



Cite this: *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 1414

Received 17th November 2014,
Accepted 11th January 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c4gc02248c

www.rsc.org/greenchem

Micellar promoted multi-component synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles in water at room temperature†

Elena Tasca, Giorgio La Sorella, Laura Sporni, Giorgio Strukul and Alessandro Scarso*

Micellar media in water provide a simple and efficient environment favoring the multi-component synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles from organic bromides, sodium azide and terminal alkynes in the presence of [Cu(I)Mes]Cl 1 catalyst at room temperature within a few hours. The micellar medium favors both the *in situ* formation of the organic azide and its metal promoted cycloaddition with the alkyne.

1,2,3-Triazoles are important heterocyclic structures that show interesting biological and pharmaceutical properties,¹ such as anti-allergic,² anti-bacterial,³ and anti-HIV activity,⁴ and selective β 3-adrenergic receptor agonism.⁵ Additionally, 1,2,3-triazoles are found in fungicides, herbicides, and dyes.⁶

The atom efficient 1,3-dipolar Huisgen cycloaddition between alkynes and organic azides (AAC) is certainly the most straightforward method for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles.⁷ The reaction can be thermally activated for providing a mixture of 1,4- and 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles⁸ and is favored by the use of particular substrates like strained cyclooctynes,⁹ activated¹⁰ and electron deficient alkynes like propiolates¹¹ also under microemulsion conditions.¹² Some years ago, Sharpless¹³ and Meda¹⁴ introduced the use of Cu(I) catalysts under mild conditions that selectively form 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles in high yields (click reaction), while 1,5-disubstituted 1,2,3 triazoles are selectively obtained with ruthenium¹⁵ catalysts or, as recently disclosed, using iridium¹⁶ catalysts when applied to electron rich internal alkynes. Moreover the Cu(I) promoted click reaction is an established approach for the conjugation in biochemical systems as well as for the preparation of supramolecular functional systems.¹⁷

The simplest catalytically active Cu(I) species for the click triazole synthesis is usually generated from CuSO₄ and sodium

ascorbate in excess but suffers from high metal loading. Alternatively, preformed stable Cu(I) complexes with phosphines like Cu(PPh₃)NO₃¹⁸ and PTA-iminophosphorane Cu(I) complex (3) (PTA = 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane)¹⁹ or nitrogen ligands like polytriazoles and tris(2-aminoethyl)amine derivatives (tren) could be employed, usually of the order of 1 mol%. Cu(I) complexes of the type [(NHC)CuX] (NHC = N-heterocyclic carbene, X = halogen) developed by Nolan were found to greatly accelerate the reaction,^{20,21} while subsequent bis-carbenic complexes like the heteroleptic bis(N-heterocyclic carbene)-copper(I) complexes developed by Cazin and collaborators²² as well as ring-expanded carbene ligands²³ showed interesting catalytic activities. This class of catalysts is highly active and selective, and operate well under neat conditions at room temperature, leading to high yields with catalyst loadings lower than 1 mol%.

Even though solvent free conditions are desirable in terms of green approaches to catalysis, the use and handling of potentially unstable small organic azides,²⁴ the high exothermicity of the cycloaddition reaction, and the possible presence of solid substrates that can be difficult to solubilize in the reaction mixture led to the development of multicomponent one-pot reactions between terminal alkynes and *in situ* formed organic azides from organic halides and NaN₃, thus avoiding the pre-isolation of organic azides. Recently, alternative multicomponent syntheses from anilines and sodium nitrite under acid catalysis²⁵ or from epoxides with sodium azide and alkynes,²⁶ in both cases avoiding organic bromides, have been reported.

Most of the examples of multicomponent 1,2,3-triazole syntheses are carried out in water or under neat conditions using heterogeneously supported catalysts like Cu(OAc)₂ on MCM-41,²⁷ NHC-Cu(I) complexes on silica²⁸ as well as magnetically recoverable heterogeneous Cu catalysts²⁹ or copper nanoparticles on silica coated magnetic nanoparticles (5–30 nm).³⁰ In the above mentioned cases the reaction is carried out in the range of 70–120 °C for a few hours in order to achieve high yields. Some alternative systems exist like the

Dipartimento di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi, Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia, Calle Larga S. Marta 2137, 30123 Venezia, Italy. E-mail: alesca@unive.it;

Fax: +39-041-2340517; Tel: +39-041-2348569

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization of compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c4gc02248c


employment of mechano-chemical conditions in a ball milling apparatus using a copper vial at high temperature for at least 16 h or the use of a structurally well-defined copper(i) isonitrile complex,³¹ insoluble in the reaction medium, that can be readily recovered by precipitation and filtration and recycled for at least five runs without significant loss of activity.

