



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Search for Pair-Produced Resonances Each Decaying into at Least Four Quarks in Proton-Proton Collisions at root s=13 TeV

The CMS collaboration

2018-10-05

The CMS Collaboration, Sirunyan, A M, Eerola, P, Kirschenmann, H, Pekkanen, J, Voutilainen, M, Havukainen, J, Heikkilä, J K, Järvinen, T, Karimäki, V, Kinnunen, R, Lampén, T, Lassila-Perini, K, Laurila, S, Lehti, S, Lindén, T, Luukka, P, Mäenpää, T , Siikonen, H, Tuominen, E, Tuominiemi, J & Tuuva, T 2018, ' Search for Pair-Produced Resonances Each Decaying into at Least Four Quarks in Proton-Proton Collisions at root s=13 TeV ', Physical Review Letters, vol. 121, no. 14, 141802. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.141802

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/253858 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.141802

cc_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository. This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

Search for Pair-Produced Resonances Each Decaying into at Least Four Quarks in Proton-Proton Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

A. M. Sirunyan *et al.*^{*} (CMS Collaboration)

(Received 4 June 2018; published 5 October 2018)

This Letter presents the results of a search for pair-produced particles of masses above 100 GeV that each decay into at least four quarks. Using data collected by the CMS experiment at the LHC in 2015–2016, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 38.2 fb⁻¹, reconstructed particles are clustered into two large jets of similar mass, each consistent with four-parton substructure. No statistically significant excess of data over the background prediction is observed in the distribution of average jet mass. Pair-produced squarks with dominant hadronic *R*-parity-violating decays into four quarks and with masses between 0.10 and 0.72 TeV are excluded at 95% confidence level. Similarly, pair-produced gluinos that decay into five quarks are also excluded with masses between 0.10 and 1.41 TeV at 95% confidence level. These are the first constraints that have been placed on pair-produced particles with masses below 400 GeV that decay into four or five quarks, bridging a significant gap in the coverage of *R*-parity-violating supersymmetry parameter space.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.141802

Despite the many successes of the CERN LHC program, a solution to the hierarchy problem [1,2], which arises from the large difference between the electroweak and Planck scales, remains elusive. One theoretically attractive resolution is supersymmetry (SUSY) [3–9]. Arguments based on naturalness require that SUSY particles—specifically gluinos (\tilde{g}), third-generation squarks (\tilde{q}), and Higgsinos (\tilde{H})—be accessible at the current LHC energies [10–13]. While *R*-parity-conserving models of SUSY [14] have been emphasized because they can parsimoniously accommodate a dark matter candidate, *R*-parity-violating (RPV) models still lack constraints in a number of important scenarios [15,16].

This analysis probes a previously unexamined region of the SUSY model space, where pair-produced squarks with masses as light as 100 GeV each decay into four nontop quarks through hadronic RPV couplings [17]. Such processes proceed through a two-body decay of the squark into a Higgsino and a quark, and the Higgsino subsequently undergoes an RPV three-body decay via an off-shell squark (\tilde{q}^*) into quarks, as shown in Fig. 1 (left). This decay mode is expected to dominate over direct squark decays into two quarks if the Higgsino mass is less than the squark mass (as expected in natural scenarios) and if the RPV coupling is small. A related topology with five-quark resonances occurs

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the Letter.

when the gluino undergoes a three-body decay into two quarks and a Higgsino, and the Higgsino decays into three quarks, as shown in Fig. 1 (right). Searches by the experiments at LEP strongly disfavor squark and (when degenerate with the chargino) Higgsino masses below 100 GeV, while existing LHC searches are only sensitive to multijet scenarios above at least 400 GeV [18–21]. Light pair-produced squarks and gluinos that decay through RPV couplings into two and three quarks, respectively, have also been directly constrained by Tevatron and LHC searches [22–25].

We take advantage of the large cross section predicted for squark pair production, focusing on the small fraction of phase space in which the squarks are produced back to back and the decay products of each squark reside entirely within a single large jet. This requirement reduces the combinatorial



FIG. 1. Leading order Feynman diagrams for a squark decaying into four quarks via an intermediate Higgsino and a three-body decay involving an off-shell squark with an RPV coupling (left) and for a gluino decaying into five quarks via a three-body decay into two quarks and a Higgsino, which decays into three quarks as in the squark case (right). This analysis assumes that the initial squarks (or gluinos) are pair produced and that they each decay as presented.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

background and recovers the resonant structure of the squark decay. Furthermore, the masses of the two jets are required to be approximately equal, and the jets must evince substructure consistent with at least four nontop quarks. These conditions help to suppress the immense background of light-quark jets produced by the strong interaction, referred to as quantum chromodynamics (QCD) multijet events, which is estimated from data with a novel technique. The background from top quark-antiquark ($t\bar{t}$) events is also significant; its contribution is estimated from simulation. The $t\bar{t}$ events in control regions are used in the estimation of the selection efficiency, jet mass scale, and jet mass resolution.

The motivation for this search comes from natural SUSY, but the analysis aims more broadly for new phenomena in general. We make no assumptions about the helicity, the intermediate decay topology, or the presence of secondary vertices (characteristic of heavy-flavor quarks) in the final state, so as to be more inclusive and to focus on the more experimentally challenging light-quark mode. The reconstruction of the final state treats charged leptons and photons on equal footing with quarks and gluons, resulting in an analysis that is sensitive to a broad class of phenomena. Many solutions to the hierarchy problem invoke new, sub-TeV colored particles, and this analysis, using a novel background estimation technique, can provide strong constraints if these particles are to decay primarily into large numbers of detectable objects.

The CMS detector consists of a silicon tracker, a leadtungstate electromagnetic calorimeter, an interleaved brass and plastic scintillator hadron calorimeter, and gas-ionization muon detectors. A superconducting solenoid provides a uniform magnetic field within the detector. Potentially interesting observed events are selected by a two-tiered trigger system [26]. A more detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [27].

Observations from each of the CMS detector components are reconstructed and combined by particle flow (PF) algorithms [28] into particle objects. These objects are clustered into jets using the FASTJET software package [29] with either the anti- k_T (AK) or Cambridge–Aachen (CA) algorithm with a size parameter R [30]. A collection of jets is referenced by the acronym for the clustering algorithm used, followed by ten times the associated value of R: for example, "CA12" refers to jets clustered with the CA algorithm with R = 1.2, the jet collection primarily used in this analysis.

We analyze data collected by the CMS detector in 2015-2016 from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 38.2 fb⁻¹. Events considered for analysis were selected by a trigger that imposes a requirement on the event $H_T \equiv \sum_i p_{T,i}$, where *i* iterates over the AK8 jets in the event with transverse momentum $p_T > 150$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$, where η is the pseudorapidity.

Signal events are generated at leading order in perturbative QCD using MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.5.5 [31] with the NNPDF2.3 parton distribution function [32] and PYTHIA 8.212 [33] with the underlying event tune CUETP8M1 [34] to simulate the subsequent parton showering and decays. No additional partons are consider in the initial state. Events for 11 squark mass points between 0.1 and 0.8 TeV are produced. In each case, the Higgsino mass is set to 75% of the squark mass in order to distribute the squark energy evenly among the daughter quarks, and the gluino is assumed to be too massive to participate in the process. Simulated gluino signal events are produced for 17 gluino mass points between 0.1 and 1.5 TeV. In this case, the Higgsino mass is set to 60% of the gluino mass, and the squarks are assumed to be decoupled. Squark and gluino events are normalized to the theoretical cross sections calculated up to next-to-leading-order plus next-to-leading-logarithm precision [35]. The squark cross sections are chosen to be those computed for top squarks.

Background from $t\bar{t}$ production is generated with POWHEG v2 [36–38], and the p_T distribution of the top quarks is reweighted to agree with measurement [39]. Background from QCD multijet events is simulated at leading order using MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO 2.2.2 with up to four partons included in the matrix-element calculation. Backgrounds such as hadronic W + jets and Z + jets make a negligible contribution and are absorbed into the QCD multijet background estimate. All background samples are generated with NNPDF2.3 or NNPDF3.0 parton distribution functions and are interfaced with PYTHIA for the computation of fragmentation and hadronization. Additional proton-proton interactions within the same or a nearby bunch crossing (pileup) are added to the simulated events, with a frequency distribution chosen to match that observed in data.

In order to capture as many of the final-state constituents of the squark decay products as possible in a single jet, we cluster the PF particle objects of each event into CA12 jets. To reduce the effect of pileup interactions, we ignore any charged hadrons not associated with the primary vertex in this clustering procedure. The primary vertex is chosen to be the vertex with the largest quadratic sum of the $p_{\rm T}$ values of AK4 jets clustered from tracks assigned to the vertex and the $p_{\rm T}$ corresponding to the negative vector- $p_{\rm T}$ sum of these AK4 jets. The CA12 jet energies are corrected to compensate for the combined response function of the CMS calorimeters and the presence of neutral hadrons from pileup, with calibrations designed for AK8 jets [40]. The data are corrected with an additional scale factor to account for the residual difference in the detector response between simulation and data. Miscorrections that result from the application of AK8 calibrations to the CA12 jets are measured and are accounted for in the final results, together with their uncertainties. Finally, to reject misreconstructed CA12 jets or CA12 jets derived from calorimeter noise [41], we impose restrictions on the neutral and charged

CA12 jet constituents by applying loose jet identification criteria [42].

We analyze the substructure of the CA12 jets using the *N*-subjettiness variables, denoted τ_N [43]. These quantities are determined by reclustering the constituents of the jet with the $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm [30] into *N* subjets and then calculating

$$\tau_N \equiv \frac{1}{d_0} \sum_i p_{\mathrm{T},i} \min\left\{\Delta R_{1,i}, \dots, \Delta R_{N,i}\right\},\tag{1}$$

where *i* iterates over the jet constituents, $d_0 \equiv \sum_i p_{T,i} R$ with *R* representing the size parameter of the jet, and $\Delta R_{j,i}$ is the distance in $\eta - \phi$ space between the *j*th subjet and the *i*th jet constituent, where ϕ is the azimuthal angle. The lower the value of τ_N for a jet, the more the jet is consistent with containing *N* partons. The relative *N*-subjettiness $\tau_{kl} \equiv \tau_k / \tau_l$, for specific integers *k* and *l*, characterizes the substructure of a jet. In particular, τ_{42} is used to discriminate against QCD multijet events and τ_{43} to discriminate against $t\bar{t}$ events.

Finally, we apply a pruning algorithm [44] that reclusters the original constituents of a jet using a CA algorithm modified to ignore any objects with small relative p_T ($z_{cut} = 0.1$) and large kinematically weighted displacement ($D_{cut} = 0.5$). This refinement serves to suppress perturbative radiation characteristic of QCD multijet events as well as to reduce the contribution to jet masses from detector noise, pileup, and the underlying event. In this Letter, the term "fat jet" is used to refer to a CA12 jet with τ_N requirements, and the mass of a jet *m* always refers to the mass after pruning.

We consider events with $H_{\rm T} > 0.9$ TeV in order to guarantee that we analyze a kinematic region with a fully efficient trigger. In addition, we require that the two $p_{\rm T}$ -leading CA12 jets of the events must each satisfy the following three conditions: $p_{\rm T} > 0.4$ TeV to ensure that the jets are more likely to contain all signal products, $|\eta| < 2$ to require that the jets are dominated by objects in the central detector region, and $\tau_{21} < 0.75$ to select CA12 jets with potential for substructure.

From these selected events, we define a signal region (SR) and two control regions (CRs). The regions are formed by selecting events in which the two $p_{\rm T}$ -leading CA12 jets (indexed 1 and 2) each satisfy certain requirements on τ_{42} and τ_{43} , called the region's "fat-jet tag," and also pass relational criteria of $A_{\rm m} \equiv |m_1 - m_2|/(m_1 + m_2) < 0.1$ and $\Delta \eta \equiv |\eta_1 - \eta_2| < 1.0$, defining a "fat-jet pair." The SR fat-jet tag requires $\tau_{42} < 0.50$ and $\tau_{43} < 0.80$, choices that were optimized for the squark signal significance. We define an inclusive CR and a *b* -tagged CR, which we use to verify the closure of the background prediction in data and to estimate the systematic uncertainties that are further constrained in the SR. Both CR fat-jet tags impose the same *N*-subjettiness

requirements on each fat jet: $\tau_{42} < 0.55$, $\tau_{43} < 0.90$, and either $\tau_{42} > 0.50$ or $\tau_{43} > 0.80$. The *b*-tagged CR fat-jet tag additionally requires a loose *b* tag [45] (with approximately 80% tagging efficiency and 9% mistag rate) in order to select a $t\bar{t}$ -enriched sample. The CA12 jets are *b* tagged by matching them to the *b*-tagged AK4 jets that are closest in η - ϕ space, assigning to each CA12 jet the smallest *b*-tagging discriminator from among the matched AK4 jets. The final discriminating variable of this analysis is the average pruned mass of the two $p_{\rm T}$ -leading fat jets in an event: $\bar{m} \equiv (m_1 + m_2)/2$.

