

26 spp. as the most relevant biological hazards in the context of meat inspection of pigs. The
27 seroprevalence of these important zoonotic pathogens was low in Finland, except that of
28 *Yersinia*. The seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma* was significantly higher in pigs originating from
29 small-scale fattening farms ($p < 0.05$). Strong positive correlation was observed at the animal
30 level between *Salmonella* and *Yersinia* seropositivity and between *Salmonella* and *Toxoplasma*
31 seropositivity ($p < 0.05$). We suggest that these results reflect the level and importance of
32 biosecurity measures applied on the farms. Meat juice serology at slaughter is a useful tool for
33 targeting measures to control these pathogens. The information obtained from analyses should
34 be used as part of the food chain information (FCI).

35

36 Keywords: Public health hazards, serology, monitoring, meat safety, pigs, food chain
37 information (FCI), *Salmonella*, *Yersinia*, *Toxoplasma*, *Trichinella*

38

39

IMPACTS

40

- 41 • *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Trichinella* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii* are
42 the most relevant biological hazards in the context of meat inspection of pigs.
- 43 • Meat juice serology at slaughter is a useful tool for targeting measures to control
44 zoonotic pathogens.
- 45 • In Finland, *Salmonella* and *Toxoplasma* antibodies were rare and *Trichinella* antibodies
46 were not detected in finishing pigs. *Toxoplasma* was more prevalent in pigs originating
47 from small-scale fattening farms.

48

49

50

51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75

1. INTRODUCTION

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) considers *Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Trichinella* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii* as the most relevant biological hazards in the context of meat inspection of pigs (EFSA, 2011). Of the zoonotic pathogens causing human illnesses often related to pork consumption (Fosse et al., 2008), only *Trichinella* spp. are detectable within the current *post-mortem* inspection of pig meat. The EFSA (2011) stated that a comprehensive pork carcass safety assurance is the only way to ensure effective control of these zoonotic pathogens. Evaluating the seroprevalences of zoonotic pathogens in pigs entering the slaughterhouse could provide valuable data while targeting national control measures aiming at diminishing carcass contamination with the most relevant foodborne biological hazards, including zoonotic bacteria and parasites, at primary production on farms. Furthermore, sufficient serological data from individual farms would enable intervention at the farm level, while at the slaughterhouse level they would aid in making decisions regarding carcass processing. Considering that routine inspections for *Trichinella* are expected to become less frequent (Anonymous, 2014), new means of monitoring are needed.

Conventional culture methods for *Salmonella* spp. and enteropathogenic *Yersinia* spp. are slow and laborious, while for *T. gondii* a proper practical method for direct detection does not exist (Basso et al., 2013). Instead, these pathogens can be indirectly detected by the ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) method, which is fast, sensitive and simple to perform. The presence of antibodies to a specific pathogen indicates that the animal has been exposed to the pathogen at some stage of life, although the seropositive animal may no longer be infective (Nielsen et al., 1995, 1996). Serology is considered as a useful tool for population- and herd-level surveillance programmes for all these four main zoonoses in pigs (Nesbakken et al., 2003; Gamble et al., 2004, 2005; Nowak et al., 2007). The aim of the study was to assess the

76 prevalence of antibodies in meat juice to these important zoonoses and to evaluate the feasibility
77 of the method at the slaughterhouse and the usability of the results as part of the food chain
78 information (FCI).

79

80

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

81 *2.1 Sampling*

82 Meat samples from 1353 finishing pigs were collected between November 2012 and April 2013
83 at slaughter. The pigs originated from 259 conventional farms that were allocated according to
84 farm types: large fattening farms (≥ 1000 pig places), small fattening farms (< 1000 pig places)
85 and farrow-to-finish farms. The samples were collected randomly from two slaughterhouses
86 that receive animals from throughout Finland. Approximately 75 % of finishing pigs in Finland
87 were slaughtered at these two slaughterhouses. A farm was represented by an average of 5
88 (range 3–15) pigs. Background data on the exact number of pig places, were obtained from
89 177/179 fattening farms.

90

91 For sample size estimation, the seroprevalence of *Yersinia* was assumed to be 60%, *T. gondii*
92 3% and *Salmonella* 1%. Consequently, the sample size is adequate to detect antibodies to
93 *Salmonella*, *Yersinia* and *Toxoplasma* at the foreseen prevalences (Naing et al., 2006). The
94 seroprevalence of *Trichinella* was assumed to be nearly 0%. However, regarding *Trichinella*,
95 the aim was only to indicate that the seroprevalence was below 1%.

96

97 Meat samples (about 10 g of muscle from the diaphragm) were collected in plastic bags and
98 frozen to below -18 °C. To obtain meat juice, the samples were thawed and mechanically
99 squeezed. The meat juice obtained was stored at -70 °C until testing and thawed before analysis,

100 then frozen again for possible reanalysis. Rastawicki et al. (2012) demonstrated that antibodies
101 are stable even after multiple freeze-thaw cycles.

102

103 **2.2 Serology**

104 The meat juice samples were examined, using commercial ELISA kits suitable for pig meat
105 juice samples. The reactions were read, using a spectrophotometer (Multiskan Ascent V1.24;
106 Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA, USA) at 450 nm. All analyses were performed
107 from 10 µl of meat juice (diluted 1:10). Results equal to or above the cutoff value were
108 considered as positive.