Examples of purely homogeneous multicomponent reactions for triazole synthesis are indeed rare, involving the use of CuSO₄ at 100 °C in water in the presence of an excess of azide that acts as a reducing agent.³² Alternatively, examples operating at room temperature are based on well defined complexes like the original [Cu(NHC)X] system (X halogen atom) developed by Nolan²⁰ or the PTA-iminophosphorane Cu(i) complex (PTA = 1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane)³³ both in water and mainly focused on the use of terminal aromatic alkynes.

Very recently, Astruc reported a supramolecular catalytic approach for the reaction based on well defined dendrimers as nanoreactors for the solubilization of preformed Cu(i) species or *in situ* generated Cu(i) species from Cu(ii) and ascorbate. The system operated in water under very mild experimental conditions and very low catalyst loading, enabling recycling.³⁴

Herein we report an alternative supramolecular approach that makes use of readily available and economical surfactants in water to promote the formation of spontaneous self-assembled nanometric entities to promote the multicomponent reaction at room temperature in the presence of [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** (IMes 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazol-2-ylidene) as a catalyst. The latter catalytic system was selected because of its known catalytic activity^{20,21} and higher lipophilic character compared to the traditional Cu(ii)/ascorbate system. In fact, the addition of surfactants into water provides micellar nano-environments that should favor solubilization and reciprocal contact between apolar organic substrates like organic bromides **2** and alkynes **3** with the neutral catalyst **1** and the ionic sodium azide, in principle allowing the one-pot preparation of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles **4** with low catalyst loading (Scheme 1). To the best of our knowledge the use of micellar environments has been rarely investigated for the synthesis of triazoles and never for the direct three component synthesis but always directly using organic azides.^{35,36}

The multicomponent synthesis of triazoles consists of two consecutive steps: (i) the *in situ* formation of the organic azide between sodium azide and the organic bromide and (ii) the click reaction between the organic azide and the alkyne mediated by the Cu(i) catalyst. The most suitable surfactant for the direct reaction should favor both synthetic steps and



Scheme 1 Multicomponent cycloaddition reactions between organic bromides, sodium azide and alkynes mediated by [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** in water at room temperature under micellar conditions.

Table 1 Triazole synthesis from benzyl azide and 1-octyne mediated by [(NHC)CuCl] **1** in different media^a

#	Medium	Yield ^b (%)
1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	5
2	CH ₃ OH	2
3	H ₂ O	54
4	H ₂ O/CTAB	2
5	H ₂ O/SDS	23
6	H ₂ O/DDAPS	6
7	H ₂ O/Triton X-100	3
8	H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	>98
9	H ₂ O/SLS	63

^a Experimental conditions: [benzyl azide] = 250 mM; [1-octyne] = 250 mM; [Cu(IMes)Cl **1**] = 5 mM (2 mol%); [surfactant] = 180 mM, for TPGS-750-M 2% (w/w); solvent (1 mL), rt, 1 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR.

ensure good solubilization and close contact between the three reagents and the catalyst. We therefore screened several micellar media for the first and second steps and for the entire multicomponent reaction.


Initially we investigated the test reaction between pre-synthesized benzyl azide and 1-octyne in the presence of the [Cu(IMes)Cl] catalyst **1** in different media ranging from organic solvents to water with the addition of a wide range of surfactants³⁷ (Table 1) searching for the best medium to dissolve all species and favor the cycloaddition reaction.

Catalyst **1** is known to operate efficiently under neat conditions and this is confirmed by observing that both in apolar and polar protic solvents the reaction was sluggish (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Triazole formation was improved in pure water (Table 1, entry 3) where organic substrates and the catalyst are poorly soluble, thereby providing pseudo-neat conditions. Addition of surfactants showed that ionic ones like SDS, CTAB, the zwitterionic surfactant *N*-dodecyl-*N,N*-dimethyl-3-ammonium-1-propan sulfonate (DDAPS) and Triton X-100 all led to low or negligible yields in triazole products, while TPGS-750-M³⁵ efficiently promoted the reaction forming the corresponding triazole in high yield (>98%, Table 1, entry 8).

