Clinical periodontal variables in patients with and without dementia - a systematic review and meta-analysis

Alejandra Maldonado¹, Oliver Laugisch¹, Walter Bürgin², Anton Sculean¹, Sigrun Eick¹

¹Department of Periodontology, School of Dental Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

²Research section, University of Bern, School of Dental Medicine, Bern, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Background: Considering the increasing number of elderly people, dementia has gained an

important role in today's society. Although the contributing factors for dementia have not

been fully understood, chronic periodontitis (CP) seems to have a possible link to dementia.

Aim: To conduct a systematic review including meta-analysis in order to assess potential

differences in clinical periodontal variables between patients with dementia and non-

demented individuals.

Methods: The following focused question was evaluated: is periodontitis associated with

dementia? Electronic searches in two databases, MEDLINE and EMBASE, were conducted.

Meta-analysis was performed with the collected data in order to find a statistically significant

difference in clinical periodontal variables between the group of dementia and the cognitive

normal controls.

Results: Forty-two articles remained for full text reading. Finally, seven articles met the

inclusion criteria and only five studies provided data suitable for meta-analysis. Periodontal

probing depth (PPD), bleeding on probing (BOP), gingival bleeding index (GBI), clinical

attachment level (CAL) and plague index (PI) were included as periodontal variables in the

meta-analysis. Each variable revealed a statistically significant difference between the

groups. In an attempt to reveal an overall difference between the periodontal variables in

dementia patients and non-demented individuals, the chosen variables were transformed into

units that resulted in a statistically significant overall difference (p< 0.00001).

Conclusion: The current findings indicate that compared to systemically healthy individuals,

demented patients show significantly worse clinical periodontal variables. However, further

epidemiological studies including a high numbers of participants, the use of exact definitions

both for dementia and chronic periodontitis and adjusted for cofounders are warranted.

Clinical Relevance: These findings appear to support the putative link between CP and

dementia. Consequently, the need for periodontal screening and treatment of elderly

demented people should be emphasized.

Keywords: Alzheimer's Disease, Dementia, Periodontitis, clinical periodontal variables

INTRODUCTION

Periodontitis is defined as a destruction of the supporting tissues of the teeth as a result of an inflammatory process induced by a microbial biofilm [1]. A measurable contribution of periodontal bacteria and inflammatory mediators to the systemic inflammation is underlined by the fact that those can enter the bloodstream and disseminate systemically [1]. According to recently published data, 743 million people or 10.8% of the population were affected by severe chronic periodontitis (CP) worldwide. The age-standardized prevalence and incidence of severe chronic periodontitis in 2010 was 11.2% (95% CI 10.5-12.0) [2].

Dementia was defined by the American Psychiatric Association in 2013 as follows: a neurodegenerative process leading to a significant decline of memory which interferes with daily activities, such as recognizing and identifying objects and persons, thinking abstractly or performing complex tasks [3]. Regarding to the meta-analysis of Prince et al. in 2013, the estimated global prevalence of dementia in a population > 60 years of age was between 5% and 7%. The estimation of demented people in 2010 was 35.6 millions worldwide and according to further calculations the number will be doubled every 20 years which means an approximated total of 65.7 million affected people in 2030 and of 115.4 million in 2050 [4].

With 60-80% cases of the dementia patients, Alzheimer's disease (AD) is nowadays the most common type of dementia and has gained importance during the past 30 years [3]. Histopathologically, two main hypotheses explain the neurodegenerative process in AD: the amyloid-beta peptide hypothesis and the tau hypothesis [5]. The amyloid-beta hypothesis is based on an abnormal process of cutting of the extracellular domains from the transmembrane amyloid precursor protein by the β-secretase and the γ-secretase into insoluble peptides called AbpE2-42. These insoluble peptides form the senile plague with its potential of self-aggregation into fibrils [6]. According to the tau hypothesis, the microtubuleassociated tau protein is abnormally hyperphosphorylated and is able to form neurofibrillary tangles leading to destruction of the neurons [6]. The in vivo study with mice by Bloom et al. [5] in April 2014 showed a possible interaction between tau protein and amyloid-beta and thus a connection between both hypotheses. But as in many chronic diseases there are multiple factors rather than a single cause of AD. Another possible mechanism suggested by Jawhar et al. in 2011 [7] might be a crucial role of the glutaminyl cyclase. The glutaminyl cyclase catalyzes the formation of AbpE2-42 and following an overexpression of these peptides it induces a severe decline of neurons [7]. Three genes (APP; PSEN1 and PSEN2) and one genetic risk factor APOEs4 allele have been associated with autosomal dominant familial AD so far [8].

On the other hand, theories assuming that microorganisms from the human mouth are able to spread over the whole body are discussed [1]. Nowadays, considering the focal infection theory experts have made the suggestion of periodontal disease as a possible cause of systematic disease, such as AD [9].

There have been recent reviews reporting a putative association between CP and dementia. Most of the studies reported the number of teeth, tooth loss or the decayed, missing and filled teeth (DMFT) [10-12]. In 2015, Foley et al. [13] published a systematic review comparing individuals with dementia and without analyzing the number of teeth, the DMFT record and the number of carious teeth. Individuals with dementia had significantly fewer teeth (mean difference: -1.25, 95% CI -0.832; -5.89, p<0.0001; n=8 studies), a significantly higher number of decayed, missing and filled teeth and a higher number of carious teeth [13]. In the systematic review published in 2016 the association between oral health (assessed mainly by the number of teeth) and cognitive status was reported [14]. Also in the most recent review by Tonsekar et al. [15] in 2017 tooth loss and the possible association between periodontal disease and dementia was discussed.

Until now, to the best of our knowledge, no systematic review is available including metaanalysis comparing the clinical periodontal variables of patients with dementia and without as assessed in cross-sectional studies. Therefore, the aim of this systematic review including meta-analysis was to assess potential differences in clinical periodontal variables between patients with dementia and non-demented individuals.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sources

An electronic search was conducted by two reviewers (A.M. and S.E.). All studies were included in this review published until the 12th of September 2016:

The National Library of Medicine (MEDLINE by PubMed) and EMBASE using the following MeSH terms ((Alzheimer's Disease OR Alzheimer OR Cognitive Decline OR Dementia) AND (Periodontal Disease OR Periodontitis)).