The fraction of squark events in the SR ranges from < 0.001% for the 0.1 TeV squark mass point to 1% for the 0.8 TeV mass point. For very light squarks, the small selection rate is predominantly driven by the low kinematic acceptance, but it is compensated for by a large production cross section (1520 pb for 0.1 TeV top squarks). Similar values for the selection efficiency are found for gluino events, although the \bar{m} distribution for gluinos is broader than for squarks in part because of the extra quark in the decay chain. These properties are illustrated in the Supplemental Material: Fig. 1 shows the fraction of signal events in the SR, and Figs. 2 and 3 show \bar{m} distributions of select squark and gluino mass points, respectively.

We estimate the contribution of a particular signal to the observed events by performing a maximum-likelihood fit of the combined \bar{m} probability density functions (PDFs) of the signal and the two backgrounds to the \bar{m} distribution of the data. The signal and $t\bar{t}$ background \bar{m} PDFs are taken from simulation; the QCD multijet background \bar{m} PDF is constructed using a data-driven method detailed below. Each \bar{m} PDF is assigned three fit parameters: a normalization factor, a shift of the median of the PDF from the nominal value, and a width factor (stretch) about the median of the PDF. These fit parameters allow us to account for observed shape differences between simulation and data.

This procedure is applied to the CRs in the data, and we use the postfit values of the \bar{m} PDF parameters as estimates of the background systematic uncertainties. Figure 2 shows the postfit background \bar{m} PDFs and the associated fit parameters, assuming no signal contribution. Signal contamination in the CRs is generally small, and we repeat the same procedure including the \bar{m} PDF for each signal mass point to establish that the presented null hypothesis results in the best fit. The $t\bar{t}$ \bar{m} PDF fit parameters have physical interpretations: the normalization corresponds to the datato-simulation fat-jet tagging scale factor, the shift corresponds to the tagged fat-jet mass scale, and the stretch corresponds to the tagged fat-jet mass resolution. As presented in Fig. 2, the shifts in the CRs are generally consistent with zero, but the stretches imply broadening, consistent with the known $\sim 10\%$ underestimation of the jet energy resolution in simulation [40]. We study a variety of alternative choices of CR fat-jet tags, which similarly



FIG. 2. The background estimation results in the inclusive CR (left) and *b* -tagged CR (right). The relevant fit parameters are displayed on each plot. The pull of each \bar{m} bin is the difference of the value of the data \bar{m} distribution and the value of the combined background post-fit \bar{m} PDF, divided by the statistical uncertainty.

demonstrate good closure. The background estimation method reproduces the data in the inclusive CR, which has greater statistical precision than the SR, lending confidence that it provides reliable results in the SR as well.

We derive the QCD multijet background \bar{m} PDF from a large sample of data events in order to avoid the mismodeling of simulated QCD multijet events. For this purpose, events are selected in which at least the p_T -leading jet satisfies the fat-jet tag; the number of such events is more than an order of magnitude greater than the number required to have a fat-jet pair. We form a collection of fat jets by taking the p_T -leading fat jet from each of these events. From this collection of fat jets from different events, we form all possible fat-jet pairs. The \bar{m} distribution of these artificial fat-jet pairs provides an \bar{m} PDF for QCD multijet events. This construction treats the *m* distribution of p_T -leading fat jets as an *m* PDF, denoted P(m), from which two fat-jet masses are sampled to form an \bar{m} PDF $P_{avg}(\bar{m})$:

$$P_{\text{avg}}(\bar{m}) = \int_0^{2\bar{m}} P(x)P(2\bar{m}-x)\theta\left(0.1 - \left|\frac{x-\bar{m}}{\bar{m}}\right|\right) dx, \quad (2)$$

where θ is the Heaviside step function that imposes the $A_{\rm m}$ fat-jet pair requirement. Although the $\Delta \eta$ fat-jet pair requirement does not appear explicitly in Eq. (2), it is imposed when we implement this construction.

Fat jets from QCD multijet events do not contain the products of partons with well-defined masses, and so the P(m) derived from them has a significant p_T dependence that must be taken into account. We accommodate this effect by constructing a different $P_{avg}(\bar{m})$ for consecutive H_T windows, which we then combine with weights chosen to reproduce to the observed H_T distribution in data. Figure 4 of the Supplemental Material [46] shows P(m) and the derived $P_{avg}(\bar{m})$ with and without this H_T reweighting, demonstrating the significant effect of the reweighting on the high- \bar{m} tail of the QCD multijet

 \overline{m} PDF by signal or other backgrounds are unnecessary, given the dominance of QCD multijet events over all other processes in the events used to construct P(m).

The accuracy of this QCD multijet \bar{m} PDF construction is demonstrated by a closure test. We construct QCD multijet \bar{m} PDFs from simulated QCD multijet events in the SR and CRs and compare them to the \bar{m} distributions of the same regions' tagged fat-jet pairs (as reconstructed by the simulation); the result for the SR is shown in Fig. 3 (left). The SR \bar{m} PDF only needs to be shifted downward by approximately 11 GeV and compressed by about 9% to match the simulated fat-jet pair distribution. Similar tests with alternative choices for the *N*-subjettiness variables and different simulation procedures for the QCD multijet events also demonstrate good closure.

Using the data in the SR, we apply a Bayesian construction with a flat signal-strength prior [47] to compute 95% confidence level (CL) upper limits on squark (gluino) pair production and decay to four (five) quarks. Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques [48] are used to integrate out the nuisance parameters. We analyze the data in 30 GeV bins, as shown in Fig. 3 (right).

We assign nuisance parameters corresponding to the shift, stretch, and normalization of the QCD multijet, $t\bar{t}$, and signal \bar{m} PDFs. The nuisance parameters have Gaussian PDFs. The QCD multijet \bar{m} PDF shape nuisance parameter uncertainties are taken from the CRs, but no initial shift or stretch is applied, since these parameters in the SR might be different from those in the CRs. We do not have a robust prediction for the total rate of QCD multijet events in the SR, so the QCD multijet \bar{m} PDF normalization is chosen to be a free parameter. This procedure is reasonable because the QCD multijet shape is much broader than that of the signal, allowing the data in the SR to directly constrain this background.



FIG. 3. Left: comparison between the QCD multijet \bar{m} PDF and the tagged fat-jet pair selection in simulated QCD multijet events that pass the signal selection. The pull of each \bar{m} bin is the difference of the value of the fat-jet pair \bar{m} distribution and the value of the postfit \bar{m} PDF, divided by the statistical uncertainty. Right: distributions in \bar{m} , including the predicted (postfit) background contributions. Shown also are the signals from squarks with masses of 100 and 500 GeV. The pull of each \bar{m} bin is the difference of the value of the data \bar{m} distribution and the value of the background prediction, divided by the statistical uncertainty.

TABLE I. The nuisance parameters corresponding to each rate and shape parameter of the background and signal distributions, before and after the maximum-likelihood fit. Except for the QCD multijet \bar{m} PDF normalization, which is floating (and the value of which is simply the event yield with its statistical uncertainty), each nuisance parameter has a Gaussian prior PDF and is reported with the given mean and standard deviation.

Parameter	Prefit value	Postfit value
QCD normalization [events]	Floating	1222 ± 35
QCD shift [GeV]	0 ± 17	-8 ± 4
QCD stretch	$(0 \pm 18)\%$	$(-1 \pm 3)\%$
$t\bar{t}$ normalization	1.00 ± 0.24	1.08 ± 0.14
$t\bar{t}$ and signal shift [GeV]	0 ± 16	-10 ± 6
$t\bar{t}$ and signal stretch	$(0\pm 20)\%$	$(15 \pm 9)\%$
Signal normalization	1.00 ± 0.24	

The $t\bar{t}$ rate and shape nuisance parameter uncertainties are determined by their measured values in the CRs; we start the minimization procedure with the initial value of these parameters reflecting no shift or stretch, as that is consistent with measurement. Interpreting the $t\bar{t}$ shift and stretch as jet-mass scale and resolution uncertainties, respectively, we apply the same uncertainties to the signal shape by constraining them to match the $t\bar{t}$ background nuisance parameters. For the signal rate, we assign the same uncertainty value that we measured for $t\bar{t}$ events, since the signal and $t\bar{t}$ production and decay topologies are similar. The uncertainty in the signal rate includes contributions from the integrated luminosity and the fat-jet tagging scale factor. Uncertainties in the signal acceptance due to the parton distribution functions of the proton contribute subdominantly. The central values and standard deviations of all of the nuisance parameters are shown in Table I.

The resulting limits on the production cross section and the predicted background components in the SR are shown in Fig. 4. Assuming the top squark production cross section, squark masses between 0.10 and 0.72 TeV are excluded at 95% CL. Gluinos decaying to five quarks with masses between 0.10 and 1.41 TeV are also excluded at



FIG. 4. The expected and observed limits on the product of the pair-production cross section and branching fraction squared for a quark decaying to four quarks (left) and for a gluino decaying to five quarks (right).

95% CL. The postfit total background estimate agrees with the data. The posterior distributions of the nuisance parameters confirm that the background component predictions are not significantly different from the estimates.

In summary, a search has been conducted at the LHC for light pair-produced resonances that each decay into at least four quarks. No statistically significant excess over the expectation is observed. The data impose limits on R-parity-violating supersymmetry pair production [15], excluding squark masses between 0.10 and 0.72 TeV and gluino masses between 0.10 and 1.41 TeV. These are the first constraints that have been placed on pair-produced particles with masses below 400 GeV that decay into four or five quarks, bridging a significant gap in the coverage of R-parity-violating supersymmetry parameter space. This analysis is sufficiently general that it can be applied to other models describing pair-produced particles decaying into four or more detectable objects.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC IUT, and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/ IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFIA (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE UM (Malaysia); and BUAP. CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MOSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss MST Funding Agencies (Switzerland); (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

^[1] E. Witten, Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 513 (1981).

- [2] S. Dimopoulos and H. Georgi, Softly broken supersymmetry and SU(5), Nucl. Phys. B193, 150 (1981).
- [3] Yu. A. Gol'fand and E. P. Likhtman, Extension of the algebra of Poincaré group generators and violation of P invariance, JETP Lett. 13, 323 (1971).
- [4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Supergauge transformations in four dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B70, 39 (1974).
- [5] H. P. Nilles, Supersymmetry, supergravity and particle physics, Phys. Rep. **110**, 1 (1984).
- [6] R. Barbieri, S. Ferrara, and C. A. Savoy, Gauge models with spontaneously broken local supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. 119B, 343 (1982).
- [7] S. Dimopoulos, S. Raby, and F. Wilczek, Supersymmetry and the scale of unification, Phys. Rev. D 24, 1681 (1981).
- [8] L. E. Ibanez and G. G. Ross, Low-energy predictions in supersymmetric grand unified theories, Phys. Lett. 105B, 439 (1981).
- [9] W. J. Marciano and G. Senjanović, Predictions of supersymmetric grand unified theories, Phys. Rev. D 25, 3092 (1982).
- [10] R. Barbieri and G. F. Giudice, Upper bounds on supersymmetric particle masses, Nucl. Phys. B306, 63 (1988).
- [11] R. Kitano and Y. Nomura, Supersymmetry, naturalness, and signatures at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 73, 095004 (2006).
- [12] C. Brust, A. Katz, S. Lawrence, and R. Sundrum, SUSY, the Third Generation and the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2012) 103.
- [13] M. Papucci, J. T. Ruderman, and A. Weiler, Natural SUSY endures, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2012) 035.
- [14] G. R. Farrar and P. Fayet, Phenomenology of the production, decay, and detection of new hadronic states associated with supersymmetry, Phys. Lett. **76B**, 575 (1978).
- [15] J. A. Evans and Y. Kats, LHC coverage of RPV MSSM with light stops, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 028.
- [16] J. A. Evans, Y. Kats, D. Shih, and M. J. Strassler, Toward full LHC coverage of natural supersymmetry, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 101.
- [17] R. Barbier, C. Bérat, M. Besançon, M. Chemtob, A. Deandrea, E. Dudas, P. Fayet, S. Lavignac, G. Moreau, E. Perez, and Y. Sirois, *R*-parity-violating supersymmetry, Phys. Rep. **420**, 1 (2005).
- [18] CMS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in events with high jet multiplicity and low missing transverse momentum in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **770**, 257 (2017).
- [19] CMS Collaboration, Searches for *R*-parity-violating supersymmetry in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in final states with 0–4 leptons, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 112009 (2016).
- [20] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for new phenomena in final states with large jet multiplicities and missing transverse momentum with ATLAS using $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton-proton collisions, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 334 (2016).
- [21] ATLAS Collaboration, Search for massive supersymmetric particles decaying to many jets using the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 112016 (2015).
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Search for low mass vector resonances decaying into quark-antiquark pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2018) 097.
- [23] ATLAS Collaboration, A search for pair-produced resonances in four-jet final states at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 250 (2018).