Sodium lauryl sulfosuccinate (SLS) despite being an anionic surfactant showed intermediate properties, leading to 63% yield in the cycloaddition test reaction (Table 1, entry 9).

The reaction between sodium azide and alkyl bromides in water has been rarely investigated in the presence of surfactants. Examples are known employing cationic phase transfer species³⁸ or with surfactant pillared clays operating exclusively on α -tosyloxyketones under sonochemistry conditions and at high temperature.^{39,40} In order to investigate the first step of the multicomponent click reaction, we compared several surfactants/H₂O micellar media in the reaction between sodium azide and benzyl bromide at room temperature for 1 h (Table 2).

It is clear that the reaction in pure water is not favored because of the poor contact between the poorly soluble

Table 2 Benzyl azide synthesis from benzyl bromide and sodium azide in different aqueous media^a



#	Medium	Yield ^b (%)
1	H ₂ O	36
2	H ₂ O/SDS	74
3	H ₂ O/CTAB	>98
4	H ₂ O/DDAPS	>98
5	H ₂ O/Triton X-100	>98
6	H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	62
7	H ₂ O/SLS	79

^a Experimental conditions: [benzyl bromide] = 250 mM; [NaN₃] = 370 mM; [surfactant] = 180 mM, for TPGS-750-M 2% (w/w); water (1 mL), rt, 1 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR.

organic benzyl bromide and the completely soluble sodium azide (Table 2, entry 1). The use of surfactants showed a general improvement with respect to pure water because of the better contact between the substrates. In particular, moderate to good yields were observed with anionic SDS and SLS as well as neutral TPGS-750-M surfactants (Table 2, entries 2, 6 and 7), while excellent yields >98% were found with cationic CTAB, zwitterionic DDAPS and neutral Triton X-100 surfactants (Table 2, entries 3–5).

Consequently, we investigated the direct three component reaction between benzyl bromide, sodium azide and 1-octyne in different micellar media mediated by Cu(I) catalyst **1**. Addition of catalyst **1** to the substrate mixture from the very beginning led to the formation of the desired triazole product together with different amounts of a dimeric by-product, 3,3'-dibenzyl-5,5'-di-*n*-hexyl-3*H*,3'*H*-4,4'-bis-1,2,3-triazole (see scheme in ESI†). In particular, with CTAB and DDAPS, 11% yield of this atropisomeric dimer was observed, while the same was formed in 4% yield in SDS and in 6% yield in pure water. The formation of this species can be rationalized considering the initial 1-alkyne dimerization mediated by the copper catalyst⁴¹ and the subsequent double click reaction with benzyl azide on the conjugated internal alkynes. This side-reaction occurs in the early stages of the reaction when the catalyst comes into contact with the alkyne and the concentration of organic azide is low. In order to limit the formation of such a by-product, we modified the experimental procedure running the reaction between 1-octyne, benzyl bromide and sodium azide for 1 h followed by the addition of the Cu(I) catalyst. This expedient favorably influenced the course of the process leading to a drastic decrease of the side product in all the surfactant/water media considered (Table 3).

As observed in the direct synthesis from benzyl azide reported above (Table 1, entry 1), the use of pure water showed only partial triazole formation in the multicomponent reaction

Table 3 Triazole direct synthesis from benzyl bromide, sodium azide and 1-octyne mediated by [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** in different aqueous media^a





#	Medium	Azide yield ^b (%)	Triazole yield ^b (%)
1	H ₂ O	44	22
		54 ^c	37 ^c
		56 ^d	17 ^d
		86	1
		93	7
		88	12
2	H ₂ O/SDS	87	3
		25	68
		27 ^c	71 ^c
3	H ₂ O/CTAB	56 ^d	25 ^d
		18	73
		10 ^c	88 ^c
4	H ₂ O/Triton X-100	46 ^d	26 ^d
5	H ₂ O/DDAPS		
6	H ₂ O/Triton X-100		
7	H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M		
7	H ₂ O/SLS		

^a Experimental conditions: [benzyl bromide] = 250 mM; [NaN₃] = 370 mM; [1-octyne] = 370 mM; [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** 2.5 mM (1 mol%); [surfactant] = 180 mM, for TPGS-750-M 2% (w/w); water (1 mL), rt. [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** added after 1 h; total reaction time 2 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR. ^c [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** added after 1 h; total reaction time 3 h. ^d CuSO₄ (1 mol%) and sodium ascorbate (2%) added after 1 h; total reaction time 3 h.

