonomic group	n	π	n of haplotype	н	Segregating sites	Mean intraspe- cific distance
Lysasterias sp1810.01000 ± 0.00062160.831 ± 0.027250.0103 ± 0.0069Lysasterias sp2780.01146 ± 0.0093120.840 ± 0.019260.0118 ± 0.0092Lysasterias sp3560.00825 ± 0.0095130.765 ± 0.055210.0085 ± 0.0073Notasterias sp12360.00561 ± 0.0032230.733 ± 0.027310.0057 ± 0.0044Notasterias sp2800.01108 ± 0.0019140.718 ± 0.037310.0114 ± 0.0096Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.0030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.0097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias sp21160.00357 ± 0.0023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters750.0037 ± 0.0042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp11330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.00151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.00272 ± 0.00028120.734 ± 0.052120.0027 ± 0.0026Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.0026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Brooders						
Lysasterias sp2780.01146 ± 0.00093120.840 ± 0.019260.0118 ± 0.0092Lysasterias sp3560.00825 ± 0.00095130.765 ± 0.055210.0085 ± 0.0073Notasterias sp12360.00561 ± 0.0032230.733 ± 0.027310.0057 ± 0.0044Notasterias sp2800.01108 ± 0.00109140.718 ± 0.037310.0114 ± 0.0096Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.0030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.0097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.00023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters911330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp11330.00357 ± 0.00054280.835 ± 0.026340.0057 ± 0.0051Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.0027 ± 0.00028120.734 ± 0.052120.0016 ± 0.0026Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.00026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Lysasterias sp1	81	0.01000 ± 0.00062	16	0.831 ± 0.027	25	0.0103 ± 0.0069
Lysasterias sp3560.00825 ± 0.00095130.765 ± 0.055210.0085 ± 0.0073Notasterias sp12360.00561 ± 0.00032230.733 ± 0.027310.0057 ± 0.0044Notasterias sp2800.01108 ± 0.00109140.718 ± 0.037310.0114 ± 0.0096Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.00030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.0097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.0023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters1330.00347 ± 0.0042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp11330.00357 ± 0.00054280.835 ± 0.026340.0057 ± 0.0051Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.00272 ± 0.0028120.734 ± 0.052120.0027 ± 0.0026Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.0026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Lysasterias sp2	78	0.01146 ± 0.00093	12	0.840 ± 0.019	26	0.0118 ± 0.0092
Notasterias sp12360.00561 ± 0.00032230.733 ± 0.027310.0057 ± 0.0044Notasterias sp2800.01108 ± 0.00109140.718 ± 0.037310.0114 ± 0.0096Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.00030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.00097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.00023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters911330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp11330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.00272 ± 0.00028120.734 ± 0.052120.0027 ± 0.0026Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.00026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Lysasterias sp3	56	0.00825 ± 0.00095	13	0.765 ± 0.055	21	0.0085 ± 0.0073
Notasterias sp2800.01108 ± 0.00109140.718 ± 0.037310.0114 ± 0.0096Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.0030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.0097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.00023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters911330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp11330.00357 ± 0.00054280.835 ± 0.026340.0057 ± 0.0051Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.00272 ± 0.00028120.734 ± 0.052120.0016 ± 0.0026Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.00026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Notasterias sp1	236	0.00561 ± 0.00032	23	0.733 ± 0.027	31	0.0057 ± 0.0044
Diplasterias sp11050.01262 ± 0.00030210.909 ± 0.013320.0130 ± 0.0069Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.00097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.00023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019BroadcastersBathybiaster sp11330.00347 ± 0.00042250.790 ± 0.023290.0035 ± 0.0039Bathybiaster sp21100.00557 ± 0.00054280.835 ± 0.026340.0057 ± 0.0051Psilaster charcoti clade 1920.01457 ± 0.00069290.924 ± 0.015500.0151 ± 0.0085Psilaster charcoti clade 2550.00272 ± 0.00028120.734 ± 0.052120.0016 ± 0.0025Psilaster charcoti clade 31480.00160 ± 0.00026190.394 ± 0.052170.0016 ± 0.0025	Notasterias sp2	80	0.01108 ± 0.00109	14	0.718 ± 0.037	31	0.0114 ± 0.0096
Diplasterias sp21160.01547 ± 0.00097190.849 ± 0.021360.0161 ± 0.0123Diplasterias meridionalis750.00239 ± 0.00023130.777 ± 0.031110.0024 ± 0.0019Broadcasters </td <td>Diplasterias sp1</td> <td>105</td> <td>0.01262 ± 0.00030</td> <td>21</td> <td>0.909 ± 0.013</td> <td>32</td> <td>0.0130 ± 0.0069</td>	Diplasterias sp1	105	0.01262 ± 0.00030	21	0.909 ± 0.013	32	0.0130 ± 0.0069
Diplasterias meridionalis 75 0.00239 ± 0.00023 13 0.777 ± 0.031 11 0.0024 ± 0.0019 Broadcasters Image: Constraint of the state sp1 133 0.00347 ± 0.00042 25 0.790 ± 0.023 29 0.0035 ± 0.0039 Bathybiaster sp1 133 0.00357 ± 0.00042 25 0.790 ± 0.023 29 0.0035 ± 0.0039 Bathybiaster sp2 110 0.00557 ± 0.00054 28 0.835 ± 0.026 34 0.0057 ± 0.0051 Psilaster charcoti clade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.00069 29 0.924 ± 0.015 50 0.00151 ± 0.0085 Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.00028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0016 ± 0.0025 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.00026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Diplasterias sp2	116	0.01547 ± 0.00097	19	0.849 ± 0.021	36	0.0161 ± 0.0123
Broadcasters Bathybiaster sp1 133 0.00347 ± 0.00042 25 0.790 ± 0.023 29 0.0035 ± 0.0039 Bathybiaster sp2 110 0.00557 ± 0.00054 28 0.835 ± 0.026 34 0.0057 ± 0.0051 Psilaster charcoti clade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.00069 29 0.924 ± 0.015 50 0.0151 ± 0.0085 Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.00028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0027 ± 0.0020 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.0026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Diplasterias meridionalis	75	0.00239 ± 0.00023	13	0.777 ± 0.031	11	0.0024 ± 0.0019
Bathybiaster sp1 133 0.00347 ± 0.00042 25 0.790 ± 0.023 29 0.0035 ± 0.0039 Bathybiaster sp2 110 0.00557 ± 0.0054 28 0.835 ± 0.026 34 0.0057 ± 0.0051 Psilaster charcoti clade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.0069 29 0.924 ± 0.015 50 0.0151 ± 0.0085 Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.0028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0016 ± 0.0026 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.0026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Broadcasters						
Bathybiaster sp2 110 0.00557 ± 0.00054 28 0.835 ± 0.026 34 0.0057 ± 0.0051 Psilaster charcoti clade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.0069 29 0.924 ± 0.015 50 0.0151 ± 0.0085 Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.00028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0027 ± 0.0020 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.0026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Bathybiaster sp1	133	0.00347 ± 0.00042	25	0.790 ± 0.023	29	0.0035 ± 0.0039
Psilaster charcoti clade 1 92 0.01457 ± 0.00069 29 0.924 ± 0.015 50 0.0151 ± 0.0085 Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.00028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0016 ± 0.0025 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.00026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Bathybiaster sp2	110	0.00557 ± 0.00054	28	0.835 ± 0.026	34	0.0057 ± 0.0051
Psilaster charcoti clade 2 55 0.00272 ± 0.00028 12 0.734 ± 0.052 12 0.0027 ± 0.0020 Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.00266 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Psilaster charcoti clade 1	92	0.01457 ± 0.00069	29	0.924 ± 0.015	50	0.0151 ± 0.0085
Psilaster charcoti clade 3 148 0.00160 ± 0.00026 19 0.394 ± 0.052 17 0.0016 ± 0.0025	Psilaster charcoti clade 2	55	0.00272 ± 0.00028	12	0.734 ± 0.052	12	0.0027 ± 0.0020
	Psilaster charcoti clade 3	148	0.00160 ± 0.00026	19	0.394 ± 0.052	17	0.0016 ± 0.0025

