Green Chemistry

RSC Publishing

PAPER

A flexible Pinner preparation of orthoesters: the model case of trimethylorthobenzoate†

Marco Noè,* Alvise Perosa and Maurizio Selva*

In the absence of additional solvents, a novel procedure was implemented for the synthesis of trimethyl-orthoesters through the Pinner reaction. At 5 °C, the reaction of both aliphatic and aromatic nitriles (RCN; R = Et, Bu, Ph) with a moderate excess of MeOH and gaseous HCl gave the corresponding imidate hydrochlorides [RC(=NH)OR′·HCl] in excellent yields (>90%). At 25–65 °C, the methanolysis of alkyl imidate salts provided trimethylortho-propionate and valerate, while only traces of trimethylorthobenzo-ate (TMOB) were observed. However, the aromatic hydrochloride could be readily converted into the hydrogenphosphate salt [PhC(=NH)OR′·H₃PO₄] which, in turn, underwent a selective (>80%) reaction with MeOH to produce TMOB in a 62% isolated yield. This allowed for an unprecedented Pinner-type synthesis of TMOB starting from benzonitrile, rather than from the highly toxic trichloromethylbenzene. Overall, remarkable improvements in safety and process intensification were achieved.

Introduction

Orthoesters are important in carboxylic acid protective group chemistry.[1] In particular, because they are among the few compounds that exhibit good stability toward strong nucleophiles and bases.

Beyond their protective group chemistry, a pharmaceutically relevant application of orthoesters is in the functionalization of steroidal derivatives.[2] Orthoesters are applied for example to the selective esterification of the hydroxyl group at the 17 position of betamethasone (**I**), a potent topical glucocorticoid steroid with anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive properties. This modification minimizes absorption of the molecule through the skin, increasing topical potency and decreasing systemic side effects. The selective esterification of (**I**) is possible because trimethyl orthoesters form the cyclic intermediate (**II**), which yields (**III**) by hydrolysis (Scheme 1).

The processes for the preparation of orthoesters can be grouped into five different types (Scheme 2):[3] (a) the reaction of trihalogenated derivatives with alkoxides; (b) the addition of alcohols to ketene acetals; (c) the electrochemical oxidation of aldehyde acetals or toluene derivatives; (d) the reaction of Grignard reactants with orthocarbonates or trialkoxyaceto-nitriles; and (e) the reaction of nitriles with alcohols under acidic conditions followed by alcoholysis of the resulting


Scheme 1 Selective esterification of the hydroxyl group at the 17 position of betamethasone using trimethylorthoesters.

imido ester. The latter (e) is best known as the Pinner synthesis,[4] and it is perhaps the most popular method for the preparation of orthoesters.

The Pinner synthesis, improved by Hill and Rabinovitz[5] and by Sah,[6] offers two main advantages: (i) it uses rather safe starting materials (nitriles and alcohols are classified at most as irritant non-toxic compounds); (ii) it is relatively straightforward and readily applicable because operating conditions are mild and the overall transformation can be easily scaled up. These reasons account for the extensive application of the Pinner synthesis in the chemical industry. However, an analysis of the method shows aspects which pose concerns from both a synthetic and an environmental standpoint. The first issue relates to the first step of eqn (e) in Scheme 2, which yields the imidate hydrochloride salts. The major drawbacks are in the use of hazardous and/or volatile solvents[7] including dichloromethane, chloroform, nitrobenzene, dioxane, benzene,

Dipartimento di Scienze Molecolari e Nanosistemi dell'Universita Ca' Foscari Venezia, Centre for Sustainable Technologies, calle Larga S. Marta, 2137-30123 Venezia, Italy. E-mail: marco.noe@unive.it, selva@unive.it
†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H NMR and MS spectra of selected compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c3gc40774h

Scheme 2 Common routes for the preparation of orthoesters.

Scheme 3 Low stability of hydrohalide imidates: thermal conversion to amides and alkyl halides (top) and hydrolysis to esters (bottom).

or ether, and the relatively low stability of the imidate hydrochloride intermediates. These compounds rapidly decompose, on heating, into the corresponding amides and alkyl halides (Scheme 3, top), and are sensitive to water that causes hydrolysis to the corresponding esters (Scheme 3, bottom).[8] Light aliphatic imidate salts, in particular, are quite hygroscopic and hydrolysis is fast and complete.[9]

The imidate free bases [RC(=NH$_2$)OR′] can be easily obtained from the corresponding hydrohalide salts by treatment with aqueous base. However, while aliphatic imidate bases are stable liquids, the aromatic analogues revert to the parent nitriles upon heating.[7]

A second concern relates to the stability of the orthoester products [the second step, eqn (e), Scheme 2]. Orthoesters are very stable in the presence of bases, to the point that they can be safely handled with alkaline aqueous solutions. However, under acidic conditions that may occur during the work up of the Pinner synthesis, orthoesters hydrolyse to the corresponding esters and alcohols.

A third, more general, issue concerns the starting materials. The Pinner synthesis is successful for aliphatic nitriles (Scheme 2, e), but not for aromatic ones. For example, aromatic trimethylorthobenzoate, required for preparing the topical corticosteroid anti-inflammatory betamethasone benzoate, is still made from toxic trichloromethylbenzene

Table 1 H-phrases for benzonitrile and trichloromethylbenzene[a]

	Benzonitrile	Trichloromethylbenzene
H phrases	H302 Harmful if swallowed.	H302 Harmful if swallowed. H315 Causes skin irritation. H318 Causes serious eye damage. H331 Toxic if inhaled.
	H312 Harmful in contact with skin.	H335 May cause respiratory irritation. H350 May cause cancer.