109

110 The *Salmonella* antibodies were analysed, using the SALMOTYPE Pig Screen test (Labor
111 Diagnostik GmbH, Leipzig, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. The test
112 detects antibodies to O-antigens 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 12. The results were interpreted according to
113 the manufacturer's instructions with a cutoff optical density (OD) value of 0.2. Following this
114 protocol, the sensitivity of the test was 98.5% and specificity 99.8%, according to the
115 manufacturer.

116

117 The *Yersinia* antibodies were determined, using the PIGTYPE® YOPSCREEN test (Labor
118 Diagnostik) according to the manufacturer's instructions (cutoff OD of 0.3). The antigens used
119 in the test are *Yersinia* outer proteins (Yops), which are expressed only by pathogenic *Yersinia*
120 strains carrying the virulence plasmid. According to the manufacturer, the sensitivity and the
121 specificity of the test are near 100%.

122

123 The *Trichinella* antibodies were analysed using the PIGTYPE® Trichinella Ab test (Labor
124 Diagnostik) according to the manufacturer's instructions (cutoff OD of 0.3). Pigs harbouring as

125 few as one larva per 100 g of tissue can be detected by serological methods (Gamble et al.,
126 1983). The sensitivity of the test was 98.9% and the specificity 95.4% (Knoop et al., 2011).
127 Confirming positive results with Western blot analysis enables to achieve almost 100%
128 specificity (Frey et al., 2009).

129

130 The *T. gondii* antibodies were detected by the PrioCHECK® Toxoplasma Ab Porcine test
131 (Prionics AG, Schlieren-Zurich, Switzerland), according to manufacturer's instructions (cutoff
132 OD of 0.15). A recent study demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity of the test: 98.9% and
133 92.7%, respectively (Basso et al., 2013).

134

135 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

136 An individual animal with a positive sample was considered seropositive. A farm was
137 considered seropositive when at least one of the sampled animals tested positive. The 95% CIs
138 for the seroprevalences were calculated, using the OpenEpi program and the Wilson method
139 (Dean et al., 2013). The serological results were interpreted, using the analytical software
140 package SPSS® Statistics Version 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The Pearson chi-
141 square test was used for cross-tabulated data. Correlations between variables, both on animal
142 and farm level, were analysed using a bivariate Pearson (two-tailed) test. The seropositivity of
143 pigs originating from different farming systems was compared, using one-way analysis of
144 variance (ANOVA) and Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD). P-values < 0.05 were
145 considered statistically significant.

146

147

147 **3. RESULTS**

148 *Salmonella* antibodies were detected in 3% of pigs and in 14% of farms with cutoff ODs of 0.2
149 (Table 1). When *Salmonella*-seropositive pigs were found, only one pig tested positive for most

150 farms (83%) while on none of the farms did all pigs test positive. Regarding *Salmonella*, no
151 differences were found among farm types. The overall OD values of *Salmonella* were low; in
152 only five samples (0.4%) was the OD > 0.3 (Figure 1).

153

154 In all, 57% of pigs and 85% of farms were seropositive for pathogenic *Yersinia* spp. On 60
155 farms (23%), all pigs tested were positive. *Yersinia* antibodies were more prevalent in pigs
156 originating from large fattening farms than from farrow-to-finish farms: however, the
157 difference between farm types was not statistically significant ($p = 0.09$).

158

159 In all, 3% of pigs originating from 9% of farms were seropositive for *T. gondii*. As an animal
160 group, those pigs originating from small fattening farms showed a significantly higher
161 seroprevalence of *T. gondii* than pigs from other farm types ($p \leq 0.002$). When *Toxoplasma*-
162 seropositive pigs were found on small fattening farms, always more than one pig tested positive
163 and for three of the farms all the pigs tested ($n = 5$) were positive (Figure 2). For the pigs ($n =$
164 918) from fattening farms with known numbers of pig places, a strong negative correlation (p
165 < 0.001) between the pigs' seropositivity for *T. gondii* and the number of pig places on the farm
166 of origin was found at the animal level. *Trichinella* antibodies were not detected.

167

168 Most (35/42) of the *Salmonella*-seropositive pigs were also *Yersinia*-seropositive (Table 2).
169 There was a strong positive correlation ($p < 0.001$) at the animal level between the pigs'
170 seropositivity for *Salmonella* and *Yersinia*. No significant association ($p = 0.25$) was found on
171 farm level between *Salmonella* and *Yersinia*. However, for those farms where *Salmonella* was
172 detected the percentage of *Yersinia*-seropositive animals was statistically higher ($p < 0.05$) than
173 for those farms where *Salmonella* was not found. Similar animal-level (but not farm-level)
174 associations were also seen between *Salmonella* and *Toxoplasma* seropositivity ($p < 0.05$).

TABLE 1. Seroprevalence estimates with 95% confidence intervals (CI) in meat juice samples of finishing pigs in Finland.

