Play equipment and children's physical activity

- 1 Not all play equipment is created equal: associations between equipment at home
- 2 and children's physical activity
- 3
- 4 Katrina M Moss (katrina.moss@uq.edu.au)¹
- 5 Annette J Dobson (a.dobson@sph.uq.edu.au)¹
- 6 Kimberley Edwards (Kimberley.edwards@nottingham.ac.uk)²
- 7 Kylie D Hesketh (kylie.hesketh@deakin.edu.au)³
- 8 Yung-Ting Chang (www1235q@gmail.com)^{1, 2}
- 9 Gita D Mishra (g.mishra@sph.uq.edu.au)
- 10 ¹The University of Queensland, Centre for Longitudinal and Life Course Research, School
- 11 of Public Health, Herston, Queensland, 4006 Australia
- 12 ²University of Nottingham, Orthopaedics, Trauma and Sports Medicine, School of
- 13 Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United
- 14 Kingdom
- 15 ³Deakin University, Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition, School of Exercise and
- 16 Nutrition Sciences, Burwood, Victoria, 3125 Australia
- 17 Corresponding author: Katrina M Moss

Play equipment and children's physical activity

- 1 Not all play equipment is created equal: associations between equipment at home
- 2 and children's physical activity
- 3
- 4 **Running head:** Play equipment and children's physical activity
- 5 **Manuscript type:** Original research
- 6 **Keywords:** Play equipment, children, physical activity, home environment, MatCH,
- 7 ALSWH, Australia
- 8 Abstract word count: 200 words
- 9 Manuscript word count: 5446 words
- 10 **Date of manuscript submission:** 11th February 2019
- 11

13 Abstract

14 **Background:** Play equipment at home could be targeted in interventions to increase 15 children's physical activity (PA) but evidence is mixed, potentially because current methods do not reflect children's lived experience. We investigated associations 16 17 between combinations of equipment and PA. Methods: Data were from the Mothers 18 and their Children's Health study and the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's 19 Health. Mothers (N=2409) indicated the types of fixed active (e.g., trampolines), 20 portable active (e.g., bicycles) and electronic (e.g., computers) equipment at home, and 21 the number of days children (N=4092, aged 5-12 years, 51% boys) met PA guidelines. 22 Latent class analysis was used to identify combinations of equipment, and linear 23 regressions to investigate associations with PA. Results: Compared to children with 24 high active (fixed and portable) and medium electronic equipment, children with 25 portable active and medium (coefficient = -0.53, 95% CI = -0.72, -0.34) or high 26 electronic equipment (coefficient = -0.58, 95% CI = -0.83, -0.33) met the guidelines on 27 fewer days. Children with similar active equipment (but more electronic equipment) met the PA guidelines on fewer days (mean difference = -0.51, SE = 0.14, p = .002). 28 29 **Conclusion:** Having the right combination of play equipment at home may be important 30 for children's PA. 31 **List of Abbreviations**

32 Physical activity (PA); moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA); Australian

Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH); Mothers and their Children's Health
study (MatCH)

36 Introduction

37 Physical activity (PA) has a range of benefits for children. It develops motor skills, 38 improves cardiorespiratory and musculoskeletal fitness, is protective against injuries 39 and contributes to cognitive development ¹⁻³. It is also reduces the risk of noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes and obesity ^{2,4,5}. Yet PA and fitness have 40 declined over recent decades, with children now less fit than their parents were at the 41 42 same age ⁶. Worldwide, the majority of children do not complete the recommended 43 amount of PA ^{2,3,7,8} and in Australia, less than 20% of 5 to 17 year old children meet PA 44 guidelines ⁵. This suggests an urgent need for intervention to improve children's PA. 45 The availability of play equipment at home is modifiable and could be targeted in 46 interventions. The home is the most proximal and influential environment for children and is a prime context for intervention ⁹, as the majority of children's PA occurs during 47 48 free time ³. In particular, the type of equipment at home creates opportunities for play, 49 targets different areas of motor development (e.g. fine and gross motor), and develops 50 social skills such as turn-taking ³. Currently, evidence for the association between home equipment and children's PA is inconsistent, making it difficult to provide evidence 51 52 based advice to parents on how to equip their home to effectively promote PA for their 53 children. PA equipment at home has been positively associated with children's outdoor play ^{10,11}, participation in sports ¹², light PA ¹³, total PA ¹⁴, and MVPA ¹⁵⁻¹⁸. In contrast, 54 other studies find no association between home equipment and MVPA ^{14,19-22} or meeting 55 56 PA guidelines ²³. Further, it is unclear whether the association between equipment and PA is driven by the overall variety of equipment available in the home, or by access to 57 58 specific types of equipment. The small number of studies investigating types of 59 equipment have shown fixed equipment, such as basketball hoops, can be associated 60 with higher levels of MVPA ^{14,16}, whereas portable equipment, such as balls, is not

Play equipment and children's physical activity

associated ^{14,16}, although one study found an association for bicycles ¹⁹. Another study
found that fixed but not portable equipment was associated with outdoor play, but not
with MVPA¹¹. Active electronic games ¹⁶ and bedroom electronics such as televisions ⁴
can be associated with less MVPA ^{4,18}.

