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## Abstract

A joint cruise with Dr. Michael Gregg of the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington was conducted from 8-24 January, 1983, aboard the USNS Bartlett to study the effects of wintertime cooling in a warm core ring. At the beginning of the cruise an XBT survey of ring 82 I (found at $40^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 66^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, east of the New England Seamounts) showed a rather confused pattern of surface temperature and salinity with the average depth of the mixed layer about 30 m . On January $16-17$, a storm passed near the ring with winds to 45 knots and temperatures below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An XBT survey at the end of the cruise showed that vertical mixing and cooling during the outbreak of cold air resulted in a more coherent pattern in the surface temperature and salinity of the ring and an increase in the thickness of the mixed layer to 180 m .

# USNS Bartlett Cruise 40-B <br> Data Report 

by
M. C. Stalcup, T. M. Joyce and R. L. Barbour

This report describes the expendable bathythermograph (XBT), surface salinity and temperature, meteorological and drogued buoy observations obtained during a study of a warm core ring during 8-24 January, 1983. The purpose of this investigation was to study the effect of wintertime convection upon a Gulf Stream warm core ring. Dr. Michael Gregg, of the Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) at the University of Washington, was the chief scientist during the cruise. Additional observations were made with his AMP (Advanced Microstructure Profiler) and CTD (conductivity, temperature, depth) and Dr. Thomas Sanford's Expendable Current Profiler (XCP). The results of these studies are presented in data reports prepared by members of the APL, University of Washington.

The ring selected for this study was designated $82 I$ by the National Marine Fisheries Service and No. 25 by the U.S. Navy. When first surveyed (9-12 January 1983) with XBTs and XCPs, the warm core ring was located near $40^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 66^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, south of Georges Bank and east of the New England Seamounts. At the end of the cruise another $X B T / X C P$ survey (21-22 January) was conducted which showed the ring near $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} N, 66^{\circ} 50^{\prime} W$. During the period of the cruise, 9-24 January, the ring moved about 90 km toward the southwest in a direction parallel to the continental slope. The translational speed of the ring was about $6 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{-1}$ which is typical for warm core rings.

Between the two XBT/XCP surveys most of the work was conducted near the center of the ring using Gregg's AMP to measure turbulence and CTD to measure the vertical distribution of temperature and salinity. During this period XBTs No. 48-83 were deployed primarily to determine the ship's position relative to the center of the ring.

The sequence number, date-time and position at which each XBT was deployed is shown in Table 1. The surface temperature was measured with a bucket thermometer and a water sample was collected from the bucket for salinity analysis. The maximum depth each probe reached is also presented.

Table 2 lists the meteorological observations taken at approximately four-hour intervals during the cruise. Wind speed is in knots and, together with wind direction, was obtained from sensors mounted on the foremast at an elevation of about 16 m . Sail wind speed was measured with a vortex-shedding anemometer about 3 m below the ship's wind sensor. Wind direction and ship's heading are in degrees true, barometric pressure is in millibars and temperatures are in degrees Celsius. Barometric pressure was measured in the chart room (elevation about 8 m ) and the wet and dry bulb readings were generally obtained on the flying bridge (elevation about 10 m ).

On January 11, a drogued buoy system was launched near the center of the ring as a navigational aid during the AMP work and to provide a means of tracking the movement of the ring. The system consisted of an Argos satellite tracked buoy tethered to a radar transponder equipped surface float. The float was anchored to a $10^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ window-shade drogue deployed at a depth of 100 m . The buoys were tracked from 0520 January ll, until 0929 January 14, when both buoys stopped transmitting. During this period the buoys moved southwest at speeds up to $40 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{-1}$. The net movement was toward $243^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ at $18 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{-1}$.

