



Andrijasevic, R., Rhodes, C., & Yu, K. H. (2019). Foreign workers: On the other side of gendered, racial, political and ethical borders. *Organization*, 26(3), 313-320. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508419828583

Peer reviewed version

License (if available): Other

Link to published version (if available): 10.1177/1350508419828583

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the accepted author manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Sage at https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1350508419828583 . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/pure/about/ebr-terms

Foreign Workers: On The Other Side of Gendered, Racial, Political and Ethical Borders

The conditions of the contemporary economy—the expansion of mass transport technology and the liberalization of global labour markets—has meant a vast expansion of mobility. The most recent figures estimate there to be 258 million international migrants worldwide (United Nations, 2017). We focus in this special issue on foreign workers, as the largest and growing impetus for migration is work: of international migrants, 164 million are employed or seeking work (ILO Labour Migration Branch, 2018). The idea for this special issue first arose in 2015 with a chance discussion across different research interests. For Rutvica, with a long standing research agenda and political activism on migration, this has involved dealing with the tension between gender, migration and citizenship rights as well as how cross-border mobility has come to occupy a vital place in political struggle over labour market access. In Carl's case, whose research has focussed on the relationship between ethics and politics in business organisations, this focussed on intersectional justice and equality in organisations as pivotal elements of democratic politics as well as the meaning of justice as it relates to work and commerce. For Kyoung-Hee with her research expertise in the relationship between work and employment and organisational, institutional and political change, this involved addressing the impact of international migration on individual migrants and their careers, collective action and union revitalization, as well as implications for diversity and inclusion in organisations.

It is in the juncture of these interests that the main theme of this special issue was formulated as what it means to be a 'foreign' worker is deeply influenced by and connected to sexuality, gender, politics and ethics. We decided to use the word 'foreign' as a deliberately provocative label to conjure up the image of the 'othered' migrant worker. This led us to pose the following questions as a means of elaborating our interests. What does it mean to be a foreign worker in today's global economy? How does being foreign intersect with assumptions about gender and sexuality? What are the ethical and political ramifications of this for individuals, organisations, labour markets and the state? These questions were not intended by any means to be politically disinterested, arising as they do from a long history of the exclusion, abuse and discrimination of foreign workers, especially those who are women, working class, people of colour, and sexual minorities.

While political issues related to migration and work have been explored in great detail from the perspective of, inter alia, industrial relations, international business, economics, and of course migration studies itself, they have been notably absent from any real consideration at all in organisation studies (for notable exceptions see Essers and Benschop, 2007; Yu, 2014a). This appeared to us to be an almost wilful omission of one of the most pressing political issues facing the post-globalized world, as well as one of which work organisations are centrally implicated. This was exacerbated when, in the midst of our work on this special issue, on 23 June 2016 the citizens of the United Kingdom voted to leave the European Union. In what came to be called Brexit, the fear of immigration loomed heavy as a motivating force for many of the voters, as did the spectre of racism and white supremacy. Things became only more pronounced a year later when US President Donald Trump announced a travel ban against citizens of a nominated list of largely Muslim countries. By his own admission, the purpose of this was that of "preventing Muslim immigration".

While the politics of migration are being played out on the world stage and the emergence of populist governments has served to exclude and alienate foreigners as well as ethnic and sexual minorities, the discipline of Organisation Studies and that of Critical Management Studies (CMS) had little to say on the topic. As if to embody a collective recognition of the need for research in this area, two other special issues were commissioned shortly after ours on the topic of migrant workers. One, whose call for papers was entitled 'Migration and Work', was commissioned by the *Journal of Industrial Relations* and had a distinct focus on policy and regulation, among other things. A second, entitled 'Global Migrants: Understanding the Implications for International Business and Management' and issued by the *Journal of World Business*, stressed the potential for global organisations to harness migrant skills and knowledge. Together these special issues represent the diversity of inquiries and complexity of problems surrounding labour migration as one of the grand challenges in today's society.