[a] From Sigma-Aldrich products MSDS.

(Scheme 2, eqn (a)), and cannot be synthesized from the less harmful benzonitrile.[10] Table 1 compares hazard-phrases for the two starting materials.

The concerns outlined above prompted us to revisit the Pinner procedure by focusing on three objectives: (i) the use of solventless conditions to improve the preparation and stability of the imidate hydrochlorides [RC(=NH)OR′·HCl]; (ii) the synthesis of different imidate salts [RC(=NH)OR′·HX; X = H$_2$PO$_4$, HSO$_4$, HOTs] able to undergo selective alcoholysis reactions; and (iii) the use of safer reagents such as benzonitrile to devise a new procedure for the preparation of trimethylorthobenzoate (TMOB) as a model for aromatic orthoesters.

Here we demonstrate that the Pinner synthesis may be improved through an integrated procedure. Model aliphatic and aromatic orthoesters (trimethylorthopropionate, trimethylorthovalerate, and trimethylorthobenzoate, respectively) were prepared from the corresponding nitriles in the presence of MeOH both as a reagent and as a solvent. For the more challenging aromatic product, an original sequence was devised based on the use of a hydrogenphosphate imidate salt [PhC(=NH)OMe·H$_3$PO$_4$]. This compound reacted with methanol to yield trimethylorthobenzoate (TMOB) with a good selectivity (80%). Such a reaction was a new route for the preparation of TMOB starting from benzonitrile.

Overall, this study is an example of the process intensification of the conventional Pinner synthesis, with further benefits due to the elimination of volatile and dangerous solvents, to the use of greener reagents, and to improved yields and selectivity.

Results and discussion

Preparation of imidate intermediates

Aliphatic nitriles. The first step of the Pinner synthesis, *i.e.* the formation of imidate salts, was initially investigated. Two aliphatic nitriles (propionitrile and valeronitrile, **1a** and **1b**, respectively) and methanol were chosen as model reactants. The twofold aim of this screening was to eliminate the use of solvents[11] other than methanol and to replace gaseous HCl with the more acceptable sulfuric and phosphoric acids. Gaseous HCl, in fact, poses corrosion issues especially for large scale preparations.

A number of experiments were carried out using different amounts of MeOH and the selected acid. MeOH served as both the reagent and the solvent. With respect to the nitrile,

Scheme 4 Synthesis of imidate hydrochloride salts.

the molar excesses of MeOH and the acid were varied in the range of 3–10 and 1–3, respectively.

Results partially met our goal: while we were successful in using only MeOH without added solvents, unfortunately all attempts to substitute HCl with H_2SO_4 or H_3PO_4 failed. Methanol in a moderate excess with respect to the nitrile formed a homogeneous solution throughout the reaction, but the key factor was to maintain the HCl : MeOH molar ratio greater than 1. The best conditions were obtained with a molar ratio of nitrile : MeOH : HCl of 1 : 3 : 3, at 5 °C for 24 hours (Scheme 4).

The gaseous acid was slowly bubbled in the reaction mixture (over 4 hours) in order to keep the temperature below 5 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to react for 20 h. Then, volatiles (methanol and HCl) were removed under vacuum at $T \leq 10$ °C, and the imidate hydrochlorides **2a–b·HCl** were recovered as white solids. These products were obtained in high yields (>90%) and purity (>95%, GC) without further purification. The results are reported in Table 2.

To explain that only HCl was able to activate the reactant nitriles, but not the other acids, we referred to the literature data that describe the formation of nitrile adducts (**I** in Scheme 5) with hydrochloric acid at low temperatures.[12]

Once the adduct **I** was formed, the chloride anion was sufficiently nucleophilic to produce an imido chloride intermediate (**II** in Scheme 5), which was activated towards methanolysis to yield the imidate hydrochloride **2**. An analogous reaction could not occur with non-nucleophilic HSO_4^- or $H_2PO_4^-$ species.

Table 2 Isolated yields of imidate hydrochloride salts **2a–b·HCl** obtained under solventless conditions[a]

Entry	Nitrile	Product	Isolated yield %
1	CH_3CH_2CN **1a**	**2a·HCl**	91
2	$CH_3(CH_2)_3CN$ **1b**	**2b·HCl**	92

[a] Reaction conditions: a 3 : 1 MeOH : nitrile molar ratio, a 1 : 1 HCl : MeOH molar ratio, 24 hours at 5 °C.

Scheme 5 Mechanistic hypothesis for the formation of imidate hydrochloride salts.

Scheme 6 Synthesis of benzoimidate hydrochloride salts.

Although an excess of HCl was required, a major advantage of our procedure was the process intensification: the absence of additional solvents allowed the use of small batch reactors with a remarkable improvement of the reaction productivity.

Benzonitrile. Based on the encouraging results of Table 2, the investigation was continued using benzonitrile **1c** to prepare the corresponding imidate salt (**2c·HCl**; Scheme 6).