No restrictions were applied in any search.

Search Strategy

Electronic database search revealed 476 articles. 290 titles were found in EMBASE and 186 in MEDLINE. After excluding 138 duplicates, 338 titles remained for the focused screening. 231 titles and 65 abstracts dropped out after the first screening meeting the following exclusion criteria:

- Titles and abstracts not focusing on the association between dementia and periodontitis
- Titles and abstracts only investigating the relationship between tooth loss or number of teeth in demented patients
- Reviews, commentaries, replies and posters
- No abstract and/or text available
- Animal studies.

If only one searcher has excluded a title or an abstract, the full article was retrieved and analyzed. The reviewers reached a Kappa-value of 0.96.

Data analysis for the systematic review

Forty-two abstracts remained for full text reading and full data recording. Only seven studies [16-22] complied with the following inclusion criteria and were considered for the systematic review:

- Studies in English
- Human studies
- Studies reporting periodontal indices or measurements
- All indices or measurements assessing the mean value and its standard deviation (SD) and/or standard error (SE) and/or range
- Studies comparing a case to a control group
- At least a total of 10 participants in each group
- Age of the participants ≥ 50 years.

Data analysis for meta-analysis

The seven articles included in this systematic review are listed in Table 1. The collected data of the two papers of Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21] were assessed as one study in the further meta-analyzes. The 35 excluded articles after full text screening were neither a case-control schema nor reported a mean value with SD, SE or range were reported or could be extracted accurately from the data. The excluded papers are listed in Table 2 and Table 3.

After data extraction it became obvious that various periodontal variables were used to show an association to dementia. It was decided to focus on the most common five clinical variables: Plaque Index (PI); Bleeding on Probing (BOP); Gingival Bleeding Index (GBI); Periodontal Probing Depth in mm (PPD) and the Clinical Attachment Loss in mm (CAL). Five studies reported the mean and the standard deviations (SD) of the clinical variables. One study [22] only compared the mean number of teeth with periodontal pocket depth ≥4mm between AD patients and non-demented individuals. Although the study fulfilled the inclusion criteria the way of presenting a difference between AD patients and control group was not comparable to the other five studies and it was excluded from meta-analysis. The Community Index of Periodontal Treatment Needs (CIPTN) was recorded only in one study [18, 21] and following not considered in the meta-analysis. Finally, five studies were included to the meta-analysis. In Table 4 the five variables (BOP, PI, GBI, PPD and CAL) with mean values and the standard deviations (SD) are listed.

Quality assessment of the studies

In order to assess the quality of the five chosen studies a modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for case-control and cross-sectional studies was used [23]. Qualitative points were given in terms of the selection and comparability of the study groups and the assessment of the outcome and the exposure (Table 4).

Statistical evaluation

The first step of statistical calculation consisted of screening and completing of all data for further analysis. The data (mean value and standard deviation) of each periodontal variable were pooled using a weighted average and weighted standard deviation. After that the weighted mean difference from each periodontal variable was calculated and presented in Forest plots (Fig. 2, A-E).

Not every study included all five variables. Thus, the effect size of a single variable was weighted independently for the same study in a second step. An overall difference in the periodontal variables between the dementia patients and individuals was calculated. In order to fulfill a comparison each variable was standardized, meaning a conversion to unit-less effect sizes. Standardization of the effect size is needed when the units are not the same [24] (Fig.3). The data preparation was performed in EXCEL® and for the statistical calculation and illustration REVIEW MANAGER 5.3® was chosen.

RESULTS

Study characteristics

The five studies included for meta-analysis were three case-control studies [16, 17, 20], a cohort study [18, 21] and a cross-sectional study [19]. In all studies the individuals were ≥ 50 years and included between 52 and 409 study participants. In four of five studies [16, 18-21] a definition for CP and for dementia were found. Three [16, 19, 20] of the five studies chose the diagnosis criteria of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV for dementia from the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke-Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association [25]. One study [18, 21] screened participants for dementia using the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) developed by Folstein et al. [26] and another one [19] used the practical guide of Mungas et al. [27]. All studies reported at least one or more clinical periodontal variables. All variables were documented in mean values and the standard deviation (SD).

Qualitative assessment results

Two of the case-control studies [16, 20] accomplished the full score. The third case-control study [17] achieved only 6 points as neither inclusion or exclusion criteria for the case group and for the control group nor the assessment tool in order to define dementia were reported. Even though the study of Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21] was described as a prospective cohort study, no follow-up was made and it was therefore assessed with the cross-sectional schema of NOS. Both studies [18, 19, 21] obtained six out of seven points. Martande et al. [19] could not accomplish a satisfactory number of participants (≥ 200 participants). And the study of Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21] was conducted in nursing homes in Germany, and therefore it represented only a particular group and not the general populations. Only residents in nursing homes were considered. The results of the NOS Assessment are shown in Table 4.

Statistical analysis

Only articles where the mean values and the SD could be extracted accurately from the reported periodontal measurements proceeded to meta-analysis. As mentioned above, from the seven studies included to the systematic review, only five studies remained in the two steps statistical analysis (Table 4; Fig. 2, 3). The comparison between dementia patients and controls revealed statistically significant mean differences in both steps. The first step

analysis showed a significant weighted mean difference (Fig. 2, A-E) of 35.72% (95% CI: 31.95-39.50, p<0.001) in BOP; of 2.53 mm in CAL (95% CI: 2.42 - 2.63, p<0.001); of 6.98% in GBI (95% CI: -0.11-14.07, p=0.05); of 15.95% in PI (95% CI: 8.26 - 23.64, p<0.001) and of 1.46 mm in PPD (95% CI: 1.30 - 1.62, p<0.001). All analyzed clinical periodontal variables were significantly higher in the dementia groups (Fig.2).

In the second step of analysis the whole data with standardized mean differences was analyzed. Here, the overall mean difference between dementia group and non-dementia group showed a positive result of 0.53 (95% CI: 0.44, 0.62). The Z-value of the test for overall effect reached 11.07 (p<0.001) (Fig.3).