65 Reviews tend to conclude there is no association between equipment and PA 9,24-26, but this broad statement fails to account for nuances. The association between 66 67 equipment and PA can vary by several factors, including: the sex of the child ^{15,17}; how PA is defined (e.g., as outdoor play time ^{10,11}, meeting PA guidelines ²³, or light versus 68 69 moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA)¹³); when PA is measured (e.g., after school, weekdays or weekends ¹⁸) and how PA is measured (e.g., accelerometer or self/parent report ²⁷). 70 71 Additionally, the majority of studies examine the overall variety of equipment available, 72 which assumes all types of equipment are equally associated with PA. Conversely, 73 studies that examine individual pieces of equipment assume that the mix of equipment 74 types available in the home has no influence on PA. Neither of these approaches reflects 75 children's lived experience. Children typically have more than one type of equipment at home^{14,16,19}, yet no studies have considered the mix of fixed, portable and electronic 76 77 equipment available. In the current study we aimed to investigate associations between 78 combinations of play equipment at home and children's PA.

79

80 Methods

81 **Participants and procedures**

Mothers were recruited to the Mothers and their Children's Health study (MatCH) ²⁸
from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health (ALSWH) ^{29,30}. ALSWH
participants were randomly sampled from Australia's universal health insurance system
in 1996 and have completed surveys every 3 years. In 2016, women in the cohort born

86 in 1973-78 who 1) had not died or withdrawn, 2) had consented to be contacted about 87 sub-studies and 3) had not reported infertility, were invited to be part of MatCH. Ethics 88 approval for the study was obtained from The University of Newcastle and The 89 University of Queensland. Of the potentially eligible women, 3039 (48% of the women 90 known to be mothers) completed online or paper surveys on their three youngest 91 children aged up to 12 years (N=5780). The sample for this study is children aged 5 to 92 12 years with complete data on study variables (*N*=4092) and their mothers (*N*=2409) (see Figure S1 in supplement for recruitment flowchart). 93

94 Measures

95 *Children's physical activity*

96 Children's PA was reported by mothers using an item adapted from the PACE+ Adolescent Physical Activity Measure ³¹. The PACE+ reliably measures the accumulation 97 98 of MVPA, is consistent with PA recommendations, and significantly correlates with 99 accelerometer data ³¹. Mothers were asked, "on how many days did your child spend a 100 total of at least 60 minutes per day in moderate to vigorous physical activity?" Mothers 101 reported PA over the last 7 days and response options were 0 to 7 days. MVPA was 102 defined for parents as activity that includes bursts of high energy, raises the heartrate 103 and makes children huff and puff, and it excluded physical education at school. PA 104 scores indicate the number of days that children were meeting PA guidelines of 60+ 105 minutes of MVPA ³².

106 Active and electronic play equipment

107 Play equipment at home was reported by mothers using items from the Healthy Active

108 Preschool and Primary Years survey (HAPPY) ³³. Mothers reported the presence of 10

- 109 types of active play equipment in the home: balls, basketball/netball ring,
- 110 bats/racquets/golf clubs, climbing equipment/trees, scooter/bicycle/tricycle,

skateboard/ripstick, skipping rope, swimming pool, trampoline, and slide/swing.

112 Mothers also reported the presence of 4 types of electronic play equipment in the child's

113 bedroom: television, computer/electronic games, mobile electronic device (including a

tablet or phone) and books (including ebooks) and 1 type of electronic play equipment

in the home (active electronic games e.g., Wii).

116 *Covariates*

117 Covariates included in the study were identified in the literature as being potentially 118 related to children's PA 4,9,24. The child's sex was reported by the mother and the child's 119 age was calculated from their date of birth. Mothers were given written instructions on 120 how to measure and report children's height (using the tape measure provided) and 121 weight³⁴. Values were then converted to Body Mass Index (BMI) using established cut 122 offs ^{35,36}. Mothers reported on the impact of children's health issues on the child's 123 everyday life, with responses dichotomized as none/positive or negative. Household 124 socioeconomic status was approximated by two variables: mother's highest educational 125 qualification (secondary school or less, trade/diploma, university degree) and difficulty 126 managing on income (easy, not too bad, difficult sometimes, difficult all the 127 time/impossible). Mother's PA was calculated by asking mothers the number of times 128 and the total time in the last week spent on walking briskly, moderate leisure activity 129 (e.g., tennis, swimming), vigorous leisure activity that made her breathe harder (e.g., 130 aerobics, running) or vigorous household or garden chores that made her breathe harder. We converted this to a metabolic equivalent of task (MET), with one MET 131 132 defined as energy expenditure at rest (3.5mL of oxygen uptake/kilogram/minute). 133 Based on MET we categorized mother's PA as very low (<33.3 MET), low (33.3 to <500 MET), moderate (500 to <1000 MET) or high (1000 or more MET)³⁷. Mothers reported 134 135 the age and sex of all children aged under 18 years living at home, from which we

136 calculated the number of children at home and the composition of siblings (boys only,

137 girls only or both). Mothers reported the size of the yard (none/small, medium or large)

138 and whether their home was on a through road or cul-de-sac/court. Lastly, remoteness

139 of residence was calculated from postcodes using the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of

140 Australia (ARIA+)³⁸ and categorized as city, inner regional or outer

141 regional/remote/very remote.

