

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

1 Similar temperature responses suggest future climate warming will not alter partitioning between  
2 denitrification and anammox in temperate marine sediments

3

4 Lindsay D. Brin<sup>1\*</sup>, Anne E. Giblin<sup>2</sup>, and Jeremy J. Rich<sup>3</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Canadian Rivers Institute, University of New Brunswick, 100 Tucker Park Road, Saint John,  
7 New Brunswick E2L 4L5, Canada

8 <sup>2</sup>Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory, 7 MBL Street, Woods Hole, Massachusetts  
9 02543, USA

10 <sup>3</sup>School of Marine Sciences, Darling Marine Center, University of Maine, Walpole, Maine 04573

11 \*Corresponding author, email: [lindsay.brin@gmail.com](mailto:lindsay.brin@gmail.com), phone: (506) 654-6872

12

13

14 Key words: denitrification, anammox, marine sediment, climate change, temperature  
15 dependence, activation energy

16

17 Paper type: Primary research

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

18 **Abstract**

19 Removal of biologically available nitrogen (N) by the microbially mediated processes  
20 denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) affects ecosystem N availability.  
21 Although few studies have examined temperature responses of denitrification and anammox,  
22 previous work suggests that denitrification could become more important than anammox in  
23 response to climate warming. To test this hypothesis, we determined whether temperature  
24 responses of denitrification and anammox differed in shelf and estuarine sediments from coastal  
25 Rhode Island over a seasonal cycle. The influence of temperature and organic C availability was  
26 further assessed in a 12-week laboratory microcosm experiment. Temperature responses, as  
27 characterized by thermal optima ( $T_{opt}$ ) and apparent activation energy ( $E_a$ ), were determined by  
28 measuring potential rates of denitrification and anammox at 31 discrete temperatures ranging  
29 from 3 to 59°C. With a few exceptions,  $T_{opt}$  and  $E_a$  of denitrification and anammox did not differ  
30 in Rhode Island sediments over the seasonal cycle. In microcosm sediments,  $E_a$  was  
31 somewhat lower for anammox compared to denitrification across all treatments. However,  
32  $T_{opt}$  did not differ between processes, and neither  $E_a$  nor  $T_{opt}$  changed with warming or carbon  
33 addition. Thus, the two processes behaved similarly in terms of temperature response, and this  
34 response was not influenced by warming. This led us to reject the hypothesis that anammox is  
35 more cold-adapted than denitrification in our study system. Overall, our study suggests that  
36 temperature responses of both processes can be accurately modeled for temperate regions in the  
37 future using a single set of parameters, which are likely not to change over the next century as a  
38 result of predicted climate warming. We further conclude that climate warming will not directly  
39 alter the partitioning of N flow through anammox and denitrification.

40

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

41 **Introduction**

42 Marine nitrogen (N) availability affects both regional and oceanic primary productivity as  
43 well as regional susceptibility to eutrophication (Ryther & Dunstan, 1971; Perry & Eppley, 1981;  
44 Diaz & Rosenberg, 2008). An important oceanic N sink is via microbially mediated N removal,  
45 particularly in coastal and continental shelf sediments, which receive and remove 50-80 Tg N y<sup>-1</sup>  
46 from terrestrial and marine sources (Howarth *et al.*, 1996; Galloway *et al.*, 2004; Gruber &  
47 Galloway, 2008). Benthic N removal occurs through denitrification and anaerobic ammonium  
48 oxidation (anammox), both of which are anaerobic processes that reduce NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to N<sub>2</sub>.  
49 While denitrification is primarily a heterotrophic process that uses NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to oxidize organic  
50 carbon, anammox uses NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> to oxidize NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and is primarily autotrophic. However, anammox  
51 depends on organic carbon mineralization indirectly as a source of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. Both denitrification  
52 and anammox are microbially mediated enzymatic processes that may respond differently to  
53 changes in temperature (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup, 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Brin *et al.*, 2014).  
54 As temperatures in coastal waters are predicted to continue to rise over the next century (Nixon  
55 *et al.*, 2004; Christensen *et al.*, 2007), differences in temperature responses between processes  
56 could alter the flux of N through denitrification versus anammox.

57 The temperature response of an enzymatic process can be described by its activation  
58 energy (E<sub>a</sub>), which reflects the increase in rate with increase in temperature (temperature  
59 dependence), as well as its thermal optimum (T<sub>opt</sub>), the temperature at which rates are maximal  
60 (Arrhenius, 1915). In nature, the temperature response of a biogeochemical processes is  
61 determined by the combined temperature response of the assemblage of organisms performing  
62 the reactions in any given environment (Allen *et al.*, 2005; Hall *et al.*, 2008, 2010; Yvon-  
63 Durocher *et al.*, 2014). Ecosystem level processes may display distinct temperature dependence,

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

64 as has been demonstrated for photosynthesis and respiration (Yvon-Durocher *et al.*, 2010;  
65 Demars *et al.*, 2011). For microbially mediated processes, changes in temperature responses  
66 could reflect: 1) changes at the cellular level, through physiological acclimation by individual  
67 microbial strains; or 2) changes at the microbial population level, through changes in abundance  
68 of strains adapted to different temperatures (Angilletta Jr., 2009; Hall *et al.*, 2010; Crowther &  
69 Bradford, 2013). However, rates or temperature responses may be more strongly limited by other  
70 factors than temperature in the environment, such as substrate supply. Thus, in some cases there  
71 may not be a strong selective advantage to adapt to changes in temperature (Hartley *et al.*, 2007,  
72 2008; Crowther & Bradford, 2013).

73         The hypothesis that temperature may be a key driver of the relative importance of  
74 denitrification and anammox as N loss pathways was provided by studies in permanently cold  
75 sediments, which found that anammox was relatively more favored over denitrification at colder  
76 temperatures (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup, 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004). More recent studies  
77 examining seasonal patterns or temperature responses of anammox and denitrification rates in  
78 marine sediments also support anammox being cold-adapted or hindered at higher temperatures  
79 (Teixeira *et al.*, 2012; Brin *et al.*, 2014; Canion *et al.*, 2014a, 2014b). Besides temperature,  
80 availability of organic C likely exerts a strong influence on the relative importance of anammox  
81 and denitrification as N loss pathways, with organic C favoring denitrification over anammox  
82 (Thamdrup & Dalsgaard, 2002; Engström *et al.*, 2005). As temperature also influences organic  
83 matter decomposition rates and therefore organic C availability, the effects of temperature could  
84 be mediated indirectly through changes in organic C availability rather than as a direct result of  
85 inherent differences in enzyme kinetics between the anammox or denitrification pathway  
86 (Isaksen & Jørgensen, 1996; Canion *et al.*, 2014a; Brin *et al.*, 2015).

