Redox Features of CuO/CeO₂ Catalysts in the Preferential Oxidation of CO

Enrique Rodríguez Castellón¹, Álvaro Reyes Carmona¹, Ana Arango Díaz¹, Antonio Jiménez-López¹, Elisa Moretti², Loretta Storaro², Aldo Talon², Maurizio Lenarda²

1. Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Cristalografía y Mineralogía (Unidad Asociada al ICP-CSIC), Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos, E-29071 Málaga, Spain. <u>castellon@uma.es</u>

2 Dipartimento di Chimica, Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia, Via Torino 155/B, 30172 Venezia, Italy.

1. Introduction

The reforming of methanol or ethanol is considered to be a feasible method to produce hydrogen-rich gas streams as feed for proton-exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs). Nevertheless, CO is a typical byproduct of the process that must be reduced down to ppm levels in order to become suitable to be used as feed. The selective oxidation of CO (CO-PROX) is considered one of the most straightforward and cost effective methods to achieve the required low levels of CO. Catalysts based on the CuO-CeO₂ couple are highly active and selective in CO-PROX process, but also from an economical point of view. The performances of these catalysts in CO-PROX appear to be related to the peculiar synergistic redox properties in the presence of copperceria interfacial sites. The CO-PROX activity and selectivity appears to be improved by the presence of highly dispersed copper species on the ceria surface that favor the formation of oxygen vacancies at the copper-ceria boundaries improving copper reducibility. The presence of ZrO₂ in this system improves the oxygen-storage capacity due to the formation of Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂, mixed oxide modifying the redox properties and thermal resistance of the catalysts. The combination of hydrogen temperature programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) and XPS measurements are two powerful tools to study the redox features of these solids in the CO-PROX process. Catalysts based on Zr doped mesoporous silica of type SBA-15 will be studied by H2-TPR and XPS in order to explain their catalytic performance in the CO-PROX process.

The Zr containing supports based on SBA-15 were synthesized following the method of Zajac et al. [1], using a Si/Zr ratio of 10, 20 and infinite (without Zr added). The materials obtained were labelled as SBAZr10, SBAZr20 and SBA, respectively. The mesoporous solids were impregnated via incipient wetness method using aqueous solutions of copper(II) and cerium(III) salts. The catalysts were prepared with a fixed cerium loading of 20 wt.% and loadings of copper (3, 6 and 12 wt.%). The samples were dried 12 h at 60°C and then calcined at 450°C for 4 h. The catalysts were labelled as SBAZryCe20Cu_x, where x denotes the weight percentage of copper and y the Si/Zr ratio.

H₂-TPR experiments were carried with ~ 0.1 g of freshly calcined catalyst that was placed on top of wool glass in a quartz reactor. In order to remove contaminants, the powder was pre-treated in helium (20 cm³ min⁻¹) to 350°C for 1 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, TPR experiments were carried out in 10 vol.% H₂/Ar (30 cm³ min⁻¹) increasing the temperature from 40°C to 800°C (10°C min⁻¹), by a temperature programmable controller. XPS were collected using a PHI 5700 spectrometer with non monochromatic Mg Ka radiation (300W, 15 kV, 1253.6 eV) for the analysis of the core level signals of O 1*s*, Si 2*p*, Zr 3*d*, Ce 3*d* and Cu 2*p*. A short acquisition time of 10 min was used to examine C 1s and Cu 2*p* XPS regions in order to avoid, as much as possible, photoreduction of Cu²⁺ species.

All the samples resulted active and selective in the CO-PROX in the 40-190°C temperature range, and the in Fig 1 are shown as an example the catalytic performance of the materials supported on SBAZr10 with three different Cu loadings. The content of Cu affects to the catalytic performance, especially at low reaction temperature. At 115 °C the conversion % is close 100% for the catalysts with 6 or 12wt% of Cu. More interestingly, the selectivity to CO2 is 100% at low temperature without taking place the oxidation of H_2 , being the sample with a 6 wt% of Cu the best one. Other catalysts supported on SBAZr 20 and SBA exhibit poorer catalytic performances than those supported on SBAZr10.

XPS was used to obtain information about the valence/oxidation state of the elements and surface composition of the active metals by inspecting the spectral line shape and the intensities of the Ce 3*d* and Cu 2*p* core-level electrons. The samples were analyzed before and after a CO-PROX catalytic test. The reduction decree of ceria was calculated by considering the relative intensity of the u_0 (v_0) and u' (v') peaks to the intensity of Ce 3*d* region. The core level spectrum Cu 2*p* of the solids before catalysis show a main Cu 2 $p_{3/2}$ peak that can be decomposed in two contributions at 932.6 eV and 934.8 eV. These two contribution are ascribed to the presence of CuO particles (contribution at 934.8 eV) and reduced copper species or small clusters of copper

(contribution at 932.6 eV) formed by the strong interaction between copper and ceria. After reaction, the relative intensity of the contribution due to CuO at 934.8 eV decreases (see Figure 13), and the Cu_{red}/CuO increases. H₂-TPR results indicated that samples with Cu species with lower reduction temperature exhibit a much better catalytic performance as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 1.- Variation of the a CO conversion and b selectivity towards to CO₂ as a function of the reaction temperature obtained for the catalysts SBAZr10 with 20 wt% of Ce and 3, 6 and 12 wt% of Cu. Operating conditions: k = 2; W/F = 0.18 g s cm-3; 1.2% CO, 1.2% O₂, 50% H₂, He balance (vol.%).



Figure 2. H₂-TPR curves for catalysts SBAZr10Ce20Cu6 and SBAZr10Ce20Cu12

Acknowledgements

The Ministero dell'Universita' e Ricerca (MiUR-PRIN 2006) is gratefully acknowledged for financial support by the Italian authors. The Spanish authors thank the Project MAT09-10481 (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación).

References

[1] K. Szczodrowski, B. Prélot, S. Lantenois, J. Zajac, M. Lindheimer, D. Jones, A. Julbe, A. van der Lee. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* **110**, 111–118 (2008).

[2] X. Tang, B. Zhang, Y. Li, Y. Xu, Q. Xin, W. Shen, Appl. Catal. A 288, 116-121 (2005).