142 Statistical analysis

143 Descriptive statistics were calculated for each variable. Distributions were checked for

144 normality, implausible values and outliers and no abnormalities were detected.

145 To identify different combinations of equipment available to children we conducted a

146 latent class analysis (LCA) (proc LCA³⁹) to identify mutually-exclusive groups based on

147 the combination of equipment. Excluding balls, bikes and books due to very high

148 frequencies (and thus low variability), all remaining types of equipment were entered

149 into a LCA, using a rho prior of 1 to stabilize the model and clustering by mother to

account for nesting of children within families. Models were repeated with 2 to 6

151 classes. Based on AIC values, entropy and interpretability, a 4-class model was judged as

152 the best fit to the data (Table S1 in supplement).

153 To investigate whether PA varied according to the equipment groups we used 154 generalized estimating equations to account for children nested within mothers, 155 specifying a normal distribution with an identity link, and estimating least square means (which take into account nesting and unbalanced cell sizes). We ran a series of 156 157 models with child PA as the outcome: 1) equipment groups were entered in the same 158 regression model; 2) the child's age and sex were added to model 1; and 3) all 159 remaining covariates were added to model 2. We tested pair-wise differences between 160 equipment groups, with Tukey's adjustment for multiple comparisons. All analyses

161 were conducted using SAS (version 9.4).

162

163 **Results**

164 The demographic characteristics for the sample are in the "overall" column of Table 1.

165 On average, children were aged 8.4 years (*SD* = 2.2 years) and half of the sample were

166 girls (49%). The majority of mothers (63%) were university educated and half of the

167 households (51%) had 2 children, with only 6% reporting 1 child. On average, children

168 met PA guidelines on 3.9 (SD = 2.1) days/week and 12.7% were overweight or obese.

169 Children had access to 6.8 (SD = 1.8) types of active equipment and 1.9 (SD = 1.0) types

170 of electronic equipment on average. Looking at the frequency of different types of

171 equipment (Table 2), almost all children had access to balls, a scooter/bicycle/tricycle

and books, and only a small percentage had access to a television or

173 computer/electronic game in their bedroom.

Combinations of equipment

175 LCA identified 4 mutually-exclusive groups with distinct combinations of play 176 equipment (excluding balls, bikes/scooters and books). The demographics and 177 equipment associated with each group are in Tables 1 and 2 (respectively). There were 178 significant differences between groups on the variety of active and electronic equipment 179 on average (p < 0.001), and on every individual type of equipment (p < 0.001) except books (p = 0.591). We called the first group "Plenties" (n = 1509, 36.9%) as they had 180 181 more of all types of active equipment, with a medium amount of electronic equipment 182 (mobile device, active electronic game). We called the second group "Sliders" (n = 920, 183 22.5%) as they had a medium amount of active equipment that tended towards fixed 184 (e.g., swings/slide, climbing equipment, trampolines) and very low electronic 185 equipment. We called the third group "Batters" (n = 1330, 32.5%) as they had the

186 lowest amount of active equipment, tending towards portable (e.g., bats/racquets, 187 skipping rope, skateboards/ripstick) and medium electronic equipment. We called the 188 last group "Techies" (n = 333, 8.1%) as they had a medium amount of active equipment, 189 tending towards portable, and the highest amount of electronic equipment. 190 There were significant differences between groups on child PA (p < 0.001), age (p < 0191 0.001) and BMI (p < 0.001), on maternal PA (p = 0.004), education (p < 0.001), and 192 difficulty managing on income (p < 0.001), and on the number of children at home (p < 0.001) 193 0.001), sibling composition (p < 0.001), remoteness (p < 0.001), and yard size (p < 0.001) 194 0.001) (Table 1). The "Plenties" group had more active mothers, more children in the 195 family, more families with both boys and girls (compared to single sex), and lived 196 outside of cities with larger yards. The "Sliders" group had more younger children, 197 higher maternal education, little difficulty managing on income, and lived in cities with 198 larger yards. The "Batters" group had fewer children in the family and lived in cities 199 with smaller yards. The "Techies" group had more boys, more older children, lower 200 maternal education, more difficulty managing on income, and more only-child families.

201 Home equipment and children's PA

202 The series of regression models showed that the groups of equipment were associated 203 with children's PA (Table 3). Children in the "Plenties" group and children in the 204 "Sliders" group had similar PA (regression coefficient (B) = -0.07, 95% CI = -0.28, 0.14, p 205 = 0.531). However, children in the "Batters" (B = -0.49, 95% CI = -0.69, -0.29, p < 0.001) 206 and "Techies" (B = -0.56, 95% CI = -0.81, -0.31, p < 0.001) groups met PA guidelines on 207 fewer days of the week on average compared with children in the "Plenties" group. 208 The average number of types of active equipment was similar between the "Sliders" 209 (M = 6.38) and "Techies" (M = 6.77) groups, but children in the latter group met PA 210 guidelines on fewer days (estimated difference in LS means = -0.51, SE=0.14, adjusted pvalue = .002). In contrast, the "Sliders" group had fewer types of active equipment on

average (M = 6.38) than the "Plenties" group (M = 8.17), yet there was no significant

213 difference in the number of days on which children met the PA guidelines (estimated

214 difference in LS means = -0.07, *SE* = 0.11, adjusted *p*-value = 0.926).