Brexit and Trump's travel ban shocked many for their blatant association with populist antiimmigration politics and racial vilification. Yet, the 'othering' of foreign workers has, of course, not started there having long been part of institutionalized structures and practices. It is also the case that employment regulations, work practices and the management of organisations are all influenced by assumptions and norms about sexuality, gender, race, and nationality (Acker, 2006). This has significant ramifications for the lived experience of foreign workers (Essers, Benchop and Doorewaard 2010), for the potential for their engagement in diverse organizing and resistance efforts (Alberti, Holgate and Tapia, 2013; Yu, 2014a), and for the workplace as a 'cultural battleground' (Yu, forthcoming). The ethical implications of this relate to differences in how people are treated, the rights they are granted, the forms of discrimination they face, and the freedoms that are open to them. That such differences are organized on both sexual and gendered grounds, risks situations where as foreign workers, women and LGBTI people can be exposed to ethical subjugation on account of their foreignness, and an undermining of their rights on account of them being deemed secondary to their male or heterosexual counterparts. We acknowledge, as do several of the papers in this special issue, that a burgeoning scholarship on *intersectionality* (Acker, 2012; Bose, 2012; Rodriguez et al., 2016) has the potential to shed important light on how foreign workers, and particularly women and sexual minorities among them, are subjugated to multiple fault lines in established power structures, including those in the host society as well as societies of origin and migrant communities.

To be a foreigner is to have crossed an ethical as well as a political border, and hence can lead to bearing social stigma and exclusion. To be a foreigner is often associated with being a stranger, an outlander and an alien, without access to the rights (legally or culturally) granted to locals. The foreigner is 'different' and has the potential to confront the locals on the basis of that difference; she is located within a new country but still culturally foreign; she is in between an old home and a new, an outsider risking being an outcast (Bagnoli, 2007). This danger is especially so when one's foreignness is located in the postcolonial move from east to west, and south to north (Massey, 1998) where the migration from former colonies to the land of former colonizers brings with it a long and sad history of oppression and exploitation. Nowhere is this more stark than in the long held conflation of control of access to work (Fang et al., 2013), control of migration (Wright, 2014), and control of women's bodies (Van Walsum and Spijkerboer, 2007).

This phenomenon is not new. In the late 1800s, the Page Act in the United States targeted Asian women migrating for sex work. The act restricted Chinese women from entering the US by effectively conflating Chinese women's migration with enslaved prostitution (Lubhéid, 2002). Some hundred years later in the 1970s similar practices were in place in Britain. Government policy stipulated that to enter marriage a South Asian women had to be a virgin. Enforcing this, British immigration officers subjected prospective Indian brides to

virginity tests at the border (Smith and Marmo, 2011). Throughout the 1980s, immigration regulations in several European countries upheld a gendered division of labour by assigning women a 'dependent' status that kept many migrant women out of paid employment. This was done through family reunification schemes, which assumed that the man was the economically motivated migrant actor, the 'breadwinner', and the woman was his dependent (Morokvasic, 1984). While formal immigration laws have changed, gendered and racialised coding of the labour markets still impacts differently on migrant women. This is most visible in the anti-trafficking policies and the legal category of the 'victim of trafficking', both of which hide women's migratory agency and normalise their exclusion from the labour market (Anderson and Andrijasevic, 2008). Gender clearly has long been and remains a central axis of discrimination and oppressions when it comes to migration, work and employment.

The examples cited above illustrate how foreign workers are unequal as concerns employment, legal status, social standing, and labour market status. Cast as a double 'other', when it comes to Western countries foreign workers who do not fit with white male heteronormative expectations occupy a special ethical position, one where they are, as the etymological trace back to the Latin *foranus* suggests, on the outside. As has long been the case, especially in Western culture, foreigners, slaves, non-heterosexuals and women are excluded from the public space of the polis, deemed not worthy of the same rights as others. This has not been without resistance and important forms of organizing and mobilization have been motivated and carried out by foreign workers. This includes movements aimed at improving working conditions in particular cross-border occupations such as domestic workers (Anderson, 2010), mobilization within global supply chains such as in the garment and apparel industry (Reinecke and Donaghey, 2015), union strategies for mobilising immigrant and ethnic workers (Erickson et al., 2002; Yu, 2014b), and alternative labour organisations focused on migrant workers (Fine, 2006).

