# A Case of Service-Learning and Research **Engagement in Preservice Teachers' Education**

Luigina Mortari, Roberta Silva, and Marco Ubbiali

#### Abstract

This article describes a service-learning program developed at the University of Verona, Italy. This community service research learning (CSRL) program involves preservice and in-service teachers and incorporates learning, community service, and research. We detail the program's theoretical basis and then present the results of a research project conducted with 45 students (preservice teachers) involved in the program during the academic year 2017–2018. The aim of the research was to identify the enrichments students' believe they achieved through their program participation and what they considered most relevant from program participation for their professional improvement.

Keywords: service-learning, teacher education, apprenticeship, professional development, research engagement

ince its first appearance as a peda- est level, in higher education. Today, SL is engagement and academic learning in contexts where students are involved in providing a service to a community, trying to contribute to the solution of a need and at the same time learning from the experience tries all around the world. itself (Verducci & Pope, 2001) by connecting what they have learned in class and in Many authors emphasize how SL has cation (Felten & Clayton, 2011). It's popu- & Anderson, 1997; Wade, 1997). In 2003, flection (Astin, Vogelgesang, Ikeda, & Yee, many universities have adopted SL practices

gogical method in 1966 (Stanton, found in every academic field and every type Giles, & Cruz, 1999), service- of course across colleges and universities. learning (SL) has increasingly While it first appeared in and expanded gained popularity as a pedagogical within the United States, it has increasingly tool (Billig & Waterman, 2014), instigated been adopted in many countries all around in part by the passage of the National and the world. According to Stanton et al. Community Service Trust Act (1993) in the (1999), SL has gained prominence, perhaps United States. Today, SL is a pedagogical to the greatest level, in higher education. strategy aimed at connecting community Today, SL is found in every academic field and every type of course across colleges and universities. While it first appeared in and expanded within the United States, it has increasingly being adopted in many coun-

the process of giving service to the target become more and more important in the community (Carrington & Saggers, 2008). field of teacher education, first gaining It represents an experiential methodology the attention of teacher educators in the that is now widely practiced in higher edu- United States since the 1990s (Erickson larity stems from its capacity to develop Anderson and Erickson (2003) counted more both academic and soft skills, particularly than 300 teacher education programs that as they pertain to civic analysis and re- integrated SL in their curricula. Even though 2000; Astin et al., 2006; Eyler & Giles 1999). in teacher education programs (Anderson & According to Stanton et al. (1999), SL has Erickson, 2003), research on this practice gained prominence, perhaps to the great- is not as robust (Kirtman, 2008; LaMaster,

2001). Nonetheless, the amount of research is represented by entering a community Root, 2010).

Other scholars have emphasized how SL is useful to enhance elements that are essential for future teachers: (a) the development of teaching skills (Jackson et al., 2018; Wasserman, 2009) and reflective skills (Baldwin, Buchanan, & Rudisill, 2007); (b) a student-centered caring approach to teaching (Buchanan, Baldwin, & Rudisill, 2002); (c) a more complex vision of teaching and learning practices (Maddrell, 2014); (d) an attention to children with special needs (May, Chitiyo, Goodin, Mausey, & Swan-Gravatt, 2018; Russell, 2007), and to children coming from different social and 2008; Li & Lal, 2005); and (e) a human service-oriented vision of teaching (Wade & Anderson, 1996) and in building a community of practice (Swick, 2001). Conner (2010) emphasizes that all of these learning outcomes can be reached only if SL is a project designed with a clear pedagogical intentionality and carried out through Moreover, if education is a practice, as a well-structured and long path. If an SL strengthen preconceived notions (i.e., steal., 2007; Chesler & Vasques Scalera, 2000; Coles, 1999; Erickson & O'Connor, 2000; comprehension or distrust in facing complex situations (Sperling, 2007). In order a vision of education able to orient choices and actions (Mortari, 2009).

#### A Community's Action: Service and Research

is gradually growing as scholars try to fill of practice (Lave & Wenger, 1991; Wenger, the gap that several service-learning schol- 1998). SL builds a context in which the ars have noted (Furco, 2001, 2003; Furco & learner is initiated into the culture of a community and gradually cooperates in building the knowledge that funds it, in a mutual and reciprocal action (Farnsworth, 2010; Hart & King, 2007; Leeman, 2011; McMillan, 2011; ten Dam & Blom, 2006; Yogev & Michaeli, 2011). If the aim of a community is collective improvement, to be part of a community of practice means to look for shared knowledge that arises from working together. For a preservice teacher it is fundamental to learn how to be an educator by sharing educational activities with an expert and being part of a mentoring relationship. Moreover, in an ethical vision, we would like to give form to this relationship not only as a way of learning for the ethnic backgrounds (Carrington & Saggers, mentee, but it also serves as an enrichment for the mentor and for the whole school community. That is why it is important that the learner acts to benefit the community. SL is a very appropriate method to provide this kind of active support while learning (Boyle-Baise, 1998; Mortari, 2017).