215

216 **Discussion**

217 In this study, we investigated the associations between the types of play equipment 218 available at home and children's PA. We investigated combinations rather than 219 individual types of equipment, as children typically have more than one type of 220 equipment at home and looking at the mix of equipment better reflects children's lived 221 experience. We found that children's PA differed by combinations of play equipment. 222 Compared to children with high active and medium electronic equipment 223 ("Plenties"), children with predominantly fixed active and low electronic equipment 224 ("Sliders") were not significantly different in their PA. This is despite children in the 225 "Sliders" group having fewer types of active equipment on average than children in the 226 "Plenties" group. This suggests children can be just as active with less equipment, as 227 long as it includes fixed equipment such as swings, slides, climbing equipment and 228 trampolines. It also affirms the value of investigating combinations of equipment rather 229 than only the overall variety.

In contrast, children with predominantly portable active and either medium
("Batters") or high ("Techies") electronic equipment met PA guidelines on fewer days of
the week on average. This is in line with previous research that has found fixed
equipment is more likely to be positively associated with PA than portable equipment
^{11,14,16,19}, and that electronic equipment can be associated with less PA ^{4,18}. This suggests
portable equipment does not necessarily encourage PA, particularly when paired with

236 high amounts of electronic equipment. It is possible that across the 24-hour cycle, 237 children with more electronic equipment are spending less time on PA and more time 238 sedentary or on screens. It is also possible that, if equipment is in children's bedrooms, 239 it is more difficult for parents to monitor usage and direct children to other types of 240 play. Interventions can successfully reduce the amount of electronic equipment in the 241 home ⁴⁰, or reduce access to it ⁹, and this should be further investigated. Interestingly, 242 even though the average variety of active equipment was equivalent between children in the "Sliders" and "Techies" groups, children in the "Techies" group met the PA 243 244 guidelines on fewer days on average. This again emphasises that it is the combination of equipment that is linked to PA, not the overall variety. 245 246 It should also be noted that these combinations of equipment were available to 247 different families, with significant differences in the demographic profiles between 248 groups. It may be that purchasing decisions differ between groups, perhaps informed by 249 educational level. In our study, the group with the highest amount of electronic 250 equipment ("Techies") also had the lowest percentage of mothers with a university 251 education. Another Australian study has found that education level is associated with 252 the play equipment available at home ¹⁹. It may also be that the groups experienced 253 different financial and environmental constraints ⁹. Fixed equipment, such as a slide, is 254 more expensive to purchase than portable equipment, such as a bat or racquet, and 255 generally requires a larger yard. However, in our study the group with the lowest 256 amount of fixed equipment ("Batters") were not the group with the most difficulty 257 managing on income ("Techies"), but they did report the highest percentage of having 258 no yard or a small yard. Yard sizes have decreased in countries such as Australia and the 259 USA ⁹. The availability of parks and recreational areas is positively associated with PA ²⁵, 260 and is an important consideration in the urban design of neighbourhoods with smaller

261 yards.

262 These findings suggest that the type of play equipment available to children matters. 263 Increasing access to fixed active play equipment and reducing access to electronic 264 equipment may have a beneficial effect on PA, although it should be noted that these 265 findings are in addition to balls, bikes and books which were excluded from the 266 combinations as almost all children in our sample had access to these. The combination 267 of equipment available to children, and the association with PA, is an important area for 268 future research as it can inform parental purchasing decisions and potentially be an 269 effective intervention strategy.

270 Strengths and limitations

271 Our study has several strengths. It used a large national sample and included children 272 from a wide range of ages. It included as covariates some of the most important 273 correlates of children's PA, such as maternal PA. It also suggested a new way of 274 investigating specific types of equipment using LCA. Our study also has several 275 limitations. Primarily, because the study was cross-sectional we cannot look at the 276 direction of the association between children's equipment and PA. Also, PA data were 277 reported by the mother, which may capture different information than objectivelymeasured data ²⁴. Parent-reports typically capture structured and planned activities 278 279 that are more likely to be recalled, while accelerometers capture incidental and sporadic activities ²⁷ but can still under-report PA ^{14,19}. A more robust design might 280 281 include both objectively-measured and self- or parent-reported data, however our 282 findings were in line with those from studies using objective data ¹⁸. Mothers were only 283 able to report on the 15 types of play equipment listed, which may not fully capture the variety of equipment in the home. However, a similar study ¹¹ that provided a list of 30 284 285 types of play equipment also found that fixed rather than portable equipment was

Play equipment and children's physical activity

286 associated with children's PA. Studies in this area typically measure play equipment by 287 indicating the types of equipment available ^{4,12,15,17,19,20}, as we have done, however 288 future studies should consider assessing how frequently each type of equipment is used. 289 This could further our understanding of why specific types of play equipment are more 290 strongly associated with physical activity and could inform both interventions and 291 advice to parents. Compared to mothers in the ALSWH cohort, mothers who completed 292 the MatCH survey were more likely to have a university education and be employed ²⁸, 293 and this should be taken into account when generalizing findings. Finally, we did not 294 measure determinants of children's PA outside the home, such as school, 295 neighbourhood and public transport. 296 Conclusions 297 298 Our study provides evidence from a large Australian community sample that the 299 combination of play equipment at home is associated with children's PA. Play 300 equipment is modifiable and could be targeted as part of public health campaigns aimed 301 at increasing PA. Specifically, it may be beneficial for children to have access to fixed 302 active play equipment; to support families living in urban areas with a higher 303 proportion of small yards; and to suggest that parents limit access to electronic play 304 equipment, particularly in children's bedrooms ^{18,32}. Overall, having the right 305 combination of equipment, rather than the most equipment, is what matters for 306 children's PA. 307