DISCUSSION

According to our present knowledge a meta-analysis comparing the differences in clinical periodontal indices between patients with dementia and non-demented individuals has been published up to now. This review and meta-analysis focused on the possible differences in clinical periodontal variables between demented patients and non-dementia controls. Validated neuropsychological tests were used to distinguish both groups. After running an electronic research in the databases of MEDLINE and EMBASE, five studies were included for the meta-analysis.

The results showed that periodontal parameters were significantly higher in patients with dementia than in subjects without cognitive decline. First analysis resulted in statistically significant mean differences between the dementia group and the control group in BOP, CAL, PI and PPD and GBI.

The second analysis provided the overall mean difference (p<0.001) between the periodontal indices of the dementia group and non-demented group. In order to evaluate an overall mean difference, each periodontal variable was turned into a unit-less effect size and weighted independently for each study. This result is in line with that of an AD study showing, that clinical periodontal variables in cognitively normal healthy patients are positively associated with the load of amyloid-beta protein in the brain [28].

However, when interpreting the results, there are a few limitations that have to be considered. A considerable heterogeneity exists among the studies regarding the definition of dementia and CP. The cognitive status of the patients was validated by using different neuropsychological tests. In most of the studies, the MMSE scores were crucial for distinguish the "demented" and "non-demented" groups. In the study cohort analyzed by

Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21], subjects were considered suffering from dementia scoring equal or below 20 in the MMSE score. AD was diagnosed by a neurologist, according to the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria, in three different studies [16, 19, 20]. Two of them completed the AD diagnosis not only with the NINCDS-ADRDA but also with a structural neuroimaging [16, 19]. It has to be mentioned, that three of the included studies only considered the Alzheimer type of dementia [16, 19, 20] and the other two do not differ between dementia types [17, 18, 21]. Moreover, the definition of chronic periodontitis varied among the studies. Gil-Montaya et al. [16] evaluated the degree of periodontitis by the percentage of sites with CAL > 3 mm, Rai et al. [17] defined periodontitis as a CAL of 6 mm and more at least one site, while Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21] used the scoring of the Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN) to diagnose periodontitis. It can be assumed that the authors were aware about the limitation of CPITN using only PPD and not CAL and differentiated between gingival overgrowth and periodontal destruction.

Another aspect interfering with a cause-related association between CP and dementia is the incomplete adjustment for confounders. Besides age, smoking is considered as a common risk factor for dementia [29](26) as well as for periodontitis [30]. Tobacco status was reported in two studies [16, 17] while no information was given in the other studies [18-21]. Furthermore, the implications of cognitive impairment on oral health must be considered as well. Previous studies reported that patients with dementia might be less capable to perform sufficient oral hygiene [31]. A recent study in residential aged care facilities showed that oral hygiene status in residents with dementia was worse although those received assistance in oral care [32]. That result was explained with the resistive behavior of demented patients towards oral hygiene care [32]. As a further limitation, the low number of studies included in the meta-analysis has to be considered. Only five studies were accurate for meta-analysis.

Possible pathomechanisms for periodontitis to contribute to dementia were postulated. First, bacteria being associated with periodontitis may spread from the periodontal region to the blood system and into other organs in the body. Second, microbial toxins and inflammatory mediators enter and damage the vascular system [33]. Few studies showed that TNF- alpha levels were significantly higher in dementia and periodontitis subjects than in controls [17, 34]. And recent studies support an invasive infection where *Porphyromonas gingivalis* passed the blood-brain-barrier and invaded the AD brain [35-37]. Increased antibody levels also against other oral bacteria were reported. *E.g.*, patients with increased *Actinomyces naeslundii* serum IgG had a higher risk of developing AD than the controls [38] and elevated antibody levels to *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Prevotella intermedia* were assessed in the AD subjects compared to the controls.

Only few longitudinal studies followed demented patients receiving oral care and reported the relation to the cognitive status [29, 39, 40]. One study showed a statistically significant improvement (p < 0.05) in the MMSE score after 24 months in the oral care group compared to the group not receiving oral care. Both groups started with a similar MMSE score at baseline [40]. A four-year prospective cohort study of older Japanese people reported an increased risk for developing dementia when not visiting regularly a dentist and not taking care of dental health [29]. The hazard ratios revealed 1.44 (95% CI: 1.04 - 2.01) for patients not visiting the dentist and 1.76 (95% CI: 0.96 - 3.20) for patients not looking after oral health at all [29]. Another study revealed in a 32-years follow-up of 597 community-dwelling men that the risk for a low MMSE score increased by 2-5% for each tooth with progressed loss of alveolar bone or progressed probing pocket depth [39]. A loss in bone height was considered when loosing at least 40% from baseline and a progression in probing pocket depth was defined as an increase of at least 2 mm probing pocket depth [39]. In the view of these results, it may be anticipated that an adequately performed periodontal therapy and maintenance may be beneficial to reduce the risk for dementia.

However, the limited number of patients included in the studies does not provide representative epidemiological data and therefore, more epidemiological studies including a high numbers of participants using exact definitions both for dementia and chronic periodontitis and adjusted for cofounders are warranted.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the present data indicate that demented patients show significantly worse clinical periodontal variables as compared to systematically healthy individuals and appear to support the putative link between CP and dementia. Consequently, the need for periodontal screening and treatment of elderly demented people should be emphasized.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: Author Alejandra Maldonado declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Oliver Laugisch declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Walter B. Bürgin

declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Anton Sculean declares that he has no conflict of interest. Author Sigrun Eick declares that she has no conflict of interest.

Funding: This study was supported by the European Commission (FP7- Health 2012- 306029 "TRIGGER").

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent: For this type of study, formal consent is not required.