we already have suggested, there is not a program is not well-structured, instead of predetermined theory that can be applied being really educative, it can confirm and in every context exactly as it is learned in books. education, in fact, cannot be prereotypes, prejudices) that students had at programmed (Dewey, 1929). Educative the beginning of their training (Baldwin et wisdom, as Mortari (2009) asserts is situational. Teachers should face the variability of different problems in educational con-Petersen, 2007), or can generate lack of texts by analyzing their own actions and producing theories rooted in experience (Mortari, 2017). Teachers' training should to avoid such outcomes, it is essential to be part of a context that is entered not with remember that teaching needs not only a preplanned lesson to be taught (as occurs tools (i.e., instructional strategies) but also in many teachers' training programs), but rather with an open mind to understand the challenges and a creative look to gain solutions. This is the philosophy that makes SL particularly useful for teachers' training. Anyway, this experience can be possible only if preservice teachers are trained as profes-Starting from this premise, we can see that sionals adhering to a service perspective. SL the literature indicates different elements can be useful for developing research-based that contribute to developing personal learning with a sensitive look at community and professional achievements. One of the needs (DePrince, Priebe, & Newton, 2011; most important is the connection between Harkavy & Hartley, 2010). Dewey was the preservice and in-service teachers. This first author who conceived research as an connection is important because education essential element for teachers' education, is a practice, and for it to be learned, an arguing that a practice that does not conexchange of experiences, through the co-sider the contribution of scientific inquiry operation between experts and novices, is enforces a conventional way of educating, necessary (Mortari, 2009). This exchange which is at risk of becoming an uncritical routine (Dewey, 1929). Mortari (2009), from the assumption that this choice, on the developing Dewey's intuition, provided re- one hand, reinforces the connection with search in a fundamental role in teachers' the community and, on the other, supports education, but she specified that

asking the teacher to carry out research doesn't mean to ask him/her to become a researcher, because his/ her duty is not to carry out research but to educate through teaching; instead, he/she is asked to give form to a sufficient competence set allowing him/her to rigorously examine practices acted, and to collect data useful to redefine the theory, and so enabling it to promote a continuous improvement of practices themselves. (p. 35)

Even the European Commission (2014) argues that education to perform research is fundamental in teachers' training, starting from the early stages.

#### The Verona Program

#### A Revolutionary Policy of Education

According to these considerations, the emphasized. University of Verona (Italy) organized for students of the master's degree in primary school education a SL program that assumes the form of community service research learning (CSRL). The CSRL program has a double aim: (1) to promote learning as a serdissertation on their experience.

This model of CSRL is grounded in a revolutionary policy of education: the idea of

the development of the preservice teachers' research skills. The European Commission (2014) considers such skills as key skills for teachers' training because, in order to understand what is happening in a real context, a teacher must know how to get in touch with authentic and not idealized everyday life, and therefore he or she must know how to look "inside" it (Mortari, 2007). Indeed, university policies of education to perform research should be revised. Too often, in fact, undergraduate students' final dissertations are simply a written reflection about an "intellectual curiosity." This can certainly have an interesting outcome but, in most cases, it remains unknown to the community of practitioners. This lack of binding of the research work to a real-world context causes a lack of significance that translates into a cultural diseconomy. Despite the importance that these skills have for the practice of teaching, the training programs directly aimed at developing them are very few; however, in the CSRL program, their development is

# The Structure of the Program

The program is implemented in five steps. In Step 1, students attend the SL program during the Course of Educational Research vice for the classroom in which the students (60 hours) and the related Workshop (15 are engaged in their apprenticeship and (2) hours). During the course, students become to envision the research for the writing of familiar with the SL theoretical basis and each student's dissertation as a work that the methodological tools needed to plan, answers significant questions raised by observe, document, and analyze their SL teachers-mentors. The program involves (a) experience. More specifically, they learn students of the last two years, (b) in-service how to identify a school need, how to design teachers coming from different schools, and an intervention starting from it, how to use (c) an academic team that has collectively qualitative observation tools, how to create assumed the role of supervisor. We define a qualitative report of the experience, and this program as community research service how to analyze actions to improve their eflearning (Mortari, 2017) because students ficacy. During Step 2, every student (preachieve academic outcomes through a ser- service teacher) chooses the level of school vice action aimed at responding to a specific in which he or she wants to be trained need of a community (in this case a school (kindergarten or primary school), and then community). Moreover, they are also called each student is put in connection with an upon to develop educational research related in-service teacher, paying attention to the to their service action and write a research fact that a good relationship should be established between a student and his or her mentor, since they have to share two years of school together.

the university engaged in the community, Step 3 is focused on the identification of serving it with its research and educational the community's need. What differentiates practices (Mortari, 2017). This model starts the Verona program from many other SL

in-service teachers, by mutual agreement, ment in the CSRL program?" design an action (an educational program,

Finally, Step 5 of the program regards the development of the dissertation that students are called upon to write in order to achieve their degree. The writing of the dissertation is the moment when students put their research project into words, from the needs identification to the collection and analysis of data. Thanks to this writing they reflect on the practice and really learn a pedagogical posture. In this regard, it is worthwhile to emphasize that, during the SL program, students are required to write a reflective journal in which they write thoughts, feelings, and actions related to their SL experience.