With this background in mind we developed a call for papers that considered individual differences, context specific experiences and dynamic processes through which the sexed, gendered and classed category of the foreign worker is constructed, enacted and resisted. These interests extended to how the category of the foreign worker might vary historically due to the changes in law, government policy, and socio-cultural norms. Of central concern was how such changes influenced work and employment practices, as well as how they are

constitutive of workers' subjectivities. The ethico-political implications were especially salient to us in terms of exclusion, discrimination, incorporation as well as resistance and mobilization.

The call for papers was issued with a submission deadline of August 2017, and to enable the development of papers we convened a stream on the same topic at the 10th International Critical Management Studies (CMS) Conference held by Edge Hill University in Liverpool in July 2017. Our call was responded to by a wide range of scholars from around the world who brought different methodological approaches and substantive interests to bear on our chosen theme. Kyoung-Hee also convened a stream entitled Migration and the Meaning of Inclusion at the European Group for Organisation Studies (EGOS) annual colloquium held a week after CMS in Copenhagen. The result is a set of seven papers whose interests traverse a broad array of international localities and cultural constituencies. In these papers, the problematics of class, race and gender are explored in relation to how foreignness is constructed and enacted in different forms of work including automobile, manufacturing, domestic labour, sex work, and academic labour from the middle East, to Sweden, to Pakistan, to Nepal, to France and beyond. In terms of research themes, the papers address the gendered nature of migration (Zulfiqar, this issue; Grossman-Thompson, this issue; Dalton & Jung, this issue), intended and unintended consequences of organisational diversity policy (Romani, Holck, & Risberg, this issue; Bacouel-Jentjens & Yang, this issue), the intersectionality of gender and expatriate status (Rodriguez & Ridgway, this issue), and the performativity of assimilation (Dar, this issue). While we felt that the selected papers represented the micro-politics and the (inter-) subjectivity of being and becoming a migrant as well as intra-organisational politics and inequality structures in an excellent way, we were disappointed that not enough submissions addressed politics at a societal level and no submitted paper dealt with sexuality and migration. We see these areas as in urgent need of future research.

The special issue opens with Ghazal Zulfiqar's 'Dirt, Distance and Foreignness in a Neo-colonial Space: Domestic Workers in a Gated Community in Pakistan". In this paper Zulfiqar studies a residential community within a gated university complex to examine a new urban wage model of domestic labor in Punjab, Pakistan. The author examines how notions of dirt and foreignness are symbolically made use of by the employers and how militarized surveillance is employed by management to control women workers' bodies and enforce the wage model. This study broadens existing scholarship on domestic work by examining

domestic labor arrangements through the lens of a place-specific shifting of social and economic relations and showing the rise of a depersonalized wage system, which exposes women domestic workers to novel forms of insecurities and vulnerabilities.

The second paper is Barbara Grossman-Thompson's "Disposability and Gendered Control in Labor Migration: Limiting Women's Mobility Through Cultural and Institutional Norms". Like the paper that preceded it, a central focus here is on form of power and authority exercised over domestic workers. Grossman Thompson reports on a study with thirty female domestic labourers from Nepal two had worked illegally in the Gulf countries. Drawing on feminist political economy, the institutional logics that that shape this workforce are identified as female disposability and gendered control; both of which serve both to reinforce male institutional authority and limit women's mobility.

Third we have 'Becoming a cosmopolitan woman: Rhetoric versus reality in the case of Korean sex workers in Australia' by Bronwen Dalton and Kyungja Jung. The authors challenge the dominant media representation of Korean sex workers as poor, as victims of sex trafficking, and as a risk to public health. This narrative, they argue, is laced with Orientalist overtones of the Asian sex worker as the alluringly exotic "other", passive and particularly vulnerable, and in need of rescue. Against the grain, Dalton and Jung highlight how migration based on sex work can be an agentic process of self-expression and self-actualization of identity. They show how migrant women engaged in a transnational quest to become cosmopolitan citizens of the world, albeit making logical choices from structurally limited options shaped by their multiple identities as women, sex workers, and Koreans, as well as their relatively precarious position in the Australian labor market.