(Table 3, entry 1). Surfactants like SDS, CTAB, Triton X-100 and DDAPS showed very low yields in triazole, in all cases <10% (Table 3, entries 2–5). Better results were observed with the neutral surfactant TPGS-750-M and with SLS, observing 68 and 73% yield of the corresponding triazole (Table 3, entries 6 and 7).


Overall, the last two surfactants turned out to be the best compromise in order to promote both the organic azide synthesis and the solubilization of the Cu(I) **1** catalyst for the click reaction. When the reaction was repeated running the click reaction for 2 h instead of 1 h either in pure water or with TPGS-750-M and (better) SLS, we observed an increase in both organic azide and triazole formation. The use of *in situ* formed Cu(I) species from CuSO₄/ascorbate as a traditional catalyst for the click triazole synthesis in water and in TPGS-750-M and SLS as selected micellar media resulted in a substantial decrease of the yield of the corresponding triazole formed in 26% yield at the best (Table 3, entries 1, 6 and 7). This is likely to occur because the *in situ* formed catalytic system is not sufficiently lipophilic to enter the micelles of the surfactant where substrates are hosted.

In order to extend the catalytic protocol to the synthesis of different 1,2,3-triazoles, we tested the reaction between a series of organic bromides and alkynes with sodium azide in the presence of **1** comparing the activity observed in pure water with those observed in the presence of TPGS-750-M and SLS as selected surfactants (Table 4). The reaction between a series of

Table 4 Triazole direct synthesis from different organic bromides, sodium azide and alkynes mediated by [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** in different aqueous media^a


#	Organic bromide	Alkyne	Triazole product	Medium	Azide yield ^b (%)	Triazole yield ^b (%)
1				H_2O	45	45
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0	>98
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	58	39
2				H_2O	56	31
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	17	77
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	26	62
3				H_2O	65	16
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	9	87
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	5	13
4				H_2O	80	1
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	14	86
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	26	67
5				H_2O	41 ^c	17 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	16 ^c	51 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	19 ^c	46 ^c
6				H_2O	10 ^c	61 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	2 ^c	76 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	21 ^c	42 ^c

Table 4 (Contd.)



#	Organic bromide	Alkyne	Triazole product	Medium	Azide yield ^b (%)	Triazole yield ^b (%)
7				H ₂ O	46 ^c	32 ^c
				H ₂ O/SLS	5 ^c	79 ^c
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	15 ^c	65 ^c
8				H ₂ O	36 ^c	42 ^c
				H ₂ O/SLS	3 ^c	83 ^c
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	34 ^c	42 ^c
9				H ₂ O	52 ^c	19 ^c
				H ₂ O/SLS	13 ^c	54 ^c
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	50 ^c	25 ^c
10				H ₂ O	32	20
				H ₂ O/SLS	4	55
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	18	25
11				H ₂ O	27	24
				H ₂ O/SLS	11	72
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	13	18
12				H ₂ O	25	68
				H ₂ O/SLS	4	93
				H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	2	98

Table 4 (Contd.)



#	Organic bromide	Alkyne	Triazole product	Medium	Azide yield ^b (%)	Triazole yield ^b (%)
13				H_2O	18	77
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0	>98
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0	>98
14				H_2O	15	75
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0	>98
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0	>98
15				H_2O	0	66
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0	>98
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0	88
16				H_2O	44	56
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	8	92
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	19	81
17				H_2O	9 ^c	52 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	15 ^c	64 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0 ^c	31 ^c
18				H_2O	3 ^c	56 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0 ^c	>98 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0 ^c	>98 ^c
19				H_2O	0 ^c	53 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{SLS}$	0 ^c	>98 ^c
				$\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{TPGS-750-M}$	0 ^c	>98 ^c

Table 4 (Contd.)