Pathogen	Pigs			Farms		
	N*	%	95% CI	N*	%	95% CI
<i>Salmonella</i> ^a						
Total	42/1353	3.1	2.3-4.2	35/259	13.5	9.9-18.2
Large fattening farms	14/492	2.8	1.7-4.7	12/93	12.9	7.5-21.1
Small fattening farms	12/436	2.8	1.6-4.8	9/86	10.5	5.6-18.7
Farrow-to-finish farms	16/425	3.8	2.3-6.0	14/80	17.5	10.7-27.2
<i>Yersinia</i> ^b						
Total	766/135	56.6	54.0-59.2	220/259	84.9	80.1-
Large fattening farms	3	60.6	56.2-64.8	83/93	89.2	88.8
Small fattening farms	298/492	55.0	50.4-59.7	70/86	81.4	81.3-
Farrow-to-finish farms	240/436	53.6	48.9-58.3	67/80	83.8	94.1
	228/425					71.9-
						88.2
						74.2-
						90.3
<i>Toxoplasma gondii</i>						
Total	43/1353	3.2	2.4-4.3	24/259	9.3	6.3-13.4
Large fattening farms	9/492	1.8	1.0-3.4	9/93	9.7	5.2-17.4
Small fattening farms	26/436	6.0	4.1-8.6	10/86	11.6	6.4-20.1
Farrow-to-finish farms	8/425	1.9	1.0-3.7	5/80	6.3	2.7-13.8
<i>Trichinella</i>						
Total	0/1353	0.0	0.0-0.3	0/259	0.0	0.0-1.5
Large fattening farms	0/492	0.0	0.0-0.8	0/93	0.0	0.0-4.0
Small fattening farms	0/436	0.0	0.0-0.9	0/86	0.0	0.0-4.3
Farrow-to-finish farms	0/425	0.0	0.0-0.9	0/80	0.0	0.0-4.6

177 *Pig is considered seropositive when a sample equal to or above the cutoff value. Farm is considered
 178 seropositive when at least one sample is positive. In general, five pigs were sampled per farm
 179 (variation 3–15).

180 ^aO-serotypes 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 12, cutoff OD value of 0.2.

181 ^bPathogenic (Yop-positive) *Yersinia* spp., cutoff OD value of 0.3.

182

183 **FIGURE 1.** Distribution of optical density (OD) values of *Salmonella* antibodies in meat
184 juice samples of 1353 finishing pigs at slaughter in Finland.

185

186

187 **FIGURE 2.** Percentage of *T. gondii*-seropositive pigs in samples from 177 fattening farms.
188
189

190 TABLE 2. *Salmonella* and *Yersinia* antibodies in meat juice samples of 1353 finishing pigs at
 191 slaughter in Finland.

<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	Pathogenic <i>Yersinia</i> spp.		All samples
	Negative	Positive ^b	
Negative	580 (44%)	731 (56%)	1311 (100%)
Positive ^a	7 (17%)	35 (83%)	42 (100%)
All	587 (43%)	766 (57%)	1353 (100%)

192 ^a Antibodies to O-serotypes 1,4,5,6,7 and 12

193 ^b Antibodies to virulence plasmid encoded Yop proteins

194
 195
 196

197 4. DISCUSSION

198 *Salmonella* spp., pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Trichinella* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii*
 199 are the main zoonotic pathogens in pigs and pork (EFSA, 2011). However, none of these
 200 pathogens are detectable in the current macroscopic system of *post-mortem* meat inspection,
 201 except for the *Trichinella* parasite that is detectable by laboratory analysis. One way of
 202 controlling these zoonoses is by performing serological analyses that can be carried out from
 203 blood or meat juice at the slaughterhouse. We used meat juice samples to assess the prevalence
 204 of antibodies to *Salmonella* spp., pathogenic *Yersinia* spp., *Trichinella* spp. and *Toxoplasma*
 205 *gondii* in finishing pigs in Finland during 2012 and 2013.

206

207 The overall estimate for *Salmonella* seroprevalence in pigs in Finland was 3%, which is clearly
 208 lower than that previously reported for other countries in Europe and that derived from the
 209 serological *Salmonella* surveillance programme in Denmark (Hautekiet et al., 2008; Fosse et
 210 al., 2009; Sisák et al., 2011; Alban et al., 2012; Wacheck et al., 2012; Meemken et al., 2014).

211 The seroprevalence detected reflects the fact that the health status of pig herds with respect to
212 *Salmonella* in Finland, Sweden and Norway is favourable (EFSA and ECDC, 2014). The
213 positive results were sporadic and the OD values generally low. Only two samples (0.2%)
214 showed OD values over 0.4, which is a cutoff value widely used in Europe. The low prevalence
215 is consistent with the results from the Finnish national *Salmonella* control programme, in which
216 *Salmonella* has been isolated from 0.2% or less of the yearly tested intestinal lymph nodes of
217 pigs since 1997 (The Zoonosis Centre team, 2012). The situation is favourable, although there
218 are still indications of rare exposure of pigs to *Salmonella* in Finland. The seroprevalence of
219 *Salmonella* was similar in pigs originating from different types of farms. Interpretation of the
220 true seroprevalence for these different farm types was limited; mostly only five pigs per farm
221 were sampled and often the samples represented only one batch of pigs rather than the entire
222 herd. However, Nollet et al. (2005) demonstrated that in analysing five serum samples per herd,
223 the probability of classifying a *Salmonella* culture-positive herd as seropositive is 98.9% for a
224 cutoff value of 0.2. Regardless of the results, it is still important to follow good hygienic
225 practice (including feed hygiene, bird and rodent control and proper protective clothing) at
226 every level in the food chain to maintain adequate protection against *Salmonella*.