Benzonitrile was expected to be less reactive than aliphatic analogues. The reaction was therefore examined through inspection of several aspects, including: (i) the HCl concentration; (ii) the MeOH : nitrile molar ratio; (iii) the HCl : nitrile molar ratio and (iv) the HCl : MeOH molar ratio. Moreover, the total volume of the reaction mixture was always kept constant (50 mL) by the addition of toluene[13] as a co-solvent. Results are summarised in Table 3. The formation of the imidate **2c·HCl** was not affected by the presence of toluene (entries 1 and 3). The same held true for MeOH if both the HCl : nitrile and the HCl : methanol molar ratios were kept constant; any increase of the MeOH amount had no appreciable effects on the yield of **2c·HCl** (56, 55, and 49% in entries 1, 3 and 5, respectively). Similarly, no direct relationship was manifest between the concentration of HCl (6th column) and the yield of **2c·HCl**.

By contrast, the reaction outcome was deeply affected by increasing the HCl : MeOH molar ratio (4th column), whereby an almost linear increase on the yield of the product from 38 to 92% (entries 3–6 of Table 2, and Fig. 1) was observed. This happened irrespective of the presence of toluene (compare entries 1–2 and 5 and 7 of Table 2).

This optimization study not only proved that solventless conditions could be advantageous for aromatic nitriles, but also indicated that the reaction succeeded when MeOH and HCl were both in the same molar excess (≥ 3) with respect to the nitrile, regardless of the excess of each single reagent. A preparative experiment starting from 20 g of benzonitrile (conditions: 5 °C, 24 h, benzonitrile : MeOH : HCl molar ratio = 1 : 3 : 3) yielded **2c·HCl** in a 98% isolated yield and thus confirmed the result. Although no clear reasons accounted for this behaviour, one hypothesis was that the two consecutive steps of Scheme 5 (activation of the nitrile and methanolysis of the chloride intermediate **II**) were mutually favoured and balanced if equimolar quantities of MeOH and HCl were used.

Methanolysis of the imidate salts

Imidate hydrochlorides. As was done for their synthesis, also the alcoholysis of the imidate salts **2·HCl** was investigated without additional solvents. Initially, the most convenient approach appeared to be the *in situ* methanolysis of the above imidates (Schemes 4 and 6) – without isolation – to the

Table 3 The reaction of benzonitrile in the presence of different amounts of HCl and methanol[a]

#	Co-solvent[b]	MeOH : 1c[c]	HCl : 1c[c]	HCl : MeOH[c]	HCl[d] (mol dm^{-3})	2c·HCl yield[e] (%)
1	Toluene	2.29	1.24	0.54	4.83	56
2	Toluene	1.14	1.23	1.08	4.87	92
3	Toluene	2.23	1.21	0.55	4.70	55
4	—	3.82	1.16	0.30	4.50	38
5	—	3.82	1.62	0.42	6.29	49
6	—	3.82	3.00	0.79	11.65	86
7	—	3.82	3.57	0.94	13.86	91

[a] Gaseous HCl was added at 5 °C under an inert atmosphere. The mixture was kept at 5 °C for 24 hours. [b] The amount of toluene was chosen so as to keep the mixture at a constant volume of 50 mL. [c] Molar ratio. [d] Approximate value obtained by dividing the number of moles of added HCl by the initial volume (50 mL). [e] The imidate salt was filtered, dried, and weighed to quantify the yield.

Fig. 1 Influence of the HCl : MeOH ratio on the yield of **2c·HCl**.

Scheme 7 Products from the methanolysis of imidate salts **2·HCl**.

Table 4 The reaction of salts **2·HCl** using methanol as a reagent/solvent[a]

#	Imidate	Time (h)	Conversion	Orthoester 3	Ester 4	Amide 5
			% by GC/MS[b]			
1	**2a·HCl**	48	98	63	35	1
2	**2b·HCl**	48	97	60	37	—
3	**2c·HCl**	48	48	6	19	23
4		240	98	27	28	43

[a] Reaction conditions: 5 : 1 MeOH : **2·HCl** molar ratio, 25 °C. [b] GC/MS analyses were performed after treatment of the reaction mixture with aqueous K$_2$CO$_3$ (to remove NH$_4$Cl) and subsequent extraction with diethyl ether.

corresponding orthoesters. Our objective was to use the residual MeOH of the first step, as a reagent for the second step as well. However, attempts to integrate the synthesis of imidate hydrochlorides and their subsequent methanolysis proved unsuccessful. The two reactions required different temperatures (0–5 °C and ≥25 °C, respectively), and if a residual acidity (HCl) was present in methanol solutions of **2·HCl**, then the salts readily reverted to parent nitriles on heating.

The methanolysis of the salts **2·HCl** was therefore studied as a separate step. The reaction was initially carried out at 25 °C by simply adding an excess of methanol (5 molar equiv.) to the pure solid imidates **2·HCl**. Three main differences were manifest between the behaviour of the aliphatic compounds (**2a** and **2b·HCl**) and that of the benzonitrile-derived imidate **2c·HCl**. (i) At $t = 0$ (the beginning of the reaction), the aliphatic salts gave clear solutions, while **2c·HCl** was poorly soluble and a suspension was obtained. (ii) After 48 hours, a white precipitate (ammonium chloride) separated from the mixtures of **2a·HCl** and **2b·HCl**, while no changes were visible for **2c·HCl**. (iii) GC/MS analyses showed not only that the reaction was slower for **2c·HCl** than for **2a** and **2b·HCl**, but also that different product distributions were achieved. The expected orthoesters **3** were observed in all cases, along with different amounts of the methyl esters **4** and of the corresponding amides **5** as side products (Scheme 7). The results are reported in Table 4.