- [24] CMS Collaboration, Search for pair-produced resonances decaying to jet pairs in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 747, 98 (2015).
- [25] ATLAS Collaboration, A search for top squarks with R-parity-violating decays to all-hadronic final states with the ATLAS detector in $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV proton-proton collisions, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2016) 67.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, J. Instrum.12, P01020 (2017).
- [27] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. 3, S08004 (2008).
- [28] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the CMS detector, J. Instrum. 12, P10003 (2017).
- [29] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 1896 (2012).
- [30] G. P. Salam, Towards jetography, Eur. Phys. J. C 67, 637 (2010).
- [31] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H.-S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, The automated computation of tree-level and nextto-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2014) 079.
- [32] R. D. Ball *et al.* (NNPDF Collaboration), Parton distributions with LHC data, Nucl. Phys. **B867**, 244 (2013).
- [33] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015).
- [34] CMS Collaboration, Event generator tunes obtained from underlying event and multiparton scattering measurements, Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 155 (2016).
- [35] C. Borschensky, M. Kramer, A. Kulesza, M. Mangano, S. Padhi, T. Plehn, and X. Portell, Squark and gluino production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$, 14, 33 and 100 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. D **74**, 3174 (2014).
- [36] P. Nason, A new method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040.
- [37] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: The POW-HEG method, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070.
- [38] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2010) 043.
- [39] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of differential cross sections for top quark pair production using the lepton + jets final state in proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV, Phys. Rev. D 95, 092001 (2017).
- [40] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, J. Instrum. 12, P02014 (2017).
- [41] CMS Collaboration, Identification and filtering of uncharacteristic noise in the CMS hadron calorimeter, J. Instrum. 5, T03014 (2010).
- [42] CMS Collaboration, Jet algorithms performance in 13 TeV data, CMS Physics Analysis Summary Report No. CMS-PAS-JME-16-003, 2016.

- [43] J. Thaler and K. Van Tilburg, Maximizing boosted top identification by minimizing *N*-subjettiness, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2012) 093.
- [44] S. D. Ellis, C. K. Vermilion, and J. R. Walsh, Recombination algorithms and jet substructure: pruning as a tool for heavy particle searches, Phys. Rev. D 81, 094023 (2010).
- [45] CMS Collaboration, Identification of heavy-flavour jets with the CMS detector in pp collisions at 13 TeV, J. Instrum. 13, P05011 (2018).
- [46] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.141802 for additional figures and supplemental plots.
- [47] C. Patrignani *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of particle physics, Chin. Phys. C 40, 100001 (2016), see Chap. 39, "Statistics."
- [48] M. Metropolis, A. Rosenbluth, M. Rosenbluth, A. Teller, and E. Teller, Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines, J. Chem. Phys. 21, 1087 (1953).

A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² F. Ambrogi,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² A. Escalante Del Valle,² M. Flechl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} N. Krammer,² I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Madlener,² I. Mikulec,² N. Rad,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² M. Spanring,² D. Spitzbart,² A. Taurok,² W. Waltenberger,² J. Wittmann,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} M. Zarucki,² V. Chekhovsky,³ V. Mossolov,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ D. Di Croce,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ J. Lauwers,⁴ M. Pieters,⁴ M. Van De Klundert,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ S. Abu Zeid,⁵ F. Blekman,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ I. De Bruyn,⁵ J. De Clercq,⁵ K. Deroover,⁵ G. Flouris,⁵ D. Lontkovskyi,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ I. Marchesini,⁵ S. Moortgat,⁵ L. Moreels,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ K. Skovpen,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ I. Van Parijs,⁵ D. Beghin,⁶ B. Bilin,⁶ H. Brun,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ H. Delannoy,⁶ B. Dorney,⁶ G. Fasanella,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ R. Goldouzian,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ A. K. Kalsi,⁶ T. Lenzi,⁶ J. Luetic,⁶ N. Postiau,⁶ E. Starling,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ D. Vannerom,⁶ Q. Wang,⁶ T. Cornelis,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ A. Fagot,⁷ M. Gul,⁷ I. Khvastunov,^{7,c} D. Poyraz,⁷ C. Roskas,⁷ D. Trocino,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ W. Verbeke,⁷ B. Vermassen,⁷ M. Vit,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁸ O. Bondu,⁸ S. Brochet,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ C. Caputo,⁸ P. David,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ M. Delcourt,⁸ B. Francois,⁸ A. Giammanco,⁸ G. Krintiras,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ A. Magitteri,⁸ A. Mertens,⁸ M. Musich,⁸ K. Piotrzkowski,⁸ A. Saggio,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ S. Wertz,⁸ J. Zobec,⁸ F. L. Alves,⁹ G. A. Alves,⁹ L. Brito,⁹ M. Correa Martins Junior,⁹ G. Correia Silva,⁹ C. Hensel,⁹ A. Moraes,⁹ M. E. Pol,⁹ P. Rebello Teles,⁹ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹⁰ W. Carvalho,¹⁰ J. Chinellato,^{10,d} E. Coelho,¹⁰ E. M. Da Costa,¹⁰ G. G. Da Silveira,^{10,e} D. De Jesus Damiao,¹⁰ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹⁰ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹⁰ H. Malbouisson,¹⁰ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹⁰ M. Melo De Almeida,¹⁰ C. Mora Herrera,¹⁰ L. Mundim,¹⁰ H. Nogima,¹⁰ W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹⁰ L. J. Sanchez Rosas,¹⁰ A. Santoro,¹⁰ A. Sznajder,¹⁰ M. Thiel,¹⁰ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{10,d} F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo,¹⁰ A. Vilela Pereira,¹⁰ A. Santolo, "A. Szhajdel, "M. Tinet," E. J. Tohen Mangahot, "T. Tohes Da Silva De Aladjo, "A. vileta Ferena, S. Ahuja,^{11a} C. A. Bernardes,^{11a} L. Calligaris,^{11a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{11a} E. M. Gregores,^{11a,11b}
P. G. Mercadante,^{11a,11b} S. F. Novaes,^{11a} Sandra S. Padula,^{11a} D. Romero Abad,^{11a,11b} A. Aleksandrov,¹² R. Hadjiiska,¹²
P. Iaydjiev,¹² A. Marinov,¹² M. Misheva,¹² M. Rodozov,¹² M. Shopova,¹² G. Sultanov,¹² A. Dimitrov,¹³ L. Litov,¹³
B. Pavlov,¹³ P. Petkov,¹³ W. Fang,^{14,f} X. Gao,^{14,f} L. Yuan,¹⁴ M. Ahmad,¹⁵ J. G. Bian,¹⁵ G. M. Chen,¹⁵ H. S. Chen,¹⁵ M. Chen,¹⁵ Y. Chen,¹⁵ C. H. Jiang,¹⁵ D. Leggat,¹⁵ H. Liao,¹⁵ Z. Liu,¹⁵ F. Romeo,¹⁵ S. M. Shaheen,^{15,g} A. Spiezia,¹⁵ J. Tao,¹⁵ C. Wang,¹⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁵ E. Yazgan,¹⁵ H. Zhang,¹⁵ J. Zhao,¹⁵ Y. Ban,¹⁶ G. Chen,¹⁶ A. Levin,¹⁶ J. Li,¹⁶ L. Li,¹⁶ Q. Li,¹⁶ Y. Mao,¹⁶ S. J. Qian,¹⁶ D. Wang,¹⁶ Z. Xu,¹⁶ Y. Wang,¹⁷ C. Avila,¹⁸ A. Cabrera,¹⁸ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹⁸ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁸ C. Florez,¹⁸ C. F. González Hernández,¹⁸ M. A. Segura Delgado,¹⁸ B. Courbon,¹⁹ N. Godinovic,¹⁹ D. Lelas,¹⁹ I. Puljak,¹⁹ T. Sculac,¹⁹ Z. Antunovic,²⁰ M. Kovac,²⁰ V. Brigljevic,²¹ D. Ferencek,²¹ K. Kadija,²¹ B. Mesic,²¹ A. Starodumov,^{21,h} T. Susa,²¹ M. W. Ather,²² A. Attikis,²² M. Kolosova,²² G. Mavromanolakis,²² J. Mousa,²² C. Nicolaou,²² F. Ptochos,²² P. A. Razis,²² H. Rykaczewski,²² M. Finger,^{23,i} M. Finger Jr.,^{23,i} E. Ayala,²⁴ E. Carrera Jarrin,²⁵ Y. Assran,^{26,j,k} S. Elgammal,^{26,j} Y. Mohammed,^{26,1} S. Bhowmik,²⁷ A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira,²⁷ R. K. Dewanjee,²⁷ K. Ehataht,²⁷ M. Kadastik,²⁷ M. Raidal,²⁷ C. Veelken,²⁷ P. Eerola,²⁸ H. Kirschenmann,²⁸ J. Pekkanen,²⁸ M. Voutilainen,²⁸ J. Havukainen,²⁹ J. K. Heikkilä,²⁹ T. Järvinen,²⁹ V. Karimäki,²⁹ R. Kinnunen,²⁹ T. Lampén,²⁹ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁹ S. Laurila,²⁹ S. Lehti,²⁹ T. Lindén,²⁹ P. Luukka,²⁹ T. Mäenpää,²⁹ H. Siikonen,²⁹ E. Tuominen,²⁹ J. Tuominiemi,²⁹ T. Tuuva,³⁰ M. Besancon,³¹ F. Couderc,³¹ M. Dejardin,³¹ D. Denegri,³¹ J. L. Faure,³¹ F. Ferri,³¹ S. Ganjour,³¹ A. Givernaud,³¹ P. Gras,³¹ G. Hamel de Monchenault,³¹ P. Jarry,³¹ C. Leloup,³¹ E. Locci,³¹ J. Malcles,³¹ G. Negro,³¹ J. Rander,³¹ A. Rosowsky,³¹ M. Ö. Sahin,³¹ M. Titov,³¹ A. Abdulsalam,^{32,m} C. Amendola,³² I. Antropov,³² F. Beaudette,³² P. Busson,³² C. Charlot,³² R. Granier de Cassagnac,³² I. Kucher,³² S. Lisniak,³² A. Lobanov,³² J. Martin Blanco,³² M. Nguyen,³² C. Ochando,³²

