

10 - 12 MAY 2018 Estoril Congress Center Cascais | Portugal









PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS SCREENING AND ASSESSMENT TOOL FOR EUROPEAN PORTUGUESE SPEAKING CHILDREN

Ana Castro¹², Dina Caetano Alves¹³, Susana Correia³⁴ & Célia Soares¹

1 Escola Superior de Saúde do Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal, 2 Centro de Linguística da Universidade Nova de Lisboa (CLUNL), 3 Centro de Linguística da Universidade de Lisboa (CLUL), ⁴ Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas da Universidade Nova de Lisboa

Background

Phonological awareness is an explicit linguistic competence that assumes a crucial role in language development and in the reading/spelling learning process, being these competences addressed by many professionals, such as educators, teachers, speech and language therapists/pathologists, and psychologists (Freitas, Alves & Costa, 2007).

The project 'Phonological Awareness - instruments for clinical and educational intervention' had as a main goal to turn available a phonological awareness assessment tool, in digital format, validated and standardized to Portuguese children between 3 and 9 years old.



Many studies were conducted to explore and identify the main features to be included in ConF.IRA (Phonological Awareness

Screening and Assessment Instrument; Castro, Alves, Correia & Nógica Avioso Soares, in prep.).

Aim of the study

Until the current version, numerous exploratory studies were carried out, focused on the effect of (psycho)linguistics features as well as validity and reliability. The goal of this presentation is to describe the main steps of the development of ConF.IRA towards its current version, considering the effect of phonological unit (and properties), age and schooling.

RESULTS related to the instrument

Correlations, validity and psychometry aspects

Table 2. Studies focused on (psycho)linguistics, methodological and psychometric aspects

Segmental awareness	Syllabic awareness	Word awareness	Other aspects
Ribeiro & Alve	s (2007)	Cardoso & Castro (2011)	Lopes & Castro (2009)*
Afonso & Correia (2008)	Santana & Castro (2008)	,	Alves, Castro & Correia
			Santos, Pinheiro, & Castro (2010)
Vasco & Castro(2008)	Barriguita & Alves (2008)		Antunes (2013)*
Silva & Correia (2008)	Meireles & Alves (2008)		Leitão (2013)*
Aparício & Correia (2008)	Jesus & Castro(2008)		Alves, Castro, Correia & Soares (2015)*
, ,	Isabel & Alves (2009)		Miranda, Castro & Alves (2015)\$
	Ribeiro & Alves (2009)		Videira, Castro & Alves (2015)
			Martins & Alves (2016) \$
			Silva, Alves & Soares (2016)#
			Ortega, Alves & Castro (2016)#

- 2008-2011: tasks and phonological properties of the stimuli (at segmental, syllabic and word levels)
- 2009-2015: psychometric aspects as validity and reliability*
- 2015-2016: pictographic properties of the stimuli# 2015-2016: tool usability

ConF.IRA is now adapted to the evidences of these studies and assumes them in terms of (psycho)linguistics, methodological and psychometric aspects, considering also the different professional needs observed, in order to be a valid instrument.

Methods

Task ConF.IRA administration

Variables Schooling ranges (groups)

Age ranges (groups)

Phonological awareness performance (scores)

Data analysis Descriptive statistics analysis

Participants [sample from: Pereira, Fontes & Castro, 2013 / Relicário de Sons, 2016-2017]

1453 children between 3 and 8 years old (yo), with typical language development, participated in the different studies conducted under the project.

Table I . Characterization of participants (sex, age and LI diagnosis)

N	F SI	EX M
57	30	27
169	88	81
237	120	117
185	95	90
159	70	89
61	31	30
	57 169 237 185	57 30 169 88 237 120 185 95 159 70

RESULTS related to the data collected

Table 3 . ConF.IRA results organized by age/schooling 100 80 70 60 50 40 30 10 3 yo room 4 yo room 5 yo room 1st grade 2nd grade 3rd grade cal --syllable --intrassyllable •

Table 4. ConFIRA results organized by phonological tasks 100 90 80 70 60 40 30 20 svllable intrassyllable

Discussion

Considering the different studies developed, ConFIRA responds properly in terms of validaty, reliability and Many studies were focused on different (psycho)linguistics, methodological and psychometric aspects, in order to

improve the instrument; this improvement is reflected in the current version.

Results show better performances for schoolers than preschoolers, mainly bridging the 5 yo group to the 1st

grade one (alphabetization effect). In each item, children's performance increase as the age/schooling ranges.

The results show differences between tasks; (intra)syllable units are easier than the phoneme and stress ones. ✓ In each group of tasks, there is differences between children performance, independentely of their schooling range.

Conclusions & next steps

- → Results show that it is crucial to invest in the different psychometric steps of language assessment tools, until get the right versions.
- → Next step: to finalize the current extended version, reduce it to a screening one and standardize both.