The reaction of the aliphatic salts **2a·HCl** and **2b·HCl** was complete once all the NH$_4$Cl precipitated (48 h). The desired

orthoesters **3a–b** were the major products (≈60%). Methyl esters **4a–b** were also detected (35–37%). The formation of amide derivatives **5a–b** was negligible (≤1%) (entries 1–2). These results were comparable with those obtained via the conventional Pinner method with dichloromethane as the solvent.[7] The desired compounds **3a–b** were then isolated as colourless liquids in good yields (59 and 58% respectively).

Complete conversion of the benzoimidomethylester salt **2c·HCl** took five times longer than **2a·–2b·HCl** (240 vs. 48 h). However, benzamide **5c** was the main product in this case: the amount of **5c** was 23% after 48 hours (48% conversion) and it reached 43% once the reaction was complete (entries 3 and 4, respectively). Higher temperature prompted higher conversion of **2c·HCl** (up to 82% in 10 hours, at 50 °C), yet the main product was always amide **5c** (53% at 50 °C). Although the scant solubility of **2c·HCl** in MeOH could account for its poor reactivity, the selectivity towards benzamide was likely due to other reasons, such as the aromatic nature of **2c·HCl**. The steric bulk of the phenyl group increased the crowding, and the electronic effects stabilized the positive charge at the imidate carbon. The attack of methanol on **2c·HCl** was therefore hampered. In addition, other aspects including the thermodynamic stability and the low solubility (in MeOH) of benzamide **5c** could also favour its formation.

Overall, the initial screening of the methanolysis of imidate hydrochloride salts **2·HCl** showed that although the reaction was possible using MeOH as a reagent/solvent, both the selectivity and the separation of the desired orthoesters had to be improved in order to be synthetically appealing. This was especially true for the benzonitrile derived compound **2c·HCl**. Therefore we focused our efforts on the solventless synthesis of trimethylorthobenzoate (TMOB) from **2c·HCl**.

Trimethylorthobenzoate (TMOB). The first goal was to eliminate the formation of benzamide as a side product of the methanolysis of **2c·HCl**. As discussed in the Introduction, the conversion of imidate hydrochlorides to the corresponding amides occurs by an internal nucleophilic attack of the chloride ion (Scheme 3, top). We therefore envisaged that this reaction could be prevented by the presence of a less nucleophilic counteranion of the imidate salt. The phosphate ion was chosen as a model since the low volatility and the relatively low corrosivity of phosphoric acid made it an easy-to-implement Brønsted acid. However, we had already observed that the direct synthesis of imidate phosphate salts (**2c·H₃PO₄**) was not feasible (Scheme 5). A two-step strategy was applied: (i) first, the benzoimidomethylester hydrochloride **2c·HCl** was obtained by the reaction of benzonitrile, MeOH and HCl (Scheme 6); (ii) then, **2c·HCl** was ion-exchanged with H₃PO₄ to produce the imidate dihydrogenphosphate salt **2c·H₃PO₄**. The procedure for the anion metathesis (ion exchange reaction) is presented in Scheme 8.

Treatment of the imidate hydrochloride (8.36 mmol) with aqueous potassium carbonate yielded benzoimidomethylester **2c** in the neutral form (free base, eqn (a)). Compound **2c** was then recovered by extraction with an eco-friendly solvent such as ethyl acetate or dimethylcarbonate. To the clear solution of **2c**, anhydrous H₃PO₄ ($pK_a = 2.12^{14}$) diluted in the minimum amount of methanol (1 mL g⁻¹ acid; **2c** : acid molar ratio = 1 : 1) was added. The desired imidate dihydrogenphosphate **2c·H₃PO₄** precipitated as a white microcrystalline solid (eqn (b)) in almost quantitative yield (98%). Both reactions (a) and (b) were carried out at 0–5 °C. Only the first dissociation of phosphoric acid took place: the titration of a solution of neutral imidate **2c** by H₃PO₄ proved that a single mole of acid was consumed.

The anion metathesis procedure was then extended to different acids: CH₃COOH, H₂SO₄, HOTs. Acetic acid ($pK_a = 4.76^{15}$) proved too weak to protonate the imidate, while the stronger sulphuric and p-toluenesulfonic acids ($pK_a = -3.0^{14}$ and $-2.8,^{15}$ respectively) yielded the corresponding salts. Sulphate **2c·H₂SO₄** separated from the organic solution as a liquid. The solvent was decanted and the oily product was used thereafter without any further purification. The p-toluenesulfonate salt **2c·HOTs** precipitated as a white solid (93%) and was isolated by filtration.

The three available imidate salts (**2c·H₃PO₄**, **2c·H₂SO₄**, and **2c·HOTs**) were investigated for the synthesis of TMOB.

The reaction of **2c·H₃PO₄** (7.90 mmol) was initially explored at 25 °C in the presence of an excess of MeOH (20 molar equiv.). The methanolysis was even slower than that observed using **2c·HCl**: after 24 hours, the conversion was only 20%. However, the selectivity was greatly improved. Major products were the desired orthoester **3c** and methyl benzoate **4c** (13% and 7%, respectively), while benzamide **5c** was detected in a remarkably low amount (1%). This seemed to confirm our assumption that the poorly nucleophilic dihydrogenphosphate anion inhibited the side-formation of benzamide. Encouraged by this result, we continued the study at a higher temperature. The reaction of each imidate salt (**2c·H₃PO₄**, **2c·H₂SO₄** and **2c·HOTs**) with excess methanol (20 molar equiv.) was carried out at the reflux temperature (65 °C). After 4 hours, the reaction mixtures were analysed by GC/MS. The results are presented in Table 5.