# The Research Question and the Methodological Framework

#### Start From the Question

In order to analyze the CSRL program, during the academic year 2017-2018 we decided to conduct a study that involved 45 students, in order to: (1) define what are, according to their experiences, the achieve-

programs is that this identification does their participation in our SL program; and not precede the entrance of the students (2) identify what students consider iminto the context. On the contrary, the com- portant for their personal and professional munity need is defined jointly by the in- growth. Indeed, our CSRL is built on the service and the preservice teachers during conviction that a SL practice helps future the first weeks of their induction as a result teachers develop essential professional of the cooperation between them. Indeed, skills (reflective, civic, teaching, etc.), but, students are called upon to put into action starting from their own experience, what what they have learned during the Course do students really feel they have learned? of Educational Research in order to help Hence, the research question that guides our the in-service teacher identify the problem study is "Starting from their own experion which the action will be focused. Step 4 ence, what achievement do students think concerns the service action: preservice and they have achieved through their involve-

a teaching program, an evaluation program, Coherently to our aim, we developed a study etc.) aimed at responding to the previously that follows an ecological paradigm, acidentified needs. In this phase, the academic cording to the idea that in order to throw team supervises the design of the action, light on something that happens in a real supports preservice and in-service teachers context, you must interview those who are in case of need, and mentors the preservice involved in it (Merriam, 2002; Mortari, teachers in order to guide the achieve- 2007). We chose a phenomenological apment of their academic outcomes and the proach because it is particularly suitable development of the educational research for exploring the meanings that people that they are called upon to conduct. This give to their experience (Lincoln & Guba, interaction between the step focused on the 1985). This method of inquiry is inspired action and the one focused on the research by the phenomenological-hermeneutic is symbolic of the interaction between two philosophy, because its aim is to examine kinds of knowledge: the academic "news" the problem starting from the subjects' brought by preservice teachers and the deep lived experiences (Mortari, 2007). The data experiential wisdom elaborated by practi- collected are the reflective texts connected to students' dissertations. This method of gathering data leads the researchers to acquire direct knowledge of the subjects' world, following the principle of adherence to reality. The analysis of the data is inspired by content analysis because it allows defining and organizing the meaning of a text to discover its core elements without losing its undertones (Elo & Kyngäs, 2008; Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). This tool is set into a more articulated analysis method that interconnects the empirical phenomenological method (EPM) and grounded theory (GT; Mortari, 2007). This method takes inspiration from the EPM for the posture of analysis that allows us to pay attention to the visible profile of things, remaining faithful to the qualities of the phenomenon and leading to a rigorous description of it. On the other hand, this method is connected with GT because it gives us a way to build a systematic process of analysis through different steps (Mortari, 2007; Mortari & Silva, 2018).

#### The Process of Analysis

This systematic process of analysis is guided ments that these students have earned from by several main "rules" and is organized in different steps. The rules guide the re- in categories that help us to define more searcher to proceed in certain ways:

- reading data many times;
- exploring data without a map of ideas or epistemic moves already defined (i.e., cultivating open attention);
- recursively going back to data in order to have the possibility to understand the smallest details;
- being patient: The researcher must develop a restful posture of the mind in order to listen to the text; and
- during the process of constructing the descriptive theory, monitoring the words to enable them to faithfully express the meanings emerging from the analysis itself. (Mortari, 2007)

This tool of analysis is organized into eight steps or phases. The first phase can be considered Step 0, which is aimed at gaining an overall knowledge of the research The research was conducted between overall meaning of the data, in order to provide a context for the emergence of specific units of meaning. In the next step, Step 1 the text is divided into meaning units and the researchers generate descriptive labels for every unit, comparing their ideas and interpretive dissonances between them. perience. The aim of this step is the development of a provisional coding characterized by descriptive labels to identify the specific quality of every meaning unit. Step 2 is optional, but it is needed when something unclear has emerged in the previous step. This step involves holding a specific data analysis session with subjects in order to clarify the meaning of excerpts for which researchers' interpretations vary widely.

precisely the "shape" of the phenomena we are investigating. In order to complete this step, the labels are regrouped into categories (second-level labels) with analogous types of meaning units, and they are then placed into homogeneous sets, producing a list of categories that characterize the qualities that mark the different aspects of the phenomena. Hence, this step allows the researchers to define the coding of analysis. In Step 6 all the meaning units are classified (using a table) according to the final coding system and, after that, the researchers focus their attention on the categories (or the labels) that emerge to make them more coherent with the research question. This second level of mapping makes clear the distributions, the frequencies, and the interconnections of the various categories, and it leads to the emergence of a descriptive theory inductively constructed through a gradual process of interpretation of the data (Mortari 2007; Mortari & Silva, 2018).

### **Findings and Conclusion**

material, which is necessary to grasp the October 2017 and November 2018 and involved 45 students. Every student is assigned an anonymizing identifier (e.g., S1, C2). The analysis leads us to elaborate a coding system (presented in Table 1) that describes the achievements that students, starting from their lived experience, think examining the descriptive alignment and they have earned at the end of their SL ex-

In this article, we do not present the entire set of findings but, in alignment with the research question that we had submitted, we focus our attention on the professional skills and particularly on the categories "development of research skills," "development of reflective skills," and "development of a service perspective." We focus our attention on these elements because, as we have previously noted, research skills are what In Step 3 the provisional coding is verified make the future teachers able to transition through a recursive process to monitor the from technicians to competent professionals capacity of the coding to describe every unit (He & Prater, 2014), to become someone able of meaning in an adequate and effective to modulate his or her professional behavior way. The aim of this phase is to redefine according to the context of emerging needs the labels, testing their descriptive adequacy (Kellehe & Farley, 2006), and to transform in achieving a faithful conceptualization of his or her own experiences into experiential the different aspects of the phenomena. knowledge. This knowledge leads to inter-Step 4 regards a kind of "tuning" of the rogating practice to construct educational coding, which is refined in order to make it theories and able to enlighten practices, not only appropriate but also clear. In Step 5 rather than merely "applying" knowledge the descriptive grains (labels) are organized that is developed by someone else (Mortari,

