STABILITY OF VOLUME COMPARISON FOR COMPLEX CONVEX BODIES

ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

ABSTRACT. We prove the stability of the affirmative part of the solution to the complex Busemann-Petty problem. Namely, if K and L are origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{C}^n , n = 2 or n = 3, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(L \cap H) + \varepsilon$ for any complex hyperplane H in \mathbb{C}^n , then $(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq (\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L))^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \varepsilon$, where Vol_{2n} is the volume in \mathbb{C}^n , which is identified with \mathbb{R}^{2n} in the natural way.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Busemann-Petty problem, posed in 1956 (see [BP]), asks the following question. Suppose that K and L are origin symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(K \cap H) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{n-1}(L \cap H)$$

for every hyperplane H in \mathbb{R}^n containing the origin. Does it follow that

$$\operatorname{Vol}_n(K) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_n(L)$$
?

The answer is affirmative if $n \leq 4$ and negative if $n \geq 5$. The solution was completed in the end of the 90's as the result of a sequence of papers [LR], [Ba], [Gi], [Bo], [L], [Pa], [G1], [G2], [Z1], [Z2], [K1], [K2], [Z3], [GKS] ; see [K3, p. 3] or [G3, p. 343] for the history of the solution.

The complex version of the Busemann-Petty problem was solved in [KKZ], the answer is affirmative for convex bodies in \mathbb{C}^n when $n \leq 3$, and it is negative for $n \geq 4$. To formulate the complex version, we need several definitions.

For $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $|\xi| = 1$, denote by

$$H_{\xi} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : (z,\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \overline{\xi_k} = 0 \}$$

the complex hyperplane through the origin perpendicular to ξ .

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 52A20.

Key words and phrases. Convex bodies, volume, sections.

ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

Origin symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{C}^n are the unit balls of norms on \mathbb{C}^n . We denote by $\|\cdot\|_K$ the norm corresponding to the body K:

$$K = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \| z \|_K \le 1 \}$$

In order to define volume, we identify \mathbb{C}^n with \mathbb{R}^{2n} using the mapping

$$\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) = (\xi_{11} + i\xi_{12}, ..., \xi_{n1} + i\xi_{n2}) \mapsto (\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, ..., \xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2}).$$

Under this mapping the hyperplane H_{ξ} turns into a (2n-2)-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Since norms on \mathbb{C}^n satisfy the equality

$$\|\lambda z\| = |\lambda| \|z\|, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n, \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

origin symmetric complex convex bodies correspond to those origin symmetric convex bodies K in \mathbb{R}^{2n} that are invariant with respect to any coordinate-wise two-dimensional rotation, namely for each $\theta \in$ $[0, 2\pi]$ and each $\xi = (\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}, ..., \xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$

$$\|\xi\|_{K} = \|R_{\theta}(\xi_{11}, \xi_{12}), ..., R_{\theta}(\xi_{n1}, \xi_{n2})\|_{K},$$
(1)

where R_{θ} stands for the counterclockwise rotation of \mathbb{R}^2 by the angle θ with respect to the origin. We shall simply say that K is invariant with respect to all R_{θ} if it satisfies (1).

The complex Busemann-Petty problem can be formulated as follows: suppose K and L are origin symmetric invariant with respect to all R_{θ} convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^{2n} such that

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H_{\xi}) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(L \cap H_{\xi})$$

for each ξ from the unit sphere S^{2n-1} of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Does it follow that

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)$$
?

As mentioned above, the answer is affirmative if and only if $n \leq 3$. In this article we prove the stability of the affirmative part of the solution:

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric invariant with respect to all R_{θ} convex bodies bodies in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , n = 2 or n = 3. If for every $\xi \in S^{2n-1}$

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H_{\xi}) \le \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(L \cap H_{\xi}) + \varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} + \varepsilon.$$

The result does not hold for n > 3, simply because the answer to the complex Busemann-Petty problem in these dimensions is negative; see [KKZ].