The higher temperature (65 °C) prompted substantially quantitative conversions (85–90%) in all cases. Rewardingly, the alcoholysis of **2c·H₃PO₄** corroborated the product distribution observed at 25 °C: the reaction gave mainly the desired orthoester (**3c**: 64%) along with methyl benzoate (**4c**: 16%) and negligible amounts of benzamide **5c** (2%) (entry 1). This result was, by far, superior to that achieved with **2c·HCl** at both 25 and 50 °C (Table 4 and below).

The reaction of the hydrogensulphate imidate **2c·H₂SO₄** with methanol yielded mainly the methyl ester **4c** (entry 2: 88%), along with some unrecognised side products. Not even traces of either **3c** or **5c** were detected. Plausibly, also the hydrogensulphate anion was not sufficiently nucleophilic to promote the formation of benzamide; nevertheless, its strongly acidic nature and the problematic isolation of **2c·H₂SO₄** made the preparation of **3c** not viable.

Scheme 8 The anion metathesis procedure.

Table 5 Methanolysis of imidate salts **2c·H₃PO₄**, **2c·H2SO₄** and **2c·HOTs** at 65 °C[a]

#	Anion	Conversion	Orthoester 3c	Ester 4c	Amide 5c
		% by GC/MS[b]			
1	$[H_2PO_4]^-$	82	64	16	2
2	$[HSO_4]^-$	100[c]	—	88	—
3	$[p\text{-}CH_3C_6H_4SO_3]^-$	90	—	49	41

[a] Methanol : substrate molar ratio = 20 : 1. [b] The mixture composition after 4 hours. [c] Unrecognised compounds were observed (12%).

Finally, methanolysis of the tosylate salt **2c·HOTs** provided almost equal amounts of methyl benzoate and benzamide (entry 3: 49 and 41%, respectively). In this case, methyl *p*-toluene-sulfonate (*p*-CH$_3$C$_6$H$_4$SO$_3$CH$_3$) was also detected (by GC/MS) as a side-product in an amount comparable to that of the amide **5c**. The presence of methyl tosylate substantiated the mechanism of Scheme 5 for the formation of benzamide.

Among the tested imidates, only **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$** was able to undergo a selective methanolysis to TMOB (**3c**) at 65 °C. Under such conditions, a detailed investigation of the reaction was carried out over a period of 8 hours. Samples of the reaction mixture were withdrawn at selected time intervals and analysed by GC/MS to obtain a reaction profile. The results are reported in Fig. 2.

The optimal reaction time was 6 hours when the conversion was quantitative and the selectivity towards the desired ortho-ester was 82%. The formation of the major by-product, methyl benzoate, could be further reduced to 15% by operating under strictly anhydrous conditions. The amount of benzamide was ≤3%.

These observations allowed us to set up a process for the preparation of TMOB using benzonitrile as the starting material. Starting from 20 g of benzonitrile (194 mmol) and applying the optimal ratio of **1c** : MeOH : HCl equal to 1 : 3 : 3, the nitrile was converted into the imidate hydrochloride **2c·HCl**. The latter was then anion exchanged to the corresponding imidate dihydrogenphosphate (**2c·H$_3$PO$_4$**). Yields of the two salts were 98 and 97%, respectively. In the second step, at 65 °C, **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$** was treated with methanol to yield tri-methylorthobenzoate. The product was then distilled under vacuum and isolated in 65% yield (98% purity GC). The overall yield of TMOB was 62%.

A scale up of the overall procedure was also performed. The test was conducted starting from 120 g of benzonitrile (1.17 mol). In this case, yields of the two salts **2c·HCl** and **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$** were 97 and 98%, respectively. After the alcoholysis step of **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$**, TMOB was isolated by distillation in an overall yield of 56% (118 g).

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first ever accomplished high-yielding synthesis of TMOB *via* the Pinner reaction from benzonitrile. The imidate formation and alcoholysis

steps were both carried out without added solvents except MeOH which also acted as a reagent. Gaseous HCl and anhydrous H$_3$PO$_4$ were used in 3 : 1 and 1 : 1 molar ratios over benzonitrile and **2c·HCl**, respectively.

Conclusions

A greener Pinner synthesis of trimethylorthoesters RC(OCH$_3$)$_3$ (R = Et, Bu, Ph; **3a**, **b**, and **c**, respectively) was developed through a two-step sequence carried out in the absence of solvents, using MeOH that also acted as a reagent.

In the first step, nitriles R–CN (**1a–c**) were converted into the corresponding imidate hydrochlorides **2a–c·HCl** which were isolated with excellent yields (>90%). Although it was not possible to replace gaseous HCl with more manageable common acids such as sulphuric, phosphoric or supported sulfonic acids, we were able to set up conditions whereby only a moderate excess of methanol (3 molar equiv. with respect to the nitrile) was used. The elimination of additional hazardous solvents improved the safety and allowed a remarkable process intensification.