Table 1. Self-Reported Skills Students Gained From the CSRL Program	
Professional skills	Increasing of professional knowledge
	Completion of professional profile
	Development of reflective skills
	Development of a service perspective
	Development of research skills
"Transversal" (or "personal") skills	Development of the skill to learn from mistakes and manage a crisis
	Development of skills useful to handle the unexpected
	Development of self-critical skills
	Supporting motivation
Interrelational skills	Development of collaborative skills
	Development of empathic listening
	Development of a child-centered approach

2007, 2017). In order to do this, it is also researcher.... I will enter in the school not cause it is through reflection that the sub- (D1). jects reelaborate their experience in systematically structured systems of thought, allowing a critical analysis of action that permits the elaboration of experiential knowledge (Eyler & Giles, 1999; McCarthy, 2010; Mortari, 2009; Wade, 1995). The SL experience adds a further enriching aspect because it not only allows the development of research and reflective skills of future teachers, but it does this starting from a service perspective. This connection beechoes the thought of Rorty (2002) and his pragmatist vision of research. According to Rorty, research must be focused on solving the real problems of a context. This is possible only when starting from an ethical posture open to the other's needs, which "should not be understood as the passive bending to every request that comes from the context." Rather it is the ability to be engaged in an action starting from "a negotiation of the meanings that leads to a common framework" (Mortari, 2017, p. 31).

#### Research Skills

The development of research skills, this of research skills had represented for them is an explicit goal of our program, and the a kind of "catalyst," able to activate other students are made aware of this. Moreover, forms of professional growth. This means from student feedback, we have learned that that the achievement of the research skills these skills are something that students for these future teachers is not only an imconsider relevant for their future profes- portant acquisition on its own, but because sional practice. As one student stated, "I these competencies are connected to the de-

necessary to develop reflective skills, be- only as a teacher but also as a researcher"

In their texts, students express their views of what characterizes the professional profile of a teacher who adheres to a research perspective. The first element that they emphasize is that this kind of teacher is someone who applies the tools that research offers to analyze and evaluate the teaching and learning processes with a transformative purpose. In other words, to be a teacher with research skills means to have tween research and service perspectives a powerful tool that not only represents an interpretive aid for analyzing the context, but also a device to be used in solving the problems that emerge from the context.

> The posture of the researcher leads a teacher to examine in a rigorous way the practices put in place in classroom and [this means] to develop a habit that considers research as something aimed at promoting the quality of educational actions. (R1)

The second element, according to our students' experiences, is that the development would like to bring with me . . . my being a velopment of a critical and analytical vision of professional practice that enables them problem and propose solutions, but it also to develop a professional practice, they are throws light on the dynamics of thought skills oriented toward lifelong learning.

The research experience had represented a real opportunity for training and learning. [P1]

documents affirm regarding the need for teachers to adhere to a lifelong learning perspective (European Commission, 2014). However, many researchers have portance that reflexivity has held for their emphasized that this disposition should be cultivated from the early stage of teacher training in order for it to be embedded into the teachers' teaching ethos (Day, 2002). Starting from our students' experiences, the development of a research habit seems to be a way to promote commitment and enthusiasm for lifelong learning.

Something that I have learned from this experience is that a teacher . . . is someone who can be an active actor in the construction of the future of people, being in a lifelong learning perspective with and for others. (Z1)

It is interesting to note that students consider lifelong learning an expression of their the actions. responsibilities toward their pupils. Indeed, in the expression "with and for others" we can find the meaning of educational research that is enrolled in a participatory dimension, not only because it sees the involvement of teachers as active agents in research actions in which the pupils also play an active role (Mazzoni & Mortari, 2015), but also because it acquires meaning through a principle of utility aimed at improving the well-being of the pupils the teachers are responsible for (Mortari, 2009).

#### Reflective Skills

As we have previously noted, the develop- mode (van Manen, 1995, p. 33). Indeed, the ment of research skills is strictly connected teacher should be able to reflect in a penduto the development of reflective skills. lum that temporally goes from the moment Nevertheless, these competencies are also that precedes the act, transits to the action something that characterize the profile of itself, and closes, in a circular perspective, a good teacher, so these competencies have with the phases that follow it. The purpose a double relevance in the professional de- of this transversal reflection is to weave, in velopment of a research-oriented teacher. a critical way, the moment of intervention Reflection is a critical component of think- planning, the implementation phase, and ing that allows us to look at the context, the evaluation, directing future practices identifying critical areas and assuming ef-more effectively (van Manen, 1995). The fective educational strategies because it is writing of a journal that keeps track of his not only a cognitive act able to analyze a or her own experience can help the teacher

that accompany this path (Mortari, 2009). For this reason, reflection is for a teacher "an intentional act of the mind" that makes him or her able to investigate his or her own way of teaching (Lyons, 2002, p. 99), transforming experiences into experiential This statement also recalls what official knowledge, a thoughtful and critical knowledge that interrogates practice to construct theories able to enlighten it (Mortari, 2009). The students' texts emphasized the improfessional development.