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

87           Despite indications that anammox and denitrification rates may respond differently to  
88 temperature, this control has only been examined in a few studies (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup,  
89 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Canion *et al.*, 2014a, 2014b). Furthermore, it is unknown whether  
90 changes due to climate warming may alter not only rates but also the temperature dependence of  
91 each process (King & Nedwell, 1984; Acuña *et al.*, 2008; Robador *et al.*, 2009; Perkins *et al.*,  
92 2012). Differences in temperature dependence of each process over the range of temperatures  
93 experienced *in situ* could alter the relative rates of each process, and thus its contribution to N<sub>2</sub>  
94 production (Holtan-Hartwig *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, climate warming could have indirect  
95 effects on temperature dependence by influencing organic C availability. This could occur if  
96 warming alters the deposition of organic C to benthic sediments, e.g. via changes in spring  
97 phytoplankton blooms in coastal ecosystems (Sommer & Lengfellner, 2008; Nixon *et al.*, 2009;  
98 Lewandowska & Sommer, 2010), or the rate of consumption of sediment organic C (Alsterberg  
99 *et al.*, 2012).

100           We have examined controls on anammox and denitrification in temperate marine  
101 sediments previously by measuring potential rates in field collected samples over a seasonal  
102 cycle and in a separate microcosm experiment (Brin *et al.*, 2014, 2015). In this paper, we report  
103 new measurements on the temperature responses of anammox and denitrification rates in the  
104 same sediments, to directly test the hypothesis that anammox and denitrification have different  
105 temperature responses. We asked whether  $T_{opt}$  or  $E_a$  1) vary between anammox and  
106 denitrification, 2) vary by sampling site or season within each process, and 3) can be altered by  
107 manipulations of temperature or organic C availability in a microcosm experiment.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

108 **Materials and Methods**109 *Seasonal study*

110 To determine how temperature responses varied by site and season, two study sites were  
111 sampled in coastal Rhode Island, USA: an inner continental shelf site, Rhode Island Sound  
112 (RIS2) and an estuarine site, Providence River Estuary (PRE) (i.e., Heiss *et al.*, 2012; Brin *et al.*,  
113 2014). These sites will be referred to as shelf and estuarine sites, respectively. The shelf site had  
114 a water depth of 38 m, and bottom water temperatures were between 7 and 17°C during sampling  
115 dates. The estuarine site had a water depth of 5 m and greater seasonal temperature variation,  
116 with measured bottom water temperatures between 3 and 22°C across sampling dates. Sediments  
117 at both sites were fine-grained, with a higher organic carbon content at the estuarine site (2.6%)  
118 than the shelf site (0.8%) (NC2100 Elemental Analyzer).

119 The shelf site was sampled in January, June, July, and September 2011 and March 2012,  
120 and the estuarine site was sampled in June and August 2011 and January 2012. At the shelf site,  
121 PVC tubes were fastened to the inside of a box core that was deployed from the research vessel  
122 to obtain intact sediment cores. At the estuarine site, intact cores were collected into PVC tubes  
123 (10 cm inner diameter) using a pull corer. After collection, the cores were immediately  
124 transported back to the laboratory at near-*in situ* temperature. Sediment cores were held in the  
125 dark at *in situ* temperature under air-bubbled site water in aquaria. This approach was taken  
126 because water columns at the sites were generally well mixed, indicating that bottom water was  
127 near air saturation. O<sub>2</sub> microprofiles were measured in the cores 1-4 days after sample collection  
128 to determine the O<sub>2</sub> penetration depth, as described previously (Brin *et al.*, 2014). Cores were  
129 then removed from aquaria and a 1 cm depth layer of sediment just below the O<sub>2</sub> penetration  
130 depth (<0.5 cm) was extruded from the core tube, sliced off, and collected for temperature

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

131 response measurements. This depth interval was the focus of this study as it contained the  $\text{NO}_3^-$   
132 reducing layer, based on  $\text{O}_2$  penetration depth and concentration of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in porewater profiles  
133 (Brin *et al.*, 2014). Sediment from 4-5 cores corresponding to any given site and sampling date  
134 were pooled to obtain enough sediment to conduct temperature response measurements.

135

136 *Microcosm experiment*

137 A total of fifteen microcosms were set up and maintained as described previously, using  
138 sediment collected at the shelf site in March 2012 (Brin *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, microcosms  
139 consisted of sieved (1 mm) surface sediment (0-4 cm depth interval) layered approximately 4 cm  
140 deep in glass pans, each placed in an aquarium containing 6 L of 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered Narragansett  
141 Bay seawater (salinity 32), which was kept air saturated with aquarium pumps. Half of the  
142 overlying water was replaced every two weeks to prevent buildup of nutrients or other  
143 compounds. All microcosms were initially held at 4°C for 16 days, after which three microcosms  
144 were destructively sampled, and potential rate experiments were conducted ( $t_0$  experiments). The  
145 microcosms were then exposed to temperature treatments by maintaining half of the microcosms  
146 at 4°C and shifting the other half to 17°C. This temperature manipulation represents seasonal  
147 minimum and maximum temperatures at the site (Emery & Uchupi, 1972; Brin *et al.*, 2014).  
148 Carbon was added biweekly to half of the microcosms at either temperature in the form of  
149 *Chlorella* algae, in the form of a suspension that was gently mixed into the top 1 cm of sediment  
150 at a rate equivalent to 3.1  $\mu\text{mol C cm}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ , which is expected to maintain sediment labile C  
151 availability (Brin *et al.*, 2015). This resulted in four treatments in a full factorial design, referred  
152 to here as 4°C, 4°C+C, 17°C and 17°C+C, with three replicate aquaria in each treatment.  $\text{O}_2$   
153 consumption was increased by both carbon addition and temperature.  $\text{O}_2$  penetration into the

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

154 sediment was at most 0.5 cm, with shallower penetration in sediments with greater O<sub>2</sub>  
155 consumption, indicating that added organic C reached anoxic layers in all the microcosms.  
156 Treatments were maintained for 12 weeks, after which point the overlying water was aspirated  
157 off and the contents of each pan were collected into a beaker for temperature response  
158 measurements.