In the second step, the methanolysis of imidate salts was performed successfully on alkyl derivatives **2a–b·HCl**, achieving the corresponding trimethylorthoesters **3a–b**. On the other hand, by using the same strategy, the aromatic analogue tri-methylorthobenzoate (TMOB) **3c** could not be obtained due to the major formation of benzamide as a side-product. As predicted from the available literature data, this compound (amide) was formed by the presence of the chloride counterion in the reacting salt **2c·HCl**. To overcome this bottleneck, we implemented an original sequence whereby the hydrochloride salt was ion-exchanged with phosphate to yield **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$**. This in turn reacted selectively (>80%) with methanol to afford the desired pure product **3c** in up to 62% isolated yield.

In conclusion, our new procedure not only eliminated the use of noxious chlorinated solvents for the preparation of tri-methylorthoformates **3a–c**, but also, for the case of **3c**, it allowed the unprecedented Pinner-type synthesis starting from benzonitrile. This circumvented the need to prepare TMOB by the traditional method using the very toxic and suspected carcinogenic trichloromethylbenzene as a reagent. In our view, although an additional step (the anion metathesis to produce **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$**) was required, the gain in "greenness" due to the use of a non-toxic starting material largely offset the increased mass flow.

Fig. 2 Reaction profile of the alcoholysis of **2c·H$_3$PO$_4$**.

Experimental section

General

The chemicals used were of reagent grade and used as received. Trimethylorthopropionate, trimethylorthovalerate, trimethylorthobenzoate, propionitrile, valeronitrile, benzo-nitrile, phosphoric acid, acetic acid, trifluoroacetic acid,

p-toluenesulfonic acid, and sulphuric acid were from Aldrich. Gaseous hydrogen chloride was from SIAD (Italy).

GC/MS (EI, 70 eV) analyses were performed with an HP5890 gas chromatograph equipped with an HP5 MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm; coating thickness 0.25 μm) and an HP-5970 quadrupole mass detector (EI, 70 eV).

NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Unity 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were reported in δ values downfield from TMS; CDCl₃ was used as a solvent.

The structures of orthoesters and by-products were assigned by both comparison to authentic samples and GC/MS and ¹H NMR analyses.

The Pinner synthesis with no added solvents. A typical procedure

The preparation of imidate hydrochlorides 2·HCl. A mixture of the chosen nitrile (140 mmol, **1a**: 7.71 g, **1b**: 11.64 g, **1c**: 14.44 g) and methanol (13.4 g, 420 mmol) was charged in a 50 mL flask, and cooled to 5 °C in an ice bath. Gaseous HCl was slowly bubbled into the methanolic solution of the nitrile until the required amount was reached (HCl: 15.30 g, 420 mmol). The quantity of HClg was determined by weighing the reaction vessel at time intervals (30 min). The resulting mixture was kept at 5 °C for 24 hours. Then, the excess of methanol and HCl was removed by rotary evaporation under vacuum. A white solid of highly pure (>98%) imidate hydrochloride separated (**2a·HCl**: 15.75 g, 91%; **2b·HCl**: 19.50 g, 92%; **2c·HCl**: 22.6 g, 94%). The product was dried under vacuum at rt, and used as such for the subsequent methanolysis step.

The alcoholysis of imidate hydrochlorides 2·HCl. At rt, a mixture of methanol (19.8 g, 620 mmol) and the solid imidate hydrochloride **2·HCl** (125 mmol; **a**: 15.45 g; **b**: 18.95 g; **c**: 21.45 g) was set to react under stirring for 48 hours. A clear solution was obtained with **2a-** and **2b·HCl**, while for **2c·HCl** a white suspension was observed. A white solid (ammonium chloride) formed during the reaction. Ethyl acetate (50 mL) was then added with vigorous stirring. Solid NH₄Cl was allowed to settle and the supernatant clear solution was transferred, by means of a needle, to a 500 mL flask containing a 0.5 M aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃ (200 mL). The aqueous phase was then discharged and extracted with 2 × 20 mL of ethyl acetate. The extracts were collected and dried on Na₂SO₄ and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The pale yellow liquid thus obtained was purified by distillation, yielding trimethylorthopropionate (**3a**, 9.89 g, 59%) or trimethylorthovalerate (**3b**, 11.76 g, 58%). Trimethylorthobenzoate **3c** was not obtained using this procedure.

The synthesis of trimethylorthobenzoate (TMOB)

Study of the synthesis of benzoimidate 2c·HCl using toluene as the co-solvent. Benzonitrile **1c** (20 g, 194 mmol) and the required amount of methanol (6.88, 13.76, 23.76 g; 215, 429, 742 mmol, see Table 3) were introduced in a 100 mL flask. Toluene was added to reach a total volume equal to 50 mL and the resulting mixture was cooled to 5 °C by means of an ice bath. Gaseous hydrogen chloride was bubbled into the cooled mixture. After the required amount of HCl was added

(8.19–25.25 g, see Table 3) the reaction mixture was kept at 5 °C for 24 hours. The formed methylbenzoimidate hydrochloride **2c·HCl** was filtered and washed with 2 × 10 mL diethyl ether.