> Self-reflective practice . . . [is an] activity of critical vigilance on thought, which I have been able to exercise along the entire path. (R1)

> [This program] has led me to grow in reflexivity. . . . I have ventured into a continuous reflection on my experience. (O1)

The students were encouraged to write a journal that kept track of both the practical and reflective dimensions of their experience. These field notes are composed of observational notes and reflective notes, which draft the thoughts that accompanied

Writing helps to gather the [pedagogical] knowledge and the reflections on it, giving the possibility to retrace one's own step, to be able to observe it from different points of view and to capture aspects which at first you have not notice. (P1)

Writing is essential for the development of future teachers' reflective skills because it helps activate a critical vision of their professional practice that leads to developing "anticipatory reflection"—that is, the ability to reflect on actions in a future-oriented

develop this kind of reflection (Mortari, from everyday actions, despite the fact that with the support of the academic staff, and so on).

An element that I considered essential in developing this experience was the frequent occasions for thinking, either individually or with the help of the team of university professors who supported us. (M1)

This choice has precise reasons: on one hand, peer coaching supports preservice teachers in developing reflective skills because it helps each student go deeper into The Service Perspective his or her own perspective and compare it with others' perspectives. Indeed, peer confrontation leads to developing a kind of reflexivity able to relate, with an open mind and thoughtful approach, to the complexity that characterizes educational contexts (Lee & Choi, 2013; Mortari, 2009). On the other hand, the support of the academic staff is aimed at helping students cultivate the ability to analyze the cases and develop new return, accompanying the teacher in a pro-(Mortari, 2009).

[Reflecting] has always helped me to implement a good teaching and grow professionally. I hope not to lose this ability but rather to further refine it to become a good teacher, able to observe and design following the needs of my students. (S1)

From these words emerges awareness of the importance of reflective practice as a daily habit in order to support the future teachers' capability to continuously reinvest energies in their own professional training starting

2009). Furthermore, writing a journal it is hard to put reflective practice into action helps future teachers develop the narra- during the flow of the teaching activity. tive dimension of their actions; indeed, the The reflective teacher is the one who looks narrative thought allows teachers to revise at his or her own experience, analyzing it their actions starting from multiple points through a variety of tools and from different of view and leads the subject to bring to perspectives, in order to highlight his or her light values, beliefs, and theories that are potential and areas that need improvement subtended to their behavior, guiding them (Mortari, 2009). Reflective competence is to a deeper and better articulated reading essential as a contrast to the idea of teachof their lived experiences (Conway, 2001; ing as a routine practice, which produces Jalongo, Isenberg, & Gerbracht, 1995). a standardization of thought in younger During the program, moments of shared generations and a general failure of critical reflection were also organized in different thinking (Mortari, 2009). All these considforms (peer groups, small and large groups erations have a great impact on the development of the debate on teachers' training, leading to the concept that the development of reflective skills is one of the cornerstones for teachers' professionalism, with a solid theoretical base, an in-depth knowledge of educational contexts, and the improvement of evaluative skills (Yost, 2006).

> The third element connected to the development of research and reflective skills of future teachers is the development of a service perspective.

The development of a service perspective is crucial in a SL program, and it is essential to clarify that it should not be interpreted in a pietistic or philanthropic sense that considers "service" as "charity" (Mortari, 2017). Indeed, this interpretation of the concept of service echoes the idea of an act of restitution to society in order to cover a debt that the subject contracts by virtue of a privileged position that can lead to undersolutions, keeping contact with the reality estimating the civic role and the transforfrom which it is born and to which it must mative value of these experiences (Gorham, 1992; Sandaran, 2012). Indeed, the service cess of elicitation of his or her knowledge perspective that SL promotes is based on through a critical and systematic analysis an idea of equality between all the subjects involved because all of them should "gain" something from the SL experience: the students (development of professional and personal skills) and the community (receiving concrete help in solving a real problem; Kahne & Westheimer, 1996). In this regard, it is interesting to note that the students observed how this is a difficult perspective to assume, particularly at the beginning of the program, because it is experienced in some way as a leap into the void.

> Putting oneself at the service of someone "blindly" means in a certain sense take a risk. (C2)

From the texts of our students emerges that what transforms their experience into a positive one, making them really able to develop a service perspective, is the relationship with the in-service teacher. In many cases the relationship between the student (preservice teacher) and the tutor (in-service teacher) was characterized, while their mutual knowledge increased, by respect and collaboration leading to a reciprocal enrichment.

To make oneself available to the other is not a simple thing, initially there are many doubts. In time, however, we get to know each other and if you know and respect someone, you want to help him. (S1)

There are two more elements, partly connected to the relationship with the in-service teacher, that are positively connected to the development of the service perspective: (a) the sense of usefulness that students witnessed in feeling they were part of the solution to a problem that concretely affected the quality of life of a class and (b) the awareness that the in-service teachers trusted them. As regards the first one, many students have emphasized their feeling of being part of the class and therefore being dimension (Mortari, 2017). This gives a new responsible for the solution to the problems of the class, making the service action a goal that they felt as primary.