159

160 *Temperature responses of denitrification and anammox potential rates*

161 Sediment from a given site or microcosm replicate was homogenized in a beaker, and 1.5  
162 mL of this sediment was transferred into replicate vials (5.9 mL, 93 replicate vials per site or 31  
163 replicate vials per microcosm replicate) to conduct parallel incubations at different temperatures.  
164 The headspace of the vials was made anoxic by purging the headspace with helium, and vials  
165 were pre-incubated overnight at the associated *in situ* or experimental microcosm temperature to  
166 remove ambient porewater NO<sub>x</sub><sup>-</sup>. For the microcosm experiment, replicates were maintained  
167 within the thermoblock, yielding 3 measurements of E<sub>a</sub> for each treatment.

168 Temperature responses were measured using a thermal gradient incubator (thermoblock)  
169 similar to Rysgaard et al. (2004). The thermoblock consisted of a 1.8 m long piece of aluminum  
170 with a silicone rubber heater on one side, a Peltier cooler at the other, and 31 parallel rows of 3  
171 holes (vial wells) along its length to fit the vials. This created a stable linear temperature gradient  
172 with endpoints at  $2.8 \pm 0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $58.9 \pm 0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  (mean  $\pm$  s.d.), as determined by measurement of  
173 temperatures in vial wells before and after experiments, as well as with temperature probes  
174 embedded in the thermoblock during all incubations. The vials were transferred from their pre-  
175 incubation temperature into the thermoblock for approximately 90 minutes to allow for complete  
176 temperature equilibration of sediments. Potential rate measurements were commenced after the



## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

177 90 min equilibration period by adding 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $^{15}\text{NO}_3^- + ^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$  (100 nmol N  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  sediment) to  
178 the vials. After 5-50 min incubations in the presence of added  $^{15}\text{NO}_3^- + ^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$ , all reactions were  
179 completely stopped by adding 100  $\mu\text{L}$  7M  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ . The amount of  $^{15}\text{N-N}_2$  that accumulated in  
180 vials during the incubation was used to determine rates of denitrification and anammox. Shorter  
181 incubations were conducted for sediments with higher inherent rates, such as estuarine  
182 sediments. Rates were plotted as a function of temperature in the thermoblock, which by  
183 definition is referred to as a thermal profile in this study.

184  $^{15}\text{N-N}_2$  production in the vials was measured with an isotope ratio mass  
185 spectrophotometer (Isoprime CF-IRMS interfaced with Multiflow-Bio Unit) and rates were  
186 calculated as described in Thamdrup and Dalsgaard (2002). By convention, the percent of  $\text{N}_2$   
187 production accounted for by anammox is abbreviated as *ra* (relative anammox), and calculated as  
188  $100 \times (\text{anammox}) / (\text{anammox} + \text{denitrification})$ .

189 In addition to thermoblock experiments, parallel sets of potential rate measurements in  
190 triplicate vials were run to serve as different controls, as follows. One set of vials received  
191 unlabeled  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and was incubated at *in situ* temperature in the seasonal study, or  $17^\circ\text{C}$   
192 for the microcosm experiment, in order to assess  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations remaining in the vials after  
193 time intervals that were used in thermoblock incubation. This confirmed that  $\text{NO}_3^-$  was not  
194 depleted during incubations. Three additional  $^{15}\text{N}$  isotope additions were run for samples  
195 collected on the different sampling dates at the estuarine and shelf sites. These incubations were  
196 done at *in situ* temperature, in parallel to thermoblock incubations. One incubation received the  
197 same  $^{15}\text{NO}_3^- + ^{14}\text{NH}_4^+$  addition as in the thermoblock incubation, with four equally spaced  
198 measurement time points starting immediately after N addition, confirming linear production of  
199  $^{29}\text{N}_2$  and  $^{30}\text{N}_2$  during the incubation. An additional incubation received  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+ + ^{14}\text{NO}_3^-$ , and

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

200 another received  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$  alone, confirming the presence or absence of anammox and that  $\text{N}_2$  was  
201 not produced by some other process independent of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  reduction (Yang *et al.*, 2012). The rates  
202 from incubations with added  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+ + ^{14}\text{NO}_3^-$  or  $^{15}\text{NH}_4^+$  alone were reported previously (Brin *et*  
203 *al.*, 2014), and those results are consistent with the relative rates of anammox reported in this  
204 study. Vials with no added N were also included at the beginning of the experiment to correct for  
205 any residual  $^{14}\text{NO}_3^-$  that might have remained after the pre-incubation. The fraction of  $^{15}\text{N}$ -  
206 labelled  $\text{NO}_3^-$  in the incubations, accounting for the fraction of  $^{15}\text{N}$  in added  $^{15}\text{NO}_3^-$  (i.e., 0.99),  
207 was  $>0.96$  across all incubations.

208

209 *Statistical analysis*

210 Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 2.15.0 (R Development Core Team).

211 For all analyses, statistical tests were considered significant at the  $p < 0.05$  level.

212 To statistically define  $T_{\text{opt}}$ , a general additive model was fit to each profile using the R  
213 package *mgcv* (Wood, 2006, 2011; Zuur *et al.*, 2009), using cubic regression splines and cross-  
214 validation. Temperatures with modeled rates that fell within the 95% confidence interval of the  
215 maximum rate were all considered to be  $T_{\text{opt}}$ . Therefore, the  $T_{\text{opt}}$  values reported below reflect  
216 this statistically defined range. One exception was made for denitrification in PRE sediments in  
217 January 2012, for which there was a double peak; both peaks were subject to this analysis and  
218 considered to be part of  $T_{\text{opt}}$ . If the range in  $T_{\text{opt}}$  overlapped between any given comparison of  
219 samples, we considered  $T_{\text{opt}}$  to be not significantly different. Whether relationships between  $T_{\text{opt}}$   
220 and temperature in the seasonal study or microcosm experiment were significant were  
221 determined with linear regression ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

