

Preoperative Biomarker Panel, Including Fibrinogen and FVIII, Improves Diagnostic Accuracy for Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

Clinical and Applied
Thrombosis/Hemostasis
2018, Vol. 24(8) 1267-1275
© The Author(s) 2018
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/1076029618779133
journals.sagepub.com/home/cat



Nora Mattila, MD^{1,2} , Hanna Seppänen, MD, PhD²,
Harri Mustonen, PhD², Beata Przybyla, PhD¹,
Caj Haglund, MD, PhD^{2,4}, and Riitta Lassila, MD, PhD^{1,3}

Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a deadly cancer often diagnosed late. Earlier detection is urgently needed. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is known to associate with increased coagulation activity. We studied whether preoperative coagulation biomarkers are useful in distinguishing PDAC from a benign tumor, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) in this observational study. We analyzed standard clinical and coagulation variables in patients operated during 2010 and 2015 at Helsinki University Hospital. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma with preoperative coagulation variables available and no neoadjuvant treatment or other active cancer was observed in 80 patients (stage I-III in 67 and IV in 13) and IPMN in 18 patients. Fibrinogen, factor VIII (FVIII), carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, and conjugated bilirubin were higher in both stages I to III and IV PDAC compared to IPMN ($P < .05$). Factor VIII was highest in stage IV ($P < .05$). Combining these variables in a panel increased sensitivity and specificity for PDAC. In receiver operating characteristic analysis, the area under the curve (95% confidence interval) was 0.95 (0.90-1.00) for the panel, compared to 0.80 (0.71-0.88) for CA 19-9 alone ($P < .01$). In conclusion, PDAC was associated with increased fibrinogen and FVIII. Combining these coagulation biomarkers with CA 19-9, albumin, and alkaline phosphatase improves diagnostic accuracy.

Keywords

pancreatic cancer, coagulation, CA 19-9, fibrinogen, FVIII, biomarker

Background

In recent years, intensive research has targeted cancer-associated coagulation. Cancer promotes coagulation activity and vice versa; increased coagulation promotes cancer growth.¹ Cancer relates to increased risk of venous thromboembolic events (VTEs)² and other coagulopathies.³ Venous thromboembolic events increase mortality by 3-fold.⁴ Chemotherapy also increases the risk of thrombosis. Khorana et al. introduced a risk scoring model to identify chemotherapy-associated VTE, which includes cancer site, hemoglobin level, platelet count, leukocyte count, and body mass index (BMI).⁵ This score predicts early mortality after pancreatic surgery.⁶ Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), representing 85% of all pancreatic cancers,⁷ is one of the leading causes of cancer-associated mortality worldwide.⁸ Malignancies of the pancreas, especially adenocarcinomas, present a high risk of thrombosis.⁹ Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is reported to

be associated with increased circulating microparticles, factor VIII (FVIII), and D-dimer.^{10,11} Non-overt coagulation activity acts beyond clinical detection, and its role in PDAC has not been widely studied.

¹ Department of Hematology, Coagulation Disorders Unit, Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

² Department of Surgery, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

³ Clinical Chemistry, HUSLAB Laboratory Services, Helsinki, Finland

⁴ Research Programs Unit, Translational Cancer Biology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Corresponding Author:

Riitta Lassila, Department of Hematology, Coagulation Disorders Unit, Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, PO Box 372, 00029 HUS, Helsinki, Finland.
Email: riitta.lassila@hus.fi



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction

and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

Curative treatment for PDAC requires radical surgery.^{12,13} Because both surgery and malignancy are associated with enhanced risk of thrombosis, patients with PDAC require special attention. Owing to nonspecific symptoms, PDAC is often diagnosed at a late stage. Tools for early diagnosis are scarce; computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is needed, and final diagnosis is confirmed by histopathological tissue analysis.

Serum carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, a sialylated Lewis a antigen, is the most commonly used biomarker for PDAC.¹⁴ CA 19-9 is normally expressed by the pancreas biliary ducts, gastrointestinal tract, endometrium and salivary glands, but neoplastic cells increase this expression. Therein, the sensitivity and specificity for PDAC are only 70% to 90% and 68% to 91%,¹⁵ respectively, but CA 19-9 has been shown to increase as the disease progresses.^{16,17} CA 19-9 may thus be more optimal for follow-up than diagnostics.

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) are benign tumors originating from the pancreatic ducts that carry a risk of malignant transformation.¹⁸ Suspicion of IPMN can be raised at CT scan or MRI, but the final diagnosis can only be confirmed by histopathology. Because of similar preoperative psychophysiological stress known to affect coagulation activity and cause resistance to fibrinolysis,¹⁹ IPMN patients are appropriate controls for PDAC. The influence of IPMN on coagulation has not been reported. Because pancreatic tumor surgery is extensive, surgery for benign tumors should be avoided, unless there is high-grade dysplasia at biopsy or suspicion of malignant transformation. Therefore, clinical tools for distinguishing PDAC from benign tumors are needed.