308 Acknowledgements

The research on which this paper is based was conducted as part of the AustralianLongitudinal Study on Women's Health by the University of Queensland and the

Play equipment and children's physical activity

University of Newcastle. We are grateful to the Australian Government Department of
Health for funding and to the women who provided the survey data. We acknowledge
the important contribution of Colleen Loos in managing the study and Richard Hockey
for advice regarding statistical analysis.

315 In terms of author contributions, GDM and AJD conceptualised, coordinated and

316 supervised data collection of the ALSWH and MatCH studies. GDM, AJD, KH, KMM, KE

and YC designed the current study; AJD and KE provided input on the statistical

analyses. KM, YC and GDM analysed and interpreted the data. KM wrote and revised the

319 manuscript. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final

320 version as submitted.

321

322 Funding sources

MatCH is funded by a project grant from the National Health and Medical Research
Council (NHMRC) (APP1059550). ALSWH is funded by the Australian Government
Department of Health. GM is supported by an NHMRC Principal Research Fellowship
(APP1121844). KDH is supported by an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship
(FT130100637) & Honorary National Heart Foundation of Australia Future Leader
Fellowship (100370).

References

1. Poitras VJ, Gray CE, Janssen X, et al. Systematic review of the relationships between sedentary behaviour and health indicators in the early years (0-4 years).(Clinical report). *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(Suppl 5).

2. World Health Organisation. *Physical activity strategy for the WHO European Region 2016-2025.* Copenhagen, Denmark: World Health Organisation;2016.

3. Clemens SL, Lincoln DJ. Where children play most: physical activity levels of school children across four settings and policy implications. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health.* 2018:1-7.

 Kaushal N, Rhodes RE. The home physical environment and its relationship with physical activity and sedentary behavior: A systematic review. *Preventive Medicine*.
 2014;67(C):221-237.

 Schranz N, Olds T, Boyd R, et al. Results From Australia's 2016 Report Card on Physical Activity for Children and Youth. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health.* 2016;13(11):S87-S94.

6. McAnally HM, Reeves LM, Sligo JL, Hancox RJ. Intergenerational changes in adolescents' physical fitness and weight in New Zealand. *The New Zealand medical journal.* 2018;131(1482):16-28.

7. Jaeschke L, Steinbrecher A, Luzak A, et al. Socio-cultural determinants of physical activity across the life course : a 'Determinants of Diet and Physical Activity' (DEDIPAC) umbrella systematic literature review. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2017;14(1).

8. Tremblay MS, Barnes JD, González SA, et al. Global Matrix 2.0 : Report Card Grades on the Physical Activity of Children and Youth Comparing 38 Countries. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health.* 2016;13(11 Suppl 2):S343-3080.

9. Maitland C, Stratton G, Foster S, Braham R, Rosenberg M. A place for play? The influence of the home physical environment on children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2013;10(1).

10. Spurrier NJ, Curnow F, Magarey AA, Golley R, Sawyer MG. Relationships between the home environment and physical activity and dietary patterns of preschool children: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2008;5(1).

 Guy PA, Clover M, Leanne L, et al. Associations between the home yard and preschoolers' outdoor play and physical activity. *Public Health Research & Practice*.
 2019;29(1).

12. Timperio AF, Van Stralen MM, Brug J, et al. Direct and indirect associations
between the family physical activity environment and sports participation among 10–
12 year-old European children: testing the EnRG framework in the ENERGY project. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2013;10(15).

13. Hnatiuk JA, Hesketh KR, van Sluijs EMF. Correlates of home and neighbourhoodbased physical activity in UK 3-4-year-old children. *Eur J Public Health.* 2016;26(6):947.

14. Schmutz E, Leeger-Aschmann C, Radtke T, et al. Correlates of preschool childrens objectively measured physical activity and sedentary behavior: a cross-sectional analysis of the SPLASHY study. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2017;14(1).

15. Patnode C, Lytle L, Erickson D, Sirard J, Barr-Anderson D, Story M. The relative influence of demographic, individual, social, and environmental factors on physical activity among boys and girls. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2010;7(1):79.

16. Tandon P, Grow HM, Couch S, et al. Physical and social home environment in relation to children's overall and home-based physical activity and sedentary time. *Preventive Medicine.* 2014;66:39-44.

17. Crespo NC, Corder K, Marshall S, et al. An Examination of Multilevel Factors That May Explain Gender Differences in Children's Physical Activity. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health.* 2013;10(7):982-992.

 Harrington DM, Gillison F, Broyles ST, et al. Household-level correlates of children's physical activity levels in and across 12 countries. *Obesity.* 2016;24(10):2150-2157.