It immediately follows from Theorem 1 that

Corollary 1. If n = 2 or n = 3, then for any origin-symmetric invariant with respect to all R_{θ} convex bodies K, L in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ,

$$\left| \operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right|$$

$$\leq \max_{\xi \in S^{2n-1}} \left| \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H_{\xi}) - \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(L \cap H_{\xi}) \right|.$$

Note that stability in comparison problems for volumes of convex bodies was studied in [K5], where it was proved for the original (real) Busemann-Petty problem.

For other results related to the complex Busemann-Petty problem see [R], [Zy1], [Zy2].

2. Proofs

We use the techniques of the Fourier approach to sections of convex bodies; see [K3] and [KY] for details.

The Fourier transform of a distribution f is defined by $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \hat{\phi} \rangle$ for every test function ϕ from the Schwartz space S of rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbb{R}^n .

If K is a convex body and $0 , then <math>\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-p}$ is a locally integrable function on \mathbb{R}^{n} and represents a distribution. Suppose that K is infinitely smooth, i.e. $\|\cdot\|_{K} \in C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$ is an infinitely differentiable function on the sphere. Then by [K3, Lemma 3.16], the Fourier transform of $\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-p}$ is an extension of some function $g \in C^{\infty}(S^{n-1})$ to a homogeneous function of degree -n + p on \mathbb{R}^{n} . When we write $(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-p})^{\wedge}(\xi)$, we mean $g(\xi), \xi \in S^{n-1}$. If K, L are infinitely smooth star bodies, the following spherical version of Parseval's formula was proved in [K4] (see [K3, Lemma 3.22]): for any $p \in (-n, 0)$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_K^{-p} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \left(\|\cdot\|_L^{-n+p} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-p} \|x\|_L^{-n+p} \, dx.$$
(3)

A distribution is called *positive definite* if its Fourier transform is a positive distribution in the sense that $\langle \hat{f}, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for every non-negative test function ϕ .

The Fourier transform formula for the volume of complex hyperplane sections was proved in [KKZ]:

Proposition 1. Let K be an infinitely smooth origin symmetric invariant with respect to R_{θ} convex body in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , $n \geq 2$. For every $\xi \in S^{2n-1}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H_{\xi}) = \frac{1}{4\pi(n-1)} \left(\| \cdot \|_{K}^{-2n+2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi).$$
(4)

We also use the result of Theorem 3 from [KKZ]. It is formulated in [KKZ] in terms of embedding in L_{-p} , which is equivalent to our formulation below. However, the reader does not need to worry about embeddings in L_{-p} , because the proof of Theorem 3 in [KKZ] directly establishes the following:

Proposition 2. Let $n \geq 3$. For every origin symmetric invariant with respect to R_{θ} convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , the function $\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2n+4}$ represents a positive definite distribution.

Let us formulate precisely what we are going to use later. The case n = 2 follows from Proposition 1 (obviously, the volume is positive), the case n = 3 is immediate from Proposition 2.

Corollary 2. If n = 2 or n = 3, then for every origin symmetric infinitely smooth invariant with respect to R_{θ} convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , $(\| \cdot \|_{K}^{-2})^{\wedge}$ is a non-negative infinitely smooth function on the sphere S^{2n-1} .

We need the following simple fact:

Lemma 1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(\Gamma(n))^{\frac{1}{n}} \le n^{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Proof : By log-convexity of the Γ -function (see [K3, p.30]),

$$\frac{\log(\Gamma(n+1)) - \log(\Gamma(1))}{n} \ge \frac{\log(\Gamma(n)) - \log(\Gamma(1))}{n-1},$$

so

$$\left(\Gamma(n+1)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \ge \Gamma(n).$$

Now note that $\Gamma(n+1) = n\Gamma(n)$.