222 Temperature-rate relationships were examined with the linearized form of the Arrhenius  
223 equation with a standardized temperature (Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Yvon-durocher *et al.*, 2010):

224 (1) 
$$\ln[\text{Rate}(T)] = -E_a \cdot (1/kT - 1/kT_c) + \ln[\text{Rate}(T_c)]$$

225 where  $T_c$  is the standardized temperature of 15°C (Perkins *et al.*, 2012);  $\ln[\text{Rate}(T_c)]$  is the  
226 Arrhenius constant in the traditional derivation;  $E_a$  is the apparent activation energy for the  
227 measured process;  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant ( $8.62 \cdot 10^{-5}$  eV K<sup>-1</sup>); and  $T$  is the measurement  
228 temperature in Kelvin.  $E_a$  is calculated as the negative slope of the linear regression through the  
229 linear range of the thermal profile below  $T_{\text{opt}}$ .  $E_a$  values in eV and kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> are presented here to  
230 compare directly with previous work on both nitrogen cycling (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and ecosystem  
231 respiration (eV). In the seasonal study, the standard error in  $E_a$  was estimated from regression  
232 lines in Arrhenius plots, whereas in the microcosm experiment, standard error was determined  
233 across microcosm replicates. Relationships between the linear intercept (rate at 15°C) and *in situ*  
234 temperature were assessed with linear regression.

235 We used similar linear mixed effects models using the function *lme* within the R package  
236 *nlme* (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2016) to test for differences in  $E_a$  between processes, sampling sites, or  
237 microcosm treatments (Zuur *et al.*, 2009). Three datasets were analyzed corresponding to the  
238 seasonal study, microcosm experiment, or the combined data. For each analysis, models  
239 included the following main effects: measurement temperature, site or treatment, process, and  
240 interactions between temperature and both site/treatment and process. For each analysis, we used  
241 Akaike information criterion (AIC) scores to compare three models with all main effects to  
242 determine the random effects structure of the data: with no random effects; with random  
243 intercepts; and with random slopes and intercepts. Random effects assessed variation at the level  
244 of individual and distinct thermal profiles. As such, sampling date (for the seasonal study) and

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

245 treatment replicate (for the microcosm study) were treated as random effects on the slope and  
246 intercept. Comparisons between these three models indicated whether the random effects term  
247 varied in slope ( $E_a$ ) as well as intercept (magnitude of rates). We continued with the model with  
248 the lowest AIC score to test for significance of main effects. For all microcosm analyses, models  
249 with random slopes and intercepts had lowest AIC scores and were selected further analysis.  $E_a$   
250 was considered to vary significantly for main effects if their interaction with temperature was  
251 significant. For example, to assess differences in  $E_a$  across sites, we assessed whether there was a  
252 significant interaction between site and temperature, which would indicate that the relationship  
253 of rate with temperature varied by site.

254 In the seasonal study, within each site and process, we further explored which sampling  
255 dates contributed to differences in apparent activation energies (i.e., denitrification or anammox)  
256 using a similar linear mixed modelling approach in which temperature was the sole main effect.  
257 Select dates were removed to determine their effect on random effects structures.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

258 **Results**259 *Temperature responses by site and season*

260 Rates of denitrification and anammox increased with temperature up to 20-35°C, with  
261 declining rates thereafter (Fig. 1a-d). There were strong seasonal differences in absolute rates  
262 within a site (sampling date  $p < 0.001$ ), particularly for denitrification in shelf sediments, with the  
263 lowest rates in January 2011 and highest rates in March 2012 (Fig. 1a). Potential denitrification  
264 reached a higher maximum rate in estuarine (PRE) compared to shelf (RIS2) sediments, but the  
265 range in maximum rates between the two sites overlapped, indicating strong potential for  
266 denitrification at both sites during the sampling period (Fig. 1a vs. b). In shelf sediments,  
267 potential anammox rates were 2-6 times lower than denitrification rates (Fig 1a vs. c). Rates  
268 were not related to *in situ* temperatures for either site or process. In estuarine sediments,  
269 anammox rates were undetectable or close to the detection limit ( $< 1 \text{ nmol N h}^{-1} \text{ mL}^{-1} \text{ sediment}$ )  
270 (Fig. 1d). We therefore did not calculate  $T_{\text{opt}}$  and  $E_a$  values for anammox at the estuarine site.

271 The range in  $T_{\text{opt}}$  was 18-35°C for denitrification and 22-33°C for anammox (Table S1,  
272 Fig. 2).  $T_{\text{opt}}$  overlapped for anammox and denitrification on each sampling date. There was no  
273 relationship between  $T_{\text{opt}}$  and *in situ* temperature, nor was there a consistent pattern in  $T_{\text{opt}}$  across  
274 sites or seasons. Within each site and process,  $T_{\text{opt}}$  overlapped for all sampling dates, with the  
275 exception of denitrification in January 2011 in shelf sediments, which had a narrower profile and  
276 higher  $T_{\text{opt}}$  than September and March (Fig. 2). The thermal profile for denitrification in  
277 estuarine sediments in January 2012 had a double peak that bracketed those for other seasonal  
278 measurements.

279 Apparent  $E_a$  values were between 0.40 and 0.63 eV (38.5 and 60.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for  
280 denitrification in shelf sediments, 0.36 and 0.69 eV (34.3 and 66.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for anammox in

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

281 shelf sediments, and 0.37 and 0.55 eV (35.8 and 53.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for denitrification in estuarine  
282 sediments (Table S1, Fig. 1e-g). Apparent  $E_a$  did not differ significantly between sites for  
283 denitrification nor between denitrification and anammox (linear mixed effects model,  $p>0.05$ ).  
284 The mixed model with the lowest AIC score included both random slope and intercept,  
285 indicating that  $E_a$  differed across sampling dates. Differences in denitrification  $E_a$  by sampling  
286 date were driven by high  $E_a$  at the shelf site in January 2011 and low  $E_a$  at the estuarine site in  
287 June 2011, as models without random slopes became optimal when these dates were omitted.  
288 Anammox  $E_a$  also differed by date in shelf sediments, driven by higher  $E_a$  values in July and  
289 September 2011. However, in the full model, the variance was much greater for the intercept  
290 (capacity;  $d^2=0.40$ ) than for the slope ( $E_a$ ;  $d^2=0.0085$ ), indicating that differences among dates  
291 were more dependent on overall capacity than temperature dependence.