Figure 1 summarizes the meteorological observations presented in Table 2. The duration of each $\mathrm{XBT} / \mathrm{XCP}$ survey is indicated by the bars along the top of the figure. The storm of January $16-18$ is marked by the low pressures and high winds on these dates. During the storm winds reached 45 knots and air temperatures decreased from $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The barometric pressure and air temperature records are best interpreted using the surface analysis charts presented in Figure 2. These charts show the distribution of barometric pressure (corrected to sea level) for the northeastern seaboard of the U.S. during the period of the cruise. The progression of winter storms is depicted by the movement of low pressure regions as they track across New England, Nova Scotia and the area of the Warm Core Ring at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 66^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. For instance, the low pressures recorded at the ship on 13 January are seen to result from a strong low which moved northeasterly from $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ on 10 January and across New England and maritime Canada on 11-12 January. This low was centered over Nova Scotia on 13 January only 450 km northeast of the ring. The strong low pressure area centered over Cape Cod in the surface analysis chart for $1200 \mathrm{z}, 16$ January, is
the storm which produced the outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January shown in Figure l. During the outbreak there were frequent snow squalls, winds averaged 25 knots and sea smoke was common. As the low moved northeasterly the barometric pressure at the ship slowly increased though the temperature continued to decline with the strong (20-30 kt) northwest winds.

The results of the two XBT surveys are illustrated in Figures 3-8.
Figures $3 a$ and $3 b$ are the positions at which the XBTs were deployed during each of the two surveys and where surface temperature and salinity data were obtained. The surface temperatures in and near the ring are presented in Figures 4 a and 4b. During the initial survey, the area of $>13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slightly smaller and less clearly defined than during the second. Only small patches of $>14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ surface temperature remained during the latter and all traces of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ surface water were gone. During the Warm Core Rings Program, in the summer of 1982 , streams of cool water were seen to spiral into the center of warm core rings from the surrounding slope water. The variability in surface temperature observed in the first survey may be attributed to the presence of such bands of cooler and fresher slope water. The intense vertical mixing which occurred between the two XBT surveys effectively homogenized the upper $50-150 \mathrm{~m}$ in the interior of the ring, thus more clearly defining the ring/ slope water boundary as shown in Figure $4 b$. Contours of the surface salinities during each XBT survey are shown in Figures $5 a$ and $5 b$. The evolution of the surface salinity in the ring parallels that of the surface temperature as described above. Figures $6 a$ and $6 b$ are the thickness of the mixed layer during each survey. These charts most clearly depict the results of the vertical mixing which took place during the storm and outbreak of cold air on 16-18 and 19-20 January, respectively. During the storm the winds veered from northerly to northwesterly and air temperatures dropped from $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to below zero. Snow squalls and sea smoke were common during this period and heat losses as large as 700 Watts $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ were calculated. The AMP observations made during this time showed that turbulent convection deepened the mixed layer from 40 to 150 m .

The depth of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm is an index of thermocline displacement for warm core rings and is typically used to define the size and shape of these features. Unlike other rings studied in the Warm Core Rings Program,
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ring $82 I$ was relatively weak with only a 100 m depression of the thermocline compared to 300-500 m for more energetic rings. Contours of the depth of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm for each survey are shown in Figures 7 a and 7 b . Although the area of $>300 \mathrm{~m}$ depth appears slightly smaller during the record survey, this apparent difference may be due to the absence of observations in the southwest portion of the ring. The $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm in the central portion of the ring is deeper than the level affected by the vertical mixing described above.

Figure 8 shows the temperature/salinity relationship for the surface samples collected at the site of each XBT. The plusses are the observations made during the first $X B T$ survey and the $X$ s are those made during the second survey. The remaining samples (filled circles) were collected when XBTs were deployed at various times during the AMP and CTD measurements. The 26.0 sigma-t surface is shown to illustrate the density differences between the samples. Those with densities greater than 26.0 were generally collected within the ring. Surface samples collected during the second survey are uniformly colder and more dense at salinities $>34.4 \%$, than those collected during the first survey.

Figures 9a-9e are the XBT temperature sections obtained during the first survey. Each of the sections shows a thick, well defined layer of $14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (stippled region) water extending from the surface (or near the surface) to depths of 200 to 240 m . By the time of the second survey this extensive layer is considerably reduced as shown in Figures loa-l0e. During the latter survey only isolated parcels or thin layers of $14-15^{\circ}$ water remain. Only one XBT (No. 97) had a temperature of $16^{\circ}$ in this layer. The erosion of this layer is the result of the strong vertical mixing during the outbreak of cold air cited before.

