

1926

A Comparison of Power Output Conical, Hyperbolic and Exponential Trumpets

G. W. Stewart
State University of Iowa

Copyright ©1926 Iowa Academy of Science, Inc.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias>

Recommended Citation

Stewart, G. W. (1926) "A Comparison of Power Output Conical, Hyperbolic and Exponential Trumpets," *Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science*, 33(1), 251-251.

Available at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias/vol33/iss1/72>

This Research is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa Academy of Science at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science by an authorized editor of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

rotate simply about one end. At any particular instant it rotates about some point which may be situated anywhere along its length. However, about whatever single point the arm may be rotating, such rotation can be resolved into two simultaneous rotations about the two ends. Therefore for purposes of analysis we may consider that the tracer arm rotates only about the ends, and we may express the area in terms of that rotation, as already stated.

IOWA STATE COLLEGE,
AMES, IOWA.

A COMPARISON OF POWER OUTPUT OF CONICAL, HYPERBOLIC AND EXPONENTIAL TRUMPETS

G. W. STEWART

(*ABSTRACT*)

These measurements are presented as illustrative of the advances that have been made in the measurement of acoustic power. They refer of course to single cases, but they are of interest in showing the actual fluctuations of both components of impedance and of the power output in the three types of trumpets stated in the title.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA,
IOWA CITY, IOWA.

THE THEORY OF THE TWO-WAY QUINCKE TUBE

G. W. STEWART

(*ABSTRACT*)

The long known Quincke two-way tube has been assumed to eliminate transmission by interference only at a frequency corresponding to a difference of path of one-half wave length. The author has derived the theory of the action and finds that the ratio of transmitted to incident energy is

$$\frac{4 \sin(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/2 \times \cos(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)/2]^2 \times [1 - 2 \cos(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) + \cos(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)]^2 + 4 \sin^2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)]^{-1}}$$

This shows that the conditions of zero transmission are $\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 = (2n + 1) \pi$, where n is an integer, which has long been known, and $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) = 2n \pi$, if $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3) = 2n_1 \pi$ where n_1 is an integer. Since $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) > (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)$, it is seen that, in general, these new minima of transmission are much more numerous than