Fig. 1: Search strategy

Α

	Dement	ia Group	BOP	Contro	l Group	BOP		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1-Gil-Montoya et al. 2015	63	31.1	180	50.6	34.2	229	35.4%	12.40 [6.05, 18.75]	-
2-Martande et al. 2014	67	12.36	18	29.17	5.43	60	41.3%	37.83 [31.96, 43.70]	-
3-Rai et al. 2012	89.12	15.6	20	21.84	10.86	32	23.4%	67.28 [59.48, 75.08]	-
Total (95% CI)			218			321	100.0%	35.72 [31.95, 39.50]	•
Test for overall effect: Z = 18	3.56 (P <	0.00001)	ı						-50 -25 0 25 50

В

	Demen	tia Group	GBI	Contr	ol Group	GBI		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1-Zenthöfer et al. 2017	53.8	27.7	136	48.8	28.9	83	83.2%	5.00 [-2.77, 12.77]	
2-Cestari et al. 2016	46	33.32	25	29.17	26.58	21	16.8%	16.83 [-0.49, 34.15]	
Total (95% CI)			161			104	100.0%	6.98 [-0.11, 14.07]	
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.93 (P =	= 0.05)	-						-20 -10 0 10 20

C

	Demer	ntia Grou	ıp Pl	Contr	ol Grou	p PI		Mean Difference	Mean Diffe	rence
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 9	5% CI
1-Cestari et al. 2016	71.87	26.58	25	58.47	26.52	21	24.9%	13.40 [-2.00, 28.80]	_	-
2-Zenthöfer et al. 2014	90.1	13.1	57	73.3	25.1	36	75.1%	16.80 [7.92, 25.68]		_
Total (95% CI)			82			57	100.0%	15.95 [8.26, 23.64]		-
Test for overall effect: Z =	4.07 (P	< 0.000	1)						-20 -10 0	10 20

D

	Dement	ia Group	PPD	Contro	l Group	PPD		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
1-Cestari et al. 2016	2.82	1.68	25	2.63	3.25	21	1.1%	0.19 [-1.35, 1.73]	
2-Gil-Montoya et al. 2015	3	0.7	180	2.6	1.5	229	53.1%	0.40 [0.18, 0.62]	 •
3-Martande et al. 2014	5.02	0.56	18	2.39	0.5	60	30.9%	2.63 [2.34, 2.92]	-
4-Rai et al. 2012	4.81	0.78	20	1.89	0.67	32	15.0%	2.92 [2.51, 3.33]	-
Total (95% CI)			243			342	100.0%	1.46 [1.30, 1.62]	· . · . · . · . · . · . · . · . · .
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	7.93 (P < 0	0.00001)						-4 -2 0 2 4

Ε

	Dementi	a Group	CAL	Contro	Group	CAL		Mean Difference	Mean	Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fiz	xed, 95% CI	
1-Cestari et al. 2016	4.15	3.9	25	3.92	1.44	21	0.4%	0.23 [-1.42, 1.88]	_		
2-Gil-Montoya et al. 2015	4.9	1.6	180	4.5	1.8	229	10.8%	0.40 [0.07, 0.73]		-	
3-Rai et al. 2012	4.02	0.23	20	1.23	0.21	32	75.9%	2.79 [2.67, 2.91]			
4-Martande et al. 2014	5.58	0.58	18	2.76	0.55	60	12.9%	2.82 [2.52, 3.12]			•
Total (95% CI)			243			342	100.0%	2.53 [2.42, 2.63])
Test for overall effect: Z = 4	5.69 (P < 0	.00001)						,	-4 -2	6 2	4

Fig. 2: The weighted mean differences for the five periodontal variables: BOP (A), CAL (B), GBI (C), PI (D) and PPD (E) between the dementia group and the control group.

The total mean difference between control group and dementia group was statistically significant in every periodontal variable.

	De	ementia	ı	-	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
BOP- Gil-Montaya et al. 2015	63	31.1	180	50.6	34.2	229	22.7%	0.38 [0.18, 0.57]	•
BOP- Martande et al. 2014	67	12.36	18	29.17	5.43	60	1.0%	4.96 [4.00, 5.92]	
BOP- Rai et al. 2012	89.12	15.6	20	21.84	10.86	32	0.6%	5.15 [3.98, 6.32]	
CAL- Cestari et al. 2016	4.15	3.9	25	3.92	1.44	21	2.6%	0.07 [-0.51, 0.65]	+
CAL- Gil-Montaya et al. 2015	4.9	1.6	180	4.5	1.8	229	22.9%	0.23 [0.04, 0.43]	•
CAL- Martande et al. 2014	5.58	0.58	18	2.76	0.55	60	0.9%	5.01 [4.05, 5.98]	
CAL- Rai et al. 2012	4.02	0.23	20	1.23	0.21	32	0.1%	12.62 [10.03, 15.20]	
GBI- Cestari et al. 2016	46	33.32	25	29.17	26.58	21	2.5%	0.54 [-0.05, 1.14]	
GBI- Zenthöfer et al. 2017	53.8	27.7	136	48.8	28.9	83	11.8%	0.18 [-0.10, 0.45]	+
PI- Cestari et al. 2016	71.87	26.58	57	58.47	26.52	21	3.4%	0.50 [-0.01, 1.01]	 -
PI- Zenthöfer et al. 2014	90.1	13.1	57	73.3	25.1	36	4.6%	0.89 [0.46, 1.33]	-
PPD- Cestari et al. 2016	2.82	1.68	25	2.63	3.25	21	2.6%	0.07 [-0.51, 0.65]	+
PPD- Gil-Montaya et al. 2015	3	0.71	180	2.6	1.5	229	22.8%	0.33 [0.13, 0.52]	•
PPD- Martande et al. 2014	5.02	0.56	18	2.39	0.5	60	0.9%	5.07 [4.09, 6.04]	
PPD- Rai et al. 2012	4.81	0.78	20	1.23	0.21	32	0.4%	6.93 [5.44, 8.43]	
Total (95% CI)			979			1166	100.0%	0.53 [0.44, 0.62]	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 492.65$,	df = 14	(P < 0.0)	0001);	$1^2 = 973$	%				
									-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for overall effect: Z = 11.0					-				'-10 -5 b 5

Fig. 3: The standardized mean difference of all periodontal variables between the dementia group and the control group

In total the result was statistically significant (p < 0.00001).