The monophyly of the genus *Psilaster* is not supported by our analysis, but SO *Psilaster* representatives are monophyletic (i.e., the nominal species *P. charcoti*). The genus *Bathybiaster* is monophyletic and includes specimens from the SO and from the Northern Hemisphere (Figure 2). *Psilaster* specimens collected outside the SO (*Psilaster acuminatus* from New Zealand and Australia, *Psilaster andromeda* from Sweden, and *Psilaster pectinatus* from the Arctic Ocean) are retrieved as monophyletic in a sister clade to *Bathybiaster*. The monophyly of each brooding genus is supported, but one subclade only matches with the morphological taxonomy: the species *D. meridionalis*. All other subclades are composed of specimens belonging to distinct morphospecies questioning current taxonomy at the species level.

Divergence time estimates suggest numerous divergence events both in brooders and in broadcasters over the last 5 Myr and, particularly, over the last 2.5 Myr (Figure 2). Results also indicate that members of the Asteriidae under study diverged from its Pan-tropical outgroup around 21 Myr ago (Appendix S3). Main divergence events (Figure 2, Appendix S3) in *P. charcoti* and *Bathybiaster* occurred around 1.6 \pm 0.8 Myr ago (Node C and D in Figure 2). In brooders, divergence time estimates (Figure 2, Appendix S3) between East Antarctic subclades and those of the Antarctic Peninsula all fall within the same time range of 2.1 \pm 1.2 Ma (Nodes III, V, and VI in Figure 2). This is also in line with the time range computed for broadcasters.

3.3 | Phylogeographic patterns

All brooders display clear geographic patterns, with a distinction between the East Antarctic and the Antarctic Peninsula (Figures 2, 3; Appendix S2). In *Diplasterias, D. meridionalis* displays a specific distribution as it is shared between South Georgia and the Kerguelen Plateau. Diplasterias sp1 includes specimens from the Antarctic Peninsula along with five specimens from the Magellanic region and five from the Weddell Sea. The last subclade (Diplasterias sp2) is mainly composed of specimens from the East Antarctic among which seven specimens from the Weddell Sea, 14 from the South Sandwich Islands, four from Bouvet Island, and one from the South Orkney Islands. For Notasterias (Table 2, Figures 2, 3; Appendix S2), one subclade (Notasterias sp1) mainly contains specimens from the Antarctic Peninsula and the Weddell Sea in addition with one sample from the Amundsen Sea, seven from South Georgia, and five from East Antarctica. Specimens belonging to the second subclade (Notasterias sp2) are mainly from East Antarctica (66 specimens) along with two from the Amundsen Sea, four from the Antarctic Peninsula, and five from the Weddell Sea. The remaining subclade (Notasterias sp3) only contains two deep-sea specimens from the Scotia Arc (3,800 m) and the Weddell Sea (2,100 m). Within the genus Lysasterias (Table 2, Figures 2, 3; Appendix S2), the first entity (Lysasterias sp1) nearly exclusively contains specimens from East Antarctica with one from the Weddell Sea. The second entity (Lysasterias sp2) mainly contains specimens from the Antarctic Peninsula together with one specimen from the South Sandwich Islands and nine from the Weddell Sea. The third entity is mainly composed of specimens from the Antarctic Peninsula together with nine specimens from South Georgia, three from Bouvet Island, and two from the South Sandwich Islands. Finally, the last entity (Lysasterias sp4) is composed of nine specimens and is endemic to the Weddell Sea.

Broadcasters show contrasting biogeographic patterns. *P. charcoti* displays a complex haplotype network with subclade 4 endemic to Adélie Land and the three others circumpolar in



FIGURE 2 Bayesian chronograms of partitioned COI sequences derived from the brooding (left) and broadcasting (right) groups of interest. The distribution of uncertainty of node placement is indicated around each of the main nodes. Timescale is expressed in millions of years. Posterior probabilities and bootstrap values are provided under the main nodes. No value was indicated if bootstrap was <45%. Colored patches indicate sampling locations. Results for each species delineation method are reported as black bars representing the delineated units

distribution with two of them containing specimens collected off New Zealand (Appendix S2). Subclades of the genus *Bathybiaster* are mainly differentiated according to depth: *Bathybiaster* sp1 gathers specimens from the Antarctic shelf and the shallows of the Scotia Arc, along with a deep specimen from the South Sandwich Islands (Figure 4; Appendix S2). *Bathybiaster* sp2 is composed of representatives from the Antarctic slope, deep troughs, and canyons along with all specimens from the Kerguelen plateau and slope (Figure 4; Appendix S2).