227

228 The seroprevalence of pathogenic *Yersinia* spp. was the highest of the pathogens studied,
229 although it was similar to or slightly lower than in previous studies from Germany and Belgium
230 (von Altröck et al., 2011; Meemken et al., 2014; Van Damme et al., 2014). Higher
231 seroprevalence was expected, based on previous studies in which the isolation rates of
232 pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* in slaughter pig tonsils were 52% in Finland and 29–93% in other
233 parts of Europe (Korte et al., 2004; Fredriksson-Ahomaa et al., 2007; Ortiz Martinez et al., 2009,
234 2011; Vanantwerpen et al., 2014; Van Damme et al., 2014). Usually, pigs are infected during
235 the fattening period and the antibodies typically remain detectable until slaughter (Nesbakken

236 et al., 2006). The high number of *Yersinia*-seropositive farms was not surprising, considering
237 the results of previous studies (von Altrock et al., 2011; Meemken et al., 2014; Van Damme et
238 al., 2014). However, in only a quarter of the farms did all the pigs studied here show antibodies
239 to *Yersinia*, possibly because the pigs may have originated from different compartments of the
240 same farm. Virtanen et al. (2012, 2014) demonstrated that piglets from *Yersinia*-positive
241 breeding farms transmit *Y. enterocolitica* strains to fattening farms and spread the pathogen
242 throughout the unit and that pigs purchased from infected herds transmit *Y. enterocolitica*
243 infection between farms of all production types. In the present study, seropositive pigs were
244 detected more often from large fattening farms than from farrow-to-finish farms, but the
245 difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.09$). This is in accordance with previous studies
246 (Skjerve et al., 1998; Nesbakken et al., 2003) possibly because the piglets in farrow-to-finish
247 farms may not have originated from other farms. In previous studies (Skjerve et al., 1998;
248 Nowak et al., 2006), the prevalence of *Y. enterocolitica* has been lower in production systems
249 with limited numbers of piglet suppliers. On 39 farms (15%), none of the pigs sampled (4–10
250 pigs per farm) showed *Yersinia* antibodies. In Germany, von Altrock et al. (2011) reported
251 similar results when they studied 30 pigs/farm: 16% (13/80) of farms were seronegative,
252 indicating that it is possible to produce pigs with low *Y. enterocolitica* risk in other production
253 systems than specific pathogen free (SPF) systems. Nevertheless, the prevalence of pathogenic
254 *Yersinia* in finishing pigs is so high that slaughter hygiene still remains as an important control
255 measure to reduce carcass contamination.

256 The test applied cannot distinguish between infections with pathogenic *Yersinia* species: *Y.*
257 *pestis*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. enterocolitica*. *Yersinia pestis* is not currently found in
258 Europe (Raoult et al., 2013), while *Y. pseudotuberculosis* has been isolated in 4% and *Y.*
259 *enterocolitica* in 52% of slaughter pig tonsils in Finland (Niskanen et al., 2002; Korte et al.,

260 2004). We assume that the serological reactions detected were mainly due to infection with *Y.*
261 *enterocolitica*, although the presence of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* should not be excluded.
262
263 *Toxoplasma gondii* seroprevalence (3%) was low and similar to that in the previous Finnish
264 study (1984) and Swedish study (1999) (Hirvelä-Koski, 1992; Lunden et al., 2002). The
265 seroprevalence in finishing pigs from different farming systems varies between 0% and 45% in
266 Europe, and the highest prevalences are detected in pigs with access to the outdoors (Kijlstra et
267 al., 2004; Klun et al., 2006; van der Giessen et al., 2007; Dubey, 2009; Villari et al., 2009;
268 Deksne and Kirjusina, 2013). In Latvia and the Netherlands, the seroprevalence in intensively
269 farmed pigs has been 0.4% (van der Giessen et al., 2007; Deksne and Kirjusina, 2013). In the
270 present study, information of the access to outdoors from all of the pigs sampled was not
271 available. Nevertheless fattening pigs in conventional farms in Finland are raised almost
272 exclusively indoors (Finnish Food Safety Authority Evira, 2011). Considering that in this study
273 fattening pigs apparently raised indoors were tested, the number of positives was surprisingly
274 high. In all, 9% of farms were *Toxoplasma*-seropositive, which is higher than in intensively
275 operated farms in the Netherlands (Kijlstra et al., 2004; van der Giessen et al., 2007).
276 Interestingly, on three small-scale fattening farms, all samples (five per farm) were positive,
277 indicating that on these farms biosecurity measures have failed. Pigs in these three farms have
278 no access to outdoors. The seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma* was significantly higher in pigs
279 originating from small-scale fattening farms. A recent study in Finland reported that increasing
280 farm size seems to predict better biosecurity in all production types (Sahlström et al., 2014).
281 The most probable sources of infection in indoor pigs are cat faeces or rodents (Kijlstra et al.,
282 2008; Dubey, 2009). The control of rodents and cats is crucial to reducing pigs' exposure to the
283 parasite.

