View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Lancaster E-Prints

A comparative phylogenomic analysis of peste des petits ruminants virus isolated from wild and unusual hosts

Aziz-ul-Rahman[1*], Muhammad Munir[2], Muhammad Zubair Shabbir[1]

[1]University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore 54600, Pakistan

[2]Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YG United Kingdom

***Corresponding author:** drazizangel@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3342-4462

Running title: Comparative phylogenomic analysis of peste des petits ruminants virus

Abstract

Peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) infects a wide range of domestic and wild ruminants, and occasionally unusual hosts such as camel, cattle and pig. Given their broad host-spectrum and disease endemicity in several developing countries, it is imperative to elucidate the viral evolutionary insights for their dynamic pathobiology and differential host-selection. For this purpose, a dataset of all available (n=37) PPRV sequences originating from wild and unusual hosts was composed and *in silico* analysed. Compared to domestic small ruminant strains of same geographical region, phylogenomic and residue analysis of PPRV sequences originating from wild and unusual hosts revealed a close relationship between strains. A lack of obvious difference among the studied sequences and deduced residues suggests that these are the host factors that may play a role in their susceptibility to PPRV infection, immune response, pathogenesis, excretion patterns and potential clinical signs or resistance to clinical disease. Summarizing together, the comparative analysis enhances our understanding towards molecular epidemiology of the PPRV in wild and unusual hosts for appropriate intervention strategies particularly at livestock-wildlife interface.

Key words: Peste des petits ruminants virus; wild and unusual hosts; molecular epidemiology; residue comparison; phylogenomic analysis

26 **Introduction**

27 Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) caused by peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) [1], is an
28 OIE enlisted notifiable disease of domestic and wild ruminants that can spread across
29 international borders [2]. Belong to genus *Morbillivirus* within the family *Paramyxoviridae*,
30 PPRV also has potential to infect a wide range of susceptible host as is the characteristic of
31 other morbillliviruses especially canine distemper virus (CDV) and rinderpest virus (RPV).
32 Both viruses can potentially infect a wide range of susceptible hosts including wildlife, large
33 ruminants, rodents and monkeys [3, 4]. Since, PPRV is closely related to CDV and RPV, and
34 PPRV infection evidences have been reported in small ruminants, large ruminants, camel,
35 wildlife species and pig [5, 6]. The potential of pig to act as virus amplifier and to shed virus
36 in the environment indicates their role in the disease spread to small ruminants and other
37 susceptible hosts [6].

38 The virus carries a negative sense, single stranded RNA genome of approximately 16 kb
39 encoding six structural proteins; nucleocapsid (N), phosphoprotein (P), matrix (M), fusion
40 (F), hemagglutinin (H) and polymerase (L) in an order of 3′-N-P-M-F-H-L-5′ [7]. The N
41 protein is involved in ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) formation for RNA encapsidation
42 during viral transcription, replication and assembly. Together with P and L, N protein acts as
43 a polymerase co-factor, which governs the virus replication [8]. Various domains and motifs
44 in the N protein reduce the replication fitness of virus and thus carry potential to generate
45 attenuated vaccine candidates from virulent field strains. Together with extensive use of N
46 genes (due to transcriptional potential) and protein (due to transcription-gradient translation)
47 in the detection of viruses using qualitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
48 (qRT-PCRs) and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), respectively, greater and
49 comparative assessments of the N protein are imperative. On the other hands, the H
50 glycoprotein plays a prime role in tissue tropism by binding to two cellular receptors known