4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Species richness, genetic diversity, and taxonomic implications

We found that genetic diversity did not significantly differ between brooders and broadcasters. This may reflect the presence of species complexes, related to recurrent disturbances. Recent glacial and interglacial cycles could have promoted high rates of differentiation in SO species (O'Hara, Hugall, Woolley, Bribiesca-Contreras, & Bax, 2019), regardless of their dispersal capabilities. Discordances between the different delineation methods were detected in all groups. This had already been highlighted in recent studies (Blair & Bryson, 2017; Kekkonen, Mutanen, Kaila, Nieminen, & Hebert, 2015) and great care should be taken when interpreting the results, as further investigations are needed. At best, these methods should be considered as a first step toward subsequent and more integrative taxonomic works (Kekkonen et al., 2015), and therefore, a conservative approach is recommended (Carstens et al., 2013). Genetic diversity among the delineated species falls into the range of intraspecific values obtained in other taxa for COI mtDNA (Goodall-Copestake et al., 2012), supporting this approach. Similarly, the measured interspecific (2%-6.8%) distances are within the range obtained in previous studies for sea stars (Foltz et al., 2013; Janosik et al., 2011). DNA barcoding has proven to be an efficient method to differentiate echinoderm species (Ward, Holmes, & O'Hara, 2008) with ~98% of the 191 studied species being distinguished based





FIGURE 3 Brooders statistical parsimony network indicating genetic relationships with regards to sampling locations. Delineated clades are represented, and color code follows the central map: red-South Georgia; pink-South Sandwich Islands; yellow-Bouvet Island; dark blue-Kerguelen Islands; light blue-East Antarctica; light green-Amundsen Sea; orange-Antarctic Peninsula; dark green-Burdwood Bank; and purple-Weddell Sea. Projection: South Pole Stereographic

on their COI barcodes. Rapid diversification can, however, make intraand interspecies genetic distances difficult to interpret using species delineation methods. The clear discrepancy between current taxonomy and our results emphasizes the urgent need for a complete reassessment of SO asteroid taxonomy based on multiple genes and an integrative taxonomic approach at both species and genus levels.

4.2 Dispersal capacity and biogeographic patterns

Several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the unusually high proportion of brooding species in the SO, and the evolutionary success of Antarctic brooders is now widely recognized within the community of Antarctic biologists (Poulin, Palma, & Féral, 2002). While adaptation to polar environmental conditions is not considered a determining mechanism (Pearse, Mooi, Lockhart, & Brandt, 2009; Poulin et al., 2002), reproductive strategy has been hypothesized as the main factor structuring the evolution and the diversity of SO benthic life (Raupach et al., 2010; Thatje, 2012). Whether they are based on morphology (Moreau et al., 2017) or genetic markers (Hoffman et al., 2011), most studies agree that biogeographic structures are more pronounced in brooders than in broadcasters due to contrasting dispersal capacities.

Most of the results obtained in the present study are in line with this expected biogeographic pattern, with the prevalence of clear spatial structures in brooders. This is in agreement with



FIGURE 4 *Bathybiaster* statistical parsimony network indicating genetic relationships with regards to (a) sampling geographic locations and (b) sampling depths and Kerguelen Island (shallow and deep). Delineated clades are represented, and color code follows the appended legend

our previous work describing the SO asteroid biogeographic patterns and demonstrating the importance of life history traits to understanding the structure of spatial distributions (Moreau et al., 2017). Our results support the differentiation of species between the Antarctic Peninsula and the East Antarctic. The past collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (Bamber, Riva, Vermeersen, & LeBrocq, 2009; Pollard & DeConto, 2009) and the putative existence of a subsequent trans-Antarctic seaway separating the West and the East Antarctic have been proposed as possible mechanisms leading to such patterns (Barnes & Hillenbrand, 2010; Linse, Griffiths, Barnes, & Clarke, 2006; Pierrat, Saucède, Brayard, & David, 2013). The role of the Weddell Sea Gyre (Linse et al., 2006), environmental dissimilarities, and contrasting glacial histories have also been proposed as possible explanations (Anderson, Shipp, Lowe, Wellner, & Mosola, 2002). Interestingly, in brooding genera, haplotypes of specimens from the Weddell Sea are present in both the East Antarctic and the Antarctic Peninsula clades. Recent faunal exchanges between the two regions could explain the occurrence in the Weddell Sea of a mixed fauna of East Antarctic and Antarctic Peninsula origin as the Weddell Sea is located in between these two regions.

Divergence dates suggest that a vicariance event occurred ~2 Myr ago, while the last collapse of the West Antarctic ice sheet is hypothesized to have occurred more recently, in the last 1.1 Myr (Pollard &

TABLE 2Number of speciesdelineated by the different speciesdelineation methods

		Ecology an	d Evolution Open Acc	-WILE	2Y 8473
Taxonomic group	ABGD	sGMYC	mGMYC	mPTP	ASAP
Brooders					
Lysasterias	4	17	17	4	4
Diplasterias	3	14	15	4	3
Notasterias	3	4	18	3	3
Broadcasters					
Psilaster charcoti	9	9	17	4	9
Bathybiaster	4	3	12	3	2
Total	27	47	79	19	30

DeConto, 2009; Scherer et al., 2008). This last dating is in line with previous work on SO asteroids (Janosik et al., 2011). Contrasting divergence dating between phylogeographic studies is not uncommon and absolute ages should be taken with caution when using a molecular clock (Thomas, Welch, Woolfit, & Bromham, 2006), given the uncertainties in molecular divergence rates, especially when a single locus is used and when fossil calibrations are not available. However, similar divergence dates were obtained for all brooding genera, which strongly suggests the effect of a common and significant event. Unfortunately, only a few abyssal samples were available for study (only two for the entity *Notasterias* sp3). They are strongly divergent from all other *Notasterias* specimens, suggesting the absence of population mixing and independent evolution of populations of *Notasterias* both on the continental shelf and in the deep sea.

In contrast to the marked genetic structures described above, exceptions have been in certain brooders due to the effect of unusual dispersal vectors reported (Cumming, Nikula, Spencer, & Waters, 2014; Díaz et al., 2011). This is also the case in this study, as the brooding species *D. meridionalis* shares genetic units between South Georgia and the Kerguelen Islands, which suggests an ongoing connectivity between the two distant regions. This pattern could be explained by kelp rafting via the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC), which has previously been found in other SO brooding echinoderms (O'hara, 1998) and taxa such as in isopods and mollusks (González-Wevar et al., 2018; Leese, Agrawal, & Held, 2010). Kelp rafting was suggested as a viable dispersal vector for shallow-water species living in macro-algal beds (O'hara, 1998), which is the case of *D. meridionalis*.