Figure 11 presents selected XBT traces from the center of the ring during each survey. These temperature profiles illustrate the effect of the vertical mixing which occurred within the ring during the cruise. Before the outbreak of cold air XBT Nos. 16 and 47 show relatively thick layers of nearly isothermal water with a temperature of 14.8 to $15.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the position of XBT No. 47 this water is overlain by 30 m of cooler, fresher water. After the outbreak XBT Nos. 95 and 114 show thick ( 155 to 190 m ) layers of isothermal
water at temperatures of 14 and $13.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. In both comparisons a net heat loss and mixed layer deepening has occurred, but it can be seen that a one-dimensional mixed layer budget of heat will give greatly different results. We expect that spatial averaging and analysis of the digital XBT data will be necessary before the change in total heat content can be meaningfully compared with the empirically calculated heat fluxes using the meteorological data in Table 2.

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research contract No. NO0014-82-C-0019, NR 083-004 with the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

## Figure Captions

Figure 1: A summary of the meteorological data collected during Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January can be seen in the record of dry bulb temperatures.
Figure 2:

Figure 3a: The location of XBT Nos. 10-47 deployed on the first survey of on 16-17 January. ring $82 I$ during Bartlett cruise $40-\mathrm{B}$. This work was done during 9-11 January, 1983.

Figure 3b: The location of XBT Nos. 84-117 deployed during the second survey of ring 82I from 21-22 January, 1983.
Figure 4a: Contours of surface temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) measured at each XBT during the first survey.
Figure 4b: Contours of surface temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ measured at each XBT during the second survey.
Figure 5a: Contours of surface salinity (\%) from samples collected at each XBT during the first survey.
Figure 5b: Contours of surface salinity ( $\%$ 。) from samples collected at each XBT during the second survey.
Figure 6a: The thickness of the mixed layer (m) during the first survey.
Figure 6b: The thickness of the mixed layer ( $m$ ) during the second survey.
Figure 7a: The depth (m) of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm during the first XBT survey.
Figure 7b: The depth ( $m$ ) of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm during the second XBT survey.
Figure 8: AT/S diagram of surface samples collected in warm core ring 82I during Bartlett cruise $40-\mathrm{B}$ in January, 1983.

Figure 9a-9e: Temperature sections $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ during the first XBT survey of warm core ring 82I. The stippled areas define the extent of the $14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ layer. The inset shows the position of the section relative to the survey.

Figure 10a-10e: Temperature sections $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ during the second XBT survey. The stippled areas and inset are as in Figure 9.

Figure ll: Selected XBT profiles from warm core ring 82 . The mixed layer during the first survey is shown by profile Nos. 16 and 47 while Nos. 95 and 114 characterize the mixed layer during the second survey.
-8-

## Table Captions

Table 1: Log of XBT data collected during USNS Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The position of each $T-7$ XBT is given in degrees and fractions of degrees, data is Julian day and time is GMT. The bucket temperature is in ${ }^{\circ}$ Celsius and the maximum depth reached by the probe is in meters.

Table 2: Meteorological observations recorded during USNS Bartlett cruise 40-B. Wind and ship speeds are in knots, directions are ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$, temperatures are ${ }^{\circ}$ Celsius and positions are degrees and tenths (north and west are +).

Table 1
Log of XBT Data Collected During USNS Bartlett Cruise 40-B
January 1983

The position of each of the T-7 XBTs is given in degrees and fraction of degrees. Date is Julian day and time is GMT. The bucket temperature is in ${ }^{\circ}$ Celsius and the maximum depth reached by the probe is in meters.