292       Across all thermoblock measurements in shelf sediments,  $ra$  ranged from negligible to  
293 62%. In 3 out of 5 sampling dates, there was no change in  $ra$  as a function of thermoblock  
294 temperature across a range of 3-35°C (Fig. 3). However, in January 2011,  $ra$  was negatively  
295 correlated with temperature ( $p<0.001$ ,  $R=-0.89$ ), decreasing from 62% at 3°C to 28% at 35°C.  
296 This switch to a negative correlation was driven not by a change in anammox temperature  
297 dependence or capacity across sampling dates, but by a change in the shape of the denitrification  
298 thermal profile on this particular date (Fig. 1a, c). In contrast, in September 2011,  $ra$  was  
299 positively correlated with temperature ( $p=0.001$ ,  $R=0.70$ ) (Fig. 3).

300

301 *Microcosm experiment*

302       Incubating microcosm sediments at 4°C without C addition for 12 weeks did not change  
303 denitrification rates compared to  $t_0$  measurements, while anammox rates decreased slightly,

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

304 relative to the  $t_0$  control (Fig. 4a, b). Contrary to expectations, denitrification rates decreased  
305 significantly in 17°C treatments, with or without C, relative to  $t_0$ , as well as in the 4°C treatment  
306 with C (Fig. 4a; linear mixed effects model with random slope and intercept,  $p < 0.001$ ).  
307 Anammox rates showed a similar decrease with treatments as denitrification (Fig. 4b; linear  
308 mixed effects model,  $p < 0.001$ ).  $T_{opt}$  overlapped for anammox and denitrification, as well as  
309 across treatments for each process (Fig. 2, Table S1). Similarly,  $T_{opt}$  in the microcosm  
310 experiment did not differ from the seasonal study, although ranges were more consistent in the  
311 microcosm experiment (Fig. 2).

312         The sediment that was used in the microcosm experiment was from March 2012, when  $E_a$   
313 of anammox was the lowest across sampling dates (Table S1). This lower  $E_a$  was reflected in the  
314 microcosm experiment, as  $E_a$  was significantly lower for anammox than denitrification (linear  
315 mixed effects model, process x temperature interaction  $p < 0.001$ ). Apparent  $E_a$  values were  
316 between 0.38 and 0.48 eV (36.5 and 46.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for denitrification and 0.20 and 0.32 eV (19.3  
317 and 30.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for anammox. However,  $E_a$  was not significantly different between treatments  
318 for either process (Table S1, Fig. 4c, d), and as with the seasonal study, variance was much  
319 greater for the intercept (capacity;  $d^2 = 0.026$ ) than for the slope ( $E_a$ ;  $d^2 = 0.0014$ ). Furthermore,  
320 neither denitrification nor anammox  $E_a$  differed significantly between the microcosm experiment  
321 and the seasonal study.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

322 **Discussion**

323           The denitrification  $T_{opt}$  values measured in this study (21 to 35°C) indicate a mesophilic  
324 community of denitrifiers in temperate Rhode Island sediments. Given overlapping  $T_{opt}$  and  
325 mostly similar apparent  $E_a$  values, there was no indication of a specifically cold- or warm-  
326 adapted population of denitrifiers that developed seasonally, between sites, or in response to  
327 experimentally manipulated temperatures. This indicates functionally equivalent denitrifier  
328 populations in terms of temperature response, despite variation in rates (Fig. 1e-g, 4 c-d).  
329 Furthermore, warmest *in situ* temperatures were within the range of  $T_{opt}$ , suggesting that  
330 denitrifiers were reasonably well adapted to the annual temperature regime at the sites. Our  
331 results agree with the general finding that denitrification rates display a mesophilic  $T_{opt}$  and  
332 comparable  $E_a$  values in a broad range of sediments from temperate to Arctic systems (Dalsgaard  
333 & Thamdrup, 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Canion *et al.*, 2014a, 2014b). This implies that  
334 relatively large temperature changes from the Arctic to temperate regions do not cause  
335 significantly different temperature responses for denitrification. In contrast, denitrification in  
336 subtropical sediments has been shown to have distinctly higher  $T_{opt}$  and  $E_a$  values compared to  
337 colder sediments (Canion *et al.*, 2014b). Thus, warmer climates may cause a change in the  
338 temperature response of denitrification. However, the degree of warming needed to cause such a  
339 change is probably greater than the 2-2.5°C warming that is predicted to occur in our study  
340 region over the next century (Meehl *et al.*, 2007; Taboada & Anadón, 2012; Mills *et al.*, 2013).

341           Previous studies have suggested that anammox bacteria are more cold-adapted than  
342 denitrifiers, due to lower  $T_{opt}$  (9-18°C) or  $E_a$  in anammox bacteria, and measurements of higher  
343  $r_a$  values at lower temperatures (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup, 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Canion *et*  
344 *al.*, 2014a, 2014b). However, most of these studies have been conducted in permanently cold



## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

345 marine sediments. The present study is one of the few that has been conducted in temperate  
346 sediments (Canion *et al.*, 2014b). We found that the range in  $T_{opt}$  values of denitrification and  
347 anammox were not significantly different in the seasonal study or microcosm experiment (Fig. 2,  
348 Table S1).  $E_a$  of anammox was significantly lower than  $E_a$  of denitrification in the microcosm  
349 experiment, which appeared to be driven by initial values of  $E_a$  in the sediments used to set up  
350 the microcosm experiment rather than any significant influence of experimental treatments.  $E_a$   
351 values of anammox and denitrification were not significantly different across the seasonal study,  
352 indicating that there was not an overall consistent difference in  $E_a$  between the two processes.  
353 Cumulatively, we conclude that overall populations of active anammox bacteria are not more  
354 cold-adapted than denitrifiers in our study system. Similar to denitrification, the results do not  
355 indicate consistent seasonal shifts in temperature responses of anammox. On the one sampling  
356 date when  $ra$  did decrease with increasing temperature (January 2011), this was driven by a shift  
357 in the temperature response of denitrification rather than anammox. The correlation between  
358  $ra$  and temperature across seasons that was previously noted (Brin *et al.*, 2014) may therefore  
359 have been due to other factors besides temperature that vary seasonally, rather than relatively  
360 faster rates of denitrification compared to anammox at warmer temperatures. As anammox may  
361 depend on denitrification for a source of  $NO_2^-$  (Trimmer *et al.*, 2003; Risgaard-Petersen *et al.*,  
362 2004; Meyer *et al.*, 2005; Brin *et al.*, 2014), similar temperature responses overall might reflect  
363 the relationship between the two processes.

