Technological changes in the workplace have seen a rise in the demand for, and the wages of, postgraduates. But this has led to widening wage inequalities between postgraduates and undergraduate-only workers.


Growing numbers of university students in Britain and the United States are staying on after their first degrees to invest in a postgraduate qualification. Joanne Lindley and Stephen Machin find that workplace technological changes, such as increasing computer use, has driven rises in the demand for workers with postgraduate qualifications, leading to widening wage inequalities among graduates and across the labour force as a whole.

Mass participation in higher education has altered the typical path followed by university graduates. The norm used to be that after obtaining an undergraduate degree; people would finish their studies and enter the labour market. These days, many more students stay on to invest in postgraduate education. Indeed, by 2009 just over 10% of the workforce in Britain and theUnited States– and more than a third of all graduates – had a postgraduate qualification.

We have documented these trends in postgraduate education and how they relate to rising wage inequality in the two countries. It is now widely understood that despite rapidly growing numbers of university-educated workers, increased relative demand for their skills has been a key driver of overall wage inequality. Our research reveals that the changing composition of the graduate labour force – and widening wage differentials within this group – has also been a key feature of rising inequality.

Figure 1 shows changes in the proportions of all graduates and postgraduates in the labour force during the past 30 years, as well as the changing share of postgraduates among all graduates. In theUnited States, the graduate share of employment has increased steadily, rising from 24% in 1980 to 36% in 2009. InBritain, the graduate share doubled between 1996 and 2009, going from 14.5% to 29%.

Figure 1: Employment shares of all graduates and postgraduates




Source: US Current Population Surveys and Labour Force Surveys in Britain – employment shares are defined for people in work with 0-39 years of potential experience and aged 26-60.

There has been a sharp increase in the number of postgraduates in both countries: in the United Statesfrom 7.5% to 13% between 1980 and 2009; and inBritainfrom 4% to 11% between 1996 and 2009. The more rapid increase in the share of postgraduates compared with college-only workers means that the postgraduate share among graduates has increased in both countries: from 31% to 35% of US graduates between 1980 and 2009; and from 30% to 37% of British graduates between 1996 and 2009.

At the same time as postgraduates increased their employment share, their relative wages also rose. Figure 2 shows how the wage differential between those with a postgraduate qualification and those with just an undergraduate degree has increased through time.

Figure 2: Trends in postgraduate/college-only percentage wage differentials



*Source:*US Current Population Surveys and Labour Force Surveys inBritain – employment shares are defined for people in work with 0-39 years of potential experience and aged 26-60.Percentage wage differentials are calculated controlling for gender, experience, region and ethnicity.

It is evident that postgraduates have significantly strengthened their relative wage position in both countries. In the United States, the postgraduate/college- only wage differential has risen sharply over time, more than doubling from around 14% in 1980 to just over 30% by 2009. In Britain, the postgraduate/ college-only gap is lower but it has risen from 6% in 1996 to 13% by 2009.

So it seems that the relative labour market fortunes of postgraduate and college-only workers have evolved differently through time. The clear pattern that emerges in the two countries is of an increase in both the employment shares and wage differentials for postgraduates vis-à-vis college-only workers. Rising supply coupled with rising relative wages means that relative demand seems to have shifted over time in favour of postgraduate workers compared with college-only workers.

Previous research has connected the relative demand shifts for different education groups that have underpinned increased wage inequality to measures of technological change. The usual approach is to relate the two in terms of changes across industries through time. We have found that that measures of technology – such as R&D, innovation, computer usage and investment in computers – have been strongly correlated with the increased demand for more educated workers.

More evidence that employers are increasingly demanding postgraduates can be seen by comparing the skill sets required by the jobs of postgraduate and college-only workers. Table 1 shows postgraduate/college-only differences in their estimates of cognitive skills, problem- solving skills, people skills, firm-specific skills, the tasks they use computers for and how routine their jobs are.

Table 1: Skills and job tasks suggesting that postgraduates in Britain are more in demand than college-only graduates

Skill/job task	Postgraduates	College-only
Cognitive skills		
Literacy	4.067	3.763
Simple numeracy (basic arithmetic)	3.606	3.583
Advanced numeracy (maths and statistics)	3.004	2.715
Problem-solving skills		
Thinking of solutions to problems	4.311	4.277
Analysing complex problems	4.179	3.880
People skills		
Making speeches/presentations	3.658	3.148
Teaching people	4.023	3.843
Dealing with people	4.658	4.684
Firm-specific skills		
Knowledge of products/services	3.817	3.831
Specialist knowledge or understanding	4.704	4.548
Computer usage		
Using a computer or computerised equipment	4.607	4.384
Proportion that do not use a computer	1.9%	4.5%
Simple (general purpose) computer users	7.4%	10.9%
Moderate computer users	42.8%	48.6%
Complex computer users	47.9%	36.1%
Routine nature of job		
Performing short repetitive tasks	2.689	2.890
Variety in job	4.315	4.195
Sample size	257	1,095

Source: The 2006 Skills Survey. With the exception of the proportions using computers, the numbers are based on a scale of 1-5 for questions on task performance – 'How important is this task in performing your current job?' – for which the choices are 1 'not at all important',2 'not very important',3 'fairly important',4 'very important' or 5 'essential'.

