# Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science

Volume 33 | Annual Issue

Article 52

1926

# The M Series Absorption Spectra of Osmium Iridium and Platinum

R. A. Rogers State University of Iowa

Copyright ©1926 lowa Academy of Science, Inc. Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias

# **Recommended Citation**

Rogers, R. A. (1926) "The M Series Absorption Spectra of Osmium Iridium and Platinum," *Proceedings of the lowa Academy of Science, 33(1),* 235-236. Available at: https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias/vol33/iss1/52

This Research is brought to you for free and open access by the Iowa Academy of Science at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science by an authorized editor of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

## THE M SERIES ABSORPTION SPECTRA OF OSMIUM, IRIDIUM AND PLATINUM

### R. A. ROGERS

## (ABSTRACT)

Spectrograms have been obtained of all but three of the predicted M series absorption limits of osmium, iridium, and platinum.

A vacuum spectrograph of the Siegbahn type was used in this work. The slit of the spectrometer chamber was covered with a very thin celluloid film, smoked over a kerosene flame until sufficiently darkened to keep out the light of the incandescent filament. To make the film, celluloid was dissolved in amyl acetate and thinned until about like molasses. One small drop on the surface of water spread out forming a film two or three inches in diameter. After the amyl acetate had dissolved the film was lifted on a circular wire frame. After being dried, it was mounted over the slit with cement.

Gypsum crystals were used for the analysis. Imperial Eclipse photographic plates were used throughout.

Absorbing screens were made by dissolving soluble compounds of the metals investigated in ether and flexible collodion. The screen was placed midway between the slit and the crystal. The results obtained support the evidence reported by Zumstein<sup>1</sup> and Coster<sup>2</sup> that the  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  limits are of very much shorter wave length than predicted. The other limits are also slightly displaced toward shorter wave lengths. No evidence is found of an absorption limit slightly below but definitely separated from  $M_3$  as reported by Zumstein<sup>3</sup> for tungsten.

The following tables show the results obtained thus far, together with the results of Zumstein, Coster, and Stenstrom.

Element	Limit	$\lambda$ (Predicted)	$\lambda(OBSERVED)$	Difference	AUTHOR
W (74)	Mı	6.8809	6.708	0.1719	Zumstein
Os (76)	M1	6.33	6.194	-0.136	Rogers
Ir (77)	$M_1$	6.08	5.961	-0.119	Rogers
Pt (78)	M1	5.8533	5.754	0.1093	Rogers
Bi (83)	M1	4.7897	4.762	-0.0277	Coster
Th (90)	$M_1$	3.7209	3.721	+0.0001	Stenstrom
Ur (92)	Mı	3.4910	3.491	0.0000	Stenstrom

235

#### **RESULTS AND COMPARISONS**

1 Zumstein, Phys. Rev., Vol. 25, 1925, p. 747.

2 Coster, Phys. Rev., Vol. 19, 1922, p. 20.

3 Zumstein, Loc. Cit.

236

IOWA ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

Element	Limit	λ(Predicted)	$\lambda(OBSERVED)$	Difference	AUTHOR
W (74)	M <sub>2</sub>	6.6500	6.475	0.175	Zumstein
Os (76)	M2	6.08	5.975	0.105	Rogers
lr (77)	M2	5.86	5.754	0.106	Rogers
Pt (78)	$M_2$	5.6413	5.539	-0.1023	Rogers
Bi (83)	$M_2$	4.5886	4.569	0.0196	Coster
Th (90)	$M_2$	3.5519	3.552	+0.0001	Stenstrom
Ur (92)	M <sub>2</sub>	3.3258	3.3260	+0.0002	Stenstrom

DECLUTE AND COMDADISONS

#### RESULTS AND COMPARISONS

RESULTS AND COMPARISONS					
ELEMENT	Limit	λ(Predicted)	$\lambda$ (Observed)	Difference	Author
W (74) Os (76) Ir (77) Pt (78) Bi (83) Th (90)	$egin{array}{c} M_{\mathfrak{s}} \ M_{\mathfrak{s}} \end{array}$	5.4664 5.06 4.38 4.6947 3.8942 3.0580	5.418 5.027 4.851 4.674 3.894 3.058	0.0484 0.033 0.029 0.0207 0.0002 0.0000	Zumstein Rogers Rogers Rogers Coster Stenstrom
Ur (92)	$M_3$	2.8730	2.873	0.0000	Stenstrom

#### **RESULTS AND COMPARISONS**

Element	Limit	λ(Predicted)	$\lambda(OBSERVED)$	DIFFERENCE	AUTHOR
W (74)	M4	4.8419	4.800	0.0419	Zumstein
Os (76)	$M_4$	4.430	4.400	0.030	Rogers
lr (77)	$M_4$	4.260			Rogers
Pt (78)	$M_{\bullet}$	4.079			Rogers
Bi (83)	M.	3.3306			Coster
Th (90)	M₄	2.5690	2.571	+0.002	Coster
Ur (92)	M.	2.3923	2.385	0.0073	Coster

#### RESULTS AND COMPARISONS

Element	Limit	λ(PREDICTED)	$\lambda$ (Observed)	Difference	AUTHOR
W (74)	Ms	4.4051	4.365	-0.0401	Zumstein
Os (76)	$M_5$	4.07	4.0375	0.0325	Rogers
Ir (77)	$M_{5}$	3.92			Rogers
Pt (78)	$M_5$	3.756	3.738	0.018	Rogers
Bi (83)	$M_{3}$	3.0919			Coster
Th (90)	Ms	2.3886	2.388	-0.0006	Coster
Ur (92)	Мs	2.2313	2.228	0.0033	Coster

## STATE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IOWA.

## FURTHER EVIDENCE OF THE EFFECT OF VALENCE AND CHEMICAL COMBINATION ON THE K LIMIT OF SULPHUR

## R. A. Rogers

### (ABSTRACT)

A number of spectrograms have been obtained showing the K absorption limit of sulphur. The absorption was due to sulphur

https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias/vol33/iss1/52