51 as poliovirus receptor-like 4 (Nectin-4) and signalling lymphocyte activation molecule
52 (SLAM) [9, 10]. The SLAM receptors (also known as CD150 molecules) are
53 immunoglobulin (Ig) superfamily glycoproteins and are expressed on the surface of many
54 immune cells including primary B cells, virus-transformed B cells (B95a), T cells (activated,
55 memory and clonal), and immature thymocytes [11]. The localization of these receptors
56 determines host adaptation and correlates with virus-induced pathologies [9]. The H
57 glycoprotein recognizes and uses overlapping regions to bind to these cellular receptors
58 (SLAM and Nectin-4), which elicits conformational changes in the attachment protein to
59 reveal a trigger sequence in its stem region that interact with the globular head of the fusion
60 protein [12]. Taken together, these receptors are likely a major hurdle for morbilliviruses to
61 cross the species-barrier and eventually require mutations in the receptor-binding regions of
62 the H protein for host adaptation [12]. While extensive information has been made available
63 on the H proteins, cellular factors that govern viral interactions with receptors in host-
64 dependent manners are lacking. Understanding of these factors may highlight the virus jumps
65 between hosts, and can explain the potential preference of the virus for specific hosts.
66 PPR is considered endemic in the Middle East, Africa and Asia. Four distinct lineages (I, II,
67 III and IV) have been reported on the basis of partial *N* gene sequence with distinct
68 geographical patterns [13]. Utilizing complete genome or partial gene sequencing (e.g. *N*
69 gene), comparative molecular epidemiology and genetic variability of PPRVs in domestic
70 small ruminants have widely been assessed in disease-endemic regions [14, 15].
71 Nevertheless, there is paucity on the phylogenetic relationship of PPRVs originating from
72 wildlife and unusual hosts. Therefore, the current study was designed to explore genetic
73 markers that allow an interpretation whether certain PPRV strains are more likely to be
74 transmitted or disseminated from domestic to wild/unusual hosts or vice versa. Cumulative

75 outcome may facilitate the devising of appropriate intervention strategies particularly at
76 livestock-wildlife interface for effective disease control in disease-endemic countries.

77 **Materials and Methods**

78 There is a paucity of genome sequence data for PPRV reported from wild and unusual hosts
79 in public databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, accessed by June 2019). The available
80 data is limited to five complete genome sequence and two complete and 30 partial
81 nucleoprotein (*N*) gene sequences originating from various wild and unusual hosts.
82 Therefore, selective data (n = 102) including *N* partial gene sequences of PPRVs from
83 unusual and wild hosts origin (n = 37) and domestic small ruminants origin (n = 65)
84 representing different lineages were used in this study. The sequences were aligned using
85 ClustalW method in BioEdit® version 5.0.6 [16] and edited to equal length. To assess the
86 phylogeny patterns, a tree was constructed using distance-based neighbour-joining model in
87 MEGA® version 6.0 where reliability in topology of tree was assured with bootstrap
88 replications (1,000) and *p*-distance substitution model [17]. The deduced amino acid
89 sequences of complete *N*, *H* and *F* genes from wild and unusual hosts (n = 6)-origin PPRV
90 were also compared with a strain originating from domestic small ruminants to determine
91 substitution rations across the length of gene. To better elucidate a presumptive role of
92 substitutions in host adaptation in wildlife or unusual hosts, sequences that were reported
93 from domestic small ruminants during the same time period and geographical area were used
94 in the analysis.

95 **Results and discussion**

96 Besides several important biological activities such as attachment, replication and induction
97 of protective immune response in the host [13, 18, 19], the partial *N, F* and *H* genes
98 sequences have been employed in a number of studies to determine the phylogeny and
99 evolutionary relationship among circulating isolates worldwide [15, 18, 19]. Nonetheless, we