| 1 | 90007 | 40.923 | 70.303 | 9.4 |  | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | PuPOO | $40^{\circ} .013$ | 68.117 | 9.6 | 33.433 | - 50 |
| 3 | 91000 | 40.007 | 67.942 | 12.5 | 34.247 | 850 |
| 4 | 11100 | 40.05 | 67.758 | 11.3 | 33.923 | 850 |
| 3 | 91200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 91206 | 40.097 | 67.568 | 12.2 | 34.437 | 850 |
| 7 | 91303 | 40.135 | 67.375 | 15.0 | 35.472 | 850 |
| 8 | 91401 | 40.177 | 67.21 | 15.0 | 35.478 | 850 |
| 9 | 91459 | 40.233 | 67.07 | 10.1 | 33.509 | 850 |
| 10 | 91600 | 40.315 | 66.902 | 10.3 | 33.665 | 850 |
| 11 | 41700 | 40.362 | 66.715 | 13.5 | 34.676 | 850 |
| 12 | 41800 | 40.417 | 66.533 | 16.2 | 35.496 | 850 |
| 13 | 41900 | 40.965 | 66.38 | 10.2 | 34.246 | 850 |
| 11 | 92000 | 40.538 | 66.175 | 11.2 | 35.228 | 850 |
| 15 | 92100 | 40.648 | 66.045 | 14.2 | 35.211 | 810 |
| 16 | 52200 | 40.755 | 65.418 | 14.7 | 35.396 | 850 |
| 17 | 52300 | 40.875 | 65.772 | 15.5 | 35.316 | 850 |
| 18 | 100000 | 90.558 | 65.668 | 10.3 | 33.787 | 850 |
| 19 | 100105 | 41.068 | 65.567 | 7.4 | 32.384 | 600 |
| 20 | 100203 | 40.945 | 65.578 | 9.6 | 33.226 | 850 |
| 21 | 100302 | 40.833 | 65.592 | 10.1 | 33.603 | 850 |
| 22 | 100400 | 40.708 | 65.6 | 10.2 | 33.715 | 850 |
| 23 | 100500 | 40.56 | 65.627 | 10.3 | 33.784 | 850 |
| 24 | 100603 | 40.405 | 65.662 |  | 34.101 | 850 |
| 25 | 100700 | 90.268 | 65.697 | 10.9 | 34.167 | 850 |
| 26 | 100800 | 40.132 | 65.718 | 10.2 | 33.723 | 850 |
| 27 | 100900 | 39.995 | 65.737 | 8.6 | 33.201 | 850 |
| 28 | 101000 | 40.08 | 65.857 | 8.3 | 33.109 | 850 |
| 29 | 101100 | 40.18 | 63.957 |  | 34.110 | 850 |
| 30 | 101200 | 40.297 | 66.073 | 11.4 | 34.321 | 850 |
| 31 | 101334 | 40.45 | 66.197 | 10.9 | 34.237 | 850 |
| 32 | 101430 | 40.585 | 66.302 | 9.7 | 33.676 | 850 |
| 33 | 101530 | 40.702 | 66.388 | 4.6 | 33.585 | 850 |
| 34 | 101630 | 40.843 | 66.992 | 13.8 | 34.353 | 850 |
| 35 | 101730 | 40.928 | 66.345 | 7.8 | 33.101 | 850 |
| 36 | 101830 | 40.897 | 66.255 | 13.7 | 34.759 | 850 |
| 37 | 101945 | 40.862 | 66.068 | 12.6 | 34.531 | 850 |
| 33 | 102100 | 40.815 | 65.882 | 13.5 | 34.966 | 705 |
| 39 | 102255 | 40.752 | 65.695 | 13.7 | 35.060 | 850 |
| 40 | 110030 | 40.695 | 65.503 | 12.5 | 34.496 | 850 |
| 41 | 110200 | 40.655 | 65.295 | 9.8 | 33.578 | 850 |
| 42 | 110300 | 40.623 | 65.365 | 8.9 | 33.016 | 850 |
| 13 | 110400 | 40.568 | 65.597 | 14.3 | 35.154 | 850 |
| 44 | 110500 | 40.538 | 65.808 | 14.2 | 35.321 | 850 |
| 45 | 110535 | 40.528 | 65.825 | 14.2 | 35.222 | 850 |
| 46 | 110855 | 40.433 | 65.838 | 12.8 | 34.827 | 850 |
| 47 | 111000 | 40.54 | 65.988 | 11.8 | 34.36 | 850 |
| 48 | 121034 | 40.503 | 65.725 | 13.0 | 39.698 | 850 |
| 49 | 121205 | 40.417 | 65.887 | 12.2 | 34.305 | 850 |
| 50 | 122145 | 40.34 | 65.933 | 12.6 | 34.530 | 850 |
| 51 | 130630 | 40.24 | 66.078 | 13.2 | 34.766 | 770 |
| 52 | 131547 | 40.303 | 66.182 | 12.6 | 34.672 | 850 |
| 53 | 140015 | 40.35 | 66.237 | 12.3 | 34.627 | 850 |