It is clear that both sets of graduates do jobs with high skill and job task requirements. But in key skills areas, the levels are significantly higher for postgraduates. For example, postgraduates have higher numeracy levels (especially advanced numeracy), higher levels of analyzing complex problems and more specialist knowledge or understanding.

Breakdowns of computer usage are also striking. Postgraduate and college-only workers both report high levels of computer usage, but using computers to perform complex tasks is markedly higher among the postgraduate group. So it seems that postgraduates possess different skills and do jobs involving different (usually more complex) tasks than college-only workers. This is in line with the finding that relative demand has shifted faster in favour of the postgraduate group, and it appears to be an important aspect of rising wage inequality among college graduates.

We have also compared the occupations of postgraduate and college-only workers. With more recent data, we can consider different forms of postgraduate degree. Table 2 shows the top five occupations in terms of their share in employment for college-only workers and postgraduates with master's degrees and doctorate degrees.

Table 2: Top five occupations – college-only, master's degrees and doctorates United States,2010, 497 detailed occupations

United States, 2010, 497 detailed occupations

	COLLEGE-ONLY			MASTER'S DEGREE			DOCTORAL DEGREE		
	Top five	Employment		Top five	Employment		Top five	Employment	
	occupations	share		occupations	share		occupations	share	
1	Elementary and middle school teachers	4.6%	1	Elementary and middle school teachers	11%	1	Post-secondary teachers	21%	
2	Managers, all other	3.6%	2	Secondary school teachers	4.7%	2	Physicians and surgeons	10.7%	
3	Accountants and auditors and other judicial	3.3%	3	Managers, all other	4.3%	3	Lawyers, judges, magistrates	10.2%	
4	Chief executives	2.3%	4	Post-secondary teachers	3.6%	4	Psychologists	3.7%	
5	First-line supervisors/manag retail sales workers	ers of 2.2%	5	Education administrators	3.1%	5	Pharmacists	3.6%	

Britain, 2010, 353 detailed occupations

COLLEGE-ON	COLLEGE-ONLY			MASTER'S DEGREE			DOCTORAL DEGREE		
Top five	Top five Employment			Top five E	mployment		Top five	Employment	
occupations		share		occupations	share		occupations	share	
1 Primary and no teaching profe	ursery education essionals	5.1%	1	Secondary education teaching professionals	4.8%	1	Higher education teaching professionals	20.7%	
2 Marketing and	d sales managers	4.5%	2	Software professionals	3.6%	2	Medical practitioners	11%	
3 Nurses		3.6%	3	Marketing and sales managers	3.5%	3	Bioscientists and biochemists	7.1%	
4 Software profe	essionals	3.2%	4	Management consultants, actual economists and statisticians	aries, 3.2%	4	Researchers	3.2%	
5 Information ar technology ma	nd communications anagers	3.1%	5	Information and communication technology managers	ns 3.1%	5	Software professionals	3.1%	

There are several notable features of the top five occupations of these three groups of workers. First, the top five tend to be different occupations in the two countries. Second, while the occupational categories are not quite the same across countries, there are some clear similarities. Third, the postgraduate occupations are more segregated than the college-only occupations. For postgraduates, in the United States the top five (out of 497 occupations) account for almost half of employment (49%) and in Britain the top five (out of 353 occupations) account for around 45%. The college-only distribution is a lot more dispersed, with the top five accounting for only 16% of employment in the United States and 20% in Britain.

Overall, our findings on increasing divergences within the group of workers who go to university offer new evidence on how the changing education structure of the workforce has contributed to rising inequality. There have been significant increases in the number of workers with a postgraduate qualification, and at the same time as this increase in their relative supply, their relative wages have risen strongly compared with workers with only a college degree. Trend increases in the relative demand for postgraduates have acted as a key driver of increasing within-graduate inequality and of overall rises in inequality.

The relative demand shifts in favour of workers with postgraduate qualifications are strongly correlated with technical change as measured by computer usage and investment. It turns out that over the years as computer use has become more widespread in most workplaces, the principal beneficiaries of this revolution have not been all graduates, but those with postgraduate qualifications. As such, there has been a strong connection between the increased presence of postgraduate workers in the labour force and rising wage inequality over time. The principal beneficiaries of the computer revolution have not been all graduates but those with postgraduate qualifications.

This article summarises 'Rising Wage Inequality and Postgraduate Education' by Joanne Lindley and Stephen Machin, CEP Discussion Paper No.1075 (<u>http://cep.lse.ac.uk/pubs/download/dp1075.pdf</u>).

The full version of this article first featured in the Autumn 2011 edition of CentrePiece magazine, which is

About the authors

Joanne Lindley- University of Surrey

Joanne Lindley is a senior lecturer in economics at the University ofSurrey. She was previously a Research Fellow for the Centre for Globalisation and Economic Policy at the University ofNottingham, after which she worked as a Senior Lecturer at the University ofSheffield. Joanne is a member of the European Association of Labor Economists and a regular participant at the Work and Pensions Economics Group (WPEG) seminars and conferences.

Professor Stephen Machin – LSE Centre for Economic Performance

Stephen Machin is Professor of Economics at University College London and Research Director of the Centre for Economic Performance at the London School of Economics. He is one of the Editors of the Economic Journal. Previously he has been visiting Professor atHarvardUniversity (1993/4) and at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2001/2). He is an elected fellow of theBritishAcademy (since 2006), President of the European Association of Labour Economists (from 2008) and is an independent member of the Low Pay Commission (since 2007).