100 used only partial *N* gene sequences due to the fact that i) complete gene sequences for *N*, *F*
101 and *H* genes were limited to a total of five isolates only and, when compared with
102 corresponding genes originating from domestic small ruminants, their evolutionary
103 relationship and deduced residue pattern was much alike, ii) a higher number of partial *N*
104 gene sequences originating from diverse species are available in public database, and iii) the
105 sequence and residue characteristics provide a distinctive resolution for PPRV epidemiology
106 in disease endemic countries [13, 15].
107 Phylogenetic analysis clustered wild and unusual hosts-origin PPRV strains into five distinct
108 clades. However, direct comparison of these PPRV with strains from small ruminants
109 suggested their common origin. Clade-I included the camel-originated (Sudan origin) and
110 wild-alpine goat-originated (Morocco origin) viruses that clustered close to domestic small
111 ruminant-origin strains reported from Sudan and Morocco. Clade-II included cattle-, dog- and
112 Asiatic lion- originated (India origin) PPRV strains that clustered together with small
113 ruminant's originated viruses from India. Clade-III comprised of wild goat-originated (Iraq
114 origin), Ibex-originated (Israel origin) and biting midges-originated (Turkey origin) strains
115 that clustered with domestic small ruminants-originated PPRV strains isolated in Iran, Iraq
116 and Turkey. Sequences from camel (Pakistan) and bharal (China) origin were included in
117 clade-IV and clustered with small ruminants-originated strains isolated in Tibet, China and
118 Pakistan. Likewise, PPRV isolates from ibex (UAE and China), antelope (India), wild goat
119 (Iran) and camel (Pakistan) origin made together clade-V and clustered with domestic small
120 ruminant-originated strains isolated in China, Iraq and Pakistan (Fig. 1).
121 The phylogenetic analysis revealed a close relationship between strains recovered from
122 domestic and wild/unusual hosts of the same geographical region. For instance, camel-
123 originated strains from Pakistan clustered close enough to those of domestic origin PPR
124 viruses reported previously from Pakistan, Tibet and China. In disease endemic countries, the

125 virus can easily transmit from one animal to another due to sharing a common source of
126 water, food and vicinity or frequent contact particularly in areas where there are lack of well-
127 definite borders. Thus, it is not surprising that there is a close relationship between viruses
128 isolated from different host species in the same geographical area, likely reflecting a spill-
129 over from domestic animals to wildlife [20-22]. A few of such evidences include disease
130 outbreaks in Saudi Arabia [20], gazelle in UAE [21], ibex in Pakistan [22], bharal in Tibet
131 [23], and water-deer in China [24]. Additionally, due to extensive animal movements such as
132 in the Himalayas and Pamir region between Pakistan, Nepal, China, Afghanistan and
133 Tajikistan, potential inter-species transmission with a closely related virus is not unusual [25].
134 Also, there are few studies where sero-conversion in wild and unusual host while living in
135 close vicinity with domestic small ruminants has been reported [26, 27]. Although, the
136 frequent contact between domestic and non-domestic animals may play a crucial role in the
137 spread of virus, factors involved in the epidemiology of the PPRV at livestock-wildlife
138 interface is largely unknown.
139 In contrast to identification of PPRVs of all lineages (I-IV) in domestic small ruminants in
140 disease-endemic countries, viruses of only lineages II, III and IV have been reported to-date
141 from wild or unusual host and camels [Table 1; 28]. Usually, the lineage IV viruses were
142 predominantly found affecting a wide range of wild and unusual hosts in disease-endemic
143 countries. While the factors that predispose wildlife to lineage IV are not well defined, the
144 wider distribution of lineage IV and its potential to cause pathologies in small ruminants are
145 potential survival factors. Primarily, the lineage IV is the most dominant group of PPRV in
146 disease-endemic countries [22-25] and this dominance further supports its distribution in
147 susceptible hosts including wild and unusual animal species.
148 We have also conducted a comparative residue analysis of available complete *N*, *F* and *H*
149 gene of PPRV originating from wild and unusual hosts and domestic small ruminants because