I was able to experience what it means to actually offer a service. . . . For the first time I felt really useful in the face of a real need for a teacher in the classroom. (B2)

This community and participatory aspect of service-learning is linked to a concretely acted civic engagement in which community From the words of our students emerged the service activities do not represent a corol- idea of service-oriented research, meaning lary of the training path but constitute "the research that wants to be at the service of backbone" capable of promoting a teacher the participants, with the aim of promoting figure characterized by a strong ethical and the improvement of an educational praccivic dimension (Mortari, 2017).

made with the tutor.

Planning together . . . with the aim of improve the learning experience of the children has allowed me to feel the value inherent in the service. During this journey, I have always felt useful, and I felt the trust that the teachers had in me and their desire to feel supported by me to discover what was "going wrong." (G2)

This feeling of usefulness emerges from the lived experience of our students to be an element that had a crucial role in the reinforcement of their motivation, particularly in the difficult moments. Anyway, we think that it is important for another reason also. It is through this feeling that students discover their being really part of the community, a part that can concretely contribute to its quality of life. Each student's action of service is therefore not only a "duty" but becomes the manifestation of an idea of civic responsibility that finds its inspiring principles in participation and sharing.

In this sense, the service action takes the role of an actualization of the ethic of care, assuming the principle of mutual wellbeing as a daily and possible inspiration within a genuinely understood community significance not only to the service action, thanks to its being a concrete help that students provide to the teacher, but also through the research actions they carried out with the aim of improving the quality of class life.

Returning the data and reflections to the teacher [. . .] makes this research [. . .] an authentic service oriented research. (V2)

tice designing new educational experiences and subjecting them to rigorous scientific As regards the second element that we have analysis. At the same time, a dual objective underlined, the importance for students of is thus pursued: to increase pedagogical scifeeling that tutors trust them, in the words ence and qualify educational contexts. To of our students it emerges that this aware- be in a perspective of "service" means to ness is at the base of a new confidence that assume a precise sense of the gift concept, they felt as a "reward" for their actions and which means to give something to the other as a proof of their being able to "make a responding to a personal inner need withdifference" thanks to the alliance they had out expecting something in return. This is reminiscent of the words of Seneca (Benefits,

I, v, 1, ca. 59 A.D./2000), who defines the acterizes this kind of teacher is (a) ability of others and of institutions (Ricoeur, 1992). In order to do this, you should be able to put yourself in brackets, because otherwise you cannot understand what is good for others and direct personal actions from a service perspective. These reflections are coherent with what emerged from our students' feedback and show us that our SL program improves civic skills inspired by an ethic of care in our students. This ethic of care puts this concept into a political framework that goes beyond a narrow vision of the teaching profession and sees it as the core element to reach a more democratic vision of society that nourishes a public life inspired by the principles of solidarity, responsibility, and commitment to the community (Mortari, 2017).

From our study it emerges that what char- professional practice.

gift as "a thing that responds to a spiritual to use the research tool interpretively to order" and consists "in the willingness to analyze both the needs of the contexts and give." This gift is care, a care that inspires his or her own educational practice; (b) a an ethical vision of life (Mortari, 2017). Care, research habit oriented to a transformain its ethical core, means to act looking for tive purpose, which means being involved the good not only of the individual but also in concrete actions aimed at solving the problems emerging from the contexts, meshing this action with a commitment to lifelong learning; (c) the use of reflectivity to transform the educational experience into experiential knowledge, assuming a critical and thoughtful posture to interrogate contexts with a high level of complexity in order to construct theories able to enlighten them; and (d) the development of a participatory vision of his or her professional practice inspired by a concretely acted civic engagement and a concept of care that inspires an ethical vision of life. This shows how the CSRL program promotes in our students a vision of teaching guided by the principles of utility, reflexivity, participation, civic engagement, and care, a vision that we hope will inspire all their future



#### About the Authors

Luigina Mortari is a professor of the Master's Degree in Primary School Education and director of the service-learning program in the Teaching and Learning Center (TALC) at the University of Verona. Her research interests include epistemology and qualitative methods in educational research, epistemology and qualitative methods in nursing research, evaluative research in educational contexts, and the practice of caring in educational settings. She received her Ph.D. from the University of Padua.

Roberta Silva is a research assistant in the Master's Degree in Primary School Education and and co-coordinator of the internship program of the Teaching and Learning Center (TaLC) at the University of Verona. Her research interests include teacher education, innovation in teaching practices, and qualitative methods in educational research. She received her Ph.D. from the University of Verona.

Marco Ubbiali is a research assistant of the Master's Degree in Primary School Education and co-coordinator of the service-learning program and member of the Centre for Educative and Didactic Research at the University of Verona. His research interests include ethical education and philosophy of education, with particular regard to kindergarten. He received his Ph.D. from the University of Bergamo.