Reaction scheme: $\text{R}^1\text{Br} + \text{R}^2\text{C}\equiv\text{C} + \text{NaN}_3 \xrightarrow[\text{H}_2\text{O, surfactant}]{\text{Cu(IMes)Cl } \mathbf{1}}$ $\text{R}^1\text{C}(\text{N}=\text{N})\text{C}(\text{R}^2)\text{N}=\text{N}$

Surfactants: PEG-750-M (n = ca. 15) and SLS.

#	Organic bromide	Alkyne	Triazole product	Medium	Azide yield ^b (%)	Triazole yield ^b (%)
20				H ₂ O H ₂ O/SLS H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	0 ^d 0 ^d 7 ^d	0 ^d >98 ^d >93 ^d
21				H ₂ O H ₂ O/SLS H ₂ O/TPGS-750-M	0 ^d 14 ^d 35 ^d	0 ^d >86 ^d 65 ^d

^a Experimental conditions: [organic bromide] = 250 mM; [NaN₃] = 370 mM; [alkyne] = 370 mM; [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** = 2.5 mM (1 mol%); [surfactant] = 180 mM, for TPGS-750-M 2% (w/w); water (1 mL), rt, [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** added after 1 h; total reaction time 3 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR. ^c [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** added after 1 h; total reaction time 1.5 h. ^d [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** (0.5 mol%) added after 1 h; total reaction time 1.25 h.

different terminal aliphatic alkynes with benzyl bromide and sodium azide greatly benefitted from the presence of SLS or TPGS-750-M as surfactants with respect to the same reaction in pure water. In particular, 1-decyne, 1-dodecyne, and 4-phenyl-1-butyne all led to good yields of the corresponding triazoles in the range 77 to >98% (Table 4, entries 1–3).⁴² It is noteworthy that the same reaction with propargyl dimethyl malonate (Table 4, entry 4) turned out to be suppressed in pure water, while moderate to good yields were observed with TPGS-750-M and with SLS. The reaction is known to be highly favored with aromatic alkynes and also in these cases the use of micellar media favored the reaction, allowing the formation of the corresponding triazoles in 30 minutes (Table 4, entries 5–9). In particular, SLS was shown in all cases to ensure much higher yields compared to the use of pure water as the reaction medium.

We then analyzed the behavior of different benzyl bromides in the multicomponent reaction. The synthesis with 3-bromobenzyl bromide and 4-bromo-benzyl bromide showed in all cases a three-fold increase of the catalytic activity compared to the reaction in the presence of surfactants vs. pure water even though the solid bromides tested dissolved with difficulty in the micellar medium (Table 4, entries 10 and 11). The reaction did not proceed using purely aliphatic halogenated substrates like butyl bromide or iodide because of the difficult formation of the corresponding organic azide. In fact these products are usually prepared either in polar non-protic solvents like DMSO or DMF⁴³ at low temperature or in water with acetone under reflux.⁴⁴

Better results were obtained with allyl bromide that in the presence of aliphatic alkynes smoothly led to the formation of the corresponding organic azide and quantitative formation of

the corresponding triazoles while in pure water the yields were in the range 66–77% (Table 4, entries 12–15). Similarly the reaction between allyl bromide, propargyl dimethyl malonate and sodium azide was more efficient with SLS and TPGS-750-M with respect to the use of pure water (Table 4, entry 16). The reaction of allyl bromide with several aromatic alkynes in SLS as well as in TPGS-750-M yielded quantitative formation of the corresponding triazoles in 30 min, while in pure water the reactivity was much lower especially with less substituted aromatic alkynes (Table 4, entries 17–19). For the highly reactive alkynes reported in Table 4, entries 20 and 21, the reaction was carried out with only 0.5 mol% **1** for 15 minutes at rt observing good to excellent triazole formation in the presence of the selected surfactants while the reaction did not occur in pure water.

Since in most cases the most effective surfactant turned out to be SLS, this surfactant was chosen to scale up the multicomponent reaction between sodium azide, benzyl bromide and 1-octyne using 2 mmoles of the bromide as the limiting reagent. The reaction was carried out under the same experimental conditions as those in Table 4 and the corresponding triazole was obtained in 82% isolated yield.

In order to investigate the possible recycling of the Cu(I) catalyst in the reaction between benzyl bromide, sodium azide and dimethyl propargyl malonate in H₂O/SLS, after extraction of the products fresh substrates were added, obtaining at the end of the second cycle the corresponding triazole in just 27% yield together with 61% of the benzyl azide.