150 residue substitutions in specific protein or a site leads to genetic variations either due to
151 natural selection or adaptation to a novel host [29]. The deduced residues for *H* gene (1-610
152 aa), particularly the N-terminal proximal anchor (^{35}PYILLGVLLVMFLSLIGLLAIAG^{58}) and
153 SLAM binding site (^{529}Y, ^{530}D, ^{533}R, ^{552}F, ^{553}Y, ^{554}P) were observed in a pattern similar to
154 those of domestic small ruminants [14]. Although, SLAM receptors have significance for
155 host adaptation however, the conserveness of its binding motif in *H* gene sequences obtained
156 from PPRV-infected domestic and wildlife species indicated a lack of specific mutations that
157 could be associated with the susceptibility of infection to a particular species, conclusively
158 [30]. An influence on host adaptability and pathogenicity has previously been speculated
159 upon the mutations/substitutions in *H* gene [19], the gained outcome should be cautiously
160 interpreted because of limitation of sequences (n=6) available in the database. Interestingly,
161 both the conservancy of SLAM binding motif and phylogenetic relationship of studied
162 PPRVs strains to those of their respective host species (sheep and goats) anticipated that all
163 these strains most likely evolved from a common ancestral virus indicating its intrinsic
164 capacity to adapt novel host species [31].
165 The deduced residues across the whole length of *F* gene (1–547 aa) particularly the signal
166 peptide (^{1}MTRVAILAFLFLFLNAVAC^{19}), the cleavage site (^{103}RRTRR^{108}), the fusion
167 peptide (^{109}FAGAVLAGVALGVATAAQITAGVAL^{133}), and the leucine zipper domain
168 (^{459}LGNAVTRLENAKELLDASDASDQIL^{480}) were found conserved. On the other hand, the
169 nuclear export signal (^{4}LLKSLALF^{11}), nuclear localization signal (^{70}TGVMISML^{77}) and
170 RNA binding motif (^{324}FSAGAYPLLWSYAMG^{338}) were conserved for *N* gene (1-526 aa).
171 However, several substitutions were observed in the C-terminal regions of *N* gene and,
172 therefore, could be considered as a hypervariable region without having any significant role
173 in host adaptation reported so-far [14]. Substitutions at position 444(T/S→P/S), 446(P→Q),
174 464(S→G/I), 505(L/F→P/F/S), 510(S→P), 516(S→P) and 517(K→E) were consistent for

175 wild and unusual host than those reported for domestic small ruminants (Table 1). Although,
176 the C-terminus of N protein is considered an important region for viral replication and
177 substitutions in this region may have influence in the enhancement of persistency of virus
178 infection for a long period [8], however, such substitutions have not influenced host
179 adaptation. Based on the genomic similarities between PPRV sequences from small ruminant,
180 camel and unusual host origin, the current study suggested that PPRV is promiscuous
181 between host species without genomic alterations that may otherwise be required for
182 adaptation to novel host/s. Though genomic and residue substitutions may have an influence
183 on the evolution and adaptation of other morbilliviruses to novel hosts [31], however, it was
184 not observed for PPRV in the current study.

185 **Conclusion**

186 The study provides an understanding towards the phylogenomics and evolutionary
187 relationships among PPRV strains originating from domestic and wild/unusual hosts.
188 Comparative genomic and residue analysis revealed a close relationship between study PPRV
189 strains reported from the same geographical region. Since study data was limited to few of
190 publically available sequences so far, there needs an abundant sequence dataset representing
191 wild and unusual hosts to better elucidate underlying mechanisms on viral evolution in future.
192 Most importantly, besides comparative sequence analysis, emphasis should be given to a
193 range of host factors that may predispose adaptability and subsequent susceptibility of novel
194 host to PPRV infection.

195 **Author's contribution**

196 AR, MZS apprehended the idea: AR, MM, MZS conceived and designed the work; AR, MZS
197 did data analysis; AR, MM, MZS edited final draft.

198 **Acknowledgment**

199 None

200 **Compliance with ethical standards**

201 **Conflict of interest:** None

202 **Ethical approval:** This article does not contain studies with animals or humans performed.

203 **Informed consent:** No human or animals were involved.

204 **Figure captions**

205 **Fig. 1** Phylogenetic analysis of 102 partial *N* gene nucleotide sequences (255bp) reported for
206 PPRV. Black circle indicates the isolates reported from wild and unusual animals. Green
207 colour of branches and isolates name indicate lineage IV while, blue indicates lineage II,
208 Fuchsia indicates lineage I, and red indicates lineage III.