G. Ortona,³² P. Pigard,³² R. Salerno,³² J. B. Sauvan,³² Y. Sirois,³² A. G. Stahl Leiton,³² A. Zabi,³² A. Zghiche,³² J.-L. Agram,^{33,n} J. Andrea,³³ D. Bloch,³³ J.-M. Brom,³³ E. C. Chabert,³³ V. Cherepanov,³³ C. Collard,³³ E. Conte,^{33,n} J.-C. Fontaine,^{33,n} D. Gelé,³³ U. Goerlach,³³ M. Jansová,³³ A.-C. Le Bihan,³³ N. Tonon,³³ P. Van Hove,³³ S. Gadrat,³⁴ S. Beauceron,³⁵ C. Bernet,³⁵ G. Boudoul,³⁵ N. Chanon,³⁵ R. Chierici,³⁵ D. Contardo,³⁵ P. Depasse,³⁵ H. El Mamouni,³⁵ J. Fay,³⁵ L. Finco,³⁵ S. Gascon,³⁵ M. Gouzevitch,³⁵ G. Grenier,³⁵ B. Ille,³⁵ F. Lagarde,³⁵ I. B. Laktineh,³⁵ H. Lattaud,³⁵ M. Lethuillier,³⁵ L. Mirabito,³⁵ A. L. Pequegnot,³⁵ S. Perries,³⁵ A. Popov,^{35,o} V. Sordini,³⁵ M. Vander Donckt,³⁵ S. Viret,³⁵ S. Zhang,³⁵ T. Toriashvili,^{36,p} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{37,i} C. Autermann,³⁸ L. Feld,³⁸ M. K. Kiesel,³⁸ K. Klein,³⁸ M. Lipinski,³⁸ M. Preuten,³⁸ M. P. Rauch,³⁸ C. Schomakers,³⁸ J. Schulz,³⁸ M. Teroerde,³⁸ B. Wittmer,³⁸ V. Zhukov,^{38,o} A. Albert,³⁹ D. Duchardt, ³⁹ M. Endres, ³⁹ M. Erdmann, ³⁹ T. Esch, ³⁹ R. Fischer, ³⁹ S. Ghosh, ³⁹ A. Güth, ³⁹ T. Hebbeker, ³⁹ C. Heidemann, ³⁹ K. Hoepfner,³⁹ H. Keller,³⁹ S. Knutzen,³⁹ L. Mastrolorenzo,³⁹ M. Merschmeyer,³⁹ A. Meyer,³⁹ P. Millet,³⁹ S. Mukherjee,³⁹ T. Pook,³⁹ M. Radziej,³⁹ H. Reithler,³⁹ M. Rieger,³⁹ F. Scheuch,³⁹ A. Schmidt,³⁹ D. Teyssier,³⁹ G. Flügge,⁴⁰ O. Hlushchenko,⁴⁰ B. Kargoll,⁴⁰ T. Kress,⁴⁰ A. Künsken,⁴⁰ T. Müller,⁴⁰ A. Nehrkorn,⁴⁰ A. Nowack,⁴⁰ C. Pistone,⁴⁰ O. Pooth,⁴⁰ H. Sert,⁴⁰ A. Stahl,^{40,q} M. Aldaya Martin,⁴¹ T. Arndt,⁴¹ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,⁴¹ I. Babounikau,⁴¹
K. Beernaert,⁴¹ O. Behnke,⁴¹ U. Behrens,⁴¹ A. Bermúdez Martínez,⁴¹ D. Bertsche,⁴¹ A. A. Bin Anuar,⁴¹ K. Borras,^{41,r}
V. Botta,⁴¹ A. Campbell,⁴¹ P. Connor,⁴¹ C. Contreras-Campana,⁴¹ F. Costanza,⁴¹ V. Danilov,⁴¹ A. De Wit,⁴¹
M. M. Defranchis,⁴¹ C. Diez Pardos,⁴¹ D. Domínguez Damiani,⁴¹ G. Eckerlin,⁴¹ T. Eichhorn,⁴¹ A. Elwood,⁴¹ E. Eren,⁴¹ E. Gallo,^{41,s} A. Geiser,⁴¹ J. M. Grados Luyando,⁴¹ A. Grohsjean,⁴¹ P. Gunnellini,⁴¹ M. Guthoff,⁴¹ M. Haranko,⁴¹ A. Harb,⁴¹ J. Hauk,⁴¹ H. Jung,⁴¹ M. Kasemann,⁴¹ J. Keaveney,⁴¹ C. Kleinwort,⁴¹ J. Knolle,⁴¹ D. Krücker,⁴¹ W. Lange,⁴¹ A. Lelek,⁴¹ T. Lenz,⁴¹ K. Lipka,⁴¹ W. Lohmann,^{41,t} R. Mankel,⁴¹ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,⁴¹ A. B. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Meyer,⁴¹ M. Missiroli,⁴¹ G. Mittag,⁴¹ J. Mnich,⁴¹ V. Myronenko,⁴¹ S. K. Pflitsch,⁴¹ D. Pitzl,⁴¹ A. Raspereza,⁴¹ M. Savitskyi,⁴¹ P. Saxena,⁴¹ P. Schütze,⁴¹ C. Schwanenberger,⁴¹ R. Shevchenko,⁴¹ A. Singh,⁴¹ N. Stefaniuk,⁴¹ H. Tholen,⁴¹ O. Turkot,⁴¹ A. Vagnerini,⁴¹ G. P. Van Onsem,⁴¹ R. Walsh,⁴¹ Y. Wen,⁴¹ K. Wichmann,⁴¹ C. Wissing,⁴¹ O. Zenaiev,⁴¹ R. Aggleton,⁴² S. Bein,⁴² L. Benato,⁴² A. Benecke,⁴² V. Blobel,⁴² M. Centis Vignali,⁴² T. Dreyer,⁴² E. Garutti,⁴² D. Gonzalez,⁴² J. Haller,⁴² A. Hinzmann,⁴² A. Karavdina,⁴² G. Kasieczka,⁴² R. Klanner,⁴² R. Kogler,⁴² N. Kovalchuk,⁴² S. Kurz,⁴² V. Kutzner,⁴² J. Lange,⁴² D. Marconi,⁴² J. Multhaup,⁴² M. Niedziela,⁴² D. Nowatschin,⁴² A. Perieanu,⁴² A. Reimers,⁴² O. Rieger,⁴² C. Scharf,⁴² P. Schleper,⁴² S. Schumann,⁴² J. Schwandt,⁴² J. Sonneveld,⁴² H. Stadie,⁴² G. Steinbrück,⁴² F. M. Stober,⁴² M. Stöver,⁴² D. Troendle,⁴² A. Vanhoefer,⁴² B. Vormwald,⁴² M. Akbiyik,⁴³ C. Barth,⁴³ M. Baselga,⁴³ S. Baur,⁴³ E. Butz,⁴³ R. Caspart,⁴³ T. Chwalek,⁴³ F. Colombo,⁴³ W. De Boer,⁴³ A. Dierlamm,⁴³ N. Faltermann,⁴³ B. Freund,⁴³ M. Giffels,⁴³ M. A. Harrendorf,⁴³ F. Hartmann,^{43,q} S. M. Heindl,⁴³ U. Husemann,⁴³ F. Kassel,^{43,q} I. Katkov,^{43,o} S. Kudella,⁴³ H. Mildner,⁴³ S. Mitra,⁴³ M. U. Mozer,⁴³ Th. Müller,⁴³ M. Plagge,⁴³ G. Quast,⁴³ K. Rabbertz,⁴³ M. Schröder,⁴³ I. Shvetsov,⁴³ G. Sieber,⁴³ H. J. Simonis,⁴³ R. Ulrich,⁴³ S. Wayand,⁴³ M. Weber,⁴³ T. Weiler,⁴³ S. Williamson,⁴³ C. Wöhrmann,⁴³ R. Wolf,⁴³ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁴ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁴ T. Geralis,⁴⁴ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁴ D. Loukas,⁴⁴ G. Paspalaki,⁴⁴ I. Topsis-Giotis,⁴⁴ G. Karathanasis,⁴⁵ S. Kesisoglou,⁴⁵ P. Kontaxakis,⁴⁵ A. Panagiotou,⁴⁵ N. Saoulidou,⁴⁵ E. Tziaferi,⁴⁵ K. Vellidis,⁴⁵ K. Kousouris,⁴⁶ I. Papakrivopoulos,⁴⁶ G. Tsipolitis,⁴⁶ I. Evangelou,⁴⁷ C. Foudas,⁴⁷ P. Gianneios,⁴⁷ P. Katsoulis,⁴⁷ P. Kokkas,⁴⁷ S. Mallios,⁴⁷ N. Manthos,⁴⁷ I. Papadopoulos,⁴⁷ E. Paradas,⁴⁷ J. Strologas,⁴⁷ F. A. Triantis,⁴⁷ D. Tsitsonis,⁴⁷ M. Bartók,^{48,u} M. Csanad,⁴⁸ N. Filipovic,⁴⁸ P. Major,⁴⁸ M. I. Nagy,⁴⁸ G. Pasztor,⁴⁸ O. Surányi,⁴⁸ G. I. Veres,⁴⁸ G. Bencze,⁴⁹ C. Hajdu,⁴⁹ D. Horvath,^{49,v} Á. Hunyadi,⁴⁹ F. Sikler,⁴⁹ T. Á. Vámi,⁴⁹ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁹ G. Vesztergombi,^{49,a,u} N. Beni,⁵⁰ S. Czellar,⁵⁰ J. Karancsi,^{50,w} A. Makovec,⁵⁰ J. Molnar,⁵⁰ Z. Szillasi,⁵⁰ P. Raics,⁵¹ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁵¹ B. Ujvari,⁵¹ S. Choudhury,⁵² J. R. Komaragiri,⁵² P. C. Tiwari,⁵² S. Bahinipati,^{53,x} C. Kar,⁵³ P. Mal,⁵³ K. Mandal,⁵³ A. Nayak,^{53,y} D. K. Sahoo,^{53,x} S. K. Swain,⁵³ S. Bansal,⁵⁴ S. B. Beri,⁵⁴ V. Bhatnagar,⁵⁴ S. Chauhan,⁵⁴ R. Chawla,⁵⁴ N. Dhingra,⁵⁴ R. Gupta,⁵⁴ A. Kaur,⁵⁴ A. Kaur,⁵⁴ M. Kaur,⁵⁴ S. Kaur,⁵⁴ R. Kumar,⁵⁴ P. Kumari,⁵⁴ M. Lohan,⁵⁴ A. Mehta,⁵⁴ K. Sandeep,⁵⁴ S. Sharma,⁵⁴ J. B. Singh,⁵⁴ G. Walia,⁵⁴ A. Bhardwaj,⁵⁵ B. C. Choudhary,⁵⁵ R. B. Garg,⁵⁵ M. Gola,⁵⁵ S. Keshri,⁵⁵ Ashok Kumar,⁵⁵ S. Malhotra,⁵⁵ M. Naimuddin,⁵⁵ P. Priyanka,⁵⁵ K. Ranjan,⁵⁵ Aashaq Shah,⁵⁵ R. Sharma,⁵⁵ R. Bhardwaj,^{56,z} M. Bharti,⁵⁶ R. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁶ U. Bhawandeep,^{56,z} D. Bhowmik,⁵⁶ S. Dey,⁵⁶ S. Dutt,^{56,z} S. Dutta,⁵⁶ S. Ghosh,⁵⁶ K. Mondal,⁵⁶ S. Nandan,⁵⁶ A. Purohit,⁵⁶ P. K. Rout,⁵⁶ A. Roy,⁵⁶ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁶ S. Sarkar,⁵⁶ M. Sharan,⁵⁶ B. Singh,⁵⁶ S. Thakur,^{56,z} P. K. Behera,⁵⁷ R. Chudasama,⁵⁸ D. Dutta,⁵⁸ V. Jha,⁵⁸ V. Kumar,⁵⁸ P. K. Netrakanti,⁵⁸ L. M. Pant,⁵⁸ P. Shukla,⁵⁸ T. Aziz,⁵⁹ M. A. Bhat,⁵⁹ S. Dugad,⁵⁹ G. B. Mohanty,⁵⁹ N. Sur,⁵⁹ B. Sutar,⁵⁹ R. Kumar Verma,⁵⁹ S. Banerjee,⁶⁰ S. Bhattacharya,⁶⁰ S. Chatterjee,⁶⁰ P. Das,⁶⁰ M. Guchait,⁶⁰ Sa. Jain,⁶⁰ S. Karmakar,⁶⁰ S. Kumar,⁶⁰ M. Maity,^{60,aa} G. Majumder,⁶⁰ K. Mazumdar,⁶⁰ N. Sahoo,⁶⁰ T. Sarkar,^{60,aa} S. Chauhan,⁶¹ S. Dube,⁶¹ V. Hegde,⁶¹ A. Kapoor,⁶¹