364 The capacity for denitrification, as reflected in thermal profiles and in the linear intercept  
365 of Arrhenius plots (Fig. 1, 3), changed across sampling dates in the seasonal study as well as  
366 with treatment in the microcosm study. These changes in magnitude could be associated with  
367 changes in the abundance of denitrifier populations, the amount of enzyme being produced by

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

368 the denitrifiers present, substrate availability, or a combination of factors. The lack of a  
369 correlation between linear intercept and *in situ* temperature in the seasonal study suggests that  
370 temperature effects may be indirect, and that potential rates are controlled by other factors in  
371 addition to temperature. One potential control of denitrification rates in coastal and marine  
372 sediments is organic C availability, with higher rates reflecting greater C availability (Dalsgaard  
373 *et al.*, 2005; Brin *et al.*, 2014). Experiments with Arctic sediments demonstrated that addition of  
374 organic acids (i.e., acetate, lactate) significantly increased sulfate reduction or denitrification  
375 rates in thermoblock experiments (Isaksen & Jørgensen, 1996; Canion *et al.*, 2014a). Similarly,  
376 we expected that organic C addition in our microcosm experiment would increase denitrification  
377 rates relative to microcosms without C addition. Surprisingly, organic C addition did not yield  
378 this result. The lack of response of denitrification rates in our microcosm experiment may have  
379 been due to competition for  $\text{NO}_3^-$  with other processes, as potential dissimilatory nitrate  
380 reduction to ammonium (DNRA) rates were stimulated by the organic C addition, while potential  
381 denitrification rates were not (Brin *et al.*, 2015). The form of organic C added may also have had  
382 an influence on this result, with regular additions of freeze-dried phytoplankton favoring DNRA  
383 bacteria over denitrifiers.

384 The aim of this study was to determine how shifts in temperature and C availability  
385 through seasonal changes or experimental manipulations influence the temperature responses of  
386 anammox or denitrification. We found that temperature responses of anammox and  
387 denitrification were more similar to each other than previously reported (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup,  
388 2002; Rysgaard *et al.*, 2004; Canion *et al.*, 2014b), and both processes were characterized as  
389 mesophilic instead of anammox being more cold-adapted than denitrification. Overall, our  
390 results suggest that predicted warming in our study region over the next century will not act

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

391 through direct temperature effects to decrease the contribution of anammox to N<sub>2</sub> production  
392 relative to denitrification. In contrast, strong differences in absolute rates with season suggest  
393 that factors other than temperature dependence are important regulators of relative rates of  
394 anammox and denitrification.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

395 **Acknowledgments**

396           Thank you to Caroline Scanlan, Phil Arevalo, Michaeline Nelson, Amber Hardison and  
397 Heather Leslie for help in the lab and the field. Thanks also to Elise Heiss, Lindsey Fields,  
398 Shelley Brown, Wally Fulweiler, Scott Nixon, Bethany Jenkins, Jane Tucker, Steve Granger,  
399 Rodman Sykes, Rebecca Robinson, Stephen Porder and Aaron McFarlane for help in the project,  
400 and to Charlie Vickers, Mike Packer and Brian Corkum for help with thermoblock construction.  
401 We are grateful to Capt. Rodman Sykes of the F/V Virginia Marise and his crew for assisting  
402 with sampling. We thank two anonymous reviewers whose comments have helped to improve  
403 this manuscript. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation  
404 under Grant No. OCE-0852289 to JJR and OCE-0852263, OCE-0927400 and OCE1238212 to  
405 AEG, and Rhode Island Sea Grant to JJR.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

406 **References**

- 407 Acuña V, Wolf A, Uehlinger U, Tockner K (2008) Temperature dependence of stream benthic  
408 respiration in an Alpine river network under global warming. *Freshwater Biology*, **53**,  
409 2076–2088.
- 410 Allen AP, Gillooly JF, Brown JH (2005) Linking the global carbon cycle to individual  
411 metabolism. *Functional Ecology*, **19**, 202–213.
- 412 Alsterberg C, Sundbäck K, Hulth S (2012) Functioning of a shallow-water sediment system  
413 during experimental warming and nutrient enrichment. *PloS one*, **7**, e51503.
- 414 Angilletta Jr. MJ (2009) *Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis*. Oxford  
415 University Press, 320 pp.
- 416 Arrhenius S (1915) *Quantitative Laws in Biological Chemistry* (ed G. Bell and Sons L). London,  
417 1-164 pp.
- 418 Brin LD, Giblin AE, Rich JJ (2014) Environmental controls of anammox and denitrification in  
419 southern New England estuarine and shelf sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **59**,  
420 851–860.
- 421 Brin LD, Giblin AE, Rich JJ (2015) Effects of experimental warming and carbon addition on  
422 nitrate reduction and respiration in coastal sediments. *Biogeochemistry*, **125**, 81–95.
- 423 Canion A, Overholt WA, Kostka JE, Huettel M, Lavik G, Kuypers MMM (2014a) Temperature  
424 response of denitrification and anammox rates and microbial community structure in Arctic  
425 fjord sediments. *Environmental Microbiology*, **16**, 3331–3344.
- 426 Canion A, Kostka JE, Gihring TM et al. (2014b) Temperature response of denitrification and  
427 anammox reveals the adaptation of microbial communities to in situ temperatures in  
428 permeable marine sediments that span 50° in latitude. *Biogeosciences*, **11**, 309–320.
- 429 Christensen JH, Hweitsen B, Busuioac A et al. (2007) Regional Climate Projections. In: *Climate*  
430 *Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth*  
431 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds Solomon S, Qin  
432 D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL). Cambridge  
433 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 434 Crowther TW, Bradford MA (2013) Thermal acclimation in widespread heterotrophic soil  
435 microbes (ed Johnson N). *Ecology Letters*.
- 436 Dalsgaard T, Thamdrup B (2002) Factors controlling anaerobic ammonium oxidation with nitrite  
437 in marine sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **68**, 3802–3808.
- 438 Dalsgaard T, Thamdrup B, Canfield DE (2005) Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in  
439 the marine environment. *Research in Microbiology*, **156**, 457–464.
- 440 Demars BOL, Russell Manson J, Ólafsson JS et al. (2011) Temperature and the metabolic  
441 balance of streams. *Freshwater Biology*, **56**, 1106–1121.
- 442 Diaz RJ, Rosenberg R (2008) Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems.  
443 *Science*, **321**, 926–929.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

