

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



LSHTM Research Online

Roy, Robindra Basu; Seddon, James A; (2017) Linezolid for Children With Tuberculous Meningitis More Evidence Required. PEDIATRIC INFECTIOUS DISEASE JOURNAL, 36 (4). p. 439. ISSN 0891-3668 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000001464>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4653747/>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/INF.0000000000001464>

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

<https://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk>

The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal

Linezolid for children with tuberculous meningitis: more evidence required.

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	PIDJ-216-716
Full Title:	Linezolid for children with tuberculous meningitis: more evidence required.
Article Type:	Letter to the Editor
Keywords:	Tuberculosis Meningitis Linezolid
Corresponding Author:	Robindra Basu Roy, MRCPCH Imperial College London London, UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Imperial College London
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Robindra Basu Roy, MRCPCH
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Robindra Basu Roy, MRCPCH James A Seddon, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Manuscript Region of Origin:	UNITED KINGDOM

Linezolid for children with tuberculous meningitis: more evidence required

Robindra Basu Roy, MRCPCH & James A. Seddon, PhD

Department of Academic Paediatrics
Imperial College London

Corresponding Author:

Dr. R. Basu Roy
Department of Academic Paediatrics
2nd Floor, Medical School Building
St. Mary's Campus
Imperial College London
Norfolk Place
London
W2 1PG
United Kingdom

r.basu-roy@imperial.ac.uk
Tel: +4420 7594 8839
Fax: +4420 7594 3984

Funding:

R Basu Roy is a Clinical Research Training Fellow jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under the MRC/DFID Concordat agreement and this funding is also part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union. (MR/K023446/1).

JA Seddon is an Academic Clinical Lecturer funded by the National Institute of Health Research, and also the recipient of a Starter Grant from the Academy of Medical Sciences (AMS-SGCL11-Seddon).

Conflicts of Interest: None.

Keywords: Linezolid, Tuberculosis, Meningitis

A Letter to the Editor in response to:

Li H, Lu J, Liu J, Zhao Y, Ni X, Zhao S. Linezolid is Associated with Improved Early Outcomes of Childhood Tuberculous Meningitis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2016 Jun;35(6):607–10.

To the editors:

1
2
3
4
5 Tuberculous meningitis (TBM) is a devastating disease. With the currently available
6
7 treatment regimens one in five children die and only a third of surviving children
8
9 escape neurological sequelae.¹ If there was any way of improving these outcomes
10
11 significant mortality and morbidity would be averted. We were greatly interested,
12
13 therefore, to read the study by Li *et al* regarding the use of linezolid in children with
14
15 TBM in Beijing.²
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 In many ways linezolid is an attractive therapeutic option given its good
23
24 bioavailability, excellent CSF penetration and proven efficacy against *M.*
25
26 *tuberculosis*. However, three major obstacles currently limit the use of linezolid in the
27
28 treatment of pediatric TBM: efficacy, safety and current high cost. Until this report the
29
30 only described use of linezolid in pediatric TBM had been in the successful treatment
31
32 of a South African case of extensively drug-resistant TBM.³
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 Caution is warranted in interpreting the efficacy of linezolid in the treatment of
40
41 pediatric TBM from this report. The retrospective design, unrandomised nature of
42
43 group allocations, and unblinded ascertainment of outcomes limit how much can be
44
45 inferred. In terms of patient selection, children were given linezolid if they remained
46
47 febrile after two weeks on conventional treatment and had no neurological
48
49 improvement in that time. It is therefore unclear why over 50% of children in the
50
51 control group had a fever clearance time of greater than 4 weeks. It would be useful
52
53 for the authors to further clarify on what criteria the decision to use linezolid was
54
55 made, and from what common reference point during therapy fever clearance time
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 comparisons were made. Persistent fever following initiation of anti-tuberculous
2 therapy with confirmed drug susceptible strains of *M. tuberculosis* is a recognized,
3
4 although unusual, clinical phenomenon.⁴
5
6
7
8

9 It would also be important to know if the improved outcomes were due to linezolid
10 improving the treatment of TBM generally, or if the advantage was the result of
11 improved treatment in cases with drug resistance. Understanding this would allow
12 clinicians to evaluate whether this drug should be reserved only for cases with drug
13 resistance. It would therefore be interesting to know if there are any molecular or
14 phenotypic drug resistance results available from either the 14 microbiologically
15 confirmed TB cases, or from the TB source cases identified in 50 of the children.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Regarding safety, the authors report no significant difference in adverse events (GI
30 disturbance, hepatotoxicity, rash, peripheral neuropathy or thrombocytopenia)
31 between the 36 children treated with linezolid and those who received standard
32 treatment. However, it is unclear from the report how the children were evaluated for
33 these and whether they may have experienced any other side effects (such as lactic
34 acidosis, optic neuropathy, impaired renal function, raised amylase or
35 hypoglycemia⁵).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 We commend the authors on reporting these cases and providing some much-
50 needed data where so little exists. We look forward to learning from their
51 experiences in even more depth. In our opinion however, there is currently still
52 insufficient evidence to warrant use of linezolid in paediatric TBM without information
53 strongly suggestive of drug resistance.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1. Chiang SS, Khan FA, Milstein MB, Tolman AW, Benedetti A, Starke JR, et al. Treatment outcomes of childhood tuberculous meningitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014 Oct;14(10):947–57.
2. Li H, Lu J, Liu J, Zhao Y, Ni X, Zhao S. Linezolid is Associated with Improved Early Outcomes of Childhood Tuberculous Meningitis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2016 Jun;35(6):607–10.
3. Alsleben N, Garcia-Prats AJ, Hesselning AC, Willemse M, Donald PR, Schaaf HS. Successful Treatment of a Child With Extensively Drug-Resistant Tuberculous Meningitis. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc.* 2015 Sep;4(3):e41–4.
4. Coulter JBS, Baretto RL, Mallucci CL, Romano MI, Abernethy LJ, Isherwood DM, et al. Tuberculous meningitis: protracted course and clinical response to interferon-gamma. *Lancet Infectious Diseases.* 2007. p. 225–32.
5. Viswanathan P, Iarikov D, Wassel R, Davidson A, Nambiar S. Hypoglycemia in patients treated with linezolid. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014 Oct 15;59(8):e93–5.