284

285 Regarding *Trichinella* spp., we found not a single seropositive individual in our sample of 1353
286 fattening pigs, suggesting that the prevalence of *Trichinella* antibodies was less than 0.3% with
287 95% confidence. This was expected, based on the results from digestion analyses performed at
288 meat inspection: since 2004 only one positive pig has been detected while over 20 million pigs
289 have been tested (The Zoonosis Centre team, 2012; The Zoonosis Centre, 2014). However, the
290 parasite is abundant in wildlife in Finland (Airas et al., 2010; The Zoonosis Centre team, 2012).
291 The predominant *Trichinella* species in wildlife in Finland is *T. nativa*, which has low
292 infectivity in pigs, but *T. spiralis* is also commonly found in wild animals in Finland (Kapel
293 and Gamble, 2000; Airas et al., 2010). In this study, no antibodies to *Trichinella* were detected,
294 which can be considered as an indication of good control of farming conditions regarding
295 *Trichinella* exposure (e.g. rodents and feed).

296
297 Considering that these two parasites have some similar sources of infection to pigs (e.g rodents),
298 it is interesting that antibodies to *Trichinella* were not detected, while antibodies to *Toxoplasma*
299 were more common than expected. According to the results of a recent questionnaire study, 97 %
300 of fattening farms and 90 % of farrow-to-finish farms in Finland practice control of rodents and
301 birds (Sahlström et al., 2014). Unlike *Trichinella*, *Toxoplasma* can be acquired also from cat
302 faeces. Farmers traditionally have cats for rodent control and they might not be aware of the
303 role of a cat in spread of toxoplasmosis. Cats at farms can be the most significant reason for the
304 unexpected high seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma* in pigs in Finland.

305
306 There was a very strong positive correlation between seroprevalence of *Salmonella* and
307 *Yersinia* at the animal level. This contrasts with previous findings in Germany, where herds
308 with low *Yersinia* seroprevalence were significantly more often classified as moderate or
309 unsatisfactory *Salmonella* status (von Altrock et al., 2011). The authors speculated on the

310 possible change in competitive exclusion, but concluded that there were no references to this in
311 the literature and commented on the possibility of misleading results due to small sample size.
312 The positive correlations found in the present study appear to contradict the presence of
313 competitive exclusion between these pathogens. The association found at the animal and farm
314 level can be partly explained by the similarity in the infection route. The antigens used in tests
315 are specific and no cross-reactivity is suspected between *Yersinia* and *Salmonella* (Heesemann
316 et al., 1987; Nielsen et al., 1995; Anonymous, 2007).

317

318 There was a positive correlation ($p < 0.05$) at the animal level between pigs' seropositivity for
319 *Salmonella* and *Toxoplasma*. This finding may reflect the level of biosecurity measures on a
320 farm, particularly because both infections are relatively rare in pigs in Finland and wild rodents
321 may serve as vectors for both pathogens. Future studies will include visits to *Salmonella*- and
322 *Toxoplasma*-seropositive farrow-to-finish farms to investigate the level of biosecurity measures.

323

324 In this study, we used commercial ELISA kits and meat juice matrix, due to the ready
325 availability of commercial kits and their usage in previous studies (von Altrock et al., 2011;
326 Virtanen et al., 2012; Basso et al., 2013; Meemken et al., 2014). Collection of meat samples at
327 slaughter was easy and practical and handling and sending of samples simple. There was no
328 problem with haemolysis, as in serum samples. Sufficient amounts of meat juice can be
329 obtained from a 10-g piece of muscle and frozen for later use, e.g. in animal disease surveys.
330 Novel automated technologies may even further facilitate the future use of serological tests
331 (Wutz et al., 2013).

332

333 Serological monitoring systems for *Salmonella* are established in some European countries. In
334 Denmark, meat juice samples are taken at slaughter on monthly basis, herds are categorized to

335 different risk levels according to the results and there is a penalty scheme to motivate farmers
336 to improve herd's *Salmonella* status (Alban et al., 2012). However, this applies only to
337 *Salmonella* and monitoring programmes for other important zoonotic pathogens in pigs is
338 missing or to be diminished (*Trichinella*). Meemken et al. (2014) recently carried out a study
339 in Germany, concluding that serological risk categorization of pig herds regarding zoonoses is
340 meaningful if used for risk-based decisions in the framework of new meat inspection concepts
341 and as part of the herd health management system. The present study further supports the use
342 of meat juice serology to control meat safety in the pork production chain. Differences between
343 herds were shown and continuous data can be used to create serological herd profiles. These
344 profiles should be used as part of the food chain information (FCI) to make decisions regarding
345 additional carcass processing or sampling at the slaughterhouse. Meat juice serology enables to
346 detect farms where improvement in biosecurity measures may be needed and to monitor the
347 national situation of these zoonoses in pigs. For example, the carcasses from herds with high
348 prevalence of *Toxoplasma* antibodies could be directed to freezing. Interventions at farm level
349 could be encouraged when needed for any pathogen tested. Testing of intestinal lymph nodes
350 for *Salmonella* could be targeted to pigs from herds with suspicious *Salmonella* status. Farms
351 with low *Yersinia* prevalence could be found and supported to maintain the situation. Data of
352 *Trichinella* antibodies could be used for monitoring of holdings officially recognised as
353 applying controlled housing conditions (Anonymous, 2014). In this study, about five pigs per
354 farm were sampled; thus, for further testing larger sample sizes should be used for more
355 accurate estimation of intraherd prevalence and risk categorization of the herds.