K. Kothekar,⁶¹ S. Pandey,⁶¹ A. Rane,⁶¹ S. Sharma,⁶¹ S. Chenarani,^{62,bb} E. Eskandari Tadavani,⁶² S. M. Etesami,^{62,bb} M. Khakzad,⁶² M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁶² M. Naseri,⁶² F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁶² B. Safarzadeh,^{62,cc} M. Zeinali,⁶² M. Khakzad,⁵² M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁵² M. Naseri,⁵² F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁵² B. Safarzadeh,^{52,cc} M. Zeinali,⁵²
M. Felcini,⁶³ M. Grunewald,⁶³ M. Abbrescia,^{64a,64b} C. Calabria,^{64a,64b} A. Colaleo,^{64a} D. Creanza,^{64a,64c} L. Cristella,^{64a,64b}
N. De Filippis,^{64a,64c} M. De Palma,^{64a,64b} A. Di Florio,^{64a,64b} F. Errico,^{64a,64b} L. Fiore,^{64a} A. Gelmi,^{64a,64b} G. Iaselli,^{64a,64c}
M. Ince,^{64a,64b} S. Lezki,^{64a,64b} G. Maggi,^{64a,64c} M. Maggi,^{64a} G. Miniello,^{64a,64b} S. My,^{64a,64b} S. Nuzzo,^{64a,64b} A. Pompili,^{64a,64b}
G. Pugliese,^{64a,64c} R. Radogna,^{64a} A. Ranieri,^{64a} G. Selvaggi,^{64a,64b} A. Sharma,^{64a} L. Silvestris,^{64a} R. Venditti,^{64a}
P. Verwilligen,^{64a} G. Zito,^{64a} G. Abbiendi,^{65a} C. Battilana,^{65a,65b} D. Bonacorsi,^{65a,65b} L. Borgonovi,^{65a,65b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{65a,65b} R. Campanini,^{65a,65b} P. Capiluppi,^{65a,65b} A. Castro,^{65a,65b} F. R. Cavallo,^{65a} S. S. Chhibra,^{65a,65b} C. Ciocca,^{65a} G. Codispoti,^{65a,65b} M. Cuffiani,^{65a,65b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{65a} F. Fabbri,^{65a} A. Fanfani,^{65a,65b} P. Giacomelli,^{65a} C. Grandi, 65a L. Guiducci, 65a,65b F. Iemmi, 65a,65b S. Marcellini, 65a G. Masetti, 65a A. Montanari, 65a F. L. Navarria, 65a,65b A. Perrotta, 65a F. Primavera, 65a,65b,q A. M. Rossi, 65a,65b T. Rovelli, 65a,65b G. P. Siroli, 65a,65b N. Tosi, 65a S. Albergo, 66a,66b A. Di Mattia, 66a R. Potenza, 66a,66b A. Tricomi, 66a,66b C. Tuve, 66a,66b G. Barbagli, 67a K. Chatterjee, 67a,67b V. Ciulli, 67a,67b V. C. Civinini,^{67a} R. D'Alessandro,^{67a,67b} E. Focardi,^{67a,67b} G. Latino,^{67a} P. Lenzi,^{67a,67b} M. Meschini,^{67a} S. Paoletti,^{67a} C. Civinini, K. D'Alessandro, E. Focardi, G. Launo, F. Lenzi, M. Meschini, S. Faoretti, L. Russo, ^{67a}d G. Sguazzoni, ^{67a} D. Strom, ^{67a} L. Viliani, ^{67a} L. Benussi, ⁶⁸ S. Bianco, ⁶⁸ F. Fabbri, ⁶⁸ D. Piccolo, ⁶⁸ F. Ferro, ^{69a} F. Ravera, ^{69a,69b} E. Robutti, ^{69a} S. Tosi, ^{69a,69b} A. Benaglia, ^{70a} A. Beschi, ^{70a,70b} L. Brianza, ^{70a,70b} F. Brivio, ^{70a,70b} V. Ciriolo, ^{70a,70b}, S. Di Guida, ^{70a,70b,q} M. E. Dinardo, ^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi, ^{70a,70b} S. Gennai, ^{70a} A. Ghezzi, ^{70a,70b} P. Govoni, ^{70a,70b} C. Ciriolo, ^{70a,70b} F. Brivio, ^{70a,70b} P. Govoni, ^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi, ^{70a,70b} S. Gennai, ^{70a} A. Ghezzi, ^{70a,70b} P. Govoni, ^{70a,70b} C. Ciriolo, ^{70a,70b} S. Di Guida, ^{70a,70b,q} M. E. Dinardo, ^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi, ^{70a,70b} S. Gennai, ^{70a} A. Ghezzi, ^{70a,70b} P. Govoni, ^{70a,70b} C. Ciriolo, ^{70a,70b} S. Ciriolo, V. Ciriolo, ^{70a,70b,q} S. Di Guida, ^{70a,70b,q} M. E. Dinardo, ^{70a,70b} S. Fiorendi, ^{70a,70b} S. Gennai, ^{70a} A. Ghezzi, ^{70a,70b} P. Govoni, ^{70a,70b} M. Malberti, ^{70a,70b} S. Malvezzi, ^{70a} A. Massironi, ^{70a,70b} D. Menasce, ^{70a} L. Moroni, ^{70a} M. Paganoni, ^{70a,70b} D. Pedrini, ^{70a} S. Ragazzi, ^{70a,70b} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{70a,70b} S. Buontempo, ^{71a} N. Cavallo, ^{71a,71c} A. Di Crescenzo, ^{71a,71b} F. Fabozzi, ^{71a,71c} F. Fienga, ^{71a} G. Galati, ^{71a} A. O. M. Iorio, ^{71a,71b} W. A. Khan, ^{71a} L. Lista, ^{71a} S. Meola, ^{71a,71d,q} P. Paolucci, ^{71a,q} C. Sciacca, ^{71a,71b} E. Voevodina, ^{71a,71b} P. Azzi, ^{72a} N. Bacchetta, ^{72a} D. Bisello, ^{72a,72b} A. Boletti, ^{72a,72b} A. Bragagnolo, ^{72a} R. Carlin, ^{72a,72b} P. Checchia, ^{72a} M. Dall'Osso, ^{72a,72b} P. De Castro Manzano, ^{72a} T. Dorigo, ^{72a} U. Dosselli, ^{72a} F. Gasparini, ^{72a,72b} F. Gonella, ^{72a} A. Gozzelino, ^{72a} S. Lacaprara, ^{72a} P. Lujan, ^{72a} M. Margoni, ^{72a,72b} A. T. Meneguzzo, ^{72a,72b} J. Pazzini, ^{72a,72b} N. Pozzobon, ^{72a,72b} A. Braghieri, ^{73a} A. Magnani, ^{73a} P. Montagna, ^{73a,73b} S. P. Ratti, ^{73a,73b} V. Re, ^{73a} M. Ressegotti, ^{73a,73b} C. Riccardi, ^{73a,73b} P. Salvini, ^{73a} I. Vai, ^{73a,73b} P. Vitulo, ^{73a,73b} L. Alunni Solestizi, ^{74a,74b} M. Biasini, ^{74a,74b} G. M. Bilei, ^{74a} C. Cecchi, ^{74a,74b} D. Ciangottini, ^{74a,74b} P. Lariccia, ^{74a,74b} R. Leonardi, ^{74a,74b} E. Manoni, ^{74a} G. Mantovani, ^{74a,74b} V. Mariani, ^{74a,74b} M. Menichelli, ^{74a} A. Rossi ^{74a,74b} A. Santocchia ^{74a,74b} D. Sniga ^{74a} K. Androsov ^{75a} P. Azzurri ^{75a} G. Baglieci, ^{75a} D. Ciangottini, ^{74a,74b} L. Fanò, ^{74a,74b} P. Lariccia, ^{74a,74b} R. Leonardi, ^{74a,74b} E. Manoni, ^{74a} G. Mantovani, ^{74a,74b} V. Mariani, ^{74a,74b} M. Menichelli, ^{74a} A. Rossi, ^{74a,74b} A. Santocchia, ^{74a,74b} D. Spiga, ^{74a} K. Androsov, ^{75a} P. Azzurri, ^{75a} G. Bagliesi, ^{75a} L. Bianchini, ^{75a} L. Borrello, ^{75a} R. Castaldi, ^{75a} M. A. Ciocci, ^{75a,75b} R. Dell'Orso, ^{75a} G. Fedi, ^{75a} F. Fiori, ^{75a,75c} L. Giannini, ^{75a,75c} A. Giassi, ^{75a} M. T. Grippo, ^{75a} F. Ligabue, ^{75a,75c} E. Manca, ^{75a,75c} G. Mandorli, ^{75a,75c} A. Messineo, ^{75a,75b} P. Spagnolo, ^{75a} R. Tenchini, ^{75a} G. Tonelli, ^{75a,75b} A. Venturi, ^{75a} P. G. Verdini, ^{75a} L. Barone, ^{76a,76b} F. Palla, ^{75a} A. Rizzi, ^{75a,75b} P. Spagnolo, ^{75a} R. Tenchini, ^{75a} G. Tonelli, ^{75a,75b} A. Venturi, ^{75a} P. G. Verdini, ^{75a} L. Barone, ^{76a,76b} F. Cavallari, ^{76a,76b} N. Daci, ^{76a} D. Del Re, ^{76a,76b} E. Di Marco, ^{76a,76b} M. Diemoz, ^{76a} S. Gelli, ^{76a,76b} F. Cavallari, ^{76a,76b} P. Meridiani, ^{76a} G. Organtini, ^{76a,76b} F. Pandolfi, ^{76a, Re Paramati, ^{76a,76b} F. Preiato, ^{76a,77b} S. Rahatlou, ^{76a,76b} C. Rovelli, ^{76a} F. Santanastasio, ^{76a,76b} N. Amapane, ^{77a,77b} R. Arcidiacono, ^{77a,77c} S. Argiro, ^{77a,77b} M. Arneodo, ^{77a,77c} N. Bartosik, ^{77a} R. Bellan, ^{77a,77b} C. Biino, ^{77a} N. Cartiglia, ^{77a} F. Cenna, ^{77a,77b} S. Cometti, ^{77a,77b} M. Costa, ^{77a,77b} C. Mariotti, ^{77a,77b} M. Pastrone, ^{77a,77b} V. Monaco, ^{77a,77c} G. L. Pinna Angioni, ^{77a,77a} A. Kiani, ^{77a,77b} M. Ruspa, ^{77a,77b} R. Sacchi, ^{77a,77b} K. Shchelina, ^{77a,77b} V. Sola, ^{77a} A. Solano, ^{77a,77b} D. Soldi, ^{77a} A. Staiano, ^{77a,77b} S. Belforte, ^{78a} V. Candelise, ^{78a,78b} M. Casarsa, ^{78a} F. Cossutti, ^{78a} A. Solano, ^{77a,77b} D. Soldi, ^{77a} A. Staiano, ^{77a, 77b} G. N. Kim, ⁷⁹ M. S. Kim, ⁷⁹ J. Lee, ⁷⁹ S. Lee, ⁷⁹ S. W. Lee, ⁷⁹ C. S. Moon, ⁷⁹ Y. D. Oh, ⁷⁹ S. Sekmen, ⁷⁹ D. C. Son, ⁷⁹ Y. C. Yang, ⁷⁹ H. Kim, ⁸⁰ D. H. Moon, ⁸⁰ G. Oh, ⁸⁰ J. Goh, ^{81,ee} T. J. Kim, ⁸¹ S. Cho,} Y. Roh, ⁸² H. S. Kim, ⁸³ J. Almond, ⁸⁴ J. Kim, ⁸⁴ J. S. Kim, ⁸⁴ H. Lee, ⁸⁴ K. Lee, ⁸⁴ K. Nam, ⁸⁴ S. B. Oh, ⁸⁴ B. C. Radburn-Smith, ⁸⁴ S. h. Seo, ⁸⁴ U. K. Yang, ⁸⁴ H. D. Yoo, ⁸⁴ G. B. Yu, ⁸⁴ D. Jeon, ⁸⁵ H. Kim, ⁸⁵ J. H. Kim, ⁸⁵ J. S. H. Lee, ⁸⁵ I. C. Park, ⁸⁵ Y. Choi, ⁸⁶ C. Hwang, ⁸⁶ J. Lee, ⁸⁶ I. Yu, ⁸⁶ V. Dudenas, ⁸⁷ A. Juodagalvis, ⁸⁷ J. Vaitkus, ⁸⁷ I. Ahmed, ⁸⁸ Z. A. Ibrahim, ⁸⁸ M. A. B. Md Ali, ^{88,ff} F. Mohamad Idris, ^{88,gg} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah, ⁸⁸ M. N. Yusli, ⁸⁸ Z. Zolkapli, ⁸⁸ A. Castaneda Hernandez, ⁸⁹ J. A. Murillo Quijada,⁸⁹ M. C. Duran-Osuna,⁹⁰ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁹⁰ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁹⁰ G. Ramirez-Sanchez,⁹⁰ I. Heredia-De La Cruz,^{90,hh} R. I. Rabadan-Trejo,⁹⁰ R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁹⁰ J. Mejia Guisao,⁹⁰ R. Reyes-Almanza,⁹⁰ M. Ramirez-Garcia,⁹⁰ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁹⁰ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁹¹ C. Oropeza Barrera,⁹¹ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁹¹ J. Eysermans,⁹² I. Pedraza,⁹² H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁹² C. Uribe Estrada,⁹² A. Morelos Pineda,⁹³ D. Krofcheck,⁹⁴