At the Helsinki University Hospital, a coagulation panel is used to assess various coagulation disorders. The panel includes the following: clotting times—prothrombin time (PT) measuring vitamin K-dependent factors and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) for the intrinsic pathway; fibrinogen as the most abundant coagulation protein and the source of fibrin formation; thrombin time (TT) measuring thrombin functions on fibrinogen and circulating phospholipids and microvesicles^{3,20}; FVIII as a marker of liver sinusoidal endothelium and risk of thrombosis²¹; antithrombin (AT) as the key regulator of coagulation; and finally, D-dimer, the sign of the balance between coagulation and fibrinolysis, evaluating fibrin turnover.

Current treatments for PDAC, including surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy, increase tissue destruction, coagulation activity, and the risk of non-overt and overt thrombosis. The overall effects of cancer on coagulation, while excluding the effects of treatments, are worth studying in patient samples. To detect whether preoperative biomarkers could distinguish PDAC from IPMN, we evaluated the effect of PDAC and IPMN on the preoperative levels of the coagulation panel. In addition, we combined CA 19-9 with the coagulation biomarkers to assess the diagnostic accuracy.

Table 1. Patient Characteristics.

	Stage I-III PDAC (n = 67)	Stage IV PDAC (n = 13)	IPMN (n = 18)
Male sex (n)	31 (46%)	9 (69%)	11 (61%)
Age, years, median (range)	68 (52-81)	69 (52-78)	66 (31-80)
Antithrombotic medication ^a			
Enoxaparin sodium (n)	5	2	4
Acetylsalicylic acid (n)	6	2	6

Abbreviations: IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

^aUsed as thromboprophylaxis for conditions of atrial fibrillation, atherothrombosis, and previous venous thromboembolism.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Of 580 patients admitted to the Helsinki University Hospital for elective pancreatic surgery during 2010 to 2015, data on preoperative coagulation variables were available from 318 patients. Patients with PDAC and benign IPMN were included in this observational study. Patients who received preoperative neoadjuvant treatment (n = 43), those with another cancer within the previous 5 years (n = 21), those with tumors of different origin (e.g., duodenal or biliary tumors, n = 47), or those with another type of pancreatic tumor (e.g., papillary cancer) or chronic pancreatitis (n = 109) were excluded due to their possible confounding effects on coagulation activity. The diagnosis of PDAC was confirmed by histopathology of the surgical samples. Patients were divided into 3 groups: stage I to III PDAC, stage IV PDAC, and IPMN. Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. In stage IV patients, distant metastasis was unknown preoperatively, but was verified from frozen sections early during the operation.

The study was approved by the Surgical Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Hospital (Dnro HUS 226/E6/06, extension TMK02 §66 17.4.2013) and the National Supervisory Authority of Welfare and Health (Valvira Dnro 1041/06.01.03.01/2012) and was conducted according to the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for their anonymized information to be published in this article.

Laboratory Analyses

Blood cell counts, ABO blood groups, and plasma concentrations of CA 19-9, C-reactive protein, creatinine, albumin, bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), alanine amino transferase, and amylase were measured as part of routine preoperative laboratory analysis for all patients. In addition to the routine laboratory tests, we assessed the coagulation panel, including PT, APTT, fibrinogen, TT, FVIII, AT, and D-dimer. Blood samples were collected 1 to 10 days before surgery with 1 exception, at one month earlier. We compared the laboratory profiles of the patients having PDAC with those of IPMN, as

Table 2. Panel Score.

Biomarker	Values	Panel Score	Patients (%)		
			Stage I-III PDAC	Stage IV PDAC	IPMN
CA 19-9 (kU/L)	<41	1	32	33	88
	41-200	2	26	17	12
	>200	3	42	50	0
FVIII (IU/dL)	<183	1	24	0	83
	183-267	2	55	32	17
	>267	3	21	68	0
Fibrinogen (g/L)	<3.6	1	13	23	72
	3.6-6.3	2	81	77	28
	>6.3	3	6	0	0
Albumin (g/L)	>40.1	1	24	15	94
	40.1-34.7	2	58	46	6
	<34.7	3	18	38	0
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	<88.5	1	37	38	83
	88.5-106.5	2	15	8	17
	>106.5	3	48	54	0
Conjugated bilirubin (µmol/L)	<4.5	1	42	31	83
	4.5-12.5	2	42	23	17
	>12.5	3	16	46	0

Abbreviations: IPMN, intraductal pancreatic mucinous neoplasm; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.

well as PDAC at stage I to III with stage IV. All samples were analyzed by routine methods at the Helsinki University Hospital Laboratory, and data were gathered from laboratory records.