356

357 This study shows that *Salmonella* and *Toxoplasma* are rare in fattening pigs in Finland.
358 *Salmonella*-seropositive samples were sporadically detected from all types of farms.
359 *Toxoplasma* was more prevalent in pigs originating from small-scale fattening farms, probably

360 resulting from ineffective biosecurity measures on these farms. *Yersinia* antibodies were
361 common and most prevalent in pigs originating from large fattening farms; however, 15% of
362 the farms were seronegative for *Yersinia*. *Trichinella* antibodies were not detected. Meat juice
363 serology at slaughter is a useful tool for targeting measures to control these pathogens. The
364 information obtained from analyses should be used as part of the food chain information (FCI).

365

366

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

367 This study was supported by research funding from the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry,
368 Finland (1933/312/2011). The official veterinarians, auxiliaries and slaughterhouses are
369 gratefully acknowledged for their cooperation. We also thank Maria Stark for the technical
370 assistance.

371

372

6. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

373 Elias Jukola is a Manager of Corporate Responsibility in HKScan Corporation.

374 Saara Rauho is the head of The Finnish Zoonosis Centre at the Finnish Food Safety Authority

375 Evira.

376

377

7. REFERENCES

378 Anonymous 2007: SALMOTYPE Pigscreen ELISA - ELISA tests for the detection of
379 antibodies against *Salmonella* - Summary of Evaluation data.

380 Anonymous 2014: Commission Regulation (EU) No. 216/2014 amending Regulation (EC)
381 No. 2075/2005 laying down specific rules on official controls for *Trichinella* in meat.

382 Airas, N., S. Saari, T. Mikkonen, A. M. Virtala, J. Pellikka, A. Oksanen, M. Isomursu, S. S.
383 Kilpelä, C. W. Lim and A. Sukura, 2010: Sylvatic *Trichinella* spp. infection in Finland. *J.*
384 *Parasitol.* 96, 67-76.

385 Alban, L., F.M. Baptista, V. Møgelmoose, L.L. Sørensen, H. Christensen, S. Aabo, J. Dahl,
386 2012: *Salmonella* surveillance and control for finisher pigs and pork in Denmark — A
387 case study. *Food Res. Int.* 45, 656-665.

- 388 Basso, W., S. Hartnack, L. Pardini, P. Maksimov, B. Koudela, M. C. Venturini, G. Schares,
389 X. Sidler, F. I. Lewis and P. Deplazes, 2013: Assessment of diagnostic accuracy of a
390 commercial ELISA for the detection of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pigs compared
391 with IFAT, TgSAG1-ELISA and Western blot, using a Bayesian latent class approach.
392 *Int. J. Parasitol.* 43, 565-570.
- 393 Dean, A.G., K.M. Sullivan and M.M. Soe 2013: OpenEpi: Open Source Epidemiologic
394 Statistics for Public Health. Version 3.01. Available at: www.OpenEpi.com (accessed on
395 2014/1/17).
- 396 Deksne, G. and M. Kirjusina, 2013: Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* in domestic pigs
397 (*Sus scrofa domestica*) and wild boars (*Sus scrofa*) in Latvia. *J. Parasitol.* 99, 44-47.
- 398 Dubey, J., 2009: Toxoplasmosis in pigs - The last 20 years. *Vet. Parasitol.* 164, 89-103.
- 399 EFSA, 2011: Scientific opinion on the public health hazards to be covered by inspection of
400 meat (swine). *The EFSA J.* 9, 2351.
- 401 EFSA and ECDC, 2014: The European Union summary report on trends and sources of
402 zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2012. *The EFSA J.* 12, 3547-93.
- 403 Finnish Food Safety Authority Evira, 2011: Possible routes of entry into the country for
404 African swine fever – Risk profile. *Evira Research Reports* 5, 23.
- 405 Fosse, J., H. Seegers and C. Magras, 2009: Prevalence and risk factors for bacterial food-
406 borne zoonotic hazards in slaughter pigs: a review. *Zoonoses Pub. Health* 56, 429-454.
- 407 Fosse, J., H. Seegers and C. Magras, 2008: Foodborne zoonoses due to meat: a quantitative
408 approach for a comparative risk assessment applied to pig slaughtering in Europe. *Vet.*
409 *Res.* 39, 1.
- 410 Fredriksson-Ahomaa, M., A. Stolle and R. Stephan, 2007: Prevalence of pathogenic *Yersinia*
411 *enterocolitica* in pigs slaughtered at a Swiss abattoir. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 119, 207-
412 212.
- 413 Frey, C.F., M. E. Schuppers, K. Nöckler, A. Marinculic, E. Pozio, U. Kihm and B. Gottstein,
414 2009: Validation of a Western Blot for the detection of anti-*Trichinella* spp. antibodies in
415 domestic pigs. *Parasitol. Res.* 104, 1269-1277.
- 416 Gamble, H.R., W. R. Anderson, C. E. Graham and K. D. Murrell, 1983: Diagnosis of swine
417 trichinosis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using an excretory-secretory
418 antigen. *Vet. Parasitol.* 13, 349-361.
- 419 Gamble, H.R., E. Pozio, F. Bruschi, K. Nöckler, C. M. O. Kapel and A. A. Gajadhar, 2004:
420 International commission on trichinellosis: Recommendations on the use of serological
421 tests for the detection of *Trichinella* infection in animals and man. *Parasite* 11, 3-13.
- 422 Gamble, H., J. Dubey and D. Lambillotte, 2005: Comparison of a commercial ELISA with the
423 modified agglutination test for detection of *Toxoplasma* infection in the domestic pig. *Vet.*
424 *Parasitol.* 128, 177-181.