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018)
S. Bheesette, ⁹⁵ P. H. Butler, ⁹⁵ A. Ahmad, ⁹⁶ M. Ahmad, ⁹⁶ M. I. Asghar, ⁹⁶ Q. Hassan, ⁹⁶ H. R. Hoorani, ⁹⁶ A. Saddique, ⁹⁶ M. A. Shah, ⁹⁶ M. Shoaib, ⁹⁶ M. Waqas, ⁹⁶ H. Białkowska, ⁹⁷ M. Bluj, ⁹⁷ B. Boimska, ⁹⁷ T. Frueboes, ⁹⁷ M. Górski, ⁹⁷ M. Kazana, ⁹⁷ K. Nawrocki, ⁹⁷ M. Szleper, ⁹⁷ P. Traczyk, ⁹⁷ P. Zalewski, ⁹⁷ K. Bunkowski, ⁹⁸ A. Byszuk, ⁹⁸ H. Doroba, ⁹⁸ A. Kalinowski, ⁹⁸ M. Konecki, ⁹⁷ J. Krolikowski, ⁹⁸ M. Misiura, ⁹⁸ M. Olszewski, ⁹⁸ A. Pyskir, ⁹⁸ M. Walczak, ⁹⁸ P. Bargassa, ⁹⁹ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, ⁹⁰ A. Di Francesco, ⁹⁹ P. Faccioli, ⁹⁰ B. Galinhas, ⁹⁰ M. Galinaro, ⁹⁰ J. Hollar, ⁹⁰ N. Leonardo, ⁹⁰ L. Lloret Iglesias, ⁹⁰ M. V. Nemallapudi, ⁹¹ J. Seixas, ⁹⁰ G. Strong, ⁹⁰ O. Toldaiev, ⁹⁰ D. Vadruccio, ⁹¹ J. Varela, ⁹¹ V. Alexakhin, ¹⁰⁰ A. Golunov, ¹⁰⁰ I. Golutvin, ¹⁰⁰ N. Gorbounov, ¹⁰⁰ I. Gorbunov, ¹⁰⁰ A. Kamenev, ¹⁰⁰ V. Karjavin, ¹⁰⁰ A. Lanev, ¹⁰⁰ A. Malakhov, ¹⁰⁰ V. Mureev, ¹⁰⁰ A. Zarubin, ¹⁰⁰ V. Golovtsov, ¹⁰¹ Y. Ivanov, ¹⁰¹ M. Savina, ¹⁰⁰ S. Shuhla, ¹⁰⁰ N. Skatchkov, ¹⁰⁰ V. Smirnov, ¹⁰⁰ A. Zarubin, ¹⁰⁰ V. Golovtsov, ¹⁰¹ V. Sulimov, ¹⁰¹ L. Uvarov, ¹⁰¹ S. Vavilov, ¹⁰¹ A. Vorobyev, ¹⁰¹ V. Andreev, ¹⁰² A. Dermenev, ¹⁰² S. Gninenko, ¹⁰² N. Golubev, ¹⁰² A. Karneyeu, ¹⁰² M. Kirsanov, ¹⁰² N. Abenkov, ¹⁰² D. Tlisov, ¹⁰³ A. Sperindonv, ¹⁰³ V. Stolin, ¹⁰³ M. Toms, ¹⁰³ E. Vlasov, ¹⁰³ A. Zhokin, ¹⁰³ T. Aushev, ¹⁰⁴ R. Chistov, ^{105,m} M. Danilov, ^{105,m} B. Parygin, ¹⁰⁵ D. Philippov, ¹⁰⁵ S. Polikarpov, ^{105,m} A. Banichev, ¹⁰⁷ M. Bubinin, ^{107,05} S. Polikarpov, ^{105,m} (^{105,m} M. Danilov, ^{108,p} T. Auskov, ¹⁰⁶ A. Terkulov, ¹⁰⁶ A. Baskakov, ¹⁰⁷ A. Belyaev, ¹⁰⁷ I. Lokhtin, ^{107,11} I. Bakyhev, ^{108,p} T. Aushev, ¹⁰⁶ M. Azarkin, ^{106,kk} I. Dremin, ^{106,kk} M. Kirakosyan, ^{106,kk} S. V. Rusakov, ¹⁰⁶ A. Gribushin, ¹⁰⁷ V. Blinov, ^{108,p} T. Binov, ^{106,ke} D. Sholo, ¹ M. Cerrada,¹¹² N. Colino,¹¹² B. De La Cruz,¹¹² A. Delgado Peris,¹¹² C. Fernandez Bedoya,¹¹² J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹¹² J. Flix,¹¹² M. C. Fouz,¹¹² O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹¹² S. Goy Lopez,¹¹² J. M. Hernandez,¹¹² M. I. Josa,¹¹² D. Moran,¹¹² A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹¹² J. Puerta Pelayo,¹¹² I. Redondo,¹¹² L. Romero,¹¹² M. S. Soares,¹¹² A. Triossi,¹¹² C. Albajar,¹¹³ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹¹³ J. Cuevas,¹¹⁴ C. Erice,¹¹⁴ J. Fernandez Menendez,¹¹⁴ S. Folgueras,¹¹⁴ I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹¹⁴ J. R. González Fernández,¹¹⁴ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹¹⁴ V. Rodríguez Bouza,¹¹⁴ S. Sanchez Cruz,¹¹⁴ P. Vischia,¹¹⁴ J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹¹⁴ I. J. Cabrillo,¹¹⁵ A. Calderon,¹¹⁵ B. Chazin Quero,¹¹⁵ J. Duarte Campderros,¹¹⁵ M. Fernandez, ¹¹⁵ P. J. Fernández Manteca, ¹¹⁵ A. García Alonso, ¹¹⁵ J. Garcia-Ferrero, ¹¹⁵ G. Gomez, ¹¹⁵ A. Lopez Virto, ¹¹⁵ J. Marco, ¹¹⁵ C. Martinez Rivero, ¹¹⁵ P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, ¹¹⁵ F. Matorras, ¹¹⁵ J. Piedra Gomez, ¹¹⁵ C. Prieels, ¹¹⁵ T. Rodrigo, ¹¹⁵ A. Ruiz-Jimeno, ¹¹⁵ L. Scodellaro, ¹¹⁵ N. Trevisani, ¹¹⁵ I. Vila, ¹¹⁵ J. Piedra Gomez, ¹¹⁵ C. Prieels, ¹¹⁶ T. Rodrigo, ¹¹⁶ A. Ruiz-Jimeno, ¹¹⁶ L. Scodellaro, ¹¹⁶ N. Trevisani, ¹¹⁵ I. Vila, ¹¹⁵ R. Vilar Cortabitarte, ¹¹⁶ D. Abbaneo, ¹¹⁶ B. Akgun, ¹¹⁶ E. Auffray, ¹¹⁶ P. Baillon, ¹¹⁶ A. H. Ball, ¹¹⁶ D. Barney, ¹¹⁶ J. Bendavid, ¹¹⁶ M. Bianco, ¹¹⁶ A. Bocci, ¹¹⁶ C. Botta, ¹¹⁶ E. Brondolin, ¹¹⁶ T. Camporesi, ¹¹⁶ M. Cepeda, ¹¹⁶ G. Cerminara, ¹¹⁶ E. Chapon, ¹¹⁶ Y. Chen, ¹¹⁶ G. Cucciati, ¹¹⁶ D. d'Enterria, ¹¹⁶ A. David, ¹¹⁶ A. De Roeck, ¹¹⁶ N. Deelen, ¹¹⁶ M. Dobson, ¹¹⁶ T. du Pree, ¹¹⁶ M. Dünser, ¹¹⁶ A. David, ¹¹⁶ A. David, ¹¹⁶ F. Glege, ¹¹⁶ M. Detelen, ¹¹⁶ M. Dobson, ¹¹⁶ T. du Pree, ¹¹⁶ M. Dünser, ¹¹⁶ W. Funk, ¹¹⁶ D. Gigi, ¹¹⁶ A. Gilbert, ¹¹⁶ K. Gill, ¹¹⁶ F. Glege, ¹¹⁶ M. Guilbaud, ¹¹⁶ D. Gulhan, ¹¹⁶ J. Hegeman, ¹¹⁶ V. Innocente, ¹¹⁶ W. Funk, ¹¹⁶ D. Gigi, ¹¹⁶ A. Gilbert, ¹¹⁶ K. Gill, ¹¹⁶ F. Meijers, ¹¹⁶ J. A. Merlin, ¹¹⁶ S. Mersi, ¹¹⁶ E. Meschi, ¹¹⁶ P. Milenovic, ^{116,ss} F. Moortgat, ¹¹⁶ M. Mulders, ¹¹⁶ J. Ngadiuba, ¹¹⁶ S. Orfanelli, ¹¹⁶ L. Orsini, ¹¹⁶ F. Pantaleo, ¹¹⁶ A. Racz, ¹¹⁶ M. Peruzzi, ¹¹⁶ A. Petrilli, ¹¹⁶ M. Pierini, ¹¹⁶ M. Seidel, ¹¹⁶ M. Selvaggi, ¹¹⁶ A. Sakara, ¹¹⁶ H. Sakulin, ¹¹⁶ C. Schäfer, ¹¹⁶ M. Seidel, ¹¹⁶ M. Selvaggi, ¹¹⁶ A. Sharma, ¹¹⁶ P. Sphicas, ¹¹⁶ M. Stakia, ¹¹⁶ J. Steggemann, ¹¹⁶ M. Tosi, ¹¹⁶ D. Treille, ¹¹⁶ A. Tsirou, ¹¹⁷ M. Scharma, ¹¹⁶ P. Silva, ¹¹⁶ M. Sovere, ¹¹⁶ H. Sakulin, ¹¹⁶ C. Schäfer, ¹¹⁶ M. Seidel, ¹¹⁶ A. Tsirou, ¹¹⁶ Y. Veckalns, ¹¹⁶ M. Schurga, ¹¹⁸ M. Doinesda, ^{116,sx} W. D. Zeuner, ¹¹⁶ H. Caminada, ¹¹⁷ M. Fernandez,¹¹⁵ P. J. Fernández Manteca,¹¹⁵ A. García Alonso,¹¹⁵ J. Garcia-Ferrero,¹¹⁵ G. Gomez,¹¹⁵ A. Lopez Virto,¹¹⁵ F. Micheli,¹¹⁸ P. Musella,¹¹⁸ F. Nessi-Tedaldi,¹¹⁸ J. Pata,¹¹⁸ F. Pauss,¹¹⁸ G. Perrin,¹¹⁸ L. Perrozzi,¹¹⁸ S. Pigazzini,¹¹⁸ M. Quittnat,¹¹⁸ D. Ruini,¹¹⁸ D. A. Sanz Becerra,¹¹⁸ M. Schönenberger,¹¹⁸ L. Shchutska,¹¹⁸ V. R. Tavolaro,¹¹⁸ K. Theofilatos,¹¹⁸ M. L. Vesterbacka Olsson,¹¹⁸ R. Wallny,¹¹⁸ D. H. Zhu,¹¹⁸ T. K. Aarrestad,¹¹⁹ C. Amsler,^{119,xx}

