



Crime, Histoire & Sociétés / Crime, History & Societies

Vol. 5, n°2 | 2001
Varia

David W. Howell and Kenneth O. Morgan (eds.), *Crime, Protest and Police in Modern British Society : Essays in Memory of David J. V Jones*

(University of Wales Press, Cardiff, 1999), x + 248 pp., ISBN 0-7083-1555-0

Shane Ewen



Electronic version

URL: <http://journals.openedition.org/chs/755>

ISSN: 1663-4837

Publisher

Librairie Droz

Printed version

Date of publication: 1 January 2001

Number of pages: 167-170

ISBN: 2-600-00664-8

ISSN: 1422-0857

Electronic reference

Shane Ewen, « David W. Howell and Kenneth O. Morgan (eds.), *Crime, Protest and Police in Modern British Society : Essays in Memory of David J. V Jones* », *Crime, Histoire & Sociétés / Crime, History & Societies* [Online], Vol. 5, n°2 | 2001, Online since 02 April 2009, connection on 01 May 2019. URL : <http://journals.openedition.org/chs/755>

leurs statuts respectifs, échangeaient leurs rôles ou refusaient de s'y tenir... Ces confusions paralysaient la justice, la crainte de la concentration des pouvoirs entravait le jeu des instances régulatrices...

Si les policiers firent plutôt bien leur travail, qui percèrent à jour l'extravagant Alexandre, au Palais de Justice, aux ministères du Commerce, des Finances, les nommés se pliaient devant les élus, et, à Bayonne comme à Orléans ou à Paris, la puissance de la fonction élective sut mettre un terme aux tressaillements ou timides velléités de la justice.

Entre le « député-roi », futur ou ancien ministre, l'avocat-député, futur ou ancien garde des Sceaux, une presse dont la puissance ne fait ressortir que plus vivement le manque de moyens et qui n'hésite pas à user du chantage pour se procurer l'argent qui lui manque, des banquiers et assureurs dupes ou complices, des ministres aveugles ou incompetents, le tableau que dresse Paul Jankowski paraît – mais le détail des escroqueries de Stavisky, comme de son incroyable impunité peuvent justifier une telle lecture – à la limite de la caricature.

Décidément cette III^e République avait plus de défauts que les hommes de Vichy, de Gaulle et les résistants réunis n'en pourraient dénoncer les années suivantes. Le scandale Stavisky permet d'observer comment une société, aveugle à ses propres travers, se tourna contre l'État et ses officiers ou ses représentants. On ne s'étonne pas qu'il se soit trouvé si peu de parlementaires pour prendre le risque de défendre un tel régime le 10 juillet 1940.

Carriérisme, cumuls de fonctions, jeux d'influence mis en lumière par les enquêtes et les péripéties de l'affaire sont pourtant bien banals et sembleraient anodins par rapport à d'autres affaires plus contemporaines. Un scandale financier somme toute moins grave que celui du Panama, une affaire à tout prendre moins dramatique que l'affaire Dreyfus, permet la mise au jour d'une « éthique et de ses blessures ». Au delà de ce nouvel épisode des liaisons dangereuses entre l'argent et la démocratie, Paul Jankowsky se veut optimiste : « si les pratiques de la République en contredisent les idéaux, notamment dans le domaine hautement symbolique de la justice, la ténacité de l'idéal collectif fait naître une attention scrupuleuse, un sens de l'indignation et un violent désir de justice ».

Même si on ne suit pas l'auteur dans ses thèses et conclusions, on lit ce livre comme un roman, non seulement parce que le sujet s'y prête, mais parce qu'il est servi par une mise en scène des sources d'une aisance et d'une élégance rares.

Jean-Marc Berlière
 Université de Bourgogne,
 Dijon, France
 berliere@alcyone.u-bourgogne.fr

David W. Howell and Kenneth O. Morgan (eds.), *Crime, Protest and Police in Modern British Society: Essays in Memory of David J. V. Jones* (University of Wales Press, Cardiff, 1999), x + 248 pp., ISBN 0-7083-1555-0