19. Dumuid D, Olds T, Lewis L, Maher C. Does home equipment contribute to socioeconomic gradients in Australian childrens physical activity, sedentary time and screen time? *BMC Public Health.* 2016;16(1).

20. Cohen KE, Morgan PJ, Plotnikoff RC, Hulteen RM, Lubans DR. Psychological, social and physical environmental mediators of the SCORES intervention on physical activity among children living in low-income communities. *Psychol Sport Exerc.* 2017;32:1-11.

21. Salmon J, Veitch J, Abbott G, et al. Are associations between the perceived home and neighbourhood environment and children's physical activity and sedentary behaviour moderated by urban/rural location? *Health Place.* 2013;24(C):44-53.

22. Lee E-Y, Hesketh KD, Rhodes RE, Rinaldi CM, Spence JC, Carson V. Role of parental and environmental characteristics in toddlers' physical activity and screen time: Bayesian analysis of structural equation models. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2018;15(1):17.

23. Kunin-Batson AS, Seburg EM, Crain AL, et al. Household Factors, Family Behavior Patterns, and Adherence to Dietary and Physical Activity Guidelines Among Children at Risk for Obesity. *J Nutr Educ Behav.* 2015;47(3):206-215.e201.

24. Bingham DD, Costa S, Hinkley T, Shire KA, Clemes SA, Barber SE. Physical Activity During the Early Years: A Systematic Review of Correlates and Determinants: A Systematic Review of Correlates and Determinants. *Am J Prev Med.* 2016;51(3):384-402.

25. Davison KK, Lawson CT. Do attributes in the physical environment influence children's physical activity? A review of the literature. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2006;3(1).

26. Ferreira I, Van Der Horst K, Wendel-Vos W, Kremers S, Van Lenthe FJ, Brug J.
Environmental correlates of physical activity in youth–a review and update. *Obes Rev.*2007;8(2):129-154.

27. Maddison R, Vander Hoorn S, Jiang Y, et al. The environment and physical activity: The influence of psychosocial, perceived and built environmental factors. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.* 2009;6(1):19.

28. Mishra GD, Moss KM, Loos C, et al. MatCH (Mothers and their Children's Health) Profile: offspring of the 1973-78 cohort of the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Longitudinal and Life Course Studies.* 2018;9(3):351-375.

29. Lee C, Dobson AJ, Brown WJ, et al. Cohort Profile: the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2005;34(5):987-991.

Dobson AJ, Hockey R, Brown WJ, et al. Cohort profile update: Australian
 Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *International Journal of Epidemiology.* 2015;44(5):1547-1547f.

31. Prochaska JJ, Sallis JF, Long B. A physical activity screening measure for use with adolescents in primary care. *Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine.* 2001;155(5):554-559.

32. Department of Health. *Australia's physical activity and sedentary behaviour guidelines for children (5-12 years).* ACT: Canberra2014.

33. Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD, Crawford D, Hesketh K. The HAPPY Study:
Development and reliability of a parent survey to assess correlates of preschool
children's physical activity. *Journal of science and medicine in sport.* 2012;15(5):407417.

34. Davies PS, Roodveldt R, Marks GC. *Standard methods for the collection and collation of anthropometric data in children.* Canberra: Department of Health and Aged Care;2001.

35. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, Dietz WHJB. Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *Br Med J.* 2000;320(7244):1240.

36. Cole TJ, Flegal KM, Nicholls D, Jackson AAJB. Body mass index cut offs to define thinness in children and adolescents: international survey. *Br Med J.* 2007;335(7612):194.

Brown WJ, Pavey T. Physical activity in mid-age and older women: Lessons from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. *Kinesiology Review*.
2016;5(1):87-97.

38. Glover J, Tennant S. Remote Areas Statistical Geography in Australia: Notes on the Accessibility/Remoteness Index for Australia (ARIA + version). In. Vol No 9.
Adelaide: Public Health Information Development Unit; 2003.

39. Lanza ST, Dziak JJ, Huang L, Wagner AT, Collins LM. *Proc LCA and Proc LTA users' guide.* Pennsylvania: Methodology Centre;2015.

40. Hayes J, Balantekin K, Conlon R, et al. Home and neighbourhood built
environment features in family-based treatment for childhood obesity. *Pediatric Obesity*.
2018.

Tables

 Table 1
 Demographic characteristics and means (SE) by explanatory variables for active and electronic equipment

	Overall	PA		Plenties ^a	Sliders ^a	Batters ^a	Techies ^a	
	No. (%)	Mean (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	p-value
No. active equipment	6.82 (1.76) ^b	-	-	8.17 (0.04) ^c	6.38 (0.05) ^c	5.40 (0.05) ^c	6.77 (0.10) ^c	<0.001
No. elec. Equipment	1.92 (1.00) ^b	-	-	1.97 (0.03) ^c	1.29 (0.03) ^c	1.88 (0.03) ^c	3.75 (0.05) ^c	<0.001
PA (days)	3.89 (2.10) ^b	-	-	4.16 (0.07) ^c	4.00 (0.08) ^c	3.62 (0.07) ^c	3.57 (0.11) ^c	<0.001
Children								
Sex								
Male	2086 (51)	4.03 (0.05)	<0.001	787 (52)	440 (48)	678 (51)	181 (54)	0.111
Female	2006 (49)	3.74 (0.05)		722 (48)	480 (52)	652 (49)	152 (46)	
Age (years) (M, SD)	8.42 (2.20)	-		8.55 (2.09)	7.57 (2.08)	8.56 (2.21)	9.59 (2.14)	
5 to 8 years	2102 (51)	3.86 (0.05)	0.236	741 (49)	626 (68)	637 (48)	98 (29)	<0.001
9 to 12 years	1990 (49)	3.91 (0.05)		768 (51)	294 (32)	693 (52)	235 (71)	