Table 1. Studies included in the systematic review

Study & Country	Study type	Age	n (AD)	n (C)	Def. Dementia	Def. PD	Periodontal measurements found
Gil- Montaya et al.; Spain [16]	Case-control study	51- 98	180	229	Diagnosis criteria from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV for dementia, from the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke- Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (= NINCDS- ADRDA work group)	PD was defined by the percentage of sites with AL > 3mm as follows: 0% = absent; 0-32% = mild; 33-66% = moderate; 67-100% = severe	PI, BOP, PPD, CAL
Rai et al.; India [17]	Case-control study	58-69	55	32	-	≥ 6mm loss of clinical attachment	BOP, PPD, CAL
Zenthöfer et Baumgart et al.; Germany [18]	Cohort study	54-102	136	83	MMSE score ≥ 20	Community Index of Periodontal Treatment Needs (CPITN) including 5 scores: 0= healthy; 1-2 = gingivitis; 3= moderate; 4= severe CP	GBI, CIPTN
Martande et al.; India [19]	Cross- sectional study	50-80	58	60	Diagnosis criteria according to the NINCDS-ADRDA work group and MMSE score: 21-25= mild dementia; 11-20 = moderate dementia; > 10 = severe dementia	-	BOP, CAL, PPD, GI per teeth; PI per teeth
Cestari et al.; Brasil [20]	Case-Control study	56-87	25	21	Diagnosis criteria according to the NINCDS-ADRDA work group	-	PPD, PI, GBI, CAL

Zenthöfer et Schröder et al.; Germany [21]	Cohort study	54-107	57	36	MMSE score ≥ 20	Community Index of Periodontal Treatment Needs (CPITN) by Ainamo et al. including 5 scores: 0= healthy; 1-2 = gingivitis; 3= moderate; 4= severe periodontitis	CIPTN, GBI, PI
Syrjälä et al.; Finland [22]	Cross- sectional study	≥ 75	49	278	Diagnostic criteria of the American Psychiatric Association according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition	The number of teeth with periodontal pockets 4mm deep or more.	Number of teeth with periodontal pocket ≥ 4mm

Table 2. Studies excluded because of lacking a case-control schema

Number	Author	Year	Detailed exclusion criteria
1	Lewis et al. [41]	2001	Only dementia patients, no control/reference group
2	Wu et al. [42]	2007	Cross sectional study, no case-control groups
3	Noble et al. [43]	2009	Cross sectional study, no case-control groups
4	Philip et al. [32]	2012	Cross sectional study, no case-control groups
5	Kamer & Morse et al. [44]	2012	No dementia patients, only PD and healthy patients
6	Naorungroj& Slade et al. [45]	2013	Cross sectional study, no case-control groups
7	Cicciù et al. [46]	2013	Only dementia patients, no control/reference group
8	Farhard et al. [47]	2014	Only dementia patients, no control/ reference group
9	Naorungroj & Schonbach et al. [48]	2015	Prospective cohort study, no case-control groups
10	Kamer & Pirraglia & Tsui et al. [28]	2015	No dementia patients, only healthy patients
11	lde et al. [49]	2016	Only dementia patients, no control/reference group
12	Iwasaki et al. [50]	2016	Prospective cohort study, no case-control group

Table 3. Articles with no mean, SD, SE or range and therefore excluded

Number	Author	Year	Detailed exclusion reason
14	Ship et al. [51]	1994	Only differences in oral health parameters
15	Chalmers et Carter et al. [52]	2003	Only caries experience and oral health characteristics
16	Gatz et al. [10]	2006	Only tooth loss reported
17	Kim et al. [53]	2007	Only teeth number reported
18	Chen & Lin et al. [11]	2009	Only tooth loss reported
19	Kamer & Craig et al. [34]	2009	Mean and SD for TNF-alpha in Plasma
20	Hopcraft et al. [12]	2010	No PD indices/ measurements reported
21	Kaye et al. [39]	2010	Only Hazard Ratio for PPD, participants' age < 50 years
22	Hatipoglu et al. [54]	2011	Only DMFT and tooth number
23	Arrivé et al. [55]	2011	Only Cox proportional hazard model
24	Miranda et al. [56]	2012	Only edentulism reported
25	Sparks Stein et al. [57]	2012	Only SD for Serum IgG levels against periodontal pathogens
26	Chen & Clark et al. [58]	2013	Only calculus-plaque-gingival Bleeding prevalence
27	Stewart & Weyant et al. [59]	2013	Only quartile measurements of oral health parameters and OR
28	Noble et al. [38]	2014	Only Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Models
29	De Souza Rolim et al. [60]	2014	Only prevalence of periodontitis, n (%)
30	Bramanti et al. [61]	2015	Only pocket depth prevalence > 4mm
31	Stewart & Stenman et al. [62]	2015	Only tooth number reported
32	Chu et al. [31]	2015	Only pocket depth prevalence > 4mm
33	Lee et al. [63]	2016	Only Risk Hazard Ratio
34	Tzeng et al. [64]	2016	Gingivitis patients in PD group included
35	Shin et al. [65]	2016	Only prevalence of periodontitis, n (%)

Table 4. Evaluation of the study quality using modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scales (NOS)

Study	Selection	Comparability	Exposure/ Outcome	Total points
Case-control study	ABCD	E F	G H I	
Gil-Montaya et al. [16]	11 11	1 1	1 1 1	9/9
Rai et al. [17]	0 1 1 0	1 1	0 1 1	6/9
Cestari et al. [20]	1111	1 1	1 1 1	9/9
Cross sectional study	a b c d	е	f g	
Martande et al. [19]	1 0 1 1	1	1 1	6/7
Zenthöfer et al. [18, 21]	0111	1	1 1	6/7