- 425 Hautekiet, V., V. Geert, V. Marc and G. Rony, 2008: Development of a sanitary risk index for
426 *Salmonella* seroprevalence in Belgian pig farms. *Prev. Vet. Med.* 86, 75-92.
- 427 Heesemann, J., C. Eggers and J. Schröder, 1987: Serological diagnosis of yersiniosis by
428 immunoblot technique using virulence-associated antigen of enteropathogenic *Yersinia*.
429 *Contrib. Microbiol. Immunol.* 9, 285-289.
- 430 Hirvelä-Koski, V., 1992: The prevalence of toxoplasma antibodies in swine sera in Finland.
431 *Acta Vet. Scand.* 33, 21-25.
- 432 Kapel, C.M.O. and H. R. Gamble, 2000: Infectivity, persistence, and antibody response to
433 domestic and sylvatic *Trichinella* spp. in experimentally infected pigs. *Int. J. Parasitol.*
434 30, 215-221.
- 435 Kijlstra, A., O. A. Eissen, J. Cornelissen, K. Munniksma, I. Eijck and T. Kortbeek, 2004:
436 *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in animal-friendly pig production systems. *Invest.*
437 *Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 45, 3165-3169.
- 438 Kijlstra, A., B. Meerburg, J. Cornelissen, S. De Craeye, P. Vereijken and E. Jongert, 2008:
439 The role of rodents and shrews in the transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* to pigs. *Vet.*
440 *Parasitol.* 156, 183-190.
- 441 Klun, I., O. Djurkovic-Djakovic, S. Katic-Radivojevic and A. Nikolic, 2006: Cross-sectional
442 survey on *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in cattle, sheep and pigs in Serbia: seroprevalence
443 and risk factors. *Vet. Parasitol.* 135, 121-131.
- 444 Knoop, E., M. Filter and K. Nöckler, 2011: Evaluation of ELISA for the detection of
445 *Trichinella* antibodies in swine: results from a ring trial. *Arch. Lebensmittelhyg.* 62, 181-
446 187.
- 447 Korte, T., M. Fredriksson-Ahomaa, T. Niskanen and H. Korkeala, 2004: Low prevalence of
448 yadA-positive *Yersinia enterocolitica* in sows. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 1, 45-52.
- 449 Lunden, A., P. Lind, E. O. Engvall, K. Gustavsson, A. Uggla and I. Vagsholm, 2002:
450 Serological survey of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pigs slaughtered in Sweden. *Scand.*
451 *J. Infect. Dis.* 34, 362-365.
- 452 Meemken, D., A. H. Tangemann, D. Meermeier, S. Gundlach, D. Mischok, M. Greiner, G.
453 Klein and T. Blaha, 2014: Establishment of serological herd profiles for zoonoses and
454 production diseases in pigs by "meat juice multi-serology". *Prev. Vet. Med.* 113, 589-598.
- 455 Naing, L., T. Winn and B. Rusli, 2006: Practical issues in calculating the sample size for
456 prevalence studies. *Arch. Orofacial Sci.* 1, 9-14.
- 457 Nesbakken, T., K. Eckner, H. K. Hoidal and O. J. Rotterud, 2003: Occurrence of *Yersinia*
458 *enterocolitica* and *Campylobacter* spp. in slaughter pigs and consequences for meat
459 inspection, slaughtering, and dressing procedures. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 80, 231-240.