Anion metathesis of benzoimidate hydrochloride salt. A mixture of benzoimidate hydrochloride [**2c·HCl**: PhC(=NH)-OCH₃·HCl; 1.60 g, 8.36 mmol] and ethyl acetate (15 mL) was charged to a 50 mL flask. The resulting suspension was cooled to 5 °C. To this, a 1.8 M aqueous solution of K₂CO₃ (15 mL) was added with vigorous stirring until complete dissolution of the starting salt **2c·HCl** was obtained. Then, the organic phase was separated, while the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, introduced in a 50 mL flask, and cooled to 5 °C. To this solution, a selected Brønsted acid HX (phosphoric acid: 8.36 mmol, 819 mg; sulfuric acid: 820 mg, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid: 1.44 g) dissolved in the minimum volume of methanol (2 mL) was added. The molar ratio **2c** : HX was 1. Both the hydrogenphosphate and the *p*-toluenesulfonate salts precipitated as white solids and were filtered (**2c·H₃PO₄**: 1.84 g, 7.89 mmol, 94%; and **2c·HOTs**: 2.28 g, 7.42 mmol, 89%). The hydrogensulfate salt **2c·H₂SO₄** separated as an oil from which ethyl acetate and methanol were removed at reduced pressure.

The three anion exchanged salts were then used as such, without any further purification.

Alcoholysis of 2c·HX. The reaction was carried out as follows: a mixture of the selected benzoimidate **2c·HX** (see above) and methanol (4.98 g, 155 mmol) was heated to reflux temperature for 4 to 6 hours (see Table 5 and Fig. 2). Mixtures were sampled and analysed by GC/MS. At this stage, products were not isolated.

Preparation of trimethylorthobenzoate. The above described procedures for the synthesis of imidate salts, the anion exchange, and the alcoholysis reaction were used for the preparation and isolation of TMOB. Benzoimidate hydrochloride **2c·HCl** was obtained starting from 194 mmol of benzonitrile (20 g) and methanol (25 mL, 617 mmol). The mixture was placed in a 250 mL flask, cooled to 5 °C, and set to react with gaseous HCl (22.5 g, 617 mmol) from a commercial cylinder. The whole addition of the gas took 4 hours, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 20 hours keeping the temperature below 10 °C by means of an ice bath. **2c·HCl** was obtained as a white solid in a 98.5% yield (32.8 g, 191 mmol). The salt was suspended in ethyl acetate (200 mL), and neutralized with a 1.8 M aqueous solution of Na₂CO₃ (200 mL). The resulting methyl benzoimidate **2c** dissolved in ethyl acetate was treated with anhydrous H₃PO₄ (16.4 g, 167.2 mmol) dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) at 5 °C, for 30 minutes. A white, microcrystalline solid of *O*-methyl benzoimidate dihydrogenphosphate [**2c·H₃PO₄**: 43.4 g; 186 mmol; 97.5%] was isolated. This compound was set to react with MeOH (150 mL, 3.66 mol) at the reflux temperature (65 °C) with stirring, for 6 hours. The mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature and the excess methanol was removed under vacuum. Ethyl acetate (50 mL) was then added with vigorous stirring.

Solid [NH_4][H_2PO_4] was allowed to settle and the supernatant clear solution was transferred, by means of a needle, to a 500 mL flask containing a 0.5 M aqueous solution of Na_2CO_3 (200 mL). The white solid NH_4Cl was extracted with an additional 2 × 10 mL of ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was then discharged and extracted with 2 × 10 mL of ethyl acetate. The extracts were collected, dried on Na_2SO_4 and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The pale yellow liquid thus obtained was purified by distillation at reduced pressure (80 °C at 5 torr), yielding trimethylorthobenzoate in 65.0% yield (22.0 g, 121 mmol).

Scale up of the synthesis of trimethylorthobenzoate. TMOB was also prepared on a larger (6 times higher) scale. Benzoimidate hydrochloride **2c·HCl** was obtained starting from 1.17 mol of benzonitrile (120 g) and methanol (150 mL, 3.70 mol). The mixture was placed in a 250 mL flask and cooled to 5 °C. Once the temperature was stable, gaseous HCl (127.0 g, 3.50 mmol) was slowly added keeping the temperature below 10 °C. After 20 hours at 5–10 °C, **2c·HCl** was obtained as a white solid in a 92.1% yield (184 g, 1.07 mol).

The salt was slowly added over a mixture of ethyl acetate (500 mL) and a 1.8 M aqueous solution of K_2CO_3 (1.5 L). The aqueous phase was discharged and extracted with 2 × 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The resulting methyl benzoimidate **2c** dissolved in ethyl acetate was treated with anhydrous H_3PO_4 (109 g, 1.11 mol) dissolved in MeOH (50 mL) at 5 °C, for 60 minutes. A white, microcrystalline solid of O-methyl benzoimidate dihydrogenphosphate [**2c·H₃PO₄**: 240 g; 1.03 mol; 96.3%] was isolated. This compound was set to react with MeOH (900 mL, 22.2 mol) at the reflux temperature (65 °C) with stirring, for 8 hours. The mixture was then treated as in the previous preparation, increasing all the quantities by a factor equal to 6, yielding trimethylorthobenzoate in 62.9% yield (118 g, 648 mmol).

Characterisation data

All the compounds were characterised by GC/MS and ^1H NMR. Spectroscopic properties were in agreement with those reported in the literature.

Methylpropioimidate 2a.[16] GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 87 ([M^+], 41%), 86 (47), 58 (70), 57 ([$M − CH_3 − NH$]$^+$, 41), 56 ([$M − OCH_3$]$^+$, 100), 54 (14), 44 (20); ^1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.26 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

Methylvaleroimidate 2b.[17] GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 101 ([$M − CH_2$], 98%), 59 (11), 55 ([C_4H_7]$^+$, 100), 41 16), 39 (11); ^1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.69 (s, 1H), 2.28–2.17 (m, 1H), 1.53 (qui, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.34 (sex, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H).

