

Response

To best mimic human thermal conditions, mice should be housed slightly below thermoneutrality

We agree with Fischer et al 2019 [1] that there are some points of similarity between our data sets (our paper with data is [2], paper of Fischer *et al.* is [3]). Although rounding the PAL values at 30 °C to one decimal place tends to minimize the differences. However, we did not recommend a temperature of 27.2–29.1 °C, as is stated in the legend of Figure 2 (of [1]). These were the results of our 12 min readings, but it was not our recommendation. Our recommendation was: 'Given the observed ratio of DEE to BMR of 1.7 at 27.6 °C and 1.8 at 25.5 °C, we suggest that this is the best temperature range for housing C57BL/6 mice to mimic human thermal relations' [2].

To clarify how we reached this conclusion. We consider BMR of 1.7-1.8x most appropriate. Second, we think a 24 min reading is most appropriate, because this is similar to that used in human measurements of BMR. Since, the average at 1.8x BMR is 25.5 °C and the average at 1.7x BMR is 26.7 °C, this is what we advocated [2]. It is important to note that this is below thermoneutrality. Hence, we do not advocate thermoneutral as the best translational housing temperature as the suggestion there is agreement between our datasets implies. This also fits with the data showing that humans also routinely live below thermoneutrality, an argument this is ignored by Fisher, Cannon, and Nedergaard [1,3].

There are several further mistakes in Figure 2 (of [1]): Regarding the Zone proposed by Speakman and Keijer [4], it would be better to state this zone as 23-25 °C, since that is what we proposed (in [4]) for single housed mice, and that is what all the other zones in Figure 2 (of [1]) refer to. The extended zone down to 20-22 °C was for group housed mice in different housing conditions. The implication from the diagram is that we advocated 20-22 °C for single housed mice, which we did not. The new zone is also not the zone we proposed. This should be 25.5-27.6 °C, if it is to be called zone proposed by Keijer, Li and Speakman [2]. The zone advocated by Fischer et al. (2018), which is indicated as 28-30 °C, is also incorrect because they did not advocate that. They advocated 30 °C which was one of the only 2 temperatures they measured [1]. In conclusion, mice should be housed slightly below thermoneutrality to best mimic humans thermal conditions.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fischer, A.W., Cannon, B., Nedergaard, J., 2019. The answer to the question "What is the best housing temperature to translate mouse experiments to humans?" is: thermoneutrality. Mol Metab. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet. 2019.05.006.
- [2] Keijer, J., Li, M., Speakman, J.R., 2019 Apr 6. What is the best housing temperature to translate mouse experiments to humans? Mol Metab S2212-8778(19):30280-30287 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.04.001</u>.
- [3] Fischer, A.W., Cannon, B., Nedergaard, J., 2018 Jan. Optimal housing temperatures for mice to mimic the thermal environment of humans: an experimental study. Mol Metab 7:161–170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/</u> j.molmet.2017.10.009.
- [4] Speakman, J.R., Keijer, J., 2012 Nov 8. Not so hot: optimal housing temperatures for mice to mimic the thermal environment of humans. Mol Metab 2(1): 5–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2012.10.002.

Jaap Keijer*

Human and Animal Physiology, Wageningen University, De Elst 1, PO box 338, 6700 AH, Wageningen, the Netherlands

Min Li

State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

John R. Speakman**

State Key Laboratory of Molecular Developmental Biology, Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK CAS Centre of Excellence in Animal Evolution and Genetics, Kunming, China

> *Corresponding author. E-mail address: jaap.keijer@wur.nl

**Corresponding author. E-mail address: J.speakman@abdn.ac.uk

Available online 28 May 2019

DOI of original articles: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.05.006, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019. 04.001.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2019.05.007