Genetic structures in broadcasters under study are quite different from the patterns observed in brooders. The studied broadcasters show circumpolar structures that suggest the prevalence of gene flow across the SO promoted by higher larval dispersal capacities. Geographic patterns in *P. charcoti*, with several circumpolar entities, suggest the existence of past refugia during past glacial maxima and subsequent dispersal centers (Hemery et al., 2012). Unfortunately, little is known about asteroid larval biology in the SO, apart from a handful of well-studied species (Agüera, Collard, Jossart, Moreau, & Danis, 2015; Pearse, McClintock, & Bosch, 1991; Peck, Souster, & Clark, 2013; Souster, Morley, & Peck, 2018). Major differences exist between the genetic structure of *Psilaster* and *Bathybiaster*: (a) Clades of *P. charcoti* are found to have a greatly fragmented pattern, potentially as a result of lower dispersal capacity than representatives of B. loripes (found at continental shelf depths), but this could also reflect a longer evolutionary history as these clades are older (Figure 2), (b) some specimens of P. charcoti occur on both sides of the PF, and (c) Bathybiaster sp2 shows a potential bipolar distribution (sensu Darling et al., 2000) and likely corresponds to the nominal species B. vexillifer. The occurrence of shared haplotypes between the Northern and Southern Hemispheres in Bathybiaster sp2 suggests the existence of recent gene flow between the two hemispheres. Morphological similarities between North American and South African specimens had already been indicated in previous work on the echinoderm fauna of South Africa (Clark, 1923). This could be the result of a deep-sea dispersal route, a scenario coined the "thermohaline expressway" by Strugnell, Rogers, Prodöhl, Collins, and Allcock (2008), but a wide, cosmopolitan distribution of the species is probably the most reasonable hypothesis. Unfortunately, no tropical deep-sea samples were available for study. Bathybiaster sp2 is recorded on the Kerguelen Plateau around the Kerguelen Islands at shallow depths, giving credit to a possible sub-Antarctic emergence scenario and colonization of the Kerguelen Plateau from the deep sea (Aronson et al., 2007; Díaz et al., 2011).

Interpretation of the present results is limited by the use of a single mtDNA locus. The observed patterns could be due to mechanisms such as adaptive introgression, demographic disparities, or sex-biased asymmetries arising from the sole use of mtDNA (Toews & Brelsford, 2012). Furthermore, if data derived from COI analyses reflect a long-term effect of contrasting dispersal capacities, other fast-evolving nuclear markers such as microsatellites or SNPs (RAD-Seq data) will be needed in the future to investigate these recent and ongoing processes. Nevertheless, the causal relationship between genetic patterns and dispersal capacities of asteroids can be linked to past climatic and geological events and give some clues to the upstream drivers of species evolution.

4.3 | The role of past climate change

The SO has been partially isolated for nearly 40 million years since the first opening of the Drake Passage, which led to the onset of the ACC and subsequent cooling of the ocean when the ACC intensified. However, isolation of the SO was reduced several times during periods of climate warming and the decreasing ACC intensity (Dalziel et al., 2013: Lagabrielle, Goddéris, Donnadieu, Malavieille, & Suarez, 2009). In a recent review of key stages in the evolution of the Antarctic marine fauna. Crame (2018) emphasizes the influence of past climate on the current distribution of modern fauna. At the scale of the Cenozoic (c. 65 Myr), he points out that the succession of several major extinction and radiation events are linked to important drops in seawater temperature. The fossil record of SO sea stars is very poor, but it suggests that the extinction of an older asteroid fauna was followed by the diversification of the Antarctic Asteriidae and of other modern Antarctic forcipulataceans (Mah & Foltz, 2011b). The origin of the Antarctic Asteriidae has been dated to 21 Ma in this study, suggesting a diversification of the family starting in the Miocene after the initial opening of the Drake passage (c. 34 Ma) and before the full establishment of the ACC (c. 14 Ma) (Lawver & Gahagan, 2003). The global phylogeny of Astropectinidae, reconstructed by Mah and Foltz (2011a), does not show any regional pattern, which makes any speculation as to the origin of Bathybiaster and Psilaster in the SO problematic. Our results, however, suggest a recent evolutionary history of broadcasting genera in the SO (c. 5 Myr). At a more recent timescale, all the studied groups show a high diversification rate over the last ~2.5 Myr, suggesting that recent climate events could account for these observed patterns. Naish et al. (2009) estimated that at least 38 distinct glacial cycles occurred over the last 5 Myr. These glacial cycles are believed to have been major drivers of species differentiation as hypothesized by the "Antarctic diversity pump" hypothesis (Clarke & Crame, 1989, 1992) and even promoting evolutionary radiations of the benthic fauna (Allcock, 2005; Raupach, Malyutina, Brandt, & Wägele, 2007; Wilson, Schrödl, & Halanych, 2009). These major environmental changes could have also resulted in cryptic speciation in brooding species and broadcasting species with limited larval dispersal capabilities, by the maintenance of genetic differentiation at local scales (Thatje, 2012). Finally, the ACC hypothesis predicts the "existence of many species in clades of varied divergence times, at a wide range of depths, but with highest diversity downstream of the Drake Passage, in the Scotia Arc and Weddell Sea" (sic. Pearse et al., 2009). This hypothesis could explain some of the diversification patterns we have observed in asteroids. Nevertheless, the apparent correlation with past geological and climatic events should be taken with great care due to the lack of fossil records and uncertainties in calibration of the molecular clock also known to be taxon- and climate regimedependent (Gillooly, Allen, West, & Brown, 2005).

4.4 | Conclusion and future prospects

The observed genetic patterns of SO sea stars highlight the relevance of considering life history traits to understand spatial patterns of genetic diversity. Our results suggest that reproductive strategy could have conditioned the spatial structuring of genetic diversity, but with no apparent effect on the level of genetic diversity. Molecular results also indicate that an in-depth taxonomic revision of the group is needed based on an integrative taxonomy approach (combining genetic and morphological data). The increasing development of genetic surveys in biodiversity conservation and management plans