- 444 Emery KO, Uchupi E (1972) *Western North Atlantic Ocean: Topography, Rocks, Structure,*  
445 *Water, Life, and Sediments.* American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa,  
446 Oklahoma, 532 pp.
- 447 Engström P, Dalsgaard T, Hulth S, Aller RC (2005) Anaerobic ammonium oxidation by nitrite  
448 (anammox): Implications for N production in coastal marine sediments. *Geochimica et*  
449 *Cosmochimica Acta*, **69**, 2057–2065.
- 450 Galloway JN, Dentener FJ, Capone DG et al. (2004) Nitrogen cycles: Past, present, and future.  
451 *Biogeochemistry*, **70**, 153–226.
- 452 Gruber N, Galloway JN (2008) An Earth-system perspective of the global nitrogen cycle.  
453 *Nature*, **451**, 293–296.
- 454 Hall EK, Neuhauser C, Cotner JB (2008) Toward a mechanistic understanding of how natural  
455 bacterial communities respond to changes in temperature in aquatic ecosystems. *The ISME*  
456 *Journal*, **2**, 471–81.
- 457 Hall EK, Singer GA, Kainz MJ, Lennon JT (2010) Evidence for a temperature acclimation  
458 mechanism in bacteria: An empirical test of a membrane-mediated trade-off. *Functional*  
459 *Ecology*, **24**, 898–908.
- 460 Hartley IP, Heinemeyer A, Ineson P (2007) Effects of three years of soil warming and shading  
461 on the rate of soil respiration: Substrate availability and not thermal acclimation mediates  
462 observed response. *Global Change Biology*, **13**, 1761–1770.
- 463 Hartley IP, Hopkins DW, Garnett MH, Sommerkorn M, Wookey PA (2008) Soil microbial  
464 respiration in arctic soil does not acclimate to temperature. *Ecology Letters*, **11**, 1092–100.
- 465 Heiss EM, Fields L, Fulweiler RW (2012) Directly measured net denitrification rates in offshore  
466 New England sediments. *Continental Shelf Research*, **45**, 78–86.
- 467 Holtan-Hartwig L, Dörsch P, Bakken LR (2002) Low temperature control of soil denitrifying  
468 communities: kinetics of N<sub>2</sub>O production and reduction. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **34**,  
469 1797–1806.
- 470 Howarth RW, Billen G, Swaney D et al. (1996) Regional nitrogen budgets and riverine N & P  
471 fluxes for the drainages to the North Atlantic Ocean: Natural and human influences.  
472 *Biogeochemistry*, **35**, 75–139.
- 473 Isaksen MF, Jørgensen BB (1996) Adaptation of psychrophilic and psychrotrophic sulfate-  
474 reducing bacteria to permanently cold marine environments. *Applied and Environmental*  
475 *Microbiology*, **62**, 408–414.
- 476 King D, Nedwell DB (1984) Changes in the nitrate-reducing community of an anaerobic  
477 saltmarsh sediment in response to seasonal selection by temperature. *Journal of General*  
478 *Microbiology*, **130**, 2935–2941.
- 479 Lewandowska A, Sommer U (2010) Climate change and the spring bloom: A mesocosm study  
480 on the influence of light and temperature on phytoplankton and mesozooplankton. *Marine*  
481 *Ecology Progress Series*, **12**, 101–111.
- 482 Meehl GA, Stocker TF, Collins WD et al. (2007) Global Climate Projections. In: *Climate*

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

- 483 *Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth*  
484 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds Solomon S, Qin  
485 D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL), pp. 747–845.  
486 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 487 Meyer RL, Risgaard-Petersen N, Allen DE (2005) Correlation between anammox activity and  
488 microscale distribution of nitrite in a subtropical mangrove sediment. *Applied and*  
489 *Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 6142–6149.
- 490 Mills KE, Pershing A, Brown C (2013) Fisheries management in a changing climate: Lessons  
491 from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the Northwest Atlantic. *Oceanography*, **26**, 191–195.
- 492 Nixon SW, Granger S, Buckley BA, Lamont M, Rowell B (2004) A one hundred and seventeen  
493 year coastal water temperature record from Woods Hole, Massachusetts. *Estuaries*, **27**,  
494 397–404.
- 495 Nixon SW, Fulweiler RW, Buckley BA, Granger SL, Nowicki BL, Henry KM (2009) The  
496 impact of changing climate on phenology, productivity, and benthic-pelagic coupling in  
497 Narragansett Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **82**, 1–18.
- 498 Perkins DM, Yvon-Durocher G, Demars BOL et al. (2012) Consistent temperature dependence  
499 of respiration across ecosystems contrasting in thermal history. *Global Change Biology*, 1–  
500 12.
- 501 Perry MJ, Eppley RW (1981) Phosphate uptake by phytoplankton in the central North Pacific  
502 Ocean. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, **28**, 39–49.
- 503 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team (2016) nlme: Linear and nonlinear  
504 mixed effects models.
- 505 R Development Core Team R: A language and environment for statistical computing.  
506 <http://www.r-project.org>.
- 507 Risgaard-Petersen N, Meyer R, Schmid M, Jetten MSM, Enrich-Prast A, Rysgaard S, Revsbech  
508 NP (2004) Anaerobic ammonium oxidation in an estuarine sediment. *Aquatic Microbial*  
509 *Ecology*, **36**, 293–304.
- 510 Robador A, Brüchert V, Jørgensen BB (2009) The impact of temperature change on the activity  
511 and community composition of sulfate-reducing bacteria in arctic versus temperate marine  
512 sediments. *Environmental Microbiology*, **11**, 1692–703.
- 513 Rysgaard S, Glud RN, Risgaard-Petersen N, Dalsgaard T (2004) Denitrification and anammox  
514 activity in Arctic marine sediments. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **49**, 1493–1502.
- 515 Ryther JH, Dunstan WM (1971) Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in the coastal marine  
516 environment. *Science*, **171**, 1008–1013.
- 517 Sommer U, Lengfellner K (2008) Climate change and the timing, magnitude, and composition of  
518 the phytoplankton spring bloom. *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1199–1208.
- 519 Taboada FG, Anadón R (2012) Patterns of change in sea surface temperature in the North  
520 Atlantic during the last three decades: Beyond mean trends. *Climatic Change*, **115**, 419–  
521 431.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