The polar formula for the volume of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^{2n} reads as follows (see [K3, p.16]):

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K) = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_{K}^{-2n} dx.$$
(5)

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the approximation argument of [S, Th. 3.3.1] (see also [GZ]), we may assume that the bodies K and L are infinitely smooth. Using [K3, Lemma 3.16] we get in this case that the Fourier transforms $(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2n+2})^{\wedge}, (\|\cdot\|_{L}^{-2n+2})^{\wedge}, (\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2})^{\wedge}$ are the extensions of infinitely differentiable functions on the sphere to homogeneous functions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

4

By (4), the condition (2) can be written as

$$\left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2n+2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \leq \left(\|\cdot\|_{L}^{-2n+2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) + 4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon$$

for every $\xi \in S^{2n-1}$. Integrating both sides with respect to a non-negative (by Corollary 2) density, we get

$$\int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2n+2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi$$

$$\leq \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{L}^{-2n+2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi$$

$$+ 4\pi (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi.$$

By the Parseval formula (3) applied twice,

$$(2\pi)^n \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2n} dx \le (2\pi)^n \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_L^{-2n+2} \|x\|_K^{-2} dx + 4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_K^{-2}\right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi.$$

Estimating the first summand in the right-hand side of the latter inequality by Hölder's inequality,

$$(2\pi)^n \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2n} dx \le (2\pi)^n \left(\int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_L^{-2n} dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \left(\int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + 4\pi (n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_K^{-2} \right)^{\wedge} (\xi) d\xi.$$

and using the polar formula for the volume (5),

$$(2\pi)^{n}(2n)\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K) \leq (2\pi)^{n}(2n)\left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} + 4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)d\xi.$$
(6)

We now estimate the second summand in the right-hand side. First we use the formula for the Fourier transform (in the sense of distributions; see [GS, p.194])

$$\left(|\cdot|_{2}^{-2n+2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \frac{4\pi^{n}}{\Gamma(n-1)},$$

where $|\cdot|_2$ is the Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^{2n} and $\xi \in S^{2n-1}$. We get

$$4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi) d\xi$$

= $\frac{4\pi(n-1)\Gamma(n-1)\varepsilon}{4\pi^{n}} \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi) \left(|\cdot|_{2}^{-2n+2} \right)^{\wedge}(\xi) d\xi,$

and by Parseval's formula (3) and Hölder's inequality,

$$= \frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \Gamma(n)}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2} dx$$
$$\leq \frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \Gamma(n)}{\pi^{n-1}} \left(\int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2n} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} |S^{2n-1}|^{\frac{n-1}{n}},$$

where $|S^{2n-1}| = (2\pi^n)/\Gamma(n)$ is the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . By the polar formula for the volume, the latter is equal to

$$(2\pi)^n (2n)\varepsilon (\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K))^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{(\Gamma(n))^{\frac{1}{n}}}{n^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \le (2\pi)^n (2n)\varepsilon (\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

by Lemma 1. Combining this with (6), we get the result.

We finish with the following "separation" property (see [K5] for more results of this kind). Note that for any $x \in S^{2n-1}$, $||x||_{K}^{-1} = \rho_{K}(x)$ is the radius of K in the direction x, and denote by

$$r(K) = \frac{\min_{x \in S^{2n-1}} \rho_K(x)}{(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K))^{\frac{1}{2n}}}$$

the normalized inradius of K. Clearly, for every $x \in S^{2n-1}$ we have

 $||x||_{K}^{-1} \ge r(K) \left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K) \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}}.$

Theorem 2. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$, K and L are origin-symmetric invariant with respect to all R_{θ} convex bodies bodies in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , n = 2 or n = 3. If for every $\xi \in S^{2n-1}$

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(K \cap H_{\xi}) \leq \operatorname{Vol}_{2n-2}(L \cap H_{\xi}) - \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \le \operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - \frac{\pi r^2(K)}{n}\varepsilon.$$

Proof: We follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 1 to get

$$(2\pi)^{n}(2n)\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K) \leq (2\pi)^{n}(2n)\left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(L)\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} - 4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon \int_{S^{2n-1}} \left(\|\cdot\|_{K}^{-2}\right)^{\wedge}(\xi)d\xi.$$
(7)