Methylbenzoimidate 2c.[18] GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 135 ([M^+], 10%), 134 ([$M − H$]$^+$, 35), 140 ([$M − CH_3$]$^+$, 31), 105 ([C_6H_5CO]$^+$, 19), 104 ([C_6H_5CNH]$^+$, 100), 103 (23), 91 (10), 77 (49), 76 (16), 51 (34), 50 (18); ^1H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.32–8.27 (m, 2H), 7.68–7.60 (m, 1H), 7.56–7.46 (m, 2H), 4.47 (s, J = 2.1 Hz, 3H).

Trimethylorthopropionate 3a. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 105 ([$M − OCH_3$]$^+$, 56%), 103 ([$M − OCH_3$]$^+$, 100), 59 (16), 57 ([CH_3CH_2CO]$^+$, 48), 45 (7).

Trimethylorthovalerate 3b. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 131 ([$M − OCH_3$]$^+$, 87%), 105 (100), 101 ([$M − 2OCH_3$]$^+$, 36), 85 ([$CH_3(CH_2)_3CO$]$^+$, 9), 61 (13), 59 (35), 57 (69).

Trimethylorthobenzoate 3c. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 151 ([$M − OCH_3$]$^+$, 100%), 105 ([C_6H_5CO]$^+$, 64), 91 (18), 77 (42), 59 (12), 51 (20).

Methylpropionate 4a. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 88 ([M^+], 20%), 59 (27), 57 (86), 29 (100), 28 ([$M − ?$]$^+$, 19), 27 (42). Database Wiley: Ref 3808, match quality 94%.

Methylvalerate 4b. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 101 (1%), 87 (29), 85 (31), 74 (100), 59 (26), 57 (42), 55 (22), 43 (56), 42 (14), 41 (47), 39 (21). Database Wiley: Ref 12 990, match quality 95%.

Methylbenzoate 4c. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 136 ([M^+], 32%), 105 ([C_6H_5CO]$^+$, 100), 77 ([C_6H_5]$^+$, 73). Database Wiley: Ref 24 271, match quality 97%.

Benzamide 5c. GC/MS (relative intensity, 70 eV) m/z: 121 ([M^+], 32%), 105 ([C_6H_5CO]$^+$, 100), 77 ([C_6H_5]$^+$, 98). Database Wiley: Ref 15 171, match quality 91%.

Notes and references

1 (a) P. Wipf, T. Tsuchimoto and H. Takahashi, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1999, **71**, 415–421; (b) E. J. Corey and N. Raju, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 5571–5574; (c) S. M. McElvain and M. J. Curry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1948, **70**, 3781–3786.

2 A. Ercoli and R. Gardi, *US Pat.* 3147249, 1964.

3 (a) J. G. Erickson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1955, **20**, 1573–1576; (b) S. M. McElvain and D. Kundiger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1942, **64**, 254–259; (c) S. M. McElvain and J. T. Venerable, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1950, **72**, 1661–1669; (d) K.-H. G. Brinkhaus, E. Steckhan and D. Degner, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, **42**, 553–560; (e) W. M. Kantlehner, Thomas, Kapassakalidis and J. Joannis, *Synthesis*, 1981, **1981**, 380–381.

4 (a) A. Pinner and F. Klein, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1877, **10**, 1889–1897; (b) A. Pinner and F. Klein, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1878, **11**, 1475–1487; (c) A. Pinner, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1883, **16**, 1643–1655.

5 A. J. Hill and I. Rabinowitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1926, **48**, 732–737.

6 P. P. T. Sah, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1928, **50**, 516–518.

7 R. Roger and D. G. Neilson, *Chem. Rev.*, 1961, **61**, 179–211.

8 S. M. McElvain and B. E. Tate, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1951, **73**, 2233–2238.

9 *Die Imidoaether und ihre Derivate*, ed. A. Pinner, Oppenheim, 1892.

10 The alcoholysis reaction proceeds to the desired trimethylorthobenzoate in very low yield (20%), after a very long reaction time (six weeks). See for details: L. G. S. Brooker and F. L. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1935, **57**, 2480–2488.

11 J. Mack and S. Muthukrishnan, in *Green Techniques for Organic Synthesis and Medicinal Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2012, pp. 297–323.

12 (*a*) A. Gautier, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1867, **142**, 289–294; (*b*) S. W. Peterson and J. M. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 2866–2866; (*c*) R. Bonnett, in *Carbon–Nitrogen Double Bonds*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1970, pp. 597–662; (*d*) S. Yanagida, T. Fujita, M. Ohoka, I. Katagiri and S. Konori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1973, **46**, 292–293.

13 Toluene was chosen given its inertness in the reaction environment.

14 *Ionization constants of inorganic acids and bases in aqueous solution*, ed. D. B. Perrin, Pergamon, Oxford, 2nd edn, 1982.

15 *Ionization Constants of Organic Acids in Aqueous Solution*, ed. E. P. Serjeant and B. Dempsey, Pergamon, London, 1979.

16 P. L. Barker, P. L. Gendler and H. Rapoport, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **46**, 2455–2465.

17 G. J. Griffiths, M. B. Hauck, R. Imwinkelried, J. Kohr, C. A. Roten, G. C. Stucky and J. Gosteli, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 8084–8089.

18 D. J. Connolly, P. M. Lacey, M. McCarthy, C. P. Saunders, A.-M. Carroll, R. Goddard and P. J. Guiry, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 6572–6589.