	Overall	РА		Plenties ^a	Sliders ^a	Batters ^a	Techies ^a	
	No. (%)	Mean (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	p-value
BMI								
Underweight	392 (17.9)	3.96 (0.08)	<.001	137 (9)	95 (10)	132 (10)	28 (8)	<0.001
Normal	2447 (59.8)	3.97 (0.04)		967 (64)	573 (62)	757 (57)	150 (45)	
Overweight/Obese	521 (12.7)	3.65 (0.08)		172 (11)	103 (11)	178 (13)	68 (13)	
Missing	732 (17.9)	3.77 (0.10)		233 (15)	149 (16)	263 (20)	87 (26)	
Impact of child's health	1							
None/positive	3323 (81)	3.97 (0.04)	<0.001	1250 (83)	732 (80)	1078 (81)	263 (79)	0.148
Negative	769 (19)	3.56 (0.07)		259 (17)	188 (20)	252 (19)	70 (21)	
Mothers								
Physical activity level								
Very low	522 (13)	3.27 (0.12)	<0.001	191 (12)	120 (13)	160 (12)	51 (15)	0.004
Low	1284 (31)	3.76 (0.07)		421 (28)	321 (35)	441 (33)	101 (30)	
Moderate	938 (23)	4.04 (0.09)		358 (24)	217 (24)	287 (22)	76 (23)	

	Overall	PA		Plenties ^a	Sliders ^a	Batters ^a	Techies ^a	
	No. (%)	Mean (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	p-value
High	1348 (33)	4.17 (0.07)		539 (36)	262 (28)	442 (33)	105 (32)	
Education. qualification								
Year 12 or less	555 (14)	3.66 (0.08)	<0.001	209 (14)	77 (8)	170 (13)	99 (30)	<0.001
Trade/diploma	954 (23)	3.70 (0.11)		358 (24)	176 (20)	301 (23)	119 (36)	
University	2583 (63)	4.02 (0.05)		942 (62)	667 (73)	859 (64)	115 (34)	
Managing income								
Easy	762 (19)	4.03 (0.09)	0.055	283 (19)	204 (22)	242 (18)	33 (10)	<0.001
Not bad	1606 (39)	3.97 (0.07)		588 (39)	349 (38)	562 (42)	107 (32)	
Difficult sometimes	1155 (28)	3.77 (0.08)		451 (30)	248 (27)	345 (26)	111 (33)	
Diff. always/impossible	569 (14)	3.73 (0.12)		187 (12)	119 (13)	181 (14)	82 (25)	
Households								
No. children at home								
1 child	259 (7)	3.59 (0.14)	0.038	42 (3)	56 (6)	124 (9.3)	37 (11)	<0.001

	Overall	РА		Plenties ^a	Sliders ^a	Batters ^a	Techies ^a	
	No. (%)	Mean (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	p-value
2 children	2100 (51)	3.89 (0.06)		672 (44)	493 (54)	765 (57)	170 (51)	
3 or more children	1733 (42)	3.98 (0.07)		795 (53)	371 (40)	441 (33)	126 (38)	
Sibling composition								
Boys only	901 (22)	4.14 (0.09)	<0.001	300 (20)	196 (21)	330 (25)	75 (23)	<0.001
Girls only	767 (19)	3.49 (0.09)		210 (14)	212 (23)	275 (21)	70 (21)	
Both	2424 (59)	3.93 (0.05)		999 (66)	512 (56)	725 (54)	188 (56)	
Remoteness								
City	2317 (57)	3.94 (0.05)	0.075	724 (48)	523 (57)	906 (68)	164 (49)	<0.001
Inner regional	1145 (28)	3.73 (0.08)		482 (32)	265 (29)	306 (23)	92 (28)	
Outer region./remote	630 (15)	3.97 (0.11)		303 (20)	132 (14)	118 (9)	77 (23)	
Yard size								
No/small yard	351 (9)	3.72 (0.14)	0.204	29 (2)	36 (4)	271 (20)	15 (5)	<0.001
Medium yard	2268 (55)	3.86 (0.05)		731 (48)	477 (52)	848 (64)	212 (64)	

	Overall	РА	Plenties ^a	Sliders ^a	Batters ^a	Techies ^a	
	No. (%)	Mean (SE) <i>p</i> -value	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	p-value
Large yard	1473 (36)	3.98 (0.07)	749 (50)	407 (44)	211 (16)	106 (32)	
Street type							
Through road	2834 (69)	3.91 (0.05) 0.451	1041 (69)	629 (68)	950 (71)	214 (65)	0.065
Cul-de-sac	1258 (31)	3.84 (0.08)	468 (31)	291 (32)	380 (29)	119 (35)	

^a Combinations of equipment were determined using latent class analysis. "Plenties" had more of all types of active equipment, with a medium amount of electronic equipment (mobile device, active electronic game). "Sliders" had a medium amount of active equipment that tended towards fixed (e.g., slide, climbing equipment) and very low electronic equipment. "Batters" had a slightly lower amount of active equipment that tended towards portable (e.g, bat, skipping rope) and medium electronic equipment. "Techies" had a medium amount of active equipment, tending towards portable, with a high amount of electronic equipment.