(Goodall-Copestake et al., 2012) stresses the need for robust estimates of species diversity. Species complexes are frequent in the SO (Hemery et al., 2012), and estimates of species diversity based on morphology can lead to under- or alternatively, overestimating "true" biological diversity. In that context, multilocus approaches are essential to detect evolutionary processes within species. Finally, the apparent bipolar or cosmopolitan distribution of the species B. vexillifer should be further investigated using additional specimens and multiple molecular markers. This will bring new insights on a potential deep-sea route connecting the two polar oceans and the relevance of biogeographic scenarios such as the thermohaline expressway (Strugnell et al., 2008) and the sub-Antarctic emergence (Díaz et al., 2011). The presence of *B. loripes* on the continental shelf could be the result of a past colonization from the deep sea (Strugnell et al., 2011), which potentially challenges the established paradigm of an isolated SO benthic diversity (Clarke, Aronson, Crame, Gili, & Blake, 2004).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by a "Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture" (FRIA) grant to C. Moreau. This is contribution no. 32 to the vERSO project (www.rectoversoproje cts.be), funded by the Belgian Science Policy Office (BELSPO, contract n°. BR/132/A1/vERSO). The authors thank M. Bauwens, R. Downey, C. Guillaumot, and H. Christiansen for their important contribution during key steps of this work. The authors would like to thank all the persons and institutes involved in sharing the samples and sequences analyzed in this study as well as research programs that made the collection of samples possible. S. Schiaparelli-University and Museum of Genoa; K. Neill-National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research; H. Griffiths, D. Barnes, and K. Linse-British Antarctic Survey; C. Gallut, N. Améziane, N. Vasset, A. Froger, G. Lecointre, and A. Dettaï-Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris; and C. Mah-Smithsonian Institution. Programs: PROTEKER (IPEV 1044, French LTER ZATA), POKER 2, REVOLTA (IPEV 1124), CEAMARC, ANTFLOCKs, JR144, JR179, JR230, JR262, JR275, JR287, JR15005, and ACE-ASCCC.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.M., Q.J., B.D., and T.S. conceived and designed the experiments; C.M., Q.J., C.S., and G.A. performed the analyses; C.M., Q.J., A.A., M.E., B.D., and T.S. collected the data; and C.M., B.D., Q.J., M.E., C.S., G.A., A.A., and T.S. wrote the manuscript.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

All data and metadata used in this study are publicly available on BOLD Systems (www.boldsystems.org) under the Dataset Code

Ecology and Evolution

DS-ASTROULB as a compilation of the following projects: SEAST; EAR; DSPEC; CHEC; SOA; SWEMA; TNBA; TCTNB; ODTNB; AIIIS; SORK; NZEC; and NZECA.

ORCID

Camille Moreau (Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-0981-7442 Quentin Jossart (Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-2280-243X Chester Sands (Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-1028-0328

REFERENCES

- Ababneh, F., Jermiin, L. S., Ma, C., & Robinson, J. (2006). Matchedpairs tests of homogeneity with applications to homologous nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, 22(10), 1225–1231. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl064
- Agüera, A., Collard, M., Jossart, Q., Moreau, C., & Danis, B. (2015). Parameter estimations of dynamic energy budget (DEB) model over the life history of a key Antarctic species: The Antarctic sea star Odontaster validus Koehler, 1906. PLoS ONE, 10(10), e0140078. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140078
- Allcock, A. L. (2005). On the confusion surrounding Pareledone charcoti (Joubin, 1905)(Cephalopoda: Octopodidae): Endemic radiation in the Southern Ocean. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 143(1), 75–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2004.00146.x
- Allcock, A. L., & Griffiths, H. J. (2014). Bipolarity. In C. De Broyer, P. Koubbi, H. J. Griffiths, B. Raymond, C. d'Udekem d'Acoz, A. Van de Putte, B. Danis, B. David, S. Grant, J. Gutt, C. Held, G. Hosie, F. Huettmann, A. Post, & Y. Ropert-Coudert (Eds.), *Biogeographic atlas of the Southern Ocean* (p. 510). Cambridge, UK: SCAR.
- Allcock, A. L., & Strugnell, J. M. (2012). Southern Ocean diversity: New paradigms from molecular ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27(9), 520–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.05.009
- Anderson, J. B., Shipp, S. S., Lowe, A. L., Wellner, J. S., & Mosola, A. B. (2002). The Antarctic Ice Sheet during the Last Glacial Maximum and its subsequent retreat history: A review. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21(1-3), 49–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00083-X
- Aronson, R. B., Thatje, S., Clarke, A., Peck, L. S., Blake, D. B., Wilga, C. D., & Seibel, B. A. (2007). Climate change and invasibility of the Antarctic benthos. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 38(1), 129–154. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecols ys.38.091206.095525.
- Bamber, J. L., Riva, R. E., Vermeersen, B. L., & LeBrocq, A. M. (2009). Reassessment of the potential sea-level rise from a collapse of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Science*, 324(5929), 901–903. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1169335
- Barnes, D. K., & Hillenbrand, C. D. (2010). Faunal evidence for a late Quaternary trans-Antarctic seaway. *Global Change Biology*, 16(12), 3297–3303. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02198.x
- Blair, C., & Bryson, R. W. Jr (2017). Cryptic diversity and discordance in single-locus species delimitation methods within horned lizards (Phrynosomatidae: Phrynosoma). *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 17(6), 1168–1182.
- Bosch, I., & Pearse, J. S. (1990). Developmental types of shallow-water asteroids of McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. *Marine Biology*, 104(1), 41– 46. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01313155
- Bowen, B. W., Bass, A. L., Muss, A., Carlin, J., & Robertson, D. R. (2006).
 Phylogeography of two Atlantic squirrelfishes (Family Holocentridae):
 Exploring links between pelagic larval duration and population connectivity. *Marine Biology*, 149(4), 899–913. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0252-1