| 54 | 140929 | 40.327 | 66.237 | 12.6 | 34.621 | 850 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 191614 | 40.343 | 66.283 | 12.4 | 34.604 | 850 |
| 56 | 150232 | 40.285 | -6i. 298 | 12.0 | 34.440 | 850 |
| 57 | 151230 | 40.308 | 66.242 | 11.1 | 34.540 | 760 |
| 58 | 151405 | 40.327 | 66.195 | 13.1 | 34.872 | 850 |
| 59 | 151517 | 40.38 | 66.023 | 11.4 | 34.281 | 850 |
| 60 | 151628 | 40.398 | 65.835 | 13.2 | 34.041 | 800 |
| 68 | 161632 | 40.04 | 65.848 | 10.8 | 33.898 | 400 |
| 62 | 161730 | 40.133 | 65.98 | 14.0 | 34.820 | 760 |
| 63 | 161837 | 40.215 | 66.078 | 13.0 | 34.857 | 770 |
| 64 | 161930 | 40.297 | 66.137 | 12.6 | 34.660 | 850 |
| 65 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 178745 | 40.082 | 66.788 | 13.0 | 34.753 | 850 |
| 67 | 171900 | $40.8 У 2$ | 66.59 | 12.7 | 34.799 | 650 |
| 68 | 172025 | 40.207 | 66.392 | 12.6 | 34.745 | 830 |
| 69 | 180300 | 40.313 | 66.12 | 12.8 | 34.825 | 850 |
| 70 | 180451 | 40.305 | 66.053 | 13.1 | \$3.908 | 850 |
| 71 | 180845 | 40.313 | 65.9 | 8. 6 | 33.155 | 800 |
| 72 | 181230 | 90.33 | 65.72 | 10.5 | 33.887 | 800 |
| 73 | 190535 | 40.328 | 66.288 | 13.3 | 34.937 | 830 |
| 74 | 191030 | 40.327 | 66.292 | 13.3 | 34.970 | 830 |
| 75 | 191440 | 40.32 | 66.308 | 13.1 | 34.941 | 680 |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | 200115 | 40.257 | 66.393 | 13. 2 | 35.104 | 830 |
| 79 | 200630 | 40.267 | 66.395 | 13.6 | 35.190 | 830 |
| 30 | 200945 | 40.248 | 66.49 | 13.7 | 35.212 | 830 |
| 81 | 201020 | 40.262 | 66.505 | 13.7 | 35.2.12 | 830 |
| 82 | 202015 | 40.252 | 66.493 | 13.9 | 35.250 | 840 |
| 83 | 202334 | 40.233 | 66.45 | 13.8 | 35.244 | 745 |
| 34 | 211440 | 40.585 | 67 | 11.2 | 34.925 | 830 |
| 85 | 211540 | 40.52 | 66.832 | 14.3 | 34.348 | 830 |
| 86 | 211640 | 40.457 | 66.65 | 13.5 | 35.115 | 830 |
| 87 | 211740 | 40.382 | 66.443 | 13.4 | 35.095 | 830 |
| 88 | 218840 | 40.31 | 66.272 | 7.2 | 32.794 | 850 |
| 89 | 211940 | 40.255 | 66.113 | 6.8 | 32.609 | 850 |
| 70 | 212040 | 40.2 | 66.932 | 8. 3 | 33.238 | 850 |
| 91 | 2.12190 | 40.167 | 66.082 | 7.3 | 32.847 | 850 |
| 92 | 212240 | 90.143 | 66.263 | 6.8 | 32.578 | 850 |
| 73 | 212340 | 40.122 | 66.468 | 7.8 | 33.062 | 830 |
| 94 | 220040 | 40.087 | 66.668 | 13.7 | 35.218 | 850 |
| 93 | 220140 | 40.063 | 66.857 | 14.1 | 35.311 | 830 |
| 96 | 220240 | 40.055 | 67.05 | 12.0 | 34.899 | 830 |
| 97 | 220340 | 40.038 | 67.235 | 7.6 | 32.986 | 850 |
| 98 | 220440 | 40.127 | 67.147 | 13.1 | 34.882 | 850 |
| 79 | 220540 | 40.227 | 67.007 | 13.2 | 35.073 | 840 |
| 100 | 220640 | 40.327 | 66.86 | 13.6 | 35.189 | 850 |
| 101 | 220740 | 40.42 .7 | 66.708 | 13.2 | 35.108 | C50 |
| 102 | 220840 | 40.522 | 66.582 | 13.5 | 35.144 | 850 |
| 103 | 220940 | 40.642 | 66.96 | 7.4 | 32.979 | 850 |
| 104 | 221040 | 40.742 | 66.34 | 7.8 | 33.152 | 850 |
| 105 | 221140 | 40.597 | 66.358 | 6.6 | 32.641 | 850 |
| 106 | 221240 | 40.435 | 66.363 | 7.7 | 33.275 | 830 |
| 107 | 221340 | 40.28 | 66.375 | 13.5 | 35.128 | 850 |
| 108 | 221440 | 40.132 | 66.41 | 7.6 | 33.063 | B50 |
| 109 | 221540 | 39.978 | 66.261 | 6.8 | 32.660 | 850 |
| 110 | 221640 | 39.813 | 66.477 | 7.4 | 32.852 | 850 |
| 121 | 221745 | 39.928 | 65.602 | 6.8 | 32.722 | 850 |
| 112 | 221450 | 40.055 | 66.712 | 13.7 | 35.327 | 850 |
| 113 | 221931 | 40.14 | 66.775 | 13.8 | 35.385 | 050 |
| 184 | 222015 | 40.23 | 66.847 | 13.5 | 35.256 | 850 |
| 115 | 222100 | 40.32 | 66.902 | \$3.5 | 35.186 | 850 |
| 186 | 222200 | 40.458 | 66.972 | 13.1 | 35.074 | 850 |
| $18 \%$ | 222300 | 90.582 | 66.067 | 11.2 | 34.413 | 272 |