 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018)
 D. Brzhechko,¹¹⁹ M. F. Canelli,¹¹⁹ A. De Cosa,¹¹⁹ R. Del Burgo,¹¹⁹ S. Donato,¹¹⁹ C. Galloni,¹¹⁹ T. Hreus,¹¹⁹
 B. Kilminster,¹¹⁹ I. Neutelings,¹¹⁹ D. Pinna,¹¹⁹ G. Rauco,¹¹⁹ P. Robmann,¹¹⁹ D. Salerno,¹¹⁹ K. Schweiger,¹¹⁹ C. Seitz,¹¹⁹
 Y. Takahashi,¹¹⁹ A. Zuccheta,¹¹⁹ Y. H. Chang,¹²⁰ K. y. Cheng,¹²⁰ T. H. Doan,¹²⁰ Sh. Jain,¹²⁰ R. Khurana,¹²⁰ C. M. Kuo,¹²⁰
 W. Lin,¹²⁰ A. Pozdnyakov,¹²⁰ S. S. Yu,¹²⁰ P. Chang,¹²¹ Y. Chao,¹²¹ K. F. Chen,¹²¹ P. H. Chen,¹²¹ W.-S. Hou,¹²¹
 Arun Kumar,¹²¹ Y. y. Li,¹²¹ Y. F. Liu,¹²¹ R.-S. Lu,¹²¹ E. Paganis,¹²¹ A. Psallidas,¹²¹ A. Steen,¹²³ J. f. Tsai,¹²¹
 B. Asavapibhop,¹²² N. Suwonjandee,¹²² A. Bat,¹²³ E. Boran,¹²³ S. Cerci,¹²³³ y. S. Damarseckin,¹²³
 Z. S. Demiroglu,¹²³ F. Dolek,¹²³ C. Dozen,¹²³ I. Dumanoglu,¹²³ S. Girgis,¹²³ G. Gokbulut,¹²³ Y. Guler,¹²³ E. Gurpinar,¹²³
 K. Ozdemir,^{123,06} S. Ozturk,^{123,00} D. Sunar Cerci,^{123,07} B. Tali,^{123,07} U. G. Tok,¹²³ S. Turkcapar,¹²³ I. S. Zorbakir,¹²³
 K. Kaya,^{125,00} O. Kaya,^{125,00} S. Tekten,¹²⁵ E. A. Yetkin,^{125,104} M. Nakgaras,¹²⁶ S. Atay,¹²⁶ A. Cakir,¹²⁶ K. Cankocak,¹²⁶
 Y. Komurcu,¹²⁶ S. Sen,^{126,116} B. Grynyov,¹²⁷ L. Levchuk,¹²⁸ F. Ball,¹²⁹ L. Beck,¹²⁹ J. J. Brooke,¹²⁹ D. Burns,¹²⁹ E. Clement,¹²⁹
 D. Cussans,¹²⁹ O. Davignon,¹²⁹ H. Flacher,¹²⁹ J. Goldstein,¹²⁹ G. P. Heath,¹²⁹ H. Fleath,¹²⁹ L. Stecxko,¹²⁹
 D. M. Newbold,^{126,118} S. Paramesvaran,¹²⁹ B. Penning,¹²⁹ T. Sakuma,¹²⁰ D. Cieri,¹³⁰ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹³⁰
 J. A. Coughlan,¹³⁰ K. Harder,¹³⁰ S. Harper,¹³⁰ J. Linacre,¹³⁰ E. Olaiya,¹³⁰ D. Petyt,¹³⁰ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹³⁰
 A. Titterton,¹²⁹ K. Well,¹³⁰ A. Belyaev,^{130,148} R. Brawn,¹³⁰ D. Cieri,¹³⁰ D. J. A. Cockerill, R. Bartek, ¹⁵⁷ A. Dominguez, ¹⁵⁷ A. Buccill, ¹⁵⁰ S. I. Cooper, ¹⁵⁰ C. Henderson, ¹⁵⁰ P. Rumerio, ¹⁵⁵ C. West, ¹⁵⁵ D. Arcaro, ¹⁵⁶ T. Bose, ¹³⁶ D. Gastler, ¹³⁶ D. Rankin, ¹³⁶ C. Richardson, ¹³⁶ J. Rohlf, ¹³⁶ L. Sulak, ¹³⁶ D. Zou, ¹³⁶ G. Benelli, ¹³⁷ X. Coubez, ¹³⁷ D. Cutts, ¹³⁷ M. Hadley, ¹³⁷ J. Hakala, ¹³⁷ U. Heintz, ¹³⁷ J. M. Hogan, ^{137,mm} R. Syarif, ¹³⁷ E. Usai, ¹³⁷ G. Landsberg, ¹³⁷ J. Lee, ¹³⁷ M. Narain, ¹³⁷ S. Piperov, ¹³⁷ S. Sagir, ^{137,mm} R. Syarif, ¹³⁷ E. Usai, ¹³⁷ D. Yu, ¹³⁷ R. Band, ¹³⁸ C. Brainerd, ¹³⁸ R. Breedon, ¹³⁸ D. Burns, ¹³⁸ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, ¹³⁸ M. Chertok, ¹³⁸ J. Conway, ¹³⁸ R. Conway, ¹³⁸ P. T. Cox, ¹³⁸ R. Erbacher, ¹³⁸ G. Funk, ¹³⁸ W. Ko, ¹³⁸ O. Kukral, ¹³⁸ R. Lander, ¹³⁸ M. Mulhearn, ¹³⁸ D. Pellett, ¹³⁸ J. Pilot, ¹³⁸ S. Shalhout, ¹³⁸ M. Shi, ¹³⁸ D. Stolp, ¹³⁸ D. Taylor, ¹³⁸ K. Tos, ¹³⁸ M. Mulhearn, ¹³⁸ D. Pellett, ¹³⁸ J. Pilot, ¹³⁸ S. Shalhout, ¹³⁸ M. Shi, ¹³⁹ O. Sotop, ¹³⁹ A. Dasgupta, ¹³⁰ A. Florent, ¹³⁹ J. Hauser, ¹³⁹ M. Ignatenko, ¹³⁹ N. Mccoll, ¹³⁹ S. Regnard, ¹³⁹ D. Saltzberg, ¹³⁰ C. Schnaible, ¹³⁹ V. Valuev, ¹³⁹ E. Bouvier, ¹⁴⁰ K. Burt, ¹⁴⁰ R. Clare, ¹⁴⁰ J. W. Gary, ¹⁴⁰ S. M. A. Ghiasi Shirazi, ¹⁴⁰ G. Hanson, ¹⁴⁰ L. Karapostoli, ¹⁴⁰ S. Wimpenny, ¹⁴⁰ R. Clare, ¹⁴⁰ J. G. Branson, ¹⁴¹ S. Cittolin, ¹⁴¹ M. Derdzinski, ¹⁴¹ R. Gerosa, ¹⁴¹ D. Gilbert, ¹⁴¹ B. Hashemi, ¹⁴¹ A. Holzner, ¹⁴¹ D. Klein, ¹⁴¹ G. Kole, ¹⁴¹ V. Krutelyov, ¹⁴¹ J. Letts, ¹⁴¹ M. Maciovecchio, ¹⁴¹ D. Olivito, ¹⁴¹ S. Padhi, ¹⁴¹ M. Pieri, ¹⁴¹ M. Sani, ¹⁴¹ V. Sharma, ¹⁴¹ S. Simon, ¹⁴² H. Taadel, ¹⁴² Z. Mong, ¹⁴² J. Wattak, ¹⁴³ S. Waserbaech, ¹⁴⁴ O. J. Wood, ¹⁴¹ F. Würthwein, ¹⁴¹ A. Yagil, ¹⁴¹ G. Zevi Della Porta, ¹⁴¹ N. Amin, ¹⁴² R. Bhandari, ¹⁴² J. Bradmiller-Feld, ¹⁴² C. Campagnari, ¹⁴² M. Citron, ¹⁴² A. Dishaw, ¹⁴² T. Qunguyen, ¹⁴³ M. Spiropulu, ¹⁴³ J. R. Vlimant, ¹⁴³ R. Wilkins

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018) M. Cremonesi,¹⁴⁷ J. Duarte,¹⁴⁷ V. D. Elvira,¹⁴⁷ J. Freeman,¹⁴⁷ Z. Gecse,¹⁴⁷ E. Gottschalk,¹⁴⁷ L. Gray,¹⁴⁷ D. Green,¹⁴⁷ S. Grünendahl,¹⁴⁷ O. Gutsche,¹⁴⁷ J. Hanlon,¹⁴⁷ R. M. Harris,¹⁴⁷ S. Hasegawa,¹⁴⁷ J. Hirschauer,¹⁴⁷ Z. Hu,¹⁴⁷ B. Jayatilaka,¹⁴⁷ S. Inidariani,¹⁴⁷ M. Johnson,¹⁴⁷ U. Joshi,¹⁴⁷ B. Klima,¹⁴⁷ M. J. Kortelainen,¹⁴⁷ B. Kreis,¹⁴⁷ S. Lammel,¹⁴⁷ D. Lincoln,¹⁴⁷ P. Merkel,¹⁴⁷ S. Merena,¹⁴⁷ S. Nahn,¹⁴⁷ V. O'Dell,¹⁴⁷ K. Pedro,¹⁴⁷ C. Pena,¹⁴⁷ O. Prokofyev,¹⁴⁷ G. Rakness,¹⁴⁷ L. Kistori,¹⁴⁷ A. Savoy-Navaro,^{147,049} B. Schneider,¹⁴⁷ E. Sexton-Kennedy,¹⁴⁷ A. Soha,¹⁴⁷ W. J. Spalding,¹⁴⁷ L. Spiegel,¹⁴⁷ S. Stoynev,¹⁴⁷ J. Strait,¹⁴⁷ N. Strobbe,¹⁴⁷ L. Taylor,¹⁴⁷ S. Tkaczyk,¹⁴⁷ N. V. Tran,¹⁵⁷ L. Uplegger,¹⁴⁷ E. W. Vaandering,¹⁴⁷ C. Vernieri,¹⁴⁷ M. Verzocch,¹⁴³ P. Vidal,¹⁴⁷ M. Wang,¹⁴⁷ H. A. Weber,¹⁴⁷ A. Mitheck,¹⁴⁸ D. Acosta,¹⁴⁸ P. Avery,¹⁴⁸ P. Bortignon,¹⁴⁸ D. Bourilkov,¹⁴⁸ A. Brinkerhoff,¹⁴⁸ L. Cadamuro,¹⁴⁸ A. Carnes,¹⁴⁸ M. Marcer,¹⁴⁸ D. Curry,¹⁴⁸ R. D. Field,¹⁴⁸ S. Volgeyzer,¹⁴⁸ D. Sperka,¹⁴⁸ J. Wang,¹⁴⁸ S. Wang,¹⁴⁹ Y. A. Weber,¹⁴⁷ A. Withteck,¹⁴⁷ D. Horsper,¹⁵⁰ A. Saka,¹⁵⁰ V. Sharma,¹⁵⁰ N. Hagopian,¹⁵⁰ M. Baarmand,¹⁵¹ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁵¹ S. Colafranceschi,¹⁵¹ M. Hohlmann,¹⁵¹ D. Noonan,¹⁵¹ M. Rahmani,¹⁵¹ R. Yohy,¹⁵⁹ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁵¹ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁵¹ S. Colafranceschi,¹⁵¹ M. Hohlmann,¹⁵¹ D. Noonan,¹⁵¹ M. Rahmani,¹⁵¹ R. Nohy,¹⁵⁹ M. M. Baarmand,¹⁵¹ V. Bhopatkar,¹⁵¹ S. Derry,¹⁵² R. Retts,¹⁵² K. Cavanaugh,¹⁵² X. C. Mill,¹⁵² K. Dittmer,¹⁵² O. Evdokinnov,⁵² C. E. Geber,¹⁵² D. A. Hangal,¹⁵² D. Berry,¹⁵² R. Retts,¹⁵² K. Cavanaugh,¹⁵² X. C. Mill,¹⁵³ K. Dittmer,¹⁵² O. Evdokinnov,¹⁵² V. Kurjets,¹⁵³ K. Jung,¹⁵³ J. Nachtman,¹⁵³ H. Ougl,¹⁵³ J. Samin,¹⁵⁴ C. Multis,¹⁵⁴ J. Conkils,¹⁵⁴ R. Gotts,¹⁵⁴ M. V. Gritsan,¹⁵⁵ M. Baringer,¹⁵⁵ N. Varelas,¹⁵⁴ D. Berny,¹ N. Ruckstuhl, ¹⁶⁰ R. Rusack, ¹⁶⁰ J. Turkewitz, ¹⁶⁰ M. A. Wadud, ¹⁶⁰ J. G. Acosta, ¹⁶¹ S. Oliveros, ¹⁶¹ E. Avdeeva, ¹⁶² K. Bloom, ¹⁶² D. R. Claes, ¹⁶² C. Fangmeier, ¹⁶² F. Golf, ¹⁶² R. Gonzalez Suarez, ¹⁶² R. Kamalieddin, ¹⁶² I. Kravchenko, ¹⁶² J. Monroy, ¹⁶² J. E. Siado, ¹⁶² G. R. Snow, ¹⁶² B. Stieger, ¹⁶² A. Godshalk, ¹⁶³ C. Harrington, ¹⁶³ I. Iashvili, ¹⁶³ A. Kharchilava, ¹⁶³ D. Nguyen, ¹⁶³ A. Parker, ¹⁶³ S. Rappoccio, ¹⁶³ B. Roozbahani, ¹⁶³ G. Alverson, ¹⁶⁴ E. Barberis, ¹⁶⁴ C. Freer, ¹⁶⁴ A. Hortiangtham, ¹⁶⁴ D. M. Morse, ¹⁶⁴ T. Orimoto, ¹⁶⁴ R. Teixeira De Lima, ¹⁶⁴ T. Wamorkar, ¹⁶⁴ B. Wang, ¹⁶⁴ A. Wisecarver, ¹⁶⁴ D. Wood, ¹⁶⁴ S. Bhattacharya, ¹⁶⁵ O. Charaf, ¹⁶⁵ K. A. Hahn, ¹⁶⁵ N. Mucia, ¹⁶⁵ N. Odell, ¹⁶⁵ M. H. Schmitt, ¹⁶⁵ K. Sung, ¹⁶⁵ M. Trovato, ¹⁶⁵ M. Velasco, ¹⁶⁵ R. Bucci, ¹⁶⁶ N. Dev, ¹⁶⁶ M. Hildreth, ¹⁶⁶ K. Hurtado Anampa, ¹⁶⁶ C. Jessop, ¹⁶⁶ D. J. Karmgard, ¹⁶⁶ N. Kellams, ¹⁶⁶ K. Lannon, ¹⁶⁶ R. Ruchti, ¹⁶⁶ P. Siddireddy, ¹⁶⁶ G. Smith, ¹⁶⁶ S. Taroni, ¹⁶⁶ M. Wayne, ¹⁶⁶ Y. Musienko, ¹⁶⁶ M. W16 ¹⁶⁶ A. Reinsvold, ¹⁶⁶ R. Ruchti, ¹⁶⁷ P. Budrage, ¹⁶⁷ L. S. Derking, ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ J. S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ J. S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ J. S. Parkera ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. Staroni, ¹⁶⁸ S. Staroni, ¹⁶⁹ S. Staroni, ¹⁶⁹ S. Staroni, ¹⁶⁹ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. Staroni ¹⁶⁷ S. Elementa ¹⁶⁷ S. S Y. Musienko,^{105,0} M. Planer,¹⁰⁶ A. Reinsvold,¹⁰⁶ R. Ruchti,¹⁰⁶ P. Siddireddy,¹⁰⁶ G. Smith,¹⁰⁵ S. Taroni,¹⁰⁶ M. Wayne,¹⁰⁶ A. Wightman,¹⁶⁶ M. Wolf,¹⁶⁶ A. Woodard,¹⁶⁶ J. Alimena,¹⁶⁷ L. Antonelli,¹⁶⁷ B. Bylsma,¹⁶⁷ L. S. Durkin,¹⁶⁷ S. Flowers,¹⁶⁷ B. Francis,¹⁶⁷ A. Hart,¹⁶⁷ C. Hill,¹⁶⁷ W. Ji,¹⁶⁷ T. Y. Ling,¹⁶⁷ W. Luo,¹⁶⁷ B. L. Winer,¹⁶⁷ H. W. Wulsin,¹⁶⁷ S. Cooperstein,¹⁶⁸ P. Elmer,¹⁶⁸ J. Hardenbrook,¹⁶⁸ P. Hebda,¹⁶⁸ S. Higginbotham,¹⁶⁸ A. Kalogeropoulos,¹⁶⁸ D. Lange,¹⁶⁸ M. T. Lucchini,¹⁶⁸ J. Luo,¹⁶⁸ D. Marlow,¹⁶⁸ K. Mei,¹⁶⁸ I. Ojalvo,¹⁶⁸ J. Olsen,¹⁶⁸ C. Palmer,¹⁶⁸ P. Piroué,¹⁶⁸ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,¹⁶⁸ D. Stickland,¹⁶⁸ C. Tully,¹⁶⁸ S. Malik,¹⁶⁹ S. Norberg,¹⁶⁹ A. Barker,¹⁷⁰ V. E. Barnes,¹⁷⁰ S. Das,¹⁷⁰ L. Gutay,¹⁷⁰ M. Jones,¹⁷⁰ A. W. Jung,¹⁷⁰ A. Khatiwada,¹⁷⁰ B. Mahakud,¹⁷⁰ D. H. Miller,¹⁷⁰ N. Neumeister,¹⁷⁰ C. C. Peng,¹⁷⁰ H. Qiu,¹⁷⁰ J. F. Schulte,¹⁷⁰ J. Sun,¹⁷⁰ F. Wang,¹⁷⁰ R. Xiao,¹⁷⁰ W. Xie,¹⁷⁰ T. Cheng,¹⁷¹ J. Dolen,¹⁷¹ N. Parashar,¹⁷¹ Z. Chen,¹⁷² K. M. Ecklund,¹⁷² S. Freed,¹⁷² F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁷² M. Kilpatrick,¹⁷² W. Li,¹⁷² B. Michlin,¹⁷² B. P. Padley,¹⁷² J. Roberts,¹⁷² J. Rorie,¹⁷² W. Shi,¹⁷² Z. Tu,¹⁷²