Coagulation Assays

Citrated 3.2% plasma was used for coagulation samples. Prothrombin time was analyzed with Nycotest PT (Axis-Shield PoC As, Oslo, Norway) and APTT with Actin FSL (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany, and all following reagents provided by Siemens are from the same source). Fibrinogen levels were determined using Multifibren U (Siemens) and BC Thrombin Reagent (Siemens) was used to determine TT. Factor VIII: C (FVIII) was analyzed with a 1-stage clotting assay (Pathromtin SL and Coagulation Factor VIII Deficient Plasma; Siemens) and AT was measured with a chromogenic assay (Berichrom Antithrombin III; Siemens). D-dimer levels were measured with an immunoturbidimetric assay (Tinaquant D-dimer; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

Biomarker Panel

As the sensitivity and specificity of CA 19-9 for PDAC are not uniform and known to vary and even miss metastatic disease, we studied whether its diagnostic value could be improved by combining it with other biomarkers. In a panel score combined the biomarkers that overall distinguished both stages I to III and IV PDAC from IPMN. The cutoff levels were chosen based on the sensitivity and specificity of each biomarker for PDAC (see Table 2). Each biomarker was stratified into 1 to 3 points. The

cutoff value between points 1 and 2 was determined using the Youden J point, and the cutoff between points 2 and 3 was the value at which the specificity was 100% for PDAC.

Statistical Analyses

We performed statistical analysis using SPSS Statistics (v21-24; IBM Corp, Armonk, New York) and Medcalc (v18; Ostend, Belgium). We compared the variables between the groups using the independent-samples Kruskal-Wallis test and the post hoc Dunn test. The χ^2 test was used to compare blood ABO-type distributions. The Spearman correlation coefficient (ρ) was used to identify correlations. Sensitivities and specificities were compared with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves. Confidence intervals (CIs) for the sensitivity and specificity were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact binomial test and for the area under the curves (AUCs) using binomial exact CIs. The statistical significance between the AUCs was determined using the DeLong method. Values presented as lower than a value (e.g., CRP <3 mg/L) were rounded to one decimal below the next highest value.

Results

Routine PDAC-Associated Laboratory Variables

Before pancreatic surgery, CA 19-9 levels, blood cell counts, liver enzymes, and CRP were analyzed from each patient to determine surgical suitability, and we assessed these variables related to the nature of the tumor and the patient groups of stage I to III PDAC, stage IV PDAC, and IPMN. CA 19-9 was missing from 1 patient in each group. CA 19-9, ALP, and conjugated bilirubin were higher and albumin was lower ($P < .05$; Table 3) at all stages of PDAC compared with IPMN. Total bilirubin values were higher than in IPMN only at stage IV PDAC ($P < .05$; Table 3). Importantly, CA 19-9 was below the cutoff level in 16 (21%) patients with PDAC, of whom 3 had stage IV disease (Figure 1A). Then again, CA 19-9 was above the cutoff level in 30% of the IPMN patients. Patients with stage IV disease had higher leukocyte counts than IPMN patients ($P < .05$; Table 3), but hemoglobin, platelet counts, CRP, creatinine, alanine transaminase, and amylase did not differ between the groups. In the Khorana score, patients with PDAC receive 2 points based on tumor site, and we omitted the points for the cancer diagnosis and screened only the hematological laboratory values and BMI. This modified Khorana score did not distinguish PDAC from IPMN nor were there differences between stages (data not shown).

Coagulation Biomarkers

In addition to the routine laboratory variables, the patients were analyzed using the coagulation panel to screen whether any coagulation abnormality was associated with PDAC. Fibrinogen and FVIII were significantly higher in both stages I to III and IV PDAC compared to IPMN ($P < .05$; Table 3). Factor VIII was also higher in stage IV than stage I to III PDAC

Table 3. Laboratory Biomarkers Among the Patient Groups.^{a,b,c}

	Normal Range	Stage I-III PDAC (n = 67)	Stage IV PDAC (n = 13)	IPMN (n = 18)	P Values
		Median (IQR)			
Routine biomarkers					
CA 19-9 (kU/L)	<26	130 (31-709)	168 (25-711)	13 (5-35)	P (IPMN vs stage IV) < .001; P (IPMN versus stage I-III) = .017
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	35-105	105 (74-141)	108 (69-367)	61 (53-83)	P (IPMN versus stage I-III) = .001; P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .004
Bilirubin (μmol/L)	<20	13 (10-18)	21 (9-29)	10 (9-14)	P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .045
Conjugated bilirubin (μmol/L)	0-5	5 (3-9)	11 (4-18)	3 (2-4)	P (IPMN versus stage I-III) = .017; P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .003
Albumin (g/L)	36-45 (40-69 years); 34-65 (>69 years)	37.7 (35.5-40.0)	36.9 (32.3-39.0)	40.9 (40.4-41.9)	P (IPMN versus stage I-III) < .001; P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .001
Leukocyte count (E9/L)	3.4-8.2	7.0 (5.6-8.7)	7.3 (6.3-9.5)	5.9 (5.9-7.3)	P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .046
Coagulation biomarkers					
PT (%)	70-130	93 (78-113)	89 (79-102)	83 (74-104)	NS
APTT (s)	23-33	24 (23-26)	24 (24-26)	25 (25-28)	NS
Thrombin time (s)	17-25	18 (17-19)	18 (18-19)	18 (17-20)	NS
Fibrinogen (g/L)	1.7-4.0	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	5.0 (3.8-5.4)	3.3 (3.1-4.0)	P (IPMN versus stage I-III) = .001; P (IPMN versus stage IV) = .011
FVIII (IU/dL)	52-148	228 (184-256)	254 (231-350)	144 (133-180)	P (IPMN versus stage I-III) = .001; P (IPMN versus stage IV) ≤ .001; P (stage I-III vs IV) = .049
Antithrombin (%)	84-108	102 (94-119)	104 (97-120)	106 (98-116)	NS
D-dimer (mg/L)	<0.5	0.3 (<0.2-0.5)	0.3 (<0.2-0.7)	<0.2 (<0.2-0.3)	NS

