

Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science

Volume 65 | Annual Issue

Article 49

1958

Exceptional Values of Metric Density

N. F. G. Martin
Iowa State College

Copyright © Copyright 1958 by the Iowa Academy of Science, Inc.
Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias>

Recommended Citation

Martin, N. F. G. (1958) "Exceptional Values of Metric Density," *Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science*: Vol. 65: No. 1 , Article 49.
Available at: <https://scholarworks.uni.edu/pias/vol65/iss1/49>

This Research is brought to you for free and open access by UNI ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Proceedings of the Iowa Academy of Science by an authorized editor of UNI ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uni.edu.

Exceptional Values of Metric Density

By N. F. G. MARTIN

Lebesgue's density theorem states that at almost every point of a measurable set S in E_n , the metric density of S exists and is 1 and at almost every point of the complement of S , the density of S exists and is 0. This theorem was first proven for E_1 by Lebesgue using his theory of integration. It was later proven by Denjoy [1], Lusin [2], and Sierpiński [3] for E_1 without the use of integration. The theorem was first proven for E_n by de la Vallée Poussin.

In the light of the density theorem one might say that the "usual" values of metric density are 0 and 1. It is easy to give examples where the density does not exist. The purpose of this note is to show that the density in E_1 may have any value between 0 and 1.

Let $\{I_n\}$ be a sequence of intervals in E_1 . The sequence is said to converge to the real number x , if (i) x is a member of I_n for each n and (ii) $\{m(I_n)\}$, where m denotes Lebesgue measure, is a null sequence.

Let ϕ be a real valued function defined on a subclass I of the class of all intervals in E_1 . If $\{I_k\}$ is a sequence of intervals from I , then $\limsup \phi(I_k)$ and $\liminf \phi(I_k)$ will denote the right most and left most limit points of the sequence $\{\phi(I_k)\}$. Denote by $I(x)$ the class consisting of all sequences of intervals from I which converge to x . Then $\limsup \phi(I)$ is defined to be $\sup \{\limsup \phi(I_k) :$

$$I \rightarrow x$$

$\{I_k\} \in I(x)\}$, and $\liminf \phi(I)$ is defined to be $\inf \{\liminf \phi(I_k) :$

$$I \rightarrow x$$

$\{I_k\} \in I(x)\}$.

The relative measure of a measurable set S in an interval I , denoted by $\rho(S:I)$, will mean the ratio of the measure of $S \cap I$ to the measure of I . Some obvious properties of $\rho(S:I)$ are the following:

- (1) For a given measurable set S , $\rho(S:I)$ is defined for all bounded intervals in E_1 .
- (2) $0 \leq \rho(S:I) \leq 1$.
- (3) If $m(S \cap I) = 0$, $\rho(S:I) = 0$.
- (4) If $S \supseteq I$, $\rho(S:I) = 1$.
- (5) If $-S$ denotes the complement of S , then $\rho(-S:I) = 1 - \rho(S:I)$.

Statement (5) follows from the fact that

$$m(S \cap I) + m(-S \cap I) = m(I).$$

Now let S be any measurable set in E_1 and let x be any real number. Then the upper metric density of S at x , denoted by $\overline{D}_x(S)$, is defined to be $\limsup_{I \rightarrow x} \rho(S:I)$, and the lower metric density, $\underline{D}_x(S)$ is defined to be $\liminf_{I \rightarrow x} \rho(S:I)$.

If $\overline{D}_x(S) = \underline{D}_x(S)$, then the common value is called the metric density of S at x and is denoted by $D_x(S)$. By the definition of $\overline{D}_x(S)$ and $\underline{D}_x(S)$ and (2) it follows that

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq \underline{D}_x(S) \leq \overline{D}_x(S) \leq 1.$$

If $D_x(S)$ exists, then

$$(7) \quad D_x(S) = \lim \rho(S:I_k)$$

where $I_k \rightarrow x$.

From (7) and statement (5) it follows that if $D_x(S)$ exists then

$$(8) \quad D_x(-S) = 1 - D_x(S).$$

Example 1. Let $S = \{x: 0 \leq x < 1\}$. Then if $0 < x < 1$, $D_x(S) = 1$, and if $x > 1$ or $x < 0$, then $D_x(S) = 0$. For the points 0 and 1, $D_x(S)$ fails to exist.

It is obvious that $D_x(S) = 1$ for points of S different from zero, and $D_x(S) = 0$ for points of $-S$ different from 1. Let $I_k = [-\frac{1}{k}, 0]$.

Then $I_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho(S:I_k) = 0$. Hence $\underline{D}_0(S) = 0$. If $I_k = [0, \frac{1}{k}]$, $I_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho(S:I_k) = 1$. Therefore $\overline{D}_0(S) = 1$, and it follows that $D_0(S)$ does not exist.

In example 1, where $D_x(S) = 0$, there is some interval, I , about x such that $m(S \cap I) = 0$. An interesting example due essentially to Goffman [4], is the following in which $D_0(S) = 0$ but for each open interval, I , containing 0, $m(S \cap I) > 0$.