209 **References**

210 1. Amarasinghe GK, Bào Y, Basler CF, Bavari S, Beer M, Bejerman N, Blasdell KR,
211 Bochnowski A, Briese T, Bukreyev A, Calisher CH (2017) Taxonomy of the order
212 Mononegavirales: update 2017. Arch Virol 1;162(8):2493-504.
213 2. Baron MD, Diallo A, Lancelot R, Libeau G (2016) Peste des petits ruminants virus. In
214 Advances in virus research Vol. 95:1-42 Academic Press.
215 3. Kock RA, Wamwayi HM, Rossiter PB, Libeau G, Wambwa E, Okori J,Shiferaw FS,
216 Mlengeya TD (2006) Re-infection of wildlife populations with rinderpest virus on the
217 periphery of the Somali ecosystem in East Africa. Prev Vet Med 75:63-80
218 4. Martinez-Gutierrez M, Ruiz-Saenz J (2016) Diversity of susceptible hosts in canine
219 distemper virus infection: a systematic review and data synthesis. BMC Vet Res
220 12(1):78.
221 5. Aziz-ul-Rahman, Wensman JJ, Abubakar M, Shabbir MZ, Rossiter P (2018) Peste des
222 petits ruminants in wild ungulates. Trop Anima Health and Prod 50(8):1815-9.
223 6. Schulz C, Fast C, Schlottau K, Hoffmann B, Beer M (2018) Neglected hosts of small
224 ruminant morbillivirus. Emerg Infect Dis 24(12):2334.
225 7. Diallo A (1990) Morbillivirus group: genome organisation and proteins. Vet
226 Microbiol 23(1-4):155-63
227 8. Heinrich BS, Morin B, Rahmeh AA, Whelan SP (2012) Structural properties of the C
228 terminus of vesicular stomatitis virus N protein dictate N-RNA complex assembly,
229 encapsidation, and RNA synthesis. J Virol 86(16):8720-9.
230 9. Adombi CM, Lelenta M, Lamien CE, Shamaki D, Koffi YM, Traoré A, Silber R,
231 Couacy-Hymann E, Bodjo SC, Djaman JA, Luckins AG (2011) Monkey CV1 cell
232 line expressing the sheep–goat SLAM protein: a highly sensitive cell line for the
233 isolation of peste des petits ruminants virus from pathological specimens. J Virol
234 Methods 173(2):306-13.
235 10. Fakri F, Elarkam A, Daouam S, Tadlaoui K, Fassi-Fihri O, Richardson CD, Elharrak
236 M (2016) VeroNectin-4 is a highly sensitive cell line that can be used for the isolation
237 and titration of Peste des Petits Ruminants virus. J Virol Methods 228:135-9.
238 11. Tangye SG, Phillips JH, Lanier LL, Nichols KE (2000) Functional requirement for
239 SAP in 2B4-mediated activation of human natural killer cells as revealed by the X-
240 linked lymphoproliferatic syndrome. J Immunol 165;2932–2936.