It immediately emerges from this edited collection of essays that David Jones was a widely respected historian, colleague and teacher. His early death was shocking, yet the decision to publish an edited volume of essays dedicated to Jones' contributions to social history can be applauded. He was an influential scholar

developing his trade during the social history 'revolution' of the 1960s, and he clearly developed great drive and enthusiasm for history, particularly Welsh social history. This collection follows Jones' own academic interests (crime, popular protest, and policing), melding a wide range of methodological tools with a diverse historical field. In doing so, this collection is both consolidatory and challenging in its emphasis. For example, Clive Emsley continues to pursue the under-researched element of comparative policing, drawing contrasts and comparisons between the British and French systems of policing and their representation within modern popular culture. John Archer further develops the incidence of rural crime, adding to his own work and that of David Jones within poaching. In so doing, however, Archer introduces a new dimension to the debate. Whilst it can be argued that poaching in the rural South and East of England was traditionally related to poverty; Archer's analysis of the Lancashire conurbation raises the important issue of poaching as an area of organised crime to serve the markets of the major urban areas of the county. There is also a general challenge to traditional economic and social history, in that there is an emphasis, as Jones himself was well known for, on the Welsh experience. Welsh history understandably constitutes a diverse field, similarly to Jones' own work, as evidenced in Dorothy Thompson's warm appreciation of his work and friendship, and Dwyryd Jones' bibliography of his colleague's publications.

The introductory chapter by David Howell and Kenneth Morgan identifies three key themes that reflect the wide academic interest of David Jones: Welsh social history, popular protest, and crime and policing. An additional theme may be added to this list, that of the underlying debate over consensus and conflict. Morgan's historiographical essay on Welsh social history astutely raises the interdependency of consensus and conflict within modern British society. Archer also recognises these two juxtaposing, yet reinforcing, notions in discussing the prevalence of rural traditions such as poaching (which can be clearly identified historically in East Anglia). Ideas relating to consensus and conflict can also be identified clearly in Howell's essay on rioting in eighteenth-century Wales, Owen Ashton's work on the Chartist and Republican W. E. Adams (a 'second-line Chartist'), and Neil Evans' portrayal of the unemployed in South Wales and their reaction to the Means Test. The fundamental conclusion that emerges from this wide range of case studies is that it is too naive to differentiate between consensus and conflict in a practical sense where institutional and personal relationships are far more complex than the theory of Weber *et al.* allows them to be.

Another key area of interest that emerges from this collection is the idea of regionality. Gone are the days when widespread generalisations could be made about the spatial experience of British social and economic history. Jones can be partly thanked for such a shift in emphasis from the national to the local. However, in pursuing local differences and contingencies, Jones also identified relationships between the local and the national, and recognised that the local dimension can, and does, reinforce the national debate. For example, his work on Welsh Chartism was not conducted as a distinct trajectory to that of English Chartism; rather, it both challenged existing assumptions about public order and added to an already strong national picture, whilst also locating the nature of Welsh Chartism and the Rebecca Riots within the long-term process of rioting and social disorder. Thus, work on Chartism, poaching, policing, and public disorder highlights clear and discernible regional variations in experience, whilst also serving to add to the national picture. For example, Ashton's essay illuminates the differences between Adams'

Cheltenham Chartists and those groups more inclined to physical force. This is, of course, related to organisational and personal influence within the different regions of Britain. However, a further strength of Jones' personal contribution to the history of crime and public order was his ability to draw comparisons between traditionally distinct categories – the rural and urban, the religious and secular, the political and apolitical – and contend that experience of crime, disorder and policing ran across spatial boundaries. It is evident that the experience of policing is bound to have had clear differences in, for example, industrial Birmingham and agricultural Cambridgeshire, yet Jones was able to discern similar patterns in language, thought and action in, for example, the imposition of police forces in these contrasting communities, their duties, and the public perception towards them.

The major strength of this collection is that, whilst it may arguably appear deliberately disjointed in places, the themes that emerge are interrelated, and the book successfully moves beyond a mere analysis of crime, protest and policing. Rather, the essays variously contribute to debates concerning class, particularly regarding the formulation of distinct groups and classes within society. In so doing, various organisations and influential individuals are identified as challenging, often in an organised manner, such alleged organic societal 'norms'. For example, Hugh Dunthorne presents a lucid assessment of the influence of Cesare Beccaria's *Essays on Crimes and Punishment* (1764) on convincing early-modern English reformers of the necessity to moderate the penal system and introduce aspects of rehabilitation. Ashton's work emphasises the diverse influence of W. E. Adams, both as a Chartist agitator and a republican, in local, national and international circles through the establishment of societies to create links between like-minded groups, such as the Friends of Italy or the Friends of Poland. Neil Evans introduces the role of organisational agitation on a much broader scale, drawing parallels between the Chartist marches on Newport gaol in 1839 and those of the National Unemployed Workers' Movement and the various other unemployment clubs in South Wales in marching against the unpopular Means Test from 1934–1936.