Abbreviations: CA = carbohydrate antigen, NS = not significant; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; FVIII, factor VIII; IPMN, intraductal pancreatic mucinous neoplasm; PT, prothrombin time.

^aP Values were obtained by Kruskal-Wallis tests with post hoc Dunn test.

^bProthrombin time (%) refers to PT in seconds as related to normal healthy laboratory controls. Seventeen IPMN patients had antithrombin levels available.

^cP > .05

($P < .05$; Table 3, Figure 1B). Coagulation times PT, APTT, and TT, as well as AT and D-dimer, were similar in PDAC and IPMN, and generally within normal ranges, but close to the reference limits (Table 3). The distribution of ABO blood groups between PDAC and IPMN patients accorded to that of the Finnish population (data by the Finnish Red Cross Blood Service, not shown), and it did not correlate with FVIII levels (data not shown).

Correlations Between the Biomarkers

Biomarkers showing a significant difference between PDAC irrespective of stage and IPMN were subjected to bivariate analysis. Spearman correlation coefficients (ρ) and P values are presented in Table 4. ALP correlated with both fibrinogen and conjugated bilirubin in both stages I to III and IV PDAC. In stage I to III PDAC, ALP also showed a correlation with FVIII, as did conjugated bilirubin. Albumin depicted negative correlations with ALP and conjugated bilirubin in both stages I to III and IV PDAC, and also with FVIII in stage I to III and fibrinogen in stage IV PDAC. CA 19-9 correlated with conjugated bilirubin in stage I to III PDAC and FVIII in stage IV PDAC. In stage I to III, FVIII and fibrinogen showed a correlation. In IPMN, there was only a correlation between CA 19-9 and ALP and a negative correlation between FVIII and albumin.

We also compared these 6 biomarkers with the remaining coagulation panel markers PT, APTT, TT, AT, and D-dimer. In stage I to III PDAC, there was a correlation between ALP and AT ($\rho = 0.31$, $P = .011$), as well as negative correlations between FVIII and APTT ($\rho = -0.31$, $P = .012$), and fibrinogen and TT ($\rho = -0.24$, $P = .048$); the latter also clearly occurred in IPMN ($\rho = -0.73$, $P = .001$). In stage IV, fibrinogen correlated also with AT ($\rho = 0.62$, $P = .023$).

Biomarker Panel

As CA 19-9 is used as a regular PDAC marker and we detected increased fibrinogen and FVIII in association with PDAC, we next aimed at forming panels to improve the sensitivity and specificity of these biomarkers. In addition to CA 19-9 FVIII, fibrinogen, albumin, ALP, and conjugated bilirubin distinguished PDAC from IPMN in both stages I to III and IV disease. We combined these biomarkers to form a panel score (Table 2). Using these scores, we formed 3 different panels, which all clearly distinguished PDAC from IPMN ($P < .001$; Figure 2). First, we combined all the biomarkers, and secondly formed targeted panels.

As ALP and conjugated bilirubin may both partially reflect the influence of bile obstruction and its patency after stent insertion, we evaluated the panel scores also without these




Figure 1. Distribution of the biomarkers (A) carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 and (B) factor VIII (FVIII) in different patient groups. The boxes show the median and the interquartile range, the whiskers represent the extremes, and outliers are presented as circles. The dotted line represents normal reference values. *P* values were obtained by Kruskal-Wallis test, after implementing post hoc Dunn test, **P* < .05, ***P* < .01, ****P* < .001. Abbreviations: PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; IPMN intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm

markers of bile. The slope of the ROC curve was the steepest when conjugated bilirubin was excluded. Including CA 19-9, ALP, and albumin together with coagulation markers fibrinogen and FVIII into our final panel, the AUC improved to 0.95 (95% CI: 0.89-0.99) in comparison with 0.80 (0.71-0.88) for CA 19-9 alone (*P* = .002; Figure 3).