Conclusions

In conclusion, herein we have reported a room temperature, extremely simple and efficient regioselective multicomponent synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles from organic bromides, sodium azide and alkynes mediated by 1 mol% of [Cu(IMes)Cl] **1** catalyst in water and in the presence of surfactants. The key feature of the catalytic system is the micellar medium obtained by simple addition of commercially available SLS or TPG-750-M surfactants in water. The apolar nano-environments thus obtained favor the *in situ* formation of the organic azide, thus avoiding its separate synthesis and storage. The micellar media are also responsible for the consecutive fruitful interaction between the organic azide and the alkyne mediated by the organometallic Cu(I) catalyst. The catalytic system developed can be applied to a wide range of combinations of organic bromides and alkynes, obtaining overall more than 20 different 1,2,3-triazoles in 51 to >98% yields within a few hours. In one case the reaction was scaled up to 2 mmoles of product, as demonstrated in the reaction between benzyl bromide, sodium azide and 1-octyne. The triazole products could be easily isolated by means of simple extraction with ethyl acetate. The multicomponent reaction can be carried out in a greener way and is competitive in terms of yields and selectivities with respect to the direct synthesis from an isolated organic azide, avoiding the manipulation of these noxious chemicals and with the advantage of carrying out the whole procedure in one pot.

Notes and references

- 1 C. D. Hein, X.-M. Liu and D. Wang, *Pharm. Res.*, 2008, **25**, 2216.
- 2 D. R. Buckle, C. J. M. Rockell, H. Smith and B. A. Spicer, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1986, **29**, 2269.
- 3 M. J. Genin, D. A. Allwine, D. J. Anderson, M. R. Barbachyn, D. E. Emmert, S. A. Garmon, D. R. Graber, K. C. Grega, J. B. Hester, D. K. Hutchinson, J. Morris, R. J. Reischer, C. W. Ford, G. E. Zurenko, J. C. Hamel, R. D. Schaadt, D. Stapert and B. H. Yagi, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2000, **43**, 953.
- 4 R. Alvarez, S. Velazquez, A. San-Felix, S. Aquaro, E. De Clercq, C. F. Perno, A. Karlsson, J. Balzarini and M. J. Camarasa, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1994, **37**, 4185.
- 5 L. L. Brockunier, E. R. Parmee, H. O. Ok, M. R. Candelore, M. A. Cascieri, L. F. Colwell, L. Deng, W. P. Feeney, M. J. Forrest, G. J. Hom, D. E. MacIntyre, L. Tota, M. J. Wyvrat, M. H. Fisher and A. E. Weber, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2000, **10**, 2111.
- 6 H. Wamhoff, in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, ed. A. R. Katritzky and C. W. Rees, Pergamon, Oxford, 1984, vol. 5, p. 669.
- 7 S. Ding, G. Jia and J. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1877.
- 8 R. Huisgen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1963, **2**, 633.
- 9 (a) C. R. Becer, R. Hoogenboom and U. S. Schubert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4900; (b) N. J. Agard, J. A. Prescher and C. R. Bertozzi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15046.
- 10 S. Sawoo, P. Dutta, A. Chakraborty, R. Mukhopadhyay, O. Bouloussa and A. Sarkar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5957.
- 11 (a) H. Li, J. Wang, J. Z. Sun, R. Hu, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **3**, 1075; (b) S. S. van Berkel, A. J. Dirks, S. A. Meeuwissen, D. L. L. Pingen, O. C. Boerman, P. Laverman, F. L. van Delft, J. J. L. M. Cornelissen and F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *ChemBioChem*, 2008, **9**, 1805.
- 12 E. M. Alexandrino, P. Buchold, M. Wagner, A. Fuchs, A. Kreyes, C. K. Weiss, K. Landfester and F. R. Wurm, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 10495.
- 13 V. V. Rostovtsev, L. G. Green, V. V. Fokin and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 2596.
- 14 C. W. Tornøe, C. Christensen and M. Meldal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 3057.
- 15 L. Zhang, X. Chen, P. Xue, H. H. Y. Sun, I. D. Williams, K. B. Sharpless, V. V. Fokin and G. Jia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 15998.
- 16 S. Ding, G. Jia and J. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1877.
- 17 L. Xu, Y. Li and Y. Li, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **3**, 582.
- 18 D. Wang, N. Li, M. Zhao, W. Shi, C. Ma and B. Chen, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 2120.
- 19 J. García-Álvarez, J. Díez and J. Gimeno, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 2127.
- 20 S. Díez-González, A. Correa, L. Cavallo and S. P. Nolan, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 7558.