In pursuing this line, however, other essays in the volume respond by tracing changing perceptions towards the institutions responsible for maintaining law and public order, underpinning such norms, in society. Thus, the police, as the official 'agent' of government (in its broadest sense), whether political or apolitical, remain sensitive to public opinion. This is represented in Peter Stead's essay tracing the growing battle over viewer figures for 'Cop TV' from the 1970s onwards, which can be juxtaposed with the traditional perception of the local 'bobby' (a.k.a. Dixon of Dock Green) of the 1950s and '60s. Modern police television drama is more reflective of the late twentieth-century policing environment and, in particular, the police officer and his/her relationships with colleagues. It emerges that even the police officer is susceptible to 'scandal' – whether financial or sexual – and 'corruption', and is not actually, as traditionally represented, above the law. The recent change in emphasis of ITV's *The Bill*, and BBC's *City Central* clearly reflect this shift. Meanwhile, Clive Emsley compares traditional public perceptions towards the police in Britain and France, drawing parallels between the centrally imposed gendarmerie on the continent, and the locally accountable police forging friendly ties with the public on the British streets. The idea that the British public consent – either tacitly or explicitly – to the notion of *their* police as the defenders of public order is thus contrasted sharply with the idea that the French public have little input into the structure and function of *the state's* police system.

This book, thus, is a fitting tribute to the life and work of David Jones. It is a mix of idealism and pragmatism, melded with a concise analysis of the empirical data, which forges an interrelationship between crime, protest and policing and the wider economic, social, and political environment. In celebrating the work of Jones, it also helps to set the agenda for future research in this field. With the recent shift towards an emphasis on inter-personal crime and violence, and government strategies towards crime statistics and police administration, Jones' work is regularly referred to in footnotes as a major methodological contributor to this field over the past three decades. His work, therefore, retains both its relevance and interest for all social and economic historians alike.

Shane Ewen
University of Leicester, UK
sde3@le.ac.uk

Frédéric Chauvaud, *Les experts du crime. La médecine légale en France au XIX^e siècle*, Paris, Aubier (collection historique), 2000, 298 p., ISBN 2-7007-2323-6

Si l'histoire des théories médico-légales du second XIX^e siècle a fait l'objet de nombreux travaux⁵, en revanche, celle de l'émergence du personnage de l'expert et des pratiques de ce médecin légiste manquait. Le livre de Frédéric Chauvaud, comme la publication du cours de M. Foucault sur les anormaux⁶ viennent combler ce vide. Chauvaud, qui avait jusqu'à présent centré son intérêt sur le crime, offre par ce volume non plus seulement une plongée précise et documentée dans ce regard des médecins sur le crime, mais une analyse attentive et plurielle de cet autre corps, qu'est la médecine légale. Il dresse à partir de sources variées – ici une affaire criminelle, là un traité, plus loin un rapport d'expertise, le quotidien de ces médecins, interroge leurs gestes et explicite leurs actes. Retournant l'objectif photographique, l'historien dépeint ainsi le hors-champ du crime et son évolution tout au long du XIX^e siècle. Mais surtout, à travers ce livre et en dépit d'un plan discutable – pourquoi avoir isolé l'histoire de la profession d'expert médico-légal de celles de leurs pratiques, Chauvaud fait l'histoire de la naissance de cette parole singulière qu'est celle de ces médecins experts devant les tribunaux.

Il y a en effet chez l'expert médico-légal tel qu'il émerge progressivement au milieu du XIX^e siècle un paradoxe central et probablement très intéressant si l'on examine sur une plus longue durée le rapport de la médecine et des médecins avec le monde social, si l'on travaille sur l'histoire du pouvoir médical. Le livre de Chauvaud dessine ainsi en creux comment la parole du médecin qui pour des raisons de déontologie doit demeurer dans le secret passe progressivement de ce domaine privé à l'espace public. L'auteur écrit ainsi l'histoire d'un discours qui est constitué à terme comme « porteur de vérité ». Ainsi, cette enquête met à jour de manière très

⁵ Notamment ceux réalisés autour de Laurent Mucchielli (*Histoire de la criminologie française*, Paris, l'Harmattan, 1994), ou Christian Debuyt (*Histoire des savoirs sur le crime et la peine*, De Boeck Université, 1995).

⁶ Cf. M. Foucault, *Les anormaux, Cours au Collège de France, 1974-1975*, Paris, Hautes Études, Gallimard, Le Seuil, 1999.