"Benevolent discrimination: How HR managers reproduce ethnic discrimination with diversity initiatives" by Lawrence Romani, Lotte Holck and Annette Risberg is our fourth paper. The authors investigate how diversity initiatives by a well-meaning HR department in a Swedish manufacturer have paradoxically resulted in what they term benevolent discrimination. They contend that construing minorities as the inferior party in the social relationship in need of assistance as well as expecting them to assimilate into the existing hierarchy both in the organisation and in the society serves to 'blind' the HR managers to how diversity initiatives result in deepening stratification in the firm.

Jenny Rodriguez and Miranda Ridgway's "Contextualizing privilege and disadvantage:

Lessons from women expatriates in the Middle East" is the fifth paper. The authors

contribute to de-bunking the dominant view of the woman expatriate as privileged by virtue

of their skilled and mobile status. They do so by demonstrating how privilege as expatriates

and disadvantage as women are intricately inter-connected in the lived experience of women

expatriates in the Middle East, and how institutionalized settings maintain a gendered social

order by utilizing this duality to circumscribe the rights and responsibilities of women

expatriates.

The sixth paper is Sabine Bacouel-Jentjens and Inju Yang's "Who am I? Different reactions of ethnic minority groups to an organisational diversity policy". In this study, focused on a French manufacturing company, the authors explore a tension between the presence of gender-affirmative actions and an absence of actions that would address ethnic discrimination within the organisation. In France, statistical data tracking of ethic minority groups of French nationality is indeed prohibited. Bacouel-Jentjens and Yang analyse the effect of the lack of ethnicity-based affirmative action policies on workers and propose a model that can account for divergent forms of identity construction by minorities within the organisation.

The special issue closes with Sadhvi Dar's "The Masque of Blackness: Or, Performing assimilation in the White Academe", a deeply fascinating and unusual piece of creative writing. Taking the title and plotline from the Jacobean masque written by Ben Jonson in 1605, Dar scripts a revisionist play that sets out to consider the politics of assimilation academics of colour perform in their daily lives. Drawing on black liberation and anti-racist literature, the play brings into stark focus how Black and Brown bodies are asked to perform and use voice daringly or silence instrumentally to leverage degrees of assimilation into white structures. 'Diversity', as Dar shows, is a dangerous misnomer in the white academe because the idea fails to recognize the politics of whiteness that structure a spectrum of assimilation academics of colour are positioned by. Through its unusual form and style, the play invites scholars of Organisation Studies and CMS to experiment with innovative forms of writing that challenge the rigid structures of social science academic writing and the metrics model for assessing research.

Across these diverse contributions we find that class, race and gender serve to shape a sense

of foreignness that is central to the meaning and experience of work. The machinations of power are never far away, as people's differences come to be used as an axis of actual and potential oppression, coercion and exploitation. The collective contribution of the work presented in the special issue might well be characterised as the exposition of different ways that such machinations play out in some radically different settings. Much of this is damning; it exposes how women, people of colour, and the working class can be rendered both foreign and marginal through practices related to work and its organisation, whether that be purposefully or inadvertently. At the same time, however, the contributions to this volume show that migration and migrant labour actively constitute labour markets. Migrant workers are not just the 'object' of managerial control but rather a determinant of how firms organise their operations. In other words, migration shapes organisations' work and employment practices. If anything this harks a call for the pressing need to continue to trouble, resist and address the ethico-political inequities that arise when it comes to globally mobile labour and to broaden the disciplinary boundaries of Organisation Studies and CMS so as to examine in greater detail the connections between migration and subjectivity construction within organisations; migration and emerging models of differentiation, exploitation and unfree labour; and migration and transnational regulation of labour. To sum up, the editors and the authors of this issue urge scholars of Organisation Studies and CMS to include to greater degree migration perspectives into the study of work and employment, diversity at work, industrial relations, and internationalization.

References

Acker, J. (2006). Inequality Regimes Gender, Class, And Race In Organisations. *Gender & society*, 20(4), 441-464.