#### References

- Anderson, J. B., & Erickson, J. A. (2003). Service-learning in pre-service teacher education. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 7(2), 111–115.
- Astin, A. W., Vogelgesang, L. J., Ikeda, E. K., & Yee, J. A. (2000). How service learning affects students. Conference of the Higher Education Research Institute. Los Angeles, University of California.
- Astin, A. W., Vogelgesang, L. J., Misa, K., Anderson, J., Denson, N., Jayakumar, U., . . . Yamamura, E. (2006). *Understanding the effects of service-learning: A study of students and faculty*. Retrieved December 15, 2015, from University of California, Los Angeles, Higher Education Research Institute website: http://www.heri.ucla.edu/PDFs/pubs/reports/UnderstandingTheEffectsOfServiceLearning\_FinalReport.pdf
- Baldwin, S., Buchanan, A., & Rudisill, M. (2007). What teacher candidates learned about diversity, social justice, and themselves from service learning experiences. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 58(4), 315–327.
- Billig, S. H., & Waterman, A. S. (Eds.). (2014). Studying service-learning: Innovations in education research methodology. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Boyle-Baise, M. (1998). Community service learning for multicultural education: An exploratory study with preservice teachers. *Equity & Excellence*, 31(2), 52–60.
- Buchanan, A. M., Baldwin, S. C., & Rudisill, M. E. (2002). Service learning as scholarship in teacher education. *Educational Researcher*, 31(8), 30–36.
- Carrington, S., & Saggers, B. (2008). Service-learning informing the development of an inclusive ethical framework for beginning teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(3), 795–806.
- Chesler, M., & Vasques Scalera, C. (2000). Race and gender issues related to service-learning research. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, Special Issue No. 1, pp. 18–27.
- Coles, R. L. (1999). Race–focused service–learning courses: Issues and recommendations. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 6(1), 97–105.
- Conner, J. O. (2010). Learning to unlearn: How a service learning project can help teacher candidates to reframe urban students. *Teacher and Teaching Education*, 26(5), 1170–1177. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2010.02.001
- Conway, P. F. (2001). Anticipatory reflection while learning to teach: From a temporally truncated to a temporally distributed model of reflection in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(1), 89–106.
- Day, C. (2002). Developing teachers: The challenges of lifelong learning. London, England: Routledge.
- DePrince, A. P., Priebe, S. J., & Newton, T. (2011). Learning about violence against women in research methods: A comparison to traditional pedagogy. *Psychological Trauma:* Theory, Research, Practice and Policy, 3(3), 215–222. doi:10.1037/a0024641
- Dewey, J. (1929). The sources of a science of education. New York, NY: Horace Liveright.
- Elo, S., & Kyngäs, H. (2008). The qualitative content analysis process. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 62(1), 107–115.
- Erickson, J. A., & Anderson, J. B. (Eds.). (1997). Learning with the community: Concepts and models for service-learning in teacher education. Washington, DC: American Association for Higher Education. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 416179)
- Erickson, J., & O'Connor, S. (2000). Service-learning's effect on prejudice: Does it reduce or promote it? In C. O'Grady (Ed.), Transforming education, transforming the world: The integration of service-learning and multicultural education into higher education (pp. 59–70). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- European Commission. (2014). *Initial teacher education in Europe: An overview of policy issues*. Retrieved December 12, 2015, from http://ec.europa.eu/education/policy/strategic-framework/expertgroups/documents/initial-teacher-education\_en.pdf
- Eyler, J., & Giles, D. E., Jr. (1999). Where's the learning in service learning? San Francisco,

- CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Farnsworth, V. (2010). Conceptualizing identity, learning and social justice in community-based learning. Teaching and Teacher Education, 26(7), 1481–1489.
- Felten, P., & Clayton, P. H. (2011). Service-learning. New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 2011(128), 75-84.
- Furco, A. (2001). Advancing service-learning at research universities. New Directions for Higher Education, 2001(114), 67-78.
- Furco, A. (2003). Issues of definition and program diversity in the study of servicelearning. In S. H. Billig (Ed.), Studying service-learning (pp. 13-34). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Furco, A., & Root, S. (2010). Research demonstrates the value of service learning. Phi Delta Kappan, 91(5), 16-20.
- Gorham, E. B. (1992). National service, citizenship, and political education: Alexis de Tocqueville and leadership in America. Albany, NY: SUNY Press.
- Harkayy, I., & Hartley, M. (2010). Pursuing Franklin's dream: Philosophical and historical roots of service learning. American Journal of Psychology, 46(3-4), 418-427.
- Hart, M., & King, J. R. (2007). Service learning and literacy tutoring: Academic impact on pre-service teachers. Teaching and Teacher Education, 23(4), 323-338. doi:10.1016/j. tate.2006.12.004
- He, Y., & Prater, K. (2014). Writing together, learning together: Teacher development through community service learning. Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice, 20(1),
- Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. Qualitative Health Research, 15(9), 1277-1288.
- Jackson, C., Mohr-Schroeder, M., Cavalcanti, M., Albers, S., Poe, K., Delaney, A., . . . Roberts, T. (2018). Prospective mathematics teacher preparation: Exploring the use of service learning as a field experience. *Fields Mathematics Education Journal*, 3(5), 1–21.
- Jalongo, M. R., Isenberg, J. P., & Gerbracht, G. (1995). Teachers' stories: From personal narrative to professional insight (The Jossey-Bass Education Series). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Kahne, J., & Westheimer, J. (1996). In the service of what. Phi Delta Kappan, 77(9), 592-599.
- Kellehe, J., & Farley, M. (2006). Engaged pedagogies: Service learning perceptions from the field. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
- Kirtman, L. (2008). Pre-service teachers and mathematics: The impact of service learning on teacher preparation. School Science and Mathematics, 108(3), 94–102.
- LaMaster, K. J. (2001). Enhancing preservice teachers field experiences through the addition of a service-learning component. The Journal of Experiential Education, 24(1), 27-33.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1991). Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Lee, O., & Choi, E. (2013). Utilizing peer coaching to facilitate pre-service physical education teachers' reflection. The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher, 22(2), 147-154.
- Leeman, J. (2011). Standards, commodification, and critical service learning in minority language communities. The Modern Language Journal, 95(2), 300-303.
- Li, X., & Lal, S. (2005). Critical reflective thinking through service-learning in multicultural teacher education. Intercultural Education, 16(3), 217–234.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Guba, E.G. (1985). Naturalistic inquiry. Newbury Park (CA): Sage.
- Lyons N.O.N.A. (2002), The personal self in a public story: The portfolio presentation narrative. Narrative inquiry in practice: Advancing the knowledge of practice, 87–100.
- Maddrell, J. (2014). Service-learning instructional design considerations. Journal of