Discussion

The aim of our observational study was to assess whether PDAC, a highly thrombogenic cancer, is preoperatively distinguishable from benign IPMN by analyzing coagulation variables and whether the diagnostic value of CA 19-9

improves by combining it with coagulation variables. We found that, together with CA 19-9, the biomarkers of coagulation (fibrinogen and FVIII), ALP, and albumin best distinguished PDAC from benign IPMN, irrespective of stage. Combining them to form a panel score clearly improved the diagnostic accuracy. We also found that high circulating FVIII levels were linked to distant metastasis, while FVIII and fibrinogen overall were elevated in PDAC. These findings add to the evidence that coagulation activity integrates with pancreatic cancer and its progression.¹⁰ They even raise the question of whether CA 19-9 is directly linked to coagulation activity in pancreatic cancer.

CA 19-9 is the most commonly used tumor marker in PDAC diagnostics and monitoring of cancer progression and treatment.¹⁴ In our study, the sensitivity of CA 19-9 for PDAC was 80% and the specificity 71%, which lie within the previously reported margins of sensitivity 70% to 90% and specificity 68% to 91%.¹⁵ However, almost one-third of the patients with PDAC had normal CA 19-9 values. As CA 19-9 is a sialylated Lewis a blood group antigen, patients lacking the Lewis gene (approximately 6% of the Caucasians²²) do not express CA 19-9,²³ decreasing its sensitivity. CA 19-9 has been reported to correlate with tumor stage^{16,17}; however, there were no differences in CA 19-9 levels between stage I to III and IV PDAC prior to surgery.

Cancer cells use sialylated structures, that is, sialyl Lewis a, to bind to the endothelium, one of the key mechanisms of metastasis.²⁴ Endothelium cancer cell adhesion may activate coagulation. von Willebrand factor (VWF) is released from the endothelium, and it carries FVIII in the circulation. When not bound to VWF, FVIII disseminates quickly. The high levels of FVIII in patients with PDAC reflect endothelial activation and/or enhanced angiogenesis and perhaps also reduced clearance by the liver.²⁵ Since CA 19-9 is a sialylated Lewis antigen, it may itself modify cellular adhesion and coagulation activity.

We found that FVIII activity was higher in PDAC than in IPMN. Factor VIII levels increase due to multiple stimuli, such as stress and acute phase response.^{21,26} However, the difference in FVIII levels seems to be linked to malignancy rather than inflammation or stress since emotional stress preoperatively is the same in both PDAC and IPMN. Many reports have referred to elevated FVIII in VTE and PDAC,^{27,28} but as our patients did not have symptomatic preoperative VTEs, a broader association between FVIII and PDAC can be suggested.

Fibrinogen is a crucial substrate of the coagulation cascade. High circulating fibrinogen levels have been shown to associate with malignancy and metastasis.²⁹⁻³¹ In our study, fibrinogen was higher in PDAC than IPMN, supporting the link between malignancy and fibrinogen. However, fibrinogen was not higher in patients with distant metastasis in comparison with locoregional disease, and thus, our preoperative study did not confirm previous reports on its link with metastasis. Higher fibrinogen levels have been shown to distinguish high risk (high-grade and invasive IPMN) from low risk (low-grade and borderline) IPMN.³² In our study, we did not have high-risk IPMN patients,

Table 4. Spearman Correlation Between the Biomarkers Showing Significant Differences Between PDAC and IPMN.

			CA 19-9	FVIII	Fibrinogen	Albumin	ALP	Conjugated Bilirubin
Stage I-III	CA 19-9	ρ (SE) P	1.000					
	FVIII	ρ (SE) P	0.205 (0.115) .098	1.000				
	Fibrinogen	ρ (SE) P	0.096 (0.130) .442	0.314 ^a (0.115) .010	1.000			
	Albumin	ρ (SE) P	-0.215 (0.126) .085	-0.511 ^a (0.090) .000	-0.160 (0.126) .198	1.000		
	ALP	ρ (SE) P	0.010 (0.129) .939	0.354 ^a (0.107) .003	0.372 ^a (0.117) .002	-0.280 ^b (0.118) .023	1.000	
	Conjugated bilirubin	ρ (SE) P	0.328 ^a (0.108) .007	0.523 ^a (0.094) .000	0.069 (0.128) .582	-0.263 ^b (0.123) .033	0.365 ^a (0.115) .002	1.000
Stage IV	CA 19-9	ρ (SE) P	1.000					
	FVIII	ρ (SE) P	0.601 ^b (0.211) .039	1.000				
	Fibrinogen	ρ (SE) P	0.131 (0.354) .685	0.393 (0.279) .185	1.000			
	Albumin	ρ (SE) P	0.007 (0.266) .983	-0.413 (0.250) .161	-0.634 ^b (0.172) .020	1.000		
	ALP	ρ (SE) P	-0.077 (0.339) .812	0.374 (0.275) .208	0.695 ^a (0.205) .008	-0.703 ^a (0.136) .007	1.000	
	Conjugated bilirubin	ρ (SE) P	0.063 (0.253) .845	0.351 (0.237) .240	0.541 (0.216) .056	-0.863 ^a (0.085) .000	0.739 ^a (0.098) .004	1.000
IPMN	CA 19-9	ρ (SE) P	1.000					
	FVIII	ρ (SE) P	0.225 (0.226) .385	1.000				
	Fibrinogen	ρ (SE) P	0.188 (0.245) .469	0.124 (0.272) .623	1.000			
	Albumin	ρ (SE) P	-0.453 (0.241) .068	-0.507 ^b (0.191) .032	-0.283 (0.283) .255	1.000		
	ALP	ρ (SE) P	0.486 ^b (0.198) .048	0.247 (0.250) .323	-0.241 (0.270) .335	-0.270 (0.249) .278	1.000	
	Conjugated bilirubin	ρ (SE) P	0.180 (0.244) .488	-0.253 (0.274) .312	-0.057 (0.249) .821	-0.161 (0.260) .523	0.314 (0.220) .204	1.000