Acker, J. (2012) Gendered organisations and intersectionality: problems and possibilities, *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal*, 31(3), 214-224.

Alberti, G., Holgate, J., & Tapia, M. (2013). Organising migrants as workers or as migrant workers? Intersectionality, trade unions and precarious work. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(22), 4132-4148.

Anderson, B. (2010). Mobilizing migrants, making citizens: migrant domestic workers as political agents. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, *33*(1), 60-74.

Anderson B. and Andrijasevic R. (2008) 'Sex, Slaves and Citizens: The Politics of Anti-Trafficking', *Soundings: a journal of politics and culture*, 40, 135-145

Bagnoli, A. (2007). Between outcast and outsider: Constructing the identity of the foreigner. *European Societies*, 9(1), 23-44.

Bose, C. E. (2012). Intersectionality and Global Gender Inequality, *Gender & Society*, 26(1), 67–72.

Erickson, C. L., Fisk, C. L., Milkman, R., Mitchell, D. J. B., & Wong, K. (2002). Justice for Janitors in Los Angeles: Lessons from three rounds of negotiations. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 40(3), 543-567.

Essers, C., and Benschop, Y. (2007). Enterprising identities: Female entrepreneurs of Moroccan or Turkish origin in the Netherlands. *Organisation Studies*, 28(1), 49-69.

Essers, C., Benschop, Y., and Doorewaard, H. (2010). Female ethnicity: Understanding Muslim immigrant businesswomen in the Netherlands. *Gender, Work & Organisation*, 17(3), 320-339.

Fang, T., Samnani, A-K., Novicevic, M.M. and Bing, M.N. (2013) Liability-Of-Foreignness Effects On Job Success Of Immigrant Job Seekers. *Journal of World Business* 48(1): 98-109.

Fine, J. (2006). *Worker Centers: Organizing Communities At The Edge Of The Dream*. Ithaca: ILR Press/Cornell University Press.

ILO Labour Migration Branch. (2018). ILO Global Estimates on International Migrant Workers. Available at: https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---dcomm/---publ/documents/publication/wcms_652029.pdf

Lubhéid, E. (2002) *Entry Denied: Controlling Sexuality at the Border*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Massey, D. S. (1998). International Migration and National Development. In D. S. Massey (Ed.), *Worlds In Motion: Understanding International Migration At The End Of The Millenium* (pp. xiv, 362 p.). Oxford; New York: Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press.

Morokvasic, M. (1984). Birds of passage are also women. *International Migration Review* 18: 886–907.

Reinecke, J., and Donaghey, J. (2015). After Rana Plaza: Building coalitional power for labour rights between unions and (consumption-based) social movement organisations. *Organisation*, 22(5), 720-740.

Rodriguez, J. K., Holvino, E., Fletcher, J. K., & Nkomo, S. M. (2016). The Theory and Praxis of Intersectionality in Work and Organisations: Where Do We Go From Here? *Gender, Work and Organisation*, 23(3), 201–222.

Smith, E., and Marmo, M. (2011). Uncovering the 'Virginity Testing' Controversy in the National Archives: The intersectionality of discrimination in British immigration history. *Gender & History*, 23(1), 147-165.

United Nations (UN). (2017). International migration report 2017: Highlights (New York). Available at: http://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/migration/index.shtml.

Van Walsum, S and Spijkerboer, T.(Eds.). (2007). Women And Immigration Law: New Variations On Classical Feminist Themes. London: Routledge.

Wright, C. F. (2014). How Do States Implement Liberal Immigration Policies? Control Signals and Skilled Immigration Reform in Australia, *Governance*, 27(3), 397-421.

Yu K-H. (2014a) Organizing immigrants: meaning generation in the community, *Work, Employment and Society*, 28(3): 355 – 371

Yu, K.-H. (2014b). Organisational Contexts for Union Renewal, *Relations Industrielles/Industrial Relations*, 69(3), 501-523. Yu, K.-H. (Forthcoming). Inclusive unionism: Strategies for retaining idealism in the Service Employees International Union, *Journal of Industrial Relations*, Online first.