- Computing in Higher Education, 26(3), 213-226.
- May, M. E., Chitiyo, J., Goodin, T., Mausey, A., & Swan-Gravatt, C. (2018). A service learning model for special education teacher preparation in secondary transition programming. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 41(3), 156–165.
- Mazzoni, V., & Mortari, L. (2015). Dumbing down educational research: The voice of teachers in ECEC. *Italian Journal of Educational Research*, No. 14, pp. 175–190.
- McMillan, J. (2011). What happens when the university meets the community? Service learning, boundary work and boundary workers. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 16(5), 553–564.
- Merriam, S. B. (2002). Qualitative research in practice: Examples for discussion and analysis. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Mortari, L. (2007). Cultura della ricerca e pedagogia: Prospettive epistemologiche. Rome, Italy: Carocci.
- Mortari, L. (2009). Ricercare e riflettere: La formazione del docente professionista. Rome, Italy: Carocci.
- Mortari, L. (Ed.). (2017). Service Learning: Per un apprendimento responsabile. Milan, Italy: FrancoAngeli.
- Mortari, L., & Silva, R. (2018). Words faithful to the phenomenon: A discursive analysis method to investigate decision-making processes in the intensive care unit. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 17(1). doi:10.1177/1609406918797791
- National and Community Service Trust Act of 1993, Pub. L. No. 103–82 (1993). Retrieved from http://www.nationalservice.gov/sites/default/files/documents/cncs\_statute\_1993.pdf
- Petersen, N. (2007). Pre-service teacher education students' engagement with care and social justice in a service learning module. *Education as Change*, 11(3), 169–181.
- Ricoeur, P. (1992). Oneself as another. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Rorty, R. (2002). A pragmatist view of contemporary analytic philosophy. *Utopía y Praxis Latinoamericana*, 7(16), http://www.stanford.edu/~rrorty.
- Russell, N. M. (2007). Teaching more than English: Connecting ESL students to their community through service learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 88(10), 770–771.
- Sandaran, S. C. (2012). Service learning: Transforming students, communities and universities. *Procedia—Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 66, 380–390. doi:10.1016/j. sbspro.2012.11.281
- Seneca. (2000). Tutte le opere. Milan, Italy: Bompiani. (Original work published ca. 59 A.D.)
- Sperling, R. (2007). Service-learning as a method of teaching multiculturalism to White college students. *Journal of Latinos and Education*, 6(4), 209–322.
- Stanton, T., Giles, D., & Cruz, N. (1999). Service-learning: A movement's pioneers reflect on its origins, practice, and future. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Swick, K. J. (2001). Nurturing decency through caring and serving during the early child-hood years. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 29(2), 131–137.
- ten Dam, G. T. M., & Blom, S. (2006). Learning through participation: The potential of school-based teacher education for developing a professional identity. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 22(6), 647–660.
- van Manen, M. (1995). On the epistemology of reflective practice. *Teachers and Teaching*, 1(1), 33–50.
- Verducci, S., & Pope, D. (2001). Rationales for integrating service-learning in teacher education. In J. B. Anderson, K. J. Swick, & J. Yff (Eds.), Service-learning in teacher education: Enhancing the growth of new teachers, their students, and communities (pp. 2–18). Washington, DC: AACTE.
- Wade, R. C. (1995). Developing active citizens: Community service learning in social studies teacher education. *The Social Studies*, 86(3), 122–128.

- Wade, R. C. (1997). Empowerment in student teaching through community service learning. Theory Into Practice, 36(3), 184-191.
- Wade, R. C., & Anderson, J. B. (1996). Community service-learning: A strategy for preparing human service-oriented teachers. Teacher Education Quarterly, 59-74.
- Wasserman, K. B. (2009). The role of service-learning in transforming teacher candidates' teaching of reading. Teaching and Teacher Education, 25(8), 1043–1050.
- Wenger, E. (1998). Communities of practice: Learning, meaning and identity. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Yogev, E., & Michaeli, N. (2011). Teachers as society-involved "organic intellectuals": Training teachers in a political context. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 62(3), 312–324.
- Yost, D. S. (2006). Reflection and self-efficacy: Enhancing the retention of qualified teachers from a teacher education perspective. Teacher Education Quarterly, 33(4), 59-76.