- Brasier, M. J., Harle, J., Wiklund, H., Jeffreys, R. M., Linse, K., Ruhl, H. A., & Glover, A. G. (2017). Distributional patterns of polychaetes across the West Antarctic based on DNA barcoding and particle tracking analyses. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 4, 356. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmars.2017.00356
- Carstens, B. C., Pelletier, T. A., Reid, N. M., & Satler, J. D. (2013). How to fail at species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(17), 4369–4383. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12413
- Clark, H. L. (1923). *The echinoderm fauna of South Africa*. Trustees of the South African Museums.
- Clarke, A. (2008). Antarctic marine benthic diversity: Patterns and processes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 366(1–2), 48–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.008
- Clarke, A., Aronson, R. B., Crame, J. A., Gili, J. M., & Blake, D. B. (2004). Evolution and diversity of the benthic fauna of the Southern Ocean continental shelf. *Antarctic Science*, 16(4), 559–568. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0954102004002329
- Clarke, A., & Crame, J. A. (1989). The origin of the Southern Ocean marine fauna. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 47(1), 253– 268. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.047.01.19
- Clarke, A., & Crame, J. A. (1992). The Southern Ocean benthic fauna and climate change: A historical perspective. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 338(1285), 299–309.
- Clement, M., Snell, Q., Walker, P., Posada, D., & Crandall, K. (2002). TCS: Estimating gene genealogies. Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, International Proceedings, (2)184.
- R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/
- Crame, J. A. (2018). Key stages in the evolution of the Antarctic marine fauna. Journal of Biogeography, 45(5), 986–994. https://doi. org/10.1111/jbi.13208
- Cumming, R. A., Nikula, R., Spencer, H. G., & Waters, J. M. (2014). Transoceanic genetic similarities of kelp-associated sea slug populations: Long-distance dispersal via rafting? *Journal of Biogeography*, 41(12), 2357–2370. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12376
- Dalziel, I., Lawver, L. A., Pearce, J. A., Barker, P. F., Hastie, A. R., Barfod, D. N., ... Davis, M. B. (2013). A potential barrier to deep Antarctic circumpolar flow until the late Miocene? *Geology*, 41(9), 947–950. https ://doi.org/10.1130/G34352.1
- Darling, K. F., Wade, C. M., Stewart, I. A., Kroon, D., Dingle, R., & Brown, A. J. L. (2000). Molecular evidence for genetic mixing of Arctic and Antarctic subpolar populations of planktonic foraminifers. *Nature*, 405(6782), 43. https://doi.org/10.1038/35011002
- De Broyer, C., & Danis, B. (2011). How many species in the Southern Ocean? Towards a dynamic inventory of the Antarctic marine species. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58(1– 2), 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.007
- Díaz, A., Féral, J. P., David, B., Saucède, T., & Poulin, E. (2011). Evolutionary pathways among shallow and deep-sea echinoids of the genus Sterechinus in the Southern Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 58(1–2), 205–211. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.012
- Dömel, J. S., Melzer, R. R., Harder, A. M., Mahon, A. R., & Leese, F. (2017). Nuclear and mitochondrial gene data support recent radiation within the sea spider species complex *Pallenopsis patagonica*. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 139. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fevo.2016.00139
- Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D., & Rambaut, A. (2012). Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(8), 1969–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss075
- d'Udekem d'Acoz, C., & Verheye, M. L. (2017). Epimeria of the Southern Ocean with notes on their relatives (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Eusiroidea). European Journal of Taxonomy, 359, 1–553. https://doi. org/10.5852/ejt.2017.359

- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(5), 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Ezard, T., Fujisawa, T., & Barraclough, T. G. (2009). Splits: Species' limits by threshold statistics. *R Package Version*, 1(11), r29.
- Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R., & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994). DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 3(5), 294–299.
- Foltz, D. W., Bolton, M. T., Kelley, S. P., Kelley, B. D., & Nguyen, A. T. (2007). Combined mitochondrial and nuclear sequences support the monophyly of forcipulatacean sea stars. *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution, 43(2), 627–634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ympev.2006.10.012
- Foltz, D. W., Fatland, S. D., Eléaume, M., Markello, K., Howell, K. L., Neill, K., & Mah, C. L. (2013). Global population divergence of the sea star Hippasteria phrygiana corresponds to the onset of the last glacial period of the Pleistocene. *Marine Biology*, 160(5), 1285–1296. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2180-1
- Fraser, C. I., Nikula, R., Spencer, H. G., & Waters, J. M. (2009). Kelp genes reveal effects of subantarctic sea ice during the Last Glacial Maximum. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(9), 3249–3253. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0810635106
- Fujisawa, T., & Barraclough, T. G. (2013). Delimiting species using single-locus data and the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent approach: A revised method and evaluation on simulated data sets. *Systematic Biology*, 62(5), 707–724. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt033
- Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., West, G. B., & Brown, J. H. (2005). The rate of DNA evolution: Effects of body size and temperature on the molecular clock. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 102(1), 140–145. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0407735101
- González-Wevar, C. A., Segovia, N. I., Rosenfeld, S., Ojeda, J., Hüne, M., Naretto, J., ... Spencer, H. G. (2018). Unexpected absence of island endemics: Long-distance dispersal in higher latitude sub-Antarctic Siphonaria (Gastropoda: Euthyneura) species. *Journal of Biogeography*, 45(4), 874–884.
- Goodall-Copestake, W. P., Tarling, G. A., & Murphy, E. J. (2012). On the comparison of population-level estimates of haplotype and nucleotide diversity: A case study using the gene cox1 in animals. *Heredity*, 109(1), 50. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2012.12
- Griffiths, H. J., Danis, B., & Clarke, A. (2011). Quantifying Antarctic marine biodiversity: The SCAR-MarBIN data portal. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 58(1–2), 18–29. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.008
- Griffiths, H. J., Van de Putte, A., & Danis, B. (2014). Data distribution: Patterns and implications. In C. De Broyer, P. Koubbi, H. J. Griffiths, B. Raymond, C. d'Udekem d'Acoz, A. Van de Putte, B. Danis, B. David, S. Grant, J. Gutt, C. Held, G. Hosie, F. Huettmann, A. Post, & Y. Ropert-Coudert (Eds.), *Biogeographic atlas of the Southern Ocean* (p. 510). Cambridge, UK: SCAR.
- Gutt, J., Sirenko, B. I., Smirnov, I. S., & Arntz, W. E. (2004). How many macrozoobenthic species might inhabit the Antarctic shelf? *Antarctic Science*, 16(1), 11–16. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102004001750
- Hemery, L. G., Eléaume, M., Roussel, V., Améziane, N., Gallut, C., Steinke, D., ... Wilson, N. G. (2012). Comprehensive sampling reveals circumpolarity and sympatry in seven mitochondrial lineages of the Southern Ocean crinoid species *Promachocrinus kerguelensis* (Echinodermata). *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 2502–2518.
- Ho, J. W. K., Adams, C. E., Lew, J. B., Matthews, T. J., Ng, C. C., Shahabi-Sirjani, A., ... Jermiin, L. S. (2006). SeqVis: Visualization of compositional heterogeneity in large alignments of nucleotides. *Bioinformatics*, 22(17), 2162–2163. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioin formatics/btl283