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; CA, carbohydrate antigen; FVIII, factor VIII; IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; ρ , Spearman correlation coefficient; SE, standard error.

^aCorrelation is significant at the $P < .01$ level.

^bCorrelation is significant at the $P < .05$ level.

but we observed significant differences between fibrinogen levels in benign versus malignant disease.

Fibrin turnover is enhanced in cancer overall,³³⁻³⁷ including PDAC.^{11,33,38} Sun et al. concluded that higher pretreatment levels of D-dimer in PDAC predict advanced tumor stage and metastasis.¹¹ In our study, however, D-dimer did not differ between PDAC and IPMN or between stage IV and stage I to III PDAC. D-dimer values may vary based on patient age,³⁹ and also on the analysis method used; thus, direct comparison between laboratories is not valid.⁴⁰ In addition, the regulation of fibrinolysis may vary among patients with cancer.

Albumin was lower in PDAC than in IPMN, reflecting increased permeability and possibly leakage of coagulation factors into tissue. Low albumin coincides with VTE and

mortality in patients with cancer.⁴¹ Albumin showed a negative correlation with fibrinogen in stage IV PDAC and FVIII in stage I to III PDAC, supporting the link between low albumin and increased coagulation activity. Conjugated bilirubin and ALP were higher in PDAC than in IPMN. Most of the PDAC tumors reside in the head of pancreas, often obstructing the bile duct; preoperative bile duct stent insertion may therefore have confounded these results. However, high ALP may also be linked to coagulation. Alkaline phosphatase is an active exopolyphosphatase capable of degrading circulating polyphosphate.⁴² Because polyphosphates importantly modulate coagulation and fibrinolysis,⁴³ they may provoke ALP generation in PDAC. We also noticed a positive correlation between ALP and FVIII as well as with fibrinogen, which supports the contribution of this exopolyphosphatase.




Figure 2. Biomarker panel scores of the patient groups with carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, fibrinogen, factor VIII (FVIII), albumin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and bilirubin conjugates (A); CA 19-9, fibrinogen, FVIII, albumin, and ALP (B); CA 19-9, fibrinogen, FVIII, albumin, and bilirubin conjugates (C). The boxes show the median and the interquartile range, the whiskers represent the extremes, and the circles the outliers. *P* values were obtained by Kruskal-Wallis test, using post hoc Dunn test, ****P* < .001. Abbreviations: PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; IPMN intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm




Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the panel scores. The state variable was pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) diagnosis, irrespective of the stage. The area under the curve (AUC; 95% confidence interval [CI]) was 0.95 (0.90-1.00) for the panel including carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9, fibrinogen, factor VIII (FVIII), albumin, and alkaline phosphatase (ALP). For the biomarkers alone, the AUC (95% CI) were as follows: 0.80 (0.71-0.88) for CA 19-9, 0.78 (0.68-0.86) for fibrinogen, 0.79 (0.70-0.87) for FVIII; C, 0.18 (0.11-0.27) for albumin, and 0.79 (0.70-0.89) for ALP. The AUCs were calculated for the patients with all available panel biomarkers.

The modified Khorana score did not correlate with the biomarkers or cancer stage in our pre-diagnostic study. Non-blood type O has been reported as a risk factor for PDAC⁴⁴ and of VTE.⁴⁵ O blood types associate with low FVIII and VWF levels. However, the blood type did not contribute to our results.

We noticed correlations between the variables that distinguished PDAC from IPMN, suggesting that the variables could be linked to each other. As the diagnostic potential of CA 19-9 needs to be improved, and based on correlations with the other markers, we decided to combine them in a panel score. The panel score initially included CA 19-9, combined with coagulation variables fibrinogen and FVIII, as well as albumin, conjugated bilirubin, and ALP. High scores of this panel strongly coincided with PDAC compared with IPMN. In comparison with CA 19-9 alone, the sensitivity of the panel improved. As both conjugated bilirubin and ALP can be confounded by the bile obstruction or stent placement, we wanted to determine their role in the panel. Conjugated bilirubin in the panel without ALP seemed to have the highest AUC; however, the slope was the steepest at the beginning of the curve, when conjugated bilirubin was excluded, but ALP was included. Again, the proposed links between ALP and coagulation suggest that ALP could be preferred in the panel instead of conjugated bilirubin. Larger studies are needed to assess whether these panels will assist in clinical practice to distinguish metastasized from local cancer.