Example 2. For each positive integer n , let

$$A_n = \left\{ x: \frac{1}{n} < x < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}.$$

Then if $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, $D_0(S) = 0$. For, if I is any interval about

0, and if $J_n = [0, \frac{1}{n-1}]$ and $K_n = [0, \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2^n}]$, there is an

n such that

$$\frac{m(S \cap J_n)}{m(J_n)} \leq \frac{m(S \cap I)}{m(I)} \leq \frac{m(S \cap K_n)}{m(K_n)}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{D}_0(S) \leq \lim_{K_n \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(S \cap K_n)}{m(K_n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left/ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2^n} \right. = 0.$$

The following example gives a set whose density exists at 0 and has any given value between 0 and 1.

Example 3.

Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ be given. For each positive integer n, let

$$A_n = L_n \cup \bar{L}_n \text{ and } B_n = M_n \cup \bar{M}_n$$

where

$$L_n = \left\{ x: \frac{1}{n+1} < x < \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} \right\}$$

$$\bar{L}_n = \left\{ x: -\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} < x < -\frac{1}{n+1} \right\}$$

$$M_n = \left\{ x: \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} < x < \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

$$\bar{M}_n = \left\{ x: -\frac{1}{n} < x < -\frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} \right\}.$$

Then let $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$. Then $D_0(A) = \lambda$.

Proof.

Let I be any interval containing 0 whose length is less than 1/2. Denote the left and right end points of I by h and k respectively. Since $m(I) < 1$, there exists an integer N such that

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{N+1} \leq m(I) \leq \frac{1}{N}.$$

Also there exist integers p and q such that

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{p} \leq k \leq \frac{1}{p-1}$$

$$(11) \quad -\frac{1}{q-1} \leq h \leq -\frac{1}{q}.$$

Let $I_R = (\frac{1}{p}, k)$ and $I_L = (h, -\frac{1}{q})$. Then

$$m(I_R) = k - \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \text{ and } m(I_L) \leq \frac{1}{q(q-1)}.$$

From inequalities (9) and (10)

$$\frac{1}{p} \leq k \leq m(I) \leq \frac{1}{N}$$

and $p \geq N$. Thus $\frac{1}{p(p-1)} \leq \frac{1}{N(N-1)}$. It follows from inequalities

(9) and (11) in a similar manner that $\frac{1}{q(q-1)} \leq \frac{1}{N(N-1)}$.

Therefore, if $E = I_R \cup I_L$,

$$m(E) \leq \frac{2}{N(N-1)}.$$

Again using inequality (9) it follows that

$$(12) \quad \frac{m(E)}{m(I)} \leq \frac{2(N+1)}{N(N-1)}.$$

Let $H = (A \cap I) \cup (B \cap I) - E$. Then H consists of disjoint open intervals L_n, M_n ; $n = p, p+1, \dots$ and \bar{L}_m, \bar{M}_m ; $m = q, q+1, \dots$. The interval I may be written as

$$(13) \quad I = H \cup E \cup D,$$

where D is a countable set consisting of the point 0 and the end points of the disjoint intervals in H . Since H, E , and D are disjoint,

$$(14) \quad m(I) = m(H) + m(E).$$

Now,

$$(15) \quad m(H) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \\ = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$$

and

$$(16) \quad m(A \cap H) = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} m(L_n) + \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} m(\bar{L}_n) \\ = \sum_{n=p}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{n(n+1)} + \sum_{m=q}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda}{m(m+1)} \\ = \lambda \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

Therefore $\rho(A:H) = \lambda$.

From equation (14) it follows that

$$(17) \quad \frac{m(A \cap H)}{m(I)} \leq \rho(A:H) = \lambda.$$

It is also true that

$$(18) \quad \frac{m(A \cap H)}{m(I)} = \lambda m(H) / m(I),$$

but division of equation (14) by $m(I)$ and rearrangement of terms gives

$$\frac{m(H)}{m(I)} = 1 - \frac{m(E)}{m(I)}.$$

It then follows from inequality (12) that

$$(19) \quad \frac{m(H)}{m(I)} \geq 1 - \frac{2(N+1)}{N(N-1)}.$$

Combining inequalities (17), (18), and (19) gives that

$$(20) \quad \lambda - \frac{2\lambda(N+1)}{N(N-1)} \leq \frac{m(A \cap H)}{m(I)} \leq \lambda.$$

From equation (13)

$$(21) \quad m(A \cap I) = m(A \cap H) + m(A \cap E).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(A \cap I)}{m(I)} &= \frac{m(A \cap H)}{m(I)} + \frac{m(A \cap E)}{m(I)} \\ &\leq \lambda + \frac{2(N+1)}{N(N-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(A \cap I)}{m(I)} &\geq \frac{m(A \cap H)}{m(I)} \\ &\geq \lambda - \frac{2\lambda(N+1)}{N(N-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(22) \quad \lambda - \frac{2\lambda(N+1)}{N(N-1)} \leq \rho(A:I) \leq \lambda + \frac{2(N+1)}{N(N-1)}.$$

For any sequence $I_r \rightarrow 0$, the sequence N_r of integers associated with I_r must approach ∞ . Therefore by (22), $D_0(A) = \lambda$.

Literature Cited

1. Denjoy, A., *Journal de Math.* (7) vol. 1 (1915) p. 132.
2. Lusin, N., *Rend. d. Circ. Math de Palermo*, vol. XLIV (1917).
3. Sierpiński, W., *Fund. Math.* vol. IV (1923).
4. Goffman, C., *Real Functions*, Rinehart and Co. (1953) p. 173.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
IOWA STATE COLLEGE
AMES, IOWA