J. Zabel,¹⁷² A. Zhang,¹⁷² A. Bodek,¹⁷³ P. de Barbaro,¹⁷³ R. Demina,¹⁷³ Y. t. Duh,¹⁷³ J. L. Dulemba,¹⁷³ C. Fallon,¹⁷³ T. Ferbel,¹⁷³ M. Galanti,¹⁷³ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷³ J. Han,¹⁷³ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷³ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷³ K. H. Lo,¹⁷³ P. Tan,¹⁷³ T. Ferbel,¹⁷³ M. Galanti,¹⁷³ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁷³ J. Han,¹⁷³ O. Hindrichs,¹⁷³ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹⁷³ K. H. Lo,¹⁷³ P. Tan,¹⁷³ R. Taus,¹⁷³ M. Verzetti,¹⁷³ A. Agapitos,¹⁷⁴ J. P. Chou,¹⁷⁴ Y. Gershtein,¹⁷⁴ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹⁷⁴ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷⁴ M. Heindl,¹⁷⁴ E. Hughes,¹⁷⁴ S. Kaplan,¹⁷⁴ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,¹⁷⁴ T. A. Gómez Espinosa,¹⁷⁴ E. Halkiadakis,¹⁷⁴ M. Heindl,¹⁷⁴ E. Hughes,¹⁷⁴ S. Kaplan,¹⁷⁴ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli,¹⁷⁴ S. Kyriacou,¹⁷⁴ A. Lath,¹⁷⁴ R. Montalvo,¹⁷⁴ K. Nash,¹⁷⁴ M. Osherson,¹⁷⁴ H. Saka,¹⁷⁴ S. Salur,¹⁷⁴ S. Schnetzer,¹⁷⁴ D. Sheffield,¹⁷⁴ S. Somalwar,¹⁷⁴ R. Stone,¹⁷⁴ S. Thomas,¹⁷⁴ P. Thomassen,¹⁷⁴ M. Walker,¹⁷⁴ A. G. Delannoy,¹⁷⁵ J. Heideman,¹⁷⁵ G. Riley,¹⁷⁵ K. Rose,¹⁷⁵ S. Spanier,¹⁷⁵ K. Thapa,¹⁷⁵ O. Bouhali,^{176,uu} A. Celik,¹⁷⁶ M. Dalchenko,¹⁷⁶ M. De Mattia,¹⁷⁶ A. Delgado,¹⁷⁶ S. Dildick,¹⁷⁶ R. Eusebi,¹⁷⁶ J. Gilmore,¹⁷⁶ T. Huang,¹⁷⁶ T. Kamon,^{176,wv} S. Luo,¹⁷⁶ R. Mueller,¹⁷⁶ Y. Pakhotin,¹⁷⁶ R. Patel,¹⁷⁶ A. Perloff,¹⁷⁶ L. Perniè,¹⁷⁶ D. Rathjens,¹⁷⁶ A. Safonov,¹⁷⁶ A. Tatarinov,¹⁷⁶ N. Akchurin,¹⁷⁷ J. Damgov,¹⁷⁷ F. De Guio,¹⁷⁷ F. R. Dudero,¹⁷⁷ S. Kunori,¹⁷⁷ K. Lamichhane,¹⁷⁷ S. W. Lee,¹⁷⁷ T. Mengke,¹⁷⁸ S. Muthumuni,¹⁷⁷ T. Peltola,¹⁷⁸ S. Undleeb,¹⁷⁷ I. Volobouev,¹⁷⁷ Z. Wang,¹⁷⁷ S. Greene,¹⁷⁸ A. Gurrola,¹⁷⁸ R. Janjam,¹⁷⁸ W. Johns,¹⁷⁸ C. Maguire,¹⁷⁸ A. Melo,¹⁷⁸ M. W. Arenton,¹⁷⁹ P. Barria,¹⁷⁹ B. Cox,¹⁷⁹ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁹ M. Joyce,¹⁷⁹ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁹ H. Li,¹⁷⁹ C. Neu,¹⁷⁹ T. Sinthuprasith,¹⁷⁹ Y. Wang,¹⁷⁹ E. Wolfe,¹⁷⁹ F. Xia,¹⁷⁹ R. Hirosky,¹⁷⁹ M. Joyce,¹⁸¹ A. Ledovskoy,¹⁷⁹ H. Li,¹⁷⁹ C. Neu,¹⁸¹ M. Grothe,¹⁸¹ M. Herndon,¹⁸¹ A. Hervé,¹⁸¹ U. Hussain,¹⁸¹ P. Klabbers,¹⁸¹ A. Lanaro,¹⁸¹ A. Levine,¹⁸¹ K. Long,¹⁸¹ R. Loveless,¹⁸¹ T. Ruggles,¹⁸¹ A. Savin,¹⁸¹ W. H. Smith,¹⁸¹ and N. Woods¹⁸¹

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

³Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium ⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁹Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹⁰Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

^{11a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

^{11b}Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

¹²Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹³University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹⁴Beihang University, Beijing, China

¹⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

¹⁶State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

¹⁷Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

¹⁸Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

¹⁹University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

²⁰University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

²¹Institute Rudier Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

²²University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

²³Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

²⁴Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

²⁵Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador ²⁶Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt,

Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

²⁷National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

²⁸Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

²⁹Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

³⁰Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018)

³¹IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³²Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France ³³Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

³⁴Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

³⁵Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

³⁶Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

³⁷Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

³⁸RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

³⁹RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

⁴⁰RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

⁴¹Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

⁴²University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

⁴³Karlsruher Institut fuer Technology

⁴⁴Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

⁴⁵National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴⁶National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴⁷University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

⁴⁸MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

⁴⁹Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

⁵⁰Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

⁵¹Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

⁵²Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

⁵³National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

⁴Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

⁵⁵University of Delhi, Delhi, India

⁵⁶Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata,India

⁵⁷Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

⁵⁸Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

⁵⁹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

⁶⁰Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

⁶¹Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

⁵²Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran ⁶³University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

^{64a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^{64b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

⁶⁴cPolitecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

^{65a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{65b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^{66a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^{66b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

^{67a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^{67b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

⁶⁸INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

^{69a}INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^{69b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^{70b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^{71a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^{71b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

⁷¹°Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^{71d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy ^{72a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^{72b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^{72c}Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

^{73a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^{73b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^{74a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{74b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^{75a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

^{75b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018)

⁷⁵cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{6a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{76b}Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{77a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{77b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy ⁷⁷^cUniversità del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{8a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{78b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁷⁹Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁸⁰Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea ⁸²Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁸³Sejong University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁴Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁵University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁸⁶Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁸⁷Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁸⁸National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁸⁹Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico ⁹⁰Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹¹Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁹²Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁹³Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico ⁹⁴University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁹⁵University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁹⁶National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ⁷National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ⁹⁸Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ⁹Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ¹⁰⁰Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ¹⁰¹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ¹⁰²Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰³Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁴Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁵National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁶P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁷Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ¹⁰⁸Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia ¹⁰⁹State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics of NRC "Kurchatov Institute", Protvino, Russia ¹¹⁰National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia ¹¹¹University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹¹²Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ¹¹³Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹¹⁴Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain ¹¹⁵Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹¹⁶CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹⁷Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹¹⁸ETH Zurich—Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁹Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹²⁰National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹²¹National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹²²Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ²³ Cukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey ¹²⁴Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹²⁵Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²⁶Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²⁷Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹²⁸National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹²⁹University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹³⁰Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 121, 141802 (2018)

¹³¹Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹³²Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹³³Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹³⁴Catholic University of America, Washington DC, USA ¹³⁵The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹³⁶Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹³⁷Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹³⁸University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹³⁹University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹⁴⁰University of California, Riverside, Riverside, California, USA ¹⁴¹University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA ¹⁴²University of California, Santa Barbara—Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, California, USA ¹³California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹⁴⁴Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹⁴⁵University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹⁴⁶Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹⁴⁷Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA ¹⁴⁸University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹⁴⁹Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA ¹⁵⁰Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA ¹⁵¹Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹⁵²University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁵³The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁵⁴Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁵⁵The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁵⁶Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁵⁷Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁵⁸University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁵⁹Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁶⁰University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁶¹University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA ¹⁶²University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA ¹⁶³State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA ¹⁶⁴Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁶⁵Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA ¹⁶⁶University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA ¹⁶⁷The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA ¹⁶⁸Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA ¹⁶⁹University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA ¹⁷⁰Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA ¹⁷¹Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana, USA ¹⁷²Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA ¹⁷³University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA ¹⁷⁴Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ¹⁷⁵University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁷⁶Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA ¹⁷⁸Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁷⁹University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA ¹⁸⁰Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA ¹⁸¹University of Wisconsin—Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

^cAlso at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

^dAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

^eAlso at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

^fAlso at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

^gAlso at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences.

^hAlso at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.

- ⁱAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ^jAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^kAlso at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.
- ¹Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Department of Physics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- ⁿAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^oAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^pAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^qAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^rAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^sAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^tAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^uAlso at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^vAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^wAlso at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^xAlso at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^yAlso at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ^zAlso at Shoolini University, Solan, India.
- ^{aa}Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^{bb}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{cc}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{dd}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ee Also at Kyunghee University, Seoul, Korea.
- ^{ff}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- ^{gg}Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.
- ^{hh}Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ^{jj}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{kk}Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ¹¹Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ^{mm}Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ⁿⁿAlso at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁰⁰Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ^{pp}Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ^{qq}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{rr}Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia, Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.
- ^{ss}Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{tt}Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{uu}Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{vv}Also at Riga Technical University.
- wwAlso at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ^{xx}Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics.
- ^{yy}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{zz}Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{aaa}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- bbb Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ccc}Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{ddd}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- eee Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- fff Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ggg}Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{hhh}Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- kkk Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ^{III}Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ^{mmm}Also at Bethel University.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- ^{ppp}Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

- ^{qqq} Also at Beykent University.
 ^{rrr} Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
 ^{sss} Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
 ^{ttt} Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
 ^{uuu} Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
 ^{vvv} Also at Kyungpook National University.