- Hoffman, J. I., Clarke, A., Linse, K., & Peck, L. S. (2011). Effects of brooding and broadcasting reproductive modes on the population genetic structure of two Antarctic gastropod molluscs. *Marine Biology*, 158(2), 287–296. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-010-1558-6
- Janosik, A. M., & Halanych, K. M. (2010). Unrecognized Antarctic biodiversity: A case study of the genus Odontaster (Odontasteridae; Asteroidea). Integrative and Comparative Biology, 50(6), 981–992. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icq119
- Janosik, A. M., Mahon, A. R., & Halanych, K. M. (2011). Evolutionary history of Southern Ocean Odontaster sea star species (Odontasteridae; Asteroidea). Polar Biology, 34(4), 575–586. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00300-010-0916-7
- Jossart, Q., De Ridder, C., Lessios, H. A., Bauwens, M., Motreuil, S., Rigaud, T., ... David, B. (2017). Highly contrasted population genetic structures in a host-parasite pair in the Caribbean Sea. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(22), 9267–9280. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3413
- Jossart, Q., Moreau, C., Agüera, A., De Broyer, C., & Danis, B. (2015). The Register of Antarctic Marine Species (RAMS): A ten-year appraisal. *ZooKeys*, 524, 137. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.524.6091
- Kapli, P., Lutteropp, S., Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., & Flouri, T. (2017). Multi-rate Poisson tree processes for singlelocus species delimitation under maximum likelihood and Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Bioinformatics*, 33(11), 1630–1638. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx025
- Kekkonen, M., Mutanen, M., Kaila, L., Nieminen, M., & Hebert, P. D. (2015). Delineating species with DNA barcodes: A case of taxon dependent method performance in moths. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), e0122481. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122481
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870–1874.
- Lagabrielle, Y., Goddéris, Y., Donnadieu, Y., Malavieille, J., & Suarez, M. (2009). The tectonic history of Drake Passage and its possible impacts on global climate. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 279(3), 197–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.12.037
- Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A. M., Senfeld, T., & Calcott, B. (2016). PartitionFinder 2: New methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(3), 772–773. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msw260
- Lawver, L. A., & Gahagan, L. M. (2003). Evolution of Cenozoic seaways in the circum-Antarctic region. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,* 198(1–2), 11–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0031-0182(03)00392-4
- Leese, F., Agrawal, S., & Held, C. (2010). Long-distance island hopping without dispersal stages: Transportation across major zoogeographic barriers in a Southern Ocean isopod. *Naturwissenschaften*, 97(6), 583–594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-010-0674-y
- Linse, K., Griffiths, H. J., Barnes, D. K., & Clarke, A. (2006). Biodiversity and biogeography of Antarctic and sub-Antarctic mollusca. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 53(8–10), 985–1008. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.05.003
- Mah, C., & Foltz, D. (2011a). Molecular phylogeny of the Valvatacea (Asteroidea: Echinodermata). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 161(4), 769–788. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2010.00659.x
- Mah, C., & Foltz, D. (2011b). Molecular phylogeny of the Forcipulatacea (Asteroidea: Echinodermata): Systematics and biogeography. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 162(3), 646–660. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2010.00688.x
- Mah, C. L., & Foltz, D. W. (2014). New taxa and taxonomic revisions to the Poraniidae (Valvatacea; Asteroidea) with comments on feeding biology. *Zootaxa*, 3795(3), 327–372.
- Mah, C., Linse, K., Copley, J., Marsh, L., Rogers, A., Clague, D., & Foltz, D. (2015). Description of a new family, new genus, and two new species of deep-sea Forcipulatacea (Asteroidea), including the first known

sea star from hydrothermal vent habitats. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 174(1), 93–113. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12229

- McCartney, M. A., Keller, G., & Lessios, H. A. (2000). Dispersal barriers in tropical oceans and speciation in Atlantic and eastern Pacific sea urchins of the genus Echinometra. *Molecular Ecology*, *9*(9), 1391–1400. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.01022.x
- Meyer, C. (2016). Limitations in global information on species occurrences. Frontiers of Biogeography, 8(2), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.21425/ F58228195
- Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W., & Schwartz, T. (2010). Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA, pp. 1–8.
- Modica, M. V., Russini, V., Fassio, G., & Oliverio, M. (2017). Do larval types affect genetic connectivity at sea? Testing hypothesis in two sibling marine gastropods with contrasting larval development. *Marine Environmental Research*, 127, 92–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marenvres.2017.04.001
- Moles, J., Figuerola, B., Campanyà-Llovet, N., Monleón- Getino, T., Taboada, S., & Avila, C. (2015). Distribution patterns in Antarctic and Subantarctic echinoderms. *Polar Biology*, 38, 799–813. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00300-014-1640-5
- Moreau, C., Agüera, A., Jossart, Q., & Danis, B. (2015). Southern Ocean Asteroidea: A proposed update for the Register of Antarctic Marine Species. *Biodiversity Data Journal*, 3, e7062.
- Moreau, C., Mah, C., Agüera, A., Améziane, N., Barnes, D., Crokaert, G., ... Danis, B. (2018). Antarctic and sub-Antarctic Asteroidea database. ZooKeys, 747, 141. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.747.22751
- Moreau, C., Saucède, T., Jossart, Q., Agüera, A., Brayard, A., & Danis, B. (2017). Reproductive strategy as a piece of the biogeographic puzzle:
 A case study using Antarctic sea stars (Echinodermata, Asteroidea).
 Journal of Biogeography, 44(4), 848–860. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12965
- Naish, T., Powell, R., Levy, R., Wilson, G., Scherer, R., Talarico, F., ... Williams, T. (2009). Obliquity-paced Pliocene West Antarctic ice sheet oscillations. *Nature*, 458(7236), 322. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature07867
- O'Hara, T. D., Hugall, A. F., Woolley, S. N., Bribiesca-Contreras, G., & Bax, N. J. (2019). Contrasting processes drive ophiuroid phylodiversity across shallow and deep seafloors. *Nature*, *565*(7741), 636–639. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0886-z
- O'hara, T. (1998). Origin of Macquarie Island echinoderms. *Polar Biology*, 20(2), 143–151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003000050289
- Pearse, J. S., McClintock, J. B., & Bosch, I. (1991). Reproduction of Antarctic benthic marine invertebrates: Tempos, modes, and timing. American Zoologist, 31(1), 65–80. https://doi.org/10.1093/ icb/31.1.65
- Pearse, J. S., Mooi, R., Lockhart, S. J., & Brandt, A. (2009). Brooding and species diversity in the Southern Ocean: Selection for brooders or speciation within brooding clades? In I. Krupnik, M. A. Lang, & S. E. Miller (Eds.), Smithsonian at the poles: Contributions to international polar year science (pp. 129–142). Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution.
- Peck, L. S., Clark, M. S., & Dunn, N. I. (2018). Morphological variation in taxonomic characters of the Antarctic starfish Odontaster validus. Polar Biology, 41(10), 2159–2165. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00300-018-2344-z
- Peck, L. S., Souster, T., & Clark, M. S. (2013). Juveniles are more resistant to warming than adults in 4 species of Antarctic marine invertebrates. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), e66033. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pone.0066033
- Pierrat, B., Saucède, T., Brayard, A., & David, B. (2013). Comparative biogeography of echinoids, bivalves and gastropods from the Southern Ocean. Journal of Biogeography, 40(7), 1374–1385. https://doi. org/10.1111/jbi.12088