We now need a lower estimate for

$$4\pi(n-1)\varepsilon\int_{S^{2n-1}}\left(\|\cdot\|_K^{-2}\right)^\wedge(\xi)d\xi.$$

Similarly to how it was done in Theorem 1, we write the latter as

$$\frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \Gamma(n)}{\pi^{n-1}} \int_{S^{2n-1}} \|x\|_K^{-2} dx \ge \frac{(2\pi)^n \varepsilon \Gamma(n) r^2(K) \left(\operatorname{Vol}_{2n}(K)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\pi^{n-1}} \left|S^{2n-1}\right|. \quad \Box$$

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the US National Science Foundation for support through grants DMS-0652571 and DMS-1001234.

References

- [Ba] K. Ball, Some remarks on the geometry of convex sets, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), Lecture Notes in Math. 1317, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988, 224–231.
- [Bo] J. Bourgain, On the Busemann-Petty problem for perturbations of the ball, Geom. Funct. Anal. 1 (1991), 1–13.
- [BP] H. Busemann and C. M. Petty, Problems on convex bodies, Math. Scand. 4 (1956), 88–94.
- [G1] R. J. Gardner, Intersection bodies and the Busemann-Petty problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), 435–445.
- [G2] R. J. Gardner, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in three dimensions, Annals of Math. 140 (1994), 435–447.
- [G3] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [GKS] R. J. Gardner, A. Koldobsky and Th. Schlumprecht, An analytic solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Annals of Math. 149 (1999), 691–703.
- [GS] I. M. Gelfand and G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions, vol. 1. Properties and operations, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [Gi] A. Giannopoulos, A note on a problem of H. Busemann and C. M. Petty concerning sections of symmetric convex bodies, Mathematika 37 (1990), 239– 244.
- [GZ] E. Grinberg and Gaoyong Zhang, Convolutions, transforms, and convex bodies, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 78 (1999), 77–115.
- [K1] A. Koldobsky, Intersection bodies, positive definite distributions and the Busemann-Petty problem, Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998), 827–840.
- [K2] A. Koldobsky, Intersection bodies in \mathbb{R}^4 , Adv. Math. **136** (1998), 1–14.
- [K3] A. Koldobsky, Fourier analysis in convex geometry, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2005.
- [K4] A. Koldobsky, A generalization of the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Israel J. Math. 110 (1999), 75–91.
- [K5] A. Koldobsky, Stability in the Busemann-Petty and Shephard problems, preprint.
- [KKZ] A. Koldobsky, H. König and M. Zymonopoulou, The complex Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Adv. Math. 218 (2008), 352–367.
- [KY] A. Koldobsky and V. Yaskin, The interface between convex geometry and harmonic analysis, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 108, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [LR] D. G. Larman and C. A. Rogers, The existence of a centrally symmetric convex body with central sections that are unexpectedly small, Mathematika 22 (1975), 164–175.
- [L] E. Lutwak, Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes, Adv. Math. 71 (1988), 232-261.

ALEXANDER KOLDOBSKY

- [Pa] M. Papadimitrakis, On the Busemann-Petty problem about convex, centrally symmetric bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , Mathematika **39** (1992), 258–266.
- [R] B. Rubin, Comparison of volumes of convex bodies in real, complex, and quaternionic spaces, Adv. Math. 225 (2010), 1461–1498.
- [S] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Z1] Gaoyong Zhang, Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 345 (1994), 777–801.
- [Z2] Gaoyong Zhang, Intersection bodies and Busemann-Petty inequalities in ℝ⁴, Annals of Math. 140 (1994), 331–346.
- [Z3] Gaoyong Zhang, A positive answer to the Busemann-Petty problem in four dimensions, Annals of Math. 149 (1999), 535–543.
- [Zy1] M. Zymonopoulou, The modified complex Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies, Positivity 13 (2009), no. 4, 717–733.
- [Zy2] M. Zymonopoulou, The complex Busemann-Petty problem for arbitrary measures, Arch. Math. (Basel) 91 (2008), no. 5, 436–449.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO 65211

E-mail address: koldobskiya@missouri.edu