241 12. Lin LT, Richardson C (2016) The host cell receptors for measles virus and their
242 interaction with the viral hemagglutinin (H) protein. Viruses8(9):250.
243 13. Parida S, Muniraju M, Mahapatra M, Muthuchelvan D, Buczkowski H, Banyard AC
244 (2015) Peste des petits ruminants. Vet Microbiol 181(1-2):90-106
245 14. Balamurugan V, Sen A, Venkatesan G, Yadav V, Bhanot V, Riyesh T, Bhanuprakash
246 V, Singh RK (2010) Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of the structural genes of
247 virulent isolates and vaccine strains of peste des petits ruminants virus from India.
248 Transbound Emerg Dis 57(5):352-64
249 15. Muniraju M, Munir M, Parthiban AR, Banyard AC, Bao J, Wang Z, Ayebazibwe C,
250 Ayelet G, El Harrak M, Mahapatra M, Libeau G (2014) Molecular evolution of peste
251 des petits ruminants virus. Emerg Iinfect Dis 20(12):2023
252 16. Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
253 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. InNucleic acids symposium series (Vol
254 41:95-98). [London]: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979-c2000.
255 http://jwbrown.mbio.ncsu.edu/JWB/papers/1999Hall1.pdf
256 17. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular
257 evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Bio Evol 30(12):2725-9
258 18. Liang Z, Yuan R, Chen L, Zhu X, Dou Y (2016) Molecular evolution and
259 characterization of hemagglutinin (H) in peste des petits ruminants virus. PloS One
260 11(4):e0152587
261 19. Sahu AR, Wani SA, Saminathan M, Rajak KK, Sahoo AP, Pandey A, Saxena S,
262 Kanchan S, Tiwari AK, Mishra B, Muthuchelvan D (2017) Genome sequencing of an
263 Indian peste des petits ruminants virus isolate, Izatnagar/94, and its implications for
264 virus diversity, divergence and phylogeography. Arch Virol 162(6):1677-93
265 20. Furley CW, Taylor WP, Obi TU (1987) An outbreak of peste des petits ruminants in a
266 zoological collection. The Vet Rec 121(19):443-7
267 21. Kinne J, Kreutzer R, Kreutzer M, Wernery U, Wohlsein P (2010) Peste des petits
268 ruminants in Arabian wildlife. Epidemiol Infect 138(8):1211-4
269 22. Abubakar M, Rajput ZI, Arshed MJ, Sarwar G, Ali Q (2011) Evidence of peste des
270 petits ruminants virus (PPRV) infection in Sindh Ibex (*Capra aegagrus blythi*) in
271 Pakistan as confirmed by detection of antigen and antibody. Trop Ani Health Prod
272 43(4):745-7
273 23. Bao J, Wang Z, Li L, Wu X, Sang P, Wu G, Ding G, Suo L, Liu C, Wang J, Zhao W
274 (2011) Detection and genetic characterization of peste des petits ruminants virus in
275 free-living bharals (*Pseudois nayaur)* in Tibet, China. Res Vet Sci 90(2):238-40
276 24. Zhou XY, Wang Y, Zhu J, Miao QH, Zhu LQ, Zhan SH, Wang GJ, Liu GQ (2018)
277 First report of peste des petits ruminants virus lineage II in Hydropotes inermis,
278 China. Transbound Emerg Dis 65(1):e205-9
279 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/tbed.12683/epdf
280 25. Banyard AC, Parida S (2015) Chapter 5: Molecular Epidemiology of Peste des Petits
281 Ruminants Virus. In: Munir M, (eds) Peste des Petits Ruminants Virus 69-93.
282 Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/97836624516565
283 26. Mahapatra M, Sayalel K, Muniraju M, Eblate E, Fyumagwa R, Shilinde S,
284 MaulidMdaki M, Keyyu J, Parida S, Kock R (2015) Spillover of peste des petits
285 ruminants virus from domestic to wild ruminants in the serengeti ecosystem,
286 Tanzania. Emerg Infect Dis 21(12):2230
287 27. Marashi M, Masoudi S, Moghadam MK, Modirrousta H, Marashi M, Parvizifar M,
288 Dargi M, Saljooghian M, Homan F, Hoffmann B, Schulz C (2017) Peste des Petits
289 Ruminants Virus in Vulnerable Wild Small Ruminants, Iran, 2014–2016. Emerg
290 Infect Dis 23(4):704

291 28. El-Hakim OA (2006) An Outbreak of Peste de Petit Ruminants (PPR) At Aswan
292 Province, Eygpt-Evaluation of Some Novel Tools for Diagnosis Of PPR. Assiut Vet
293 Med J 52(110):132-145
294 29. Denison MR, Graham RL, Donaldson EF, Eckerle LD, Baric RS (2011)
295 Coronaviruses: an RNA proofreading machine regulates replication fidelity and
296 diversity. RNA biology 8(2):270-9
297 30. Bae CW, Lee JB, Park SY, Song CS, Lee NH, Seo KH, Kang YS, Park CK, Choi IS
298 (2013) Deduced sequences of the membrane fusion and attachment proteins of canine
299 distemper viruses isolated from dogs and wild animals in Korea. Virus Genes
300 47(1):56-65
301 31. Jo WK, Osterhaus AD, Ludlow M (2018) Transmission of morbilliviruses within and
302 among marine mammal species. Curr Opin Virol 28:133-4
303

Table 1 Comparative residue analysis of partial *N* genes of PPRV isolated from various wild and unusual hosts (available until June, 2019).