The limitations of our study include the inclusion of patients at different stages of their disease and the small numbers of patients in the subgroups. However, the larger stage I to III PDAC group (n = 67) provided representative data of links within coagulation and PDAC, and patients were in a treatment-naïve state at the time of preoperative sample collection. A minority of the patients used anticoagulants or other drugs, which may have confounded the data. Another limitation of this study is the lack of VWF levels and microvesicle data analysis. In future studies, their roles in this predictive panel should be investigated.

Conclusions

In summary, our study supports the role of coagulation involvement in PDAC, FVIII, and fibrinogen in particular, among others. A combination panel including CA 19-9, FVIII, fibrinogen, albumin, and ALP deserves further clinical validation as a diagnostic tool and disease severity marker in pancreatic cancer. We have begun to gather data in a multicenter study to validate these results and examine the role of this panel and beyond in predicting early mortality after PDAC surgery.

Authors' Note

Ethical approval to report this case was obtained from the Surgical Ethics Committee of Helsinki University Hospital (Dnro HUS 226/E6/06, extension TMK02 §66 17.4.2013) and the National Supervisory Authority of Welfare and Health (Valvira Dnro 1041/06.01.03.01/2012). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for their anonymized information to be published in this article.


Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: This study was supported by the Finnish Governmental Funding, the Mary and Georg C. Ehrnrooth Foundation, the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, and the Finnish Society of Angiology. None of the foundations were involved in the study design.

ORCID iD

Nora Mattila  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4007-6555>

References

- Falanga A, Marchetti M, Vignoli A. Coagulation and cancer: biological and clinical aspects. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2013;11(2):223-233.
- Heit JA, O'Fallon WM, Petterson TM, et al. Relative impact of risk factors for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a population-based study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;162(11):1245-1248.
- Falanga A, Panova-Noeva M, Russo L. Procoagulant mechanisms in tumour cells. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* 2009;22(1):49-60.
- Posch F, Riedl J, Reitter EM, et al. Hypercoagulability, venous thromboembolism, and death in patients with cancer. A multi-state model. *Thromb Haemost.* 2016;115(4):817-826.
- Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Culakova E, Lyman GH, Francis CW. Development and validation of a predictive model for chemotherapy-associated thrombosis. *Blood.* 2008;111(10):4902-4907.
- Sohal DP, Shrotriya S, Glass KT, et al. Predicting early mortality in resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a cohort study. *Cancer.* 2015;121(11):1779-1784.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2011;61(2):69-90.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(1):5-29.
- Petterson TM, Marks RS, Ashrani AA, Bailey KR, Heit JA. Risk of site-specific cancer in incident venous thromboembolism: a population-based study. *Thromb Res.* 2015;135(3):472-478.
- Thaler J, Ay C, Mackman N, et al. Microparticle-associated tissue factor activity in patients with pancreatic cancer: correlation with clinicopathological features. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2013;43(3):277-285.
- Sun W, Ren H, Gao CT, et al. Clinical and prognostic significance of coagulation assays in pancreatic cancer patients with absence of venous thromboembolism. *Am J Clin Oncol.* 2015;38(6):550-556.
- Tempero MA, Arnoletti JP, Behrman SW, et al. Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma, version 2.2012: featured updates to the NCCN Guidelines. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2012;10(6):703-713.
- Ryan DP, Hong TS, Bardeesy N. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;371(22):2140-2141.
- Ducreux M, Cuhna AS, Caramella C, et al. Cancer of the pancreas: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* 2015;26(suppl 5):v56-v68.
- Goonetilleke KS, Siriwardena AK. Systematic review of carbohydrate antigen (CA 19-9) as a biochemical marker in the diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2007;33(3):266-270.
- Karachristos A, Scarmeas N, Hoffman JP. CA 19-9 levels predict results of staging laparoscopy in pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2005;9(9):1286-1292.
- Ferrone CR, Finkelstein DM, Thayer SP, Muzikansky A, Fernandez-delCastillo C, Warshaw AL. Perioperative CA19-9 levels can predict stage and survival in patients with resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24(18):2897-2902.
- Del Chiaro M, Verbeke C, Salvia R, et al. European experts consensus statement on cystic tumours of the pancreas. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2013;45(9):703-711.
- Jiang Q, Gingles NA, Olivier MA, Miles LA, Parmer RJ. The anti-fibrinolytic xRPIN, plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), is targeted to and released from catecholamine storage vesicles. *Blood.* 2011;117(26):7155-7163.
- Zwicker JI, Liebman HA, Neuberger D, et al. Tumor-derived tissue factor-bearing microparticles are associated with venous thromboembolic events in malignancy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15(22):6830-6840.