- A: Precise definition of the case group (e.g. exclusion/inclusion criteria)
- B: Representativeness of the cases in the ≥ 50 populations
- C: Representativeness of the controls in the ≥ 50 populations
- D: Precise definition of the control group (e.g. exclusion/inclusion criteria)
- E: Study controls tested for periodontitis
- F: Study controls for additional factors (socioeconomic factors, smoking, diet, etc.)
- G: Assessment of dementia- independent blind assessment/ record using validated assessment tools as MMSE; diagnosis criteria from NINCDS-ADRDA
- H: Ascertainment of dementia and CP exposure clinical evaluation
- I: Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls
- a: Truly or somewhat representative of the average ≥ 50 populations
- b: Sample size is justified and satisfactory (≥ 200 participants)
- c: Comparability between dementia group and non-dementia group is established, and the dementia rate is satisfactory
- d: Ascertainment of the risk factor (dementia): Validated measurement tool (such as MMSE or diagnosis criteria from NINCDS-ADRDA)
- e: Comparability of the groups on the basis of analysis: all were examined independently for dementia and for CP
- f: Independent blind assessment of the outcome /record linkage
- g: The statistical test used to analyze the data is clearly described and appropriate, and the measurement of the association is present, including mean, SD and the probability level (p-value)

Table 5. Clinical periodontal variables used for meta-analysis

Periodontal	Study	n	n	mean (SD) for	mean (SD) for
variable		dementia	control	dementia	control
PI (%)	Zenthöfer et al. [21]	57	36	90.1 (13.1)	73.3 (25.1)
PI (%)	Cestari et al. [20]	25	21	71.87 (26.58)	58.47 (26.52)
BOP (%)	Gil- Montaya et al. [16]	180	229	63 (31.1)	50.6 (34.2)
BOP (%)	Rai et al. [17]	20	32	89.12 (15.6)	29.17 (5.43)
BOP (%)	Martande et al. [19]	18	60	67 (12.36)	29.17 (5.43)
PPD (mm)	Gil-Montaya et al. [16]	180	229	3 (0.7)	2.6 (1.5)
PPD (mm)	Rai et al. [17]	20	32	4.81 (0.78)	1.89 (0.67)
PPD (mm)	Martande et al. [19]	18	60	5.02 (0.56)	2.39 (0.5)
PPD (mm)	Cestari et al. [20]	25	21	2.82 (1.68)	2.63 (3.25)
CAL (mm)	Gil-Montaya et al. [16]	180	229	4.9 (1.6)	4.5 (1.8)
CAL (mm)	Rai et al. [17]	20	32	4.02 (0.23)	1.23 (0.21)
CAL (mm)	Martande et al. [19]	18	60	5.58 (0.58)	2.76 (0.55)
CAL (mm)	Cestari et al. [20]	25	21	4.15 (3.90)	3.92 (1.44)
GBI (%)	Zenthöfer et al. [18]	136	83	53.8 (27.7)	48.8 (28.9)
GBI (%)	Cestari et al. [20]	25	21	46 (33.32)	29.17 (26.58)

- 1. Van Dyke TE and van Winkelhoff AJ (2013) Infection and inflammatory mechanisms. J Clin Periodontol 40 Suppl 14:S1-7. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12088
- 2. Kassebaum NJ, Bernabe E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJ and Marcenes W (2014) Global burden of severe periodontitis in 1990-2010: a systematic review and meta-regression. J Dent Res 93:1045-53. doi: 10.1177/0022034514552491
- 3. Alzheimer's A (2014) 2014 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement 10:e47-92.
- 4. Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, Wimo A, Ribeiro W and Ferri CP (2013) The global prevalence of dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Alzheimers Dement 9:63-75 e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2012.11.007
- 5. Bloom GS (2014) Amyloid-beta and tau: the trigger and bullet in Alzheimer disease pathogenesis. JAMA Neurol 71:505-8. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5847
- 6. Weiner MW, Veitch DP, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Cairns NJ, Green RC, Harvey D, Jack CR, Jagust W, Liu E, Morris JC, Petersen RC, Saykin AJ, Schmidt ME, Shaw L, Shen L, Siuciak JA, Soares H, Toga AW, Trojanowski JQ and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I (2013) The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative: a review of papers published since its inception. Alzheimers Dement 9:e111-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2013.05.1769
- 7. Jawhar S, Wirths O, Schilling S, Graubner S, Demuth HU and Bayer TA (2011) Overexpression of glutaminyl cyclase, the enzyme responsible for pyroglutamate A{beta} formation, induces behavioral deficits, and glutaminyl cyclase knock-out rescues the behavioral phenotype in 5XFAD mice. J Biol Chem 286:4454-60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.185819
- 8. Bekris LM, Yu CE, Bird TD and Tsuang DW (2010) Genetics of Alzheimer disease. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 23:213-27. doi: 10.1177/0891988710383571
- 9. Pizzo G, Guiglia R, Lo Russo L and Campisi G (2010) Dentistry and internal medicine: from the focal infection theory to the periodontal medicine concept. Eur J Intern Med 21:496-502. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2010.07.011
- 10. Gatz M, Mortimer JA, Fratiglioni L, Johansson B, Berg S, Reynolds CA and Pedersen NL (2006) Potentially modifiable risk factors for dementia in identical twins. Alzheimers Dement 2:110-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2006.01.002
- 11. Chen JH, Lin KP and Chen YC (2009) Risk factors for dementia. J Formos Med Assoc 108:754-64. doi: 10.1016/S0929-6646(09)60402-2
- 12. Hopcraft MS, Morgan MV, Satur JG, Wright FA and Darby IB (2012) Oral hygiene and periodontal disease in Victorian nursing homes. Gerodontology 29:e220-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2010.00448.x
- 13. Foley NC, Affoo RH and Martin RE (2015) A systematic review and meta-analysis examining pneumonia-associated mortality in dementia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 39:52-67. doi: 10.1159/000367783
- 14. Wu B, Fillenbaum GG, Plassman BL and Guo L (2016) Association Between Oral Health and Cognitive Status: A Systematic Review. J Am Geriatr Soc 64:1752. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14572
- 15. Tonsekar PP, Jiang SS and Yue G (2017) Periodontal disease, tooth loss and dementia: Is there a link? A systematic review. Gerodontology 34:151-163. doi: 10.1111/ger.12261
- 16. Gil-Montoya JA, Sanchez-Lara I, Carnero-Pardo C, Fornieles F, Montes J, Vilchez R, Burgos JS, Gonzalez-Moles MA, Barrios R and Bravo M (2015) Is periodontitis a