- Pollard, D., & DeConto, R. M. (2009). Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse through the past five million years. *Nature*, 458(7236), 329. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07809
- Pons, J., Barraclough, T. G., Gomez-Zurita, J., Cardoso, A., Duran, D. P., Hazell, S., ... Vogler, A. P. (2006). Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. *Systematic Biology*, 55(4), 595-609. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150600852011
- Poulin, E., Palma, A. T., & Féral, J. P. (2002). Evolutionary versus ecological success in Antarctic benthic invertebrates. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17(5), 218-222. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0169-5347(02)02493-X
- Puillandre, N., Lambert, A., Brouillet, S., & Achaz, G. (2012). ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(8), 1864–1877. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05239.x
- Purcell, J. F., Cowen, R. K., Hughes, C. R., & Williams, D. A. (2006). Weak genetic structure indicates strong dispersal limits: A tale of two coral reef fish. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 273(1593), 1483–1490.
- Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. (2007). BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Molecular Ecology Notes, 7(3), 355–364.
- Raupach, M. J., Malyutina, M., Brandt, A., & Wägele, J. W. (2007). Molecular data reveal a highly diverse species flock within the munnopsoid deep-sea isopod Betamorpha fusiformis (Barnard, 1920) (Crustacea: Isopoda: Asellota) in the Southern Ocean. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 54(16), 1820–1830.
- Raupach, M. J., Thatje, S., Dambach, J., Rehm, P., Misof, B., & Leese, F. (2010). Genetic homogeneity and circum-Antarctic distribution of two benthic shrimp species of the Southern Ocean, *Chorismus antarcticus* and *Nematocarcinus lanceopes*. *Marine Biology*, 157(8), 1783– 1797. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-010-1451-3
- Riesgo, A., Taboada, S., & Avila, C. (2015). Evolutionary patterns in Antarctic marine invertebrates: An update on molecular studies. *Marine Genomics*, 23, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2015.07.005
- Roberts, D., Hopcroft, R. R., & Hosie, G. W. (2014). Southern Ocean pteropods. In C. De Broyer, P. Koubbi, H. J. Griffiths, B. Raymond, C. d'Udekem d'Acoz, A. Van de Putte, B. Danis, B. David, S. Grant, J. Gutt, C. Held, G. Hosie, F. Huettmann, A. Post, & Y. Ropert-Coudert (Eds.), *Biogeographic Atlas of the Southern Ocean* (p. 510). Cambridge, UK: SCAR.
- Rozas, J., Ferrer-Mata, A., Sánchez-DelBarrio, J. C., Guirao-Rico, S., Librado, P., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Sánchez-Gracia, A. (2017). DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism analysis of large data sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(12), 3299–3302. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msx248
- Sands, C. J., O'Hara, T., Barnes, D. K., & Martín-Ledo, R. (2015). Against the flow: Evidence of multiple recent invasions of warmer continental shelf waters by a Southern Ocean brittle star. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 3, 63. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2015.00063
- Saucede, T., Díaz, A., Pierrat, B., Sellanes, J., David, B., Féral, J. P., & Poulin, E. (2015). The phylogenetic position and taxonomic status of Sterechinus bernasconiae Larrain, 1975 (Echinodermata, Echinoidea), an enigmatic Chilean sea urchin. *Polar Biology*, 38(8), 1223–1237.
- Scherer, R. P., Bohaty, S. M., Dunbar, R. B., Esper, O., Flores, J.-A., Gersonde, R., ... Taviani, M. (2008). Antarctic records of precessionpaced insolation-driven warming during early Pleistocene Marine Isotope Stage 31. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(3), 1–5. https:// doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032254
- Selkoe, K. A., & Toonen, R. J. (2011). Marine connectivity: A new look at pelagic larval duration and genetic metrics of dispersal. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 436, 291–305. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09238
- Silvestro, D., & Michalak, I. (2012). raxmlGUI: A graphical front-end for RAxML. Organisms Diversity & Evolution, 12(4), 335–337. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13127-011-0056-0

8478

I FV_Ecology and Evolution

- Souster, T. A., Morley, S. A., & Peck, L. S. (2018). Seasonality of oxygen consumption in five common Antarctic benthic marine invertebrates. Polar Biology, 41(5), 897-908. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00300-018-2251-3
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30(9), 1312-1313. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Strugnell, J. M., Cherel, Y., Cooke, I. R., Gleadall, I. G., Hochberg, F. G., Ibáñez, C. M., ... Allcock, A. L. (2011). The Southern Ocean: Source and sink? Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58(1-2), 196-204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.05.015
- Strugnell, J. M., Rogers, A. D., Prodöhl, P. A., Collins, M. A., & Allcock, A. L. (2008). The thermohaline expressway: The Southern Ocean as a centre of origin for deep-sea octopuses. Cladistics, 24(6), 853-860. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00234.x
- Sunnucks, P., & Hales, D. F. (1996). Numerous transposed sequences of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I-II in aphids of the genus Sitobion (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Molecular Biology and Evolution, 13(3), 510-524. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025612
- Thatje, S. (2012). Effects of capability for dispersal on the evolution of diversity in Antarctic benthos. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 52(4), 470-482. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ics105.
- Thomas, J. A., Welch, J. J., Woolfit, M., & Bromham, L. (2006). There is no universal molecular clock for invertebrates, but rate variation does not scale with body size. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 103(19), 7366-7371. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510251103

- Toews, D. P., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance in animals. Molecular Ecology, 21(16), 3907-3930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05664.x
- Ward, R. D., Holmes, B. H., & O'Hara, T. D. (2008). DNA barcoding discriminates echinoderm species. Molecular Ecology Resources, 8(6), 1202-1211. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02332.x
- Wilson, N. G., Schrödl, M., & Halanych, K. M. (2009). Ocean barriers and glaciation: Evidence for explosive radiation of mitochondrial lineages in the Antarctic sea slug Doris kerguelenensis (Mollusca, Nudibranchia). Molecular Ecology, 18(5), 965-984.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Moreau C, Danis B, Jossart Q, et al. Is reproductive strategy a key factor in understanding the evolutionary history of Southern Ocean Asteroidea (Echinodermata)? Ecol Evol. 2019;9:8465-8478. https://doi. org/10.1002/ece3.5280