

12-2017

Analysis of Mammut americanum Tusk for Asbestos

Madeline C. Roach
University of Northern Iowa

Joshua A. Sebree
University of Northern Iowa

Copyright ©2017 Madeline C. Roach

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uni.edu/mastodon_posters

 Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Recommended Citation

Roach, Madeline C. and Sebree, Joshua A., "Analysis of Mammut americanum Tusk for Asbestos" (2017).
Mastodon Tusk Project Posters. 11.
https://scholarworks.uni.edu/mastodon_posters/11

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Mastodon Tusk Analysis Project at UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mastodon Tusk Project Posters by an authorized administrator of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

Analysis of *Mammot americanum* Tusk for Asbestos

Madeline C. Roach, Joshua A. Sebree

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Northern Iowa, Cedar Falls, IA 50613

Background

On September 23, 1933, a tusk was discovered in a gravel pit four miles south of Hampton, Iowa. After careful analysis, the tusk was determined to belong to a *Mastodon americanus*.¹ The University of Northern Iowa museum records note that the tusk was patched with a prepared patching plaster in the 1960's.² In the United States at the time Alvar was the most commonly used consolidate to form a plaster. It was either mixed with acetone, alcohol and other solvents, or asbestos.³ The museum record notes indicate that the patching plaster was prepared in a can, like a spackling compound.² It is unclear whether this is a professionally mixed conservation material, or a product purchased from a hardware store. In either case, the chance that the plaster compound contained asbestos is extremely high.




Asbestos is a term used for a naturally occurring fibrous mineral of many types. These crystalline minerals consist of atoms that are arranged in a long-range order and are antistropic. Due to this, asbestos fibers are polarizable, and can be seen and counted using Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM). If the fibers are too small and not visible via PLM, a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) can be used to identify the smallest fibers.⁴ The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has defined the Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL) for asbestos at 0.1 fiber per cubic centimeter of air per eight hours⁵, and the OSHA content limit is 1.0% in a bulk matrix.⁵

Experimental

Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM)


Polarized light microscopes are designed to observe samples that are visible due to their antistropic nature. Two polarizing filters are positioned in the light path: one before the specimen (the polarizer) and one between the sample and the observation tubes (the analyzer). The light that passes through the polarizer is limited to the light waves vibrating along the polarizing axis to completely pass through. The analyzer then is inserted at a 90-degree angle to the axis of the polarizer, and no light passes through. When the filters are adjusted, light passes through and different aspects of the specimen will be shown. Antistropic samples reflect the light making it appear brighter than isotropic samples. Antistropic samples also have a range of refractive indices and act as beam splitters for light rays.⁶

- PLM is an extremely classic method for analyzing asbestos.⁷




PLM Experimentation


- Pure Asbestos crystals were analyzed by PLM



- Top left figure: crystal and rock samples under non-polarized light. Rock sample marked with an "R" and crystal sample marked with a "C"
- Top right figure: crystal and rock sample under polarized light. Rock sample marked with an "R" and crystal sample marked with a "C".
- Bottom left figure: crystal samples under non-polarized light
- Bottom right figure: crystal samples under polarized light




- After pure samples were analyzed, samples of tusk plaster were analyzed using the same setup. Three separate samples were analyzed to comply with OSHA guidelines
- Below: samples analyzed from plaster. Left is not polarized, and right is polarized



SEM/EDX

- To confirm our negative findings, the sample was run on the SEM.



- No silicon was detected on the EDX (spectrum above), confirming the negativity of the results

Conclusions

Due to the lack of asbestos in the PLM samples, and the lack of detected silicone from the SEM experiments, it can be concluded that our tusk is asbestos free.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to:

- The Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust and the UNI Museum - Without their funding this project would not have been possible.
- Dr. Colin Weeks - for the use of his PLM setup. Being asbestos free is a good feeling and we wouldn't be sure without you!

References

- (1) Cable, E. *The Pan-American Geologist* 1934, 62, 187-192.
- (2) University of Northern Iowa Museum. *Mastodon Tusk (Conservation History)*, 1966.
- (3) Howie, F. *Studies in Conservation* 1984, 29, 92-97.
- (4) OSHA. *Polarized Light Microscopy of Asbestos*; Branch of Physical Measurements and Analysis: Salt Lake City, Utah, 1995.
- (5) OSHA Fact Sheet: Asbestos - OSHA 3507 | Occupational Safety and Health Administration <https://www.osha.gov/Publications/OSHA3507.html> (accessed Sep 20, 2017).
- (6) Robinson, P.; Davidson, M. *Polarized Light Microscopy* <https://www.microscopyu.com/techniques/polarized-light/polarized-light-microscopy> (accessed Sep 22, 2017).
- (7) Gravatt, C.; LaFleur, P.; Heinrich, K. *Proceedings of Workshop on Asbestos, Definitions and Measurement Methods ... held at the National Bureau of Standards, Gaithersburg, Maryland, July 18-20, 1977*; The Bureau: Gaithersburg, 1978; pp. 235-246.