- risk factor for cognitive impairment and dementia? A case-control study. J Periodontol 86:244-53. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014.140340
- 17. Rai B, Kaur J and Anand SC (2012) Possible relationship between periodontitis and dementia in a North Indian old age population: a pilot study. Gerodontology 29:e200-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2010.00441.x
- 18. Zenthofer A, Baumgart D, Cabrera T, Rammelsberg P, Schroder J, Corcodel N and Hassel AJ (2017) Poor dental hygiene and periodontal health in nursing home residents with dementia: an observational study. Odontology 105:208-213. doi: 10.1007/s10266-016-0246-5
- 19. Martande SS, Pradeep AR, Singh SP, Kumari M, Suke DK, Raju AP, Naik SB, Singh P, Guruprasad CN and Chatterji A (2014) Periodontal health condition in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen 29:498-502. doi: 10.1177/1533317514549650
- 20. Cestari JA, Fabri GM, Kalil J, Nitrini R, Jacob-Filho W, Tesseroli de Siqueira JT and Siqueira SR (2016) Oral Infections and Cytokine Levels in Patients with Alzheimer's Disease and Mild Cognitive Impairment Compared with Controls. J Alzheimers Dis 54:845. doi: 10.3233/JAD-169006
- 21. Zenthofer A, Schroder J, Cabrera T, Rammelsberg P and Hassel AJ (2014) Comparison of oral health among older people with and without dementia. Community Dent Health 31:27-31.
- 22. Syrjala AM, Ylostalo P, Ruoppi P, Komulainen K, Hartikainen S, Sulkava R and Knuuttila M (2012) Dementia and oral health among subjects aged 75 years or older. Gerodontology 29:36-42. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2010.00396.x
- 23. Stang A (2010) Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 25:603-5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- 24. Israel H and Richter RR (2011) A guide to understanding meta-analysis. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 41:496-504. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2011.3333
- 25. McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D and Stadlan EM (1984) Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 34:939-44.
- 26. Folstein MF, Folstein SE and McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 12:189-98.
- 27. Mungas D (1991) In-office mental status testing: a practical guide. Geriatrics 46:54-8, 63, 66.
- 28. Kamer AR, Pirraglia E, Tsui W, Rusinek H, Vallabhajosula S, Mosconi L, Yi L, McHugh P, Craig RG, Svetcov S, Linker R, Shi C, Glodzik L, Williams S, Corby P, Saxena D and de Leon MJ (2015) Periodontal disease associates with higher brain amyloid load in normal elderly. Neurobiol Aging 36:627-33. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.10.038
- 29. Yamamoto T, Kondo K, Hirai H, Nakade M, Aida J and Hirata Y (2012) Association between self-reported dental health status and onset of dementia: a 4-year prospective cohort study of older Japanese adults from the Aichi Gerontological Evaluation Study (AGES) Project. Psychosom Med 74:241-8. doi: 10.1097/PSY.0b013e318246dffb
- 30. Ebersole JL, Steffen MJ, Thomas MV and Al-Sabbagh M (2014) Smoking-related cotinine levels and host responses in chronic periodontitis. J Periodontal Res 49:642-51. doi: 10.1111/jre.12146

- 31. Chu CH, Ng A, Chau AM and Lo EC (2015) Oral health status of elderly chinese with dementia in Hong Kong. Oral Health Prev Dent 13:51-7. doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd.a32343
- 32. Philip P, Rogers C, Kruger E and Tennant M (2012) Oral hygiene care status of elderly with dementia and in residential aged care facilities. Gerodontology 29:e306-11. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00472.x
- 33. Li X, Kolltveit KM, Tronstad L and Olsen I (2000) Systemic diseases caused by oral infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 13:547-58.
- 34. Kamer AR, Craig RG, Pirraglia E, Dasanayake AP, Norman RG, Boylan RJ, Nehorayoff A, Glodzik L, Brys M and de Leon MJ (2009) TNF-alpha and antibodies to periodontal bacteria discriminate between Alzheimer's disease patients and normal subjects. J Neuroimmunol 216:92-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.08.013
- 35. Olsen I and Singhrao SK (2015) Can oral infection be a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease? J Oral Microbiol 7:29143. doi: 10.3402/jom.v7.29143
- 36. Singhrao SK, Harding A, Poole S, Kesavalu L and Crean S (2015) Porphyromonas gingivalis Periodontal Infection and Its Putative Links with Alzheimer's Disease. Mediators Inflamm 2015:137357. doi: 10.1155/2015/137357
- 37. Poole S, Singhrao SK, Kesavalu L, Curtis MA and Crean S (2013) Determining the presence of periodontopathic virulence factors in short-term postmortem Alzheimer's disease brain tissue. J Alzheimers Dis 36:665-77. doi: 10.3233/JAD-121918
- 38. Noble JM, Scarmeas N, Celenti RS, Elkind MS, Wright CB, Schupf N and Papapanou PN (2014) Serum IgG antibody levels to periodontal microbiota are associated with incident Alzheimer disease. PLoS One 9:e114959. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0114959
- 39. Kaye EK, Valencia A, Baba N, Spiro A, 3rd, Dietrich T and Garcia RI (2010) Tooth loss and periodontal disease predict poor cognitive function in older men. J Am Geriatr Soc 58:713-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02788.x
- 40. Yoneyama T, Yoshida M, Ohrui T, Mukaiyama H, Okamoto H, Hoshiba K, Ihara S, Yanagisawa S, Ariumi S, Morita T, Mizuno Y, Ohsawa T, Akagawa Y, Hashimoto K, Sasaki H and Oral Care Working G (2002) Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. J Am Geriatr Soc 50:430-3.
- 41. Lewis S, Jagger RG and Treasure E (2001) The oral health of psychiatric inpatients in South Wales. Spec Care Dentist 21:182-6.
- 42. Wu B, Plassman BL, Liang J and Wei L (2007) Cognitive function and dental care utilization among community-dwelling older adults. Am J Public Health 97:2216-21. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2007.109934
- 43. Noble JM, Borrell LN, Papapanou PN, Elkind MS, Scarmeas N and Wright CB (2009) Periodontitis is associated with cognitive impairment among older adults: analysis of NHANES-III. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 80:1206-11. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2009.174029
- 44. Kamer AR, Morse DE, Holm-Pedersen P, Mortensen EL and Avlund K (2012) Periodontal inflammation in relation to cognitive function in an older adult Danish population. J Alzheimers Dis 28:613-24. doi: 10.3233/JAD-2011-102004
- 45. Naorungroj S, Slade GD, Beck JD, Mosley TH, Gottesman RF, Alonso A and Heiss G (2013) Cognitive decline and oral health in middle-aged adults in the ARIC study. J Dent Res 92:795-801. doi: 10.1177/0022034513497960
- 46. Cicciu M, Matacena G, Signorino F, Brugaletta A, Cicciu A and Bramanti E (2013) Relationship between oral health and its impact on the quality life of Alzheimer's disease patients: a supportive care trial. Int J Clin Exp Med 6:766-72.

