



Bulletin de méthodologie sociologique

Bulletin of sociological methodology

86 | 2005

April

Editorial



Electronic version

URL: <http://journals.openedition.org/bms/886>

ISSN: 2070-2779

Publisher

Association internationale de méthodologie sociologique

Printed version

Date of publication: 1 April 2005

Number of pages: 3

ISSN: 0759-1063

Electronic reference

« Editorial », *Bulletin de méthodologie sociologique* [Online], 86 | 2005, Online since 28 March 2008, connection on 02 May 2019. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/bms/886>

This text was automatically generated on 2 May 2019.

© BMS

Editorial

- 1 Along with the Newsletter of RC33 (the Research Committee "Logic and Methodology" of the International Sociological Association), this issue of the BMS includes three research articles and an ongoing research report. In the first article, "Missing Subscribers, The Red List and Mobile Telephones in General Population Surveys Concerning Drugs", by François Beck, Stéphane Legleye and Patrick Peretti-Watel, attitudes towards drugs are investigated by Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviews (CATI) of land-line telephone owners, land-line telephone owners with unlisted numbers, and only mobile telephone users.
- 2 In the second article, "Making and Unmaking Groups, Numerical Information on 'Difficult-Access Populations'", Marie-Ange Schiltz describes the divergent points of view between statistics and sociology on how to approach these groups and shows the extreme variability of methodological choices in this domain.
- 3 In the third article, "Who Makes Sociology's Data? Problems Posed by Secondary Analysis of National Sport Club Membership Files", Claude Lafabrigue examines the actual process by which national sport club membership data is constructed and what this means for longitudinal research concerning careers in sports.
- 4 The ongoing research report, "Report on the Third International Workshop on Comparative Survey Design and Implementation", by Janet Harkness, presents methodological issues in cross-national and cross-cultural surveys, attempts at fostering cooperation on projects aimed at improving comparative research and ways to disseminate knowledge and promote best and good practices.