Wind and ship speeds are in knots, directions are ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$, temperatures are ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Celsi}$ s and positions are degrees and tenths (north and west are + ).



Figure 1: A summary of the meteorological data collected during Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The outbreak of cold air on 19-20 January can be seen in the record of dry bulb temperatures.


Figure 2a
Figure 2: Fifteen surface analysis charts showing the weather patterns during Bartlett cruise 40-B, January 1983. The storm which triggered the outbreak of cold air over the ring is shown in Figures $2 \mathrm{i}-2 \mathrm{k}$ on 16-17 January.
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Figure 3a: The location of XBT Nos. 10-47 deployed on the first survey of ring 82 I during Bartlett cruise $40-\mathrm{B}$. This work was done during 9-11 January, 1983.


Figure 3b: The location of XBT Nos. 84-117 deployed during the second survey of ring 821 from 21-22 January, 1983.


Figure 4a: Contours of surface temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ measured at each XBT during the first survey.


Figure 4 b : Contours of surface temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ measured at each XBT during the second survey.


Figure 5a: Contours of surface salinity ( $\%$ ) from samples collected at each XBT during the first survey.


Figure 5b: Contours of surface salinity (\%o) from samples collected at each XBT during the second survey.


Figure 6a: The thickness of the mixed layer ( $m$ ) during the first survey.


Figure 6b: The thickness of the mixed layer $(m)$ during the second survey.


Figure 7a: The depth (m) of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm during the first XBT survey.


Figure 7b: The depth $(m)$ of the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm during the second XBT survey.

岂

Figure 9a-9e: Temperature sections $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ during the first XBT survey of warm
core ring 82I. The stippled areas define the extent of the
$14-16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ layer. The inset shows the position of the section relative to the survey.





 stippled areas and inset are as in Figure 9.
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## ABSTRACT

A joint cruise with Dr. Michael Gregg of the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington was conducted from 8-24 January, 1983, aboard the USNS Bartlett to study the effects of wintertime cooling in a warm core ring. At the beginning of the cruise an XBT survey of ring 82I (found at $40^{\circ} 40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 66^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, east of the New England Seamounts) showed a rather confused pattern of surface temperature and salinity with the average depth of the mixed layer about 30 m . On January 16-17, a storm passed near the ring with winds to 45 knots and temperatures below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An XBT survey at the end of the cruise showed that vertical mixing and cooling during the outbreak of cold air resulted in a more coherent pattern in the surface temperature and salinity of the ring and an increase in the thickness of the mixed layer to 180 m .
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