^b Values represent mean and standard deviation

^c Values represent least-square means (or estimated marginal means), which are calculated from a linear model which takes into account clustering of children within families and unbalanced cell sizes, and provide standard errors rather than standard deviations.

 Table 2 Active and electronic equipment at home overall and by equipment group

		"Plenties" ^a	"Sliders" ^a	"Batters" ^a	"Techies" ^a	
	Number (%) "yes"	n=1509	n=920	n=1330	n=333	p-value
Active equipment						
Balls	4060 (99)	1505 (100)	914 (99)	1309 (98)	332 (100)	<0.001
Scooter/bicycle/tricycle	4047 (99)	1500 (100)	907 (99)	1310 (99)	330 (99)	<0.001
Bats/racquets/clubs	3452 (85)	1461 (98)	680 (74)	1030 (78)	281 (85)	<0.001
Skipping rope	3406 (84)	1393 (94)	681 (74)	1049 (79)	283 (86)	<0.001
Trampoline	2668 (66)	1285 (88)	644 (70)	545 (41)	194 (60)	<0.001
Skateboard/ripstick	2413 (60)	1291 (89)	206 (22)	704 (53)	212 (64)	<0.001
Basketball/netball ring	2349 (59)	1245 (87)	291 (32)	602 (45)	211 (65)	<0.001
Climbing equipment/trees	2291 (57)	1224 (84)	702 (76)	212 (16)	153 (47)	<0.001
Slide/swing	1946 (49)	1075 (75)	715 (78)	0 (0)	126 (49)	<0.001
Swimming pool	1264 (32)	629 (45)	166 (18)	368 (28)	101 (31)	<0.001

Electronic equipment

Books (including ebooks)	3788 (93)	1391 (92)	854 (93)	1229 (92)	314 (94)	0.591
Active electronic game	2003 (50)	918 (64)	200 (22)	631 (48)	254 (77)	<0.001
Mobile device	1493 (36)	541 (36)	108 (12)	531 (40)	313 (94)	<0.001
Television	320 (8)	41 (3)	14 (2)	40 (3)	225 (68)	<0.001
Computer/electronic game	254 (6)	11 (1)	0 (0)	23 (2)	220 (66)	<0.001

^a Combinations of equipment were determined using latent class analysis. "Plenties" had more of all types of active equipment, with a medium amount of electronic equipment (mobile device, active electronic game). "Sliders" had a medium amount of active equipment that tended towards fixed (e.g., slide, climbing equipment) and very low electronic equipment. "Batters" had a slightly lower amount of active equipment that tended towards portable (e.g, bat, skipping rope) and medium electronic equipment. "Techies" had a medium amount of active equipment, tending towards portable, with a high amount of electronic equipment.

	Model 1ª		Model 2 ^b		Model 3 ^c		
	B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	р	
Intercept	4.16 (4.02, 4.29)	<0.001	4.08 (3.85, 4.30)	<0.001	4.69 (4.36, 5.03)	<0.001	
"Plenties" ^d	0 [Reference]		0 [Reference]		0 [Reference]		
"Sliders" ^d	-0.15 (-0.36, 0.06)	0.2	-0.11 (-0.32, 0.10)	0.3	-0.07 (-0.28, 0.14)	0.5	
"Batters" ^d	-0.53 (-0.72, -0.34)	<0.001	-0.53 (-0.72, -0.34)	<0.001	-0.49 (-0.69, -0.29)	<0.001	
"Techies" ^d	-0.58 (-0.83, -0.33)	<0.001	-0.68 (-0.93, -0.44)	<0.001	-0.56 (-0.81, -0.31)	<0.001	

Table 3 Regression coefficients for associations between combinations of equipment and children's PA

^a No covariates

^b Controlling for age and sex

^c Controlling for all covariates

^d Combinations of equipment were determined using Latent Class Analysis. "Plenties" had more of all types of active equipment, with a medium amount of electronic equipment (mobile device, active electronic game). "Sliders" had a medium amount of active equipment that tended towards fixed (e.g., slide, climbing equipment) and very low electronic equipment. "Batters" had a slightly lower amount of active equipment

that tended towards portable (e.g, bat, skipping rope) and medium electronic equipment. "Techies" had a medium amount of active equipment,

tending towards portable, with a high amount of electronic equipment.

1 Supplementary material





3 Figure S1 Recruitment flowchart

Number of classes	Log-likelihood	Degrees of Freedom	AIC	Entropy
2 classes	-26385.53	4070	4683.80	0.53
3 classes	-25986.37	4057	3911.49	0.57
4 classes	-25774.19	4044	3513.13	0.62
5 classes	-25666.63	4031	3324.00	0.60
6 classes	-25634.03	4018	3284.80	0.60

Table S1 Fit statistics for latent class analysis (LCA) of active and electronic equipment