Lineage	Country	Host	Source of isolation	Year of isolation	Accession number	Residue positions at C-terminus						
						444	446	464	505	510	516	517
IV	India	Goat	Tissue	2008	GQ122189⸸	T	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Chousingha	Tissue	2013	KY914553⸸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Cattle	Nasal swab	2007	EF641263	P	Q	G	P	P	P	E
		Dog	Nasal swab	2015	KT120060	P	Q	G	P	P	P	E
		Asiatic lion	Tissue	2007	JN632530	P	Q	G	P	P	P	E
		Asiatic lion	Tissue	2007	JN632532*	P	Q	G	P	P	P	E
	Pakistan	Goat	Nasal swab	2012	KJ398330⸸	T	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Camel	Blood	2012	KC207882	S	Q	-	F	-	-	-
		Camel	Blood	2012	KC207883	S	Q	-	F	-	-	-
		Camel	Blood	2012	KC207884	S	Q	-	F	-	-	-
		Camel	Blood	2012	KC207885	S	Q	-	F	-	-	-
	China	Goat	Nasal swab	2014	MF443354⸸	T	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Siberian ibex	Tissue	2014	KX664096⸸	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Siberian ibex	Nasal-anal swab	2014	KX664098⸸	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Siberian ibex	Tissue	2016	KX664100⸸	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Goitered gazelle	Nasal-anal swab	2016	KX664097⸸	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Argali	Tissue	2015	KX664099⸸	P	-	-	F	-	-	-
		Ibex	Tissue	2015	KT633939**⸸	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Wild bharal	Tissue	2008	JX217850**⸸	P	-	-	F	-	-	-
		Wild bharal	Tissue	2008	EU815054⸸	P	-	-	F	-	-	-
	Sudan	Sheep	Tissue	2008	HQ131931⸸	P	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Camel	Tissue	2005	HQ131934	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2004	HQ131935	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2007	HQ131936	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2006	HQ131937	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2006	HQ131938	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2005	HQ131939	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2007	HQ131940	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2007	HQ131941	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2008	HQ131942	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2004	HQ131947	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
		Camel	Tissue	2005	HQ131948	-	Q	-	-	-	-	-
	Iraq	Sheep	Nasal swab	2013	KF992797⸸	S	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Wild goat	Nasal swab	2011	JF969755⸸	P	Q	-	-	-	-	-
	Morocco	Goat	Not available	2015	KY885100	P	Q	S	F	S	S	K
		Alpine goat	Nasal swab	2008	KC594074**⸸	-	-	-	S	-	-	-
	UAE	Sheep	Nasal swab	2013	KF992797⸸	S	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Ibex	Tissue	2009	FJ795511⸸	-	-	I	-	-	-	-
	Israel	Goat	Nasal swab	2016	DQ840191⸸	T	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Nubian ibex	Tissue	2017	MF678816**⸸	P	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Turkey	Lamb	Nasal swab	2016	MG744248	S	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Biting midges	Tissue	2015	KU325483	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		Biting midges	Tissue	2015	KU175171	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
III	UAE	Goat	Nasal swab	1986	DQ840169⸸	P	P	S	P	T	S	T
		Gazelle	Tissue	1986	KJ867545**⸸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
II	China	Vaccine strain	Not available	1975	X74443	P	P	S	L	S	S	K
		Water deer	Tissue	2016	KY196465*⸸	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Note: The domestic small ruminants originating PPRV isolates used for comparison were selected according the highest similarity to PPRV strains originating form wild/unusual hosts representing same geographical region and year of isolation. Random substitutions in individual isolate were also observed. Substitutions are bold in grey highlighted boxes. Identical residue denoted by "– ". ⸸ = Isolation of virus from swabs and tissues samples from animal representing clinical infection, whereas except are the sequences of strain from apparently healthy animals.**Abbreviations:** T: Threonine, P: Proline, S: Serine, L: Leucine, K: Lysine, Q: Glutamine, F: Phenylalanine, P: Proline, E: Glutamic acid, I: Isoleucine, G: Glycine
**Complete genome sequence is available; *Complete *N* gene sequence is available