- 47. Farhad SZ, Amini S, Khalilian A, Barekatain M, Mafi M, Barekatain M and Rafei E (2014) The effect of chronic periodontitis on serum levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in Alzheimer disease. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 11:549-52.
- 48. Naorungroj S, Schoenbach VJ, Wruck L, Mosley TH, Gottesman RF, Alonso A, Heiss G, Beck J and Slade GD (2015) Tooth loss, periodontal disease, and cognitive decline in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 43:47-57. doi: 10.1111/cdoe.12128
- 49. Ide M, Harris M, Stevens A, Sussams R, Hopkins V, Culliford D, Fuller J, Ibbett P, Raybould R, Thomas R, Puenter U, Teeling J, Perry VH and Holmes C (2016) Periodontitis and Cognitive Decline in Alzheimer's Disease. PLoS One 11:e0151081. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151081
- 50. Iwasaki M, Yoshihara A, Kimura Y, Sato M, Wada T, Sakamoto R, Ishimoto Y, Fukutomi E, Chen W, Imai H, Fujisawa M, Okumiya K, Taylor GW, Ansai T, Miyazaki H and Matsubayashi K (2016) Longitudinal relationship of severe periodontitis with cognitive decline in older Japanese. J Periodontal Res 51:681-8. doi: 10.1111/jre.12348
- 51. Ship JA and Puckett SA (1994) Longitudinal study on oral health in subjects with Alzheimer's disease. J Am Geriatr Soc 42:57-63.
- 52. Chalmers JM, Carter KD and Spencer AJ (2003) Oral diseases and conditions in community-living older adults with and without dementia. Spec Care Dentist 23:7-17.
- 53. Kim JM, Stewart R, Prince M, Kim SW, Yang SJ, Shin IS and Yoon JS (2007) Dental health, nutritional status and recent-onset dementia in a Korean community population. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 22:850-5. doi: 10.1002/gps.1750
- 54. Hatipoglu MG, Kabay SC and Guven G (2011) The clinical evaluation of the oral status in Alzheimer-type dementia patients. Gerodontology 28:302-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2010.00401.x
- 55. Arrive E, Letenneur L, Matharan F, Laporte C, Helmer C, Barberger-Gateau P, Miquel JL and Dartigues JF (2012) Oral health condition of French elderly and risk of dementia: a longitudinal cohort study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 40:230-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2011.00650.x
- 56. Miranda Lde P, Silveira MF, Oliveira TL, Alves SF, Junior HM, Batista AU and Bonan PR (2012) Cognitive impairment, the Mini-Mental State Examination and socio-demographic and dental variables in the elderly in Brazil. Gerodontology 29:e34-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1741-2358.2011.00541.x
- 57. Sparks Stein P, Steffen MJ, Smith C, Jicha G, Ebersole JL, Abner E and Dawson D, 3rd (2012) Serum antibodies to periodontal pathogens are a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 8:196-203. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2011.04.006
- 58. Chen X, Clark JJ and Naorungroj S (2013) Oral health in nursing home residents with different cognitive statuses. Gerodontology 30:49-60.
- 59. Stewart R, Weyant RJ, Garcia ME, Harris T, Launer LJ, Satterfield S, Simonsick EM, Yaffe K and Newman AB (2013) Adverse oral health and cognitive decline: the health, aging and body composition study. J Am Geriatr Soc 61:177-84. doi: 10.1111/jgs.12094
- 60. de Souza Rolim T, Fabri GM, Nitrini R, Anghinah R, Teixeira MJ, de Siqueira JT, Cestari JA and de Siqueira SR (2014) Oral infections and orofacial pain in Alzheimer's disease: a case-control study. J Alzheimers Dis 38:823-9. doi: 10.3233/JAD-131283

- 61. Bramanti E, Bramanti A, Matacena G, Bramanti P, Rizzi A and Cicciu M (2015) Clinical evaluation of the oral health status in vascular-type dementia patients. A case-control study. Minerva Stomatol 64:167-75.
- 62. Stewart R, Stenman U, Hakeberg M, Hagglin C, Gustafson D and Skoog I (2015) Associations between oral health and risk of dementia in a 37-year follow-up study: the prospective population study of women in Gothenburg. J Am Geriatr Soc 63:100-5. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13194
- 63. Lee YT, Lee HC, Hu CJ, Huang LK, Chao SP, Lin CP, Su EC, Lee YC and Chen CC (2017) Periodontitis as a Modifiable Risk Factor for Dementia: A Nationwide Population-Based Cohort Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 65:301-305. doi: 10.1111/jgs.14449
- 64. Tzeng NS, Chung CH, Yeh CB, Huang RY, Yuh DY, Huang SY, Lu RB, Chang HA, Kao YC, Chiang WS, Chou YC and Chien WC (2016) Are Chronic Periodontitis and Gingivitis Associated with Dementia? A Nationwide, Retrospective, Matched-Cohort Study in Taiwan. Neuroepidemiology 47:82-93. doi: 10.1159/000449166
- 65. Shin HS, Shin MS, Ahn YB, Choi BY, Nam JH and Kim HD (2016) Periodontitis Is Associated with Cognitive Impairment in Elderly Koreans: Results from the Yangpyeong Cohort Study. J Am Geriatr Soc 64:162-7. doi: 10.1111/jgs.13781