21. Jenkins PV, Rawley O, Smith OP, O'Donnell JS. Elevated factor VIII levels and risk of venous thrombosis. *Br J Haematol*. 2012; 157(6):653-663.
22. Scara S, Bottoni P, Scatena R. CA 19-9: biochemical and clinical aspects. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2015;867:247-260.
23. Tempero MA, Uchida E, Takasaki H, Burnett DA, Steplewski Z, Pour PM. Relationship of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 and Lewis antigens in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res*. 1987;47(20): 5501-5503.
24. Renkonen R, Mattila P, Majuri ML, et al. In vitro experimental studies of sialyl Lewis x and sialyl Lewis a on endothelial and carcinoma cells: crucial glycans on selectin ligands. *Glycoconj J*. 1997;14(5):593-600.
25. Shahani T, Lavend'homme R, Luttun A, Saint-Remy JM, Peerlinck K, Jacquemin M. Activation of human endothelial cells from specific vascular beds induces the release of a FVIII storage pool. *Blood*. 2010;115(23):4902-4909.
26. Austin AW, Wirtz PH, Patterson SM, Stutz M, von Kanel R. Stress-induced alterations in coagulation: assessment of a new hemoconcentration correction technique. *Psychosom Med*. 2012;74(3):288-295.
27. Vormittag R, Simanek R, Ay C, et al. High factor VIII levels independently predict venous thromboembolism in cancer patients: the cancer and thrombosis study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2009;29(12):2176-2181.
28. Reitter EM, Kaider A, Ay C, et al. Longitudinal analysis of hemostasis biomarkers in cancer patients during antitumor treatment. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2016;14(2):294-305.
29. Guo Q, Zhang B, Dong X, et al. Elevated levels of plasma fibrinogen in patients with pancreatic cancer: possible role of a distant metastasis predictor. *Pancreas*. 2009;38(3):e75-e79.
30. Wang H, Gao J, Bai M, et al. The pretreatment platelet and plasma fibrinogen level correlate with tumor progression and metastasis in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Platelets*. 2014; 25(5):382-387.
31. Palumbo JS, Talmage KE, Massari JV, et al. Platelets and fibrin(ogen) increase metastatic potential by impeding natural killer cell-mediated elimination of tumor cells. *Blood*. 2005;105(1): 178-185.
32. Nentwich MF, Menzel K, Reeh M, et al. Blood fibrinogen levels discriminate low- and high-risk intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs). *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2017;43(4):758-762.
33. Ay C, Dunkler D, Pirker R, et al. High D-dimer levels are associated with poor prognosis in cancer patients. *Haematologica*. 2012;97(8):1158-1164.
34. Dirix LY, Salgado R, Weytjens R, et al. Plasma fibrin D-dimer levels correlate with tumour volume, progression rate, and survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Br J Cancer*. 2002; 86(3):389-395.
35. Oya M, Akiyama Y, Okuyama T, Ishikawa H. High preoperative plasma D-dimer level is associated with advanced tumor stage and short survival after curative resection in patients with colorectal cancer. *Jpn J Clin Oncol*. 2001;31(8):388-394.
36. Blackwell K, Hurwitz H, Lieberman G, et al. Circulating D-dimer levels are better predictors of overall survival and disease progression than carcinoembryonic antigen levels in patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer*. 2004; 101(1):77-82.
37. Altiay G, Ciftci A, Demir M, et al. High plasma D-dimer level is associated with decreased survival in patients with lung cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)*. 2007;19(7):494-498.
38. Durczynski A, Kumor A, Hogendorf P, Szymanski D, Grzelak P, Strzelczyk J. Preoperative high level of D-dimers predicts unresectability of pancreatic head cancer. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(36):13167-13171.
39. Schouten HJ, Geersing GJ, Koek HL, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of conventional or age adjusted D-dimer cutoff values in older patients with suspected venous thromboembolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2013;346(5):f2492.
40. Di Nisio M, Squizzato A, Rutjes AW, Buller HR, Zwinderman AH, Bossuyt PM. Diagnostic accuracy of D-dimer test for exclusion of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2007;5(2):296-304.
41. Konigsbrugge O, Posch F, Riedl J, et al. Association between decreased serum albumin with risk of venous thromboembolism and mortality in cancer patients. *Oncologist*. 2016;21(2): 252-257.
42. Lorenz B, Schroder HC. Mammalian intestinal alkaline phosphatase acts as highly active exopolyphosphatase. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2001;1547(2):254-261.
43. Smith SA, Mutch NJ, Baskar D, Rohloff P, Docampo R, Morrissey JH. Polyphosphate modulates blood coagulation and fibrinolysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103(4):903-908.
44. Wolpin BM, Chan AT, Hartge P, et al. ABO blood group and the risk of pancreatic cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009;101(6): 424-431.
45. Li D, Pise MN, Overman MJ, et al. ABO non-O type as a risk factor for thrombosis in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Med*. 2015;4(11):1651-1658.