- 460 Nesbakken, T., T. Iversen, K. Eckner and B. Lium, 2006: Testing of pathogenic *Yersinia*
 461 *enterocolitica* in pig herds based on the natural dynamic of infection. *Int. J. Food*
 462 *Microbiol.* 111, 99-104.
- 463 Nielsen, B., D. Baggesen, F. Bager, J. Haugegaard and P. Lind, 1995: The serological
 464 response to *Salmonella* serovars Typhimurium and Infantis in experimentally infected
 465 pigs. The time course followed with an indirect anti-LPS ELISA and bacteriological
 466 examinations. *Vet. Microbiol.* 47, 205-218.
- 467 Nielsen, B., C. Heisel and A. Wingstrand, 1996: Time course of the serological response to
 468 *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:3 in experimentally infected pigs. *Vet. Microbiol.* 48, 293-303.
- 469 Niskanen, T., M. Fredriksson-Ahomaa and H. Korkeala, 2002: *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*
 470 with limited genetic diversity is a common finding in tonsils of fattening pigs. *J. Food*
 471 *Prot.* 65, 540-545.
- 472 Nollet, N., D. Maes, L. Duchateau, V. Hautekiet, K. Houf, J. Van Hoof, L. De Zutter, A. De
 473 Kruif and R. Geers, 2005: Discrepancies between the isolation of *Salmonella* from
 474 mesenteric lymph nodes and the results of serological screening in slaughter pigs. *Vet. Res.*
 475 36, 545-555.
- 476 Nowak, B., T. V. Mueffling, K. Caspari and J. Hartung, 2006: Validation of a method for the
 477 detection of virulent *Yersinia enterocolitica* and their distribution in slaughter pigs from
 478 conventional and alternative housing systems. *Vet. Microbiol.* 117, 219-228.
- 479 Nowak, B., T. von Muffling, S. Chaunchom and J. Hartung, 2007: *Salmonella* contamination
 480 in pigs at slaughter and on the farm: a field study using an antibody ELISA test and a PCR
 481 technique. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 115, 259-267.
- 482 Ortiz Martinez, P., M. Fredriksson-Ahomaa, A. Pallotti, R. Rosmini, K. Houf and H.
 483 Korkeala, 2011: Variation in the prevalence of enteropathogenic *Yersinia* in slaughter pigs
 484 from Belgium, Italy, and Spain. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 8, 445-450.
- 485 Ortiz Martinez, P., M. Fredriksson-Ahomaa, Y. Sokolova, M. Roasto, A. Berzins and H.
 486 Korkeala, 2009: Prevalence of enteropathogenic *Yersinia* in Estonian, Latvian, and
 487 Russian (Leningrad region) pigs. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 6, 719-724.
- 488 Raoult, D., N. Mouffok, I. Bitam, R. Piarroux and M. Drancourt, 2013: Plague: history and
 489 contemporary analysis. *J. Infect.* 66, 18-26.
- 490 Rastawicki, W., K. Smietanska, N. Rokosz and M. Jagielski, 2012: Effect of multiple freeze-
 491 thaw cycles on detection of IgA, IgG and IgM antibodies to selected bacterial antigens.
 492 *Med. Dosw. Mikrobiol.* 64, 79-85.
- 493 Sahlström, L., T. Virtanen, J. Kyyrö and T. Lyytikäinen, 2014: Biosecurity on Finnish cattle,
 494 pig and sheep farms - results from a questionnaire. *Prev. Vet. Med.* In press.
- 495 Sisák, F., H. Havlíčková, J. Matiasovic and R. Karpísková, 2011: Serological and
 496 bacteriological evaluation of *Salmonella* status in swine herds. *Czech J. Food Sci.* 29,
 497 S102-S108.

- 498 Skjerve, E., B. Lium, B. Nielsen and T. Nesbakken, 1998: Control of *Yersinia enterocolitica*
499 in pigs at herd level. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 45, 195-203.
- 500 The Zoonosis Centre team 2012: Zoonoses in Finland in 2000-2010, The Zoonosis Centre,
501 Helsinki, Finland.
- 502 The Zoonosis Centre 2014: *Trichinella* monitoring in pigs. Available at:
503 http://zoonoosikeskus.fi/attachments/trikinellaloisen_seuranta_teurassioissa_2014.pdf (in
504 Finnish, accessed on 2014/9/6).
- 505 Van Damme, I., G. Vanantwerpen, D. Berkvens and L. De Zutter, 2014: Relation between
506 serology of meat juice and bacteriology of tonsils and feces for the detection of
507 enteropathogenic *Yersinia* spp. in pigs at slaughter. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.*
- 508 van der Giessen, J., M. Fonville, M. Bouwknegt, M. Langelaar and A. Vollema, 2007:
509 Seroprevalence of *Trichinella spiralis* and *Toxoplasma gondii* in pigs from different
510 housing systems in The Netherlands. *Vet. Parasitol.* 148, 371-374.
- 511 Vanantwerpen, G., I. Van Damme, L. De Zutter and K. Houf, 2014: Within-batch prevalence
512 and quantification of human pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*
513 in tonsils of pigs at slaughter. *Vet. Microbiol.* 169, 223-227.
- 514 Villari, S., G. Vesco, E. Petersen, A. Crispo and W. Buffolano, 2009: Risk factors for
515 toxoplasmosis in pigs bred in Sicily, Southern Italy. *Vet. Parasitol.* 161, 1-8.
- 516 Virtanen, S., S. Nikunen and H. Korkeala, 2014: Introduction of infected animals to herds is
517 an important route for the spread of *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection between pig farms.
518 *J. Food Prot.* 77, 116-121.
- 519 Virtanen, S., L. Salonen, R. Laukkanen-Ninios, M. Fredriksson-Ahomaa and H. Korkeala,
520 2012: Piglets are a source of pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* on fattening-pig farms.
521 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 3000-3003.
- 522 von Altrock, A., U. Roesler and K. H. Waldmann, 2011: Herd factors associated with the
523 serological *Yersinia* prevalence in fattening pig herds. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* 8, 1249-
524 1255.
- 525 Wacheck, S., E. Sarno, E. Martlbauer, C. Zweifel and R. Stephan, 2012: Seroprevalence of
526 anti-hepatitis E virus and anti-*Salmonella* antibodies in pigs at slaughter in Switzerland. *J.*
527 *Food Prot.* 75, 1483-1485.
- 528 Wutz, K., V. K. Meyer, S. Wacheck, P. Krol, M. Gareis, C. Nolting, F. Struck, E. Soutschek,
529 O. Bocher, R. Niessner and M. Seidel, 2013: New route for fast detection of antibodies
530 against zoonotic pathogens in sera of slaughtered pigs by means of flow-through
531 chemiluminescence immunochips. *Anal. Chem.* 85, 5279-5285.
- 532