- 21 S. Díez-González, E. C. Escudero-Adán, J. Benet-Buchholz, E. D. Stevens, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7595.
- 22 F. Lazreg, A. M. Z. Slawin and C. S. J. Cazin, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 7969.
- 23 L. R. Collins, T. M. Rookes, M. F. Mahon, I. M. Riddlestone and M. K. Whittlesey, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 5882.
- 24 E. F. V. Scriven and K. Turnbull, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 297.
- 25 S. Roy, T. Chatterjee and S. M. Islam, *Green Chem.*, 2013, **15**, 2532.
- 26 F. Alonso, Y. Moglie, G. Radivoy and M. Yus, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 8394.
- 27 R. Hosseinzadeh, H. Sepehrian and F. Shahrokhi, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2012, **23**, 415.
- 28 L. Wan and C. Cai, *Catal. Lett.*, 2012, **142**, 1134.
- 29 R. B. N. Baig and R. S. Varma, *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14**, 625.
- 30 F. Nador, M. A. Volpe, F. Alonso, A. Feldhoff, A. Kirschning and G. Radivoy, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2013, **455**, 39.
- 31 M. Liu and O. Reiser, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 1102.
- 32 Y. Jiang, D. Kong, J. Zhao, W. Zhang, W. Xu, W. Li and G. Xu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 2410.
- 33 J. García-Álvarez, J. Díez, J. Gimeno, F. J. Suárez and C. Vincent, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 5854.
- 34 C. Deraedt, N. Pinaud and D. Astruc, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 12092.
- 35 Only one contribution concerning the specific click reaction for carbohydrate derivatives is known: R. A. Youcef, M. Dos Santos, S. Roussel, J.-P. Baltaze, N. Lubin-Germain and J. Uziel, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 4318.
- 36 B. H. Lipshutz, Z. Bošković, C. S. Crowe, V. K. Davis, H. C. Whittemore, D. A. Vosburg and A. G. Wenzel, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2013, **90**, 1514.
- 37 The concentration of the different surfactants (180 mM) was chosen comparable to that of the organic substrates and above the c.m.c. of all surfactants. TPGS-750-M is commercially available as a 2% (w/w) solution in water and this solution was used directly as a solvent.
- 38 S. Gutfelt, J. Kizling and K. Holmberg, *Colloids Surf., A*, 1997, **128**, 265.
- 39 R. S. Varma and D. Kumar, *Catal. Lett.*, 1998, **53**, 225.
- 40 R. S. Varma, K. P. Naicker and D. Kumar, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1999, **149**, 153.
- 41 (a) Y. Liu, C. Wang, X. Wang and J.-P. Wan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 3953; (b) S.-L. Zhang, X.-Y. Liu and T.-Q. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 1463; (c) S. Adimurthy, C. C. Malakar and U. Beifuss, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 5648; (d) K. Kamata, S. Yamaguchi, M. Kotani, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 2407; (e) B. Alcaide, P. Almendros, R. Carrascosa and R. Rodríguez-Acebes, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, 1575; (f) D.-F. Li, K. Yin, J. Li and X. Jia, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 5918; (g) X. Fan, N. Li, T. Shen, X.-M. Cui, H. Lv, H.-B. Zhu and Y.-H. Guan, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 256.
- 42 Conversely, the reaction was sluggish as expected with terminal sterically hindered alkynes bearing substituents close to the triple bond like in the case of 3,3-dimethyl-1-butyne, ethynyl cyclopentene, ethynyl cyclohexane or with internal alkynes like 1-phenyl-1-propyne.
- 43 (a) Y. Sayed, A. Bayat, M. Kondratenko, Y. Leroux, P. Hapiot and R. L. McCreery, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12972; (b) S. G. Alvarez and M. T. Alvarez, *Synthesis*, 1997, 413.
- 44 L. Sahoo, A. Singhamahapatra and D. Loganathan, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 2615.