- 522 Teixeira C, Magalhães C, Joye SB, Bordalo A (2012) Potential rates and environmental controls  
523 of anaerobic ammonium oxidation in estuarine sediments. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, **66**,  
524 23–32.
- 525 Thamdrup B, Dalsgaard T (2002) Production of N<sub>2</sub> through anaerobic ammonium oxidation  
526 coupled to nitrate reduction in marine sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,  
527 **68**, 1312–1318.
- 528 Trimmer M, Nicholls JC, Deflandre B (2003) Anaerobic ammonium oxidation measured in  
529 sediments along the Thames Estuary, United Kingdom. *Applied and Environmental*  
530 *Microbiology*, **69**, 6447–6454.
- 531 Wood SN (2006) *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- 532 Wood SN (2011) Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation  
533 of semiparametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (B)*,  
534 **73**, 3–36.
- 535 Yang WH, Weber KA, Silver WL (2012) Nitrogen loss from soil through anaerobic ammonium  
536 oxidation coupled to iron reduction. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**, 538–541.
- 537 Yvon-Durocher G, Jones JI, Trimmer M, Woodward G, Montoya JM (2010) Warming alters the  
538 metabolic balance of ecosystems. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of*  
539 *London. Series B, Biological sciences*, **365**, 2117–26.
- 540 Yvon-durocher G, Allen AP, Montoya JM, Trimmer M, Woodward G (2010) The temperature  
541 dependence of the carbon cycle in aquatic ecosystems. *Advances in Ecological Research*,  
542 **43**, 267–313.
- 543 Yvon-Durocher G, Allen AP, Bastviken D et al. (2014) Methane fluxes show consistent  
544 temperature dependence across microbial to ecosystem scales. *Nature*, **507**, 488–91.
- 545 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) *Mixed Effects Models and*  
546 *Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer, New York, NY, 574 pp.
- 547
- 548



## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

549 **Supporting information captions**

550 **Table S1** – Apparent activation energies ( $E_a$ ) and thermal optima ( $T_{opt}$ ) of denitrification and  
551 anammox in shelf and estuarine sediments and the microcosm experiment. Asterisks denote  
552  $E_a$  values that differ significantly from others within the same site and process.

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

553 **Figure captions**

554 **Figure 1.** Thermal profiles (a-d) and Arrhenius plots (e-h) of denitrification and anammox in  
555 shelf and estuarine sediments. Panels are as follows: Denitrification in shelf (a, e) and estuarine  
556 (b, f) sediments; anammox in the shelf (c, g) and estuarine (d, h) sediments. Curves in (a)  
557 through (d) are general additive models fit to the data, and asterisks on the x-axis denote *in situ*  
558 bottom water temperatures at the time of sampling. Lines in (e) through (h) are significant linear  
559 regressions, the negative slopes of which are the activation energy ( $E_a$ ).

560 **Figure 2.** Denitrification and anammox  $T_{opt}$  for all seasonal sampling dates and microcosm  
561 treatments, and bottom water *in situ* or microcosm incubation temperature. Error bars denote  $T_{opt}$   
562 ranges.

563 **Figure 3.** Relative contribution of anammox to  $N_2$  production ( $ra$ ) in shelf sediments as a  
564 function of incubation temperature.

565 **Figure 4.** Thermal profiles (a, b) and Arrhenius plots (c, d) of denitrification (a, c) and anammox  
566 (b, d) in the microcosm experiment. Curves in (a) and (b) are general additive models fit to the  
567 data. Lines in (c) and (d) are significant linear regressions, the negative slopes of which are the  
568 activation energy ( $E_a$ ).

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

569 **Supporting information**570 **Table S1** – Apparent activation energies ( $E_a$ ) and thermal optima ( $T_{opt}$ ) of denitrification and

571 anammox in shelf and estuarine sediments and the microcosm experiment. Asterisks denote

572  $E_a$  values that differ significantly from others within the same site and process.

Site and process	Treatment or sampling date	Seasonal or microcosm temperature (°C)	Activation energy <sup>#</sup> (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Activation energy <sup>#</sup> (eV)	$T_{opt}$ (°C)	$T_{opt}$ range (°C)
Shelf denitrification	January 2011	6	60.4 ± 2.8*	0.63 ± 0.03*	35.0	33.1 – 35.0
	June 2011	11	43.7 ± 5.6	0.45 ± 0.06	27.3	19.8 – 33.0
	July 2011	16	50.5 ± 6.6	0.52 ± 0.07	27.5	23.8 – 31.3
	September 2011	17	38.5 ± 6.8	0.40 ± 0.07	23.7	18.2 – 27.4
	March 2012	7	43.7 ± 2.9	0.45 ± 0.03	25.4	21.8 – 27.2
Shelf anammox	January 2011	6	38.2 ± 4.1	0.40 ± 0.04	31.1	29.2 – 33.1
	June 2011	11	38.0 ± 3.0	0.39 ± 0.03	23.6	21.7 – 29.2
	July 2011	16	49.4 ± 3.6*	0.51 ± 0.04*	27.5	25.7 – 29.4
	September 2011	17	66.9 ± 12.3*	0.69 ± 0.13*	29.2	27.4 – 31.0
	March 2012	7	34.3 ± 2.6	0.36 ± 0.03	29.0	25.4 – 30.8
Estuary denitrification	June 2011	16	35.8 ± 2.1*	0.37 ± 0.02*	31.1	29.2 – 34.9
	August 2011	22	46.2 ± 4.1	0.48 ± 0.04	26.6	22.7 – 30.4
	January 2012	6	53.0 ± 5.3	0.55 ± 0.05	21.3	19.5 – 23.1
					33.9	23.1 – 37.5
Microcosm denitrification	$t_0$	4	41.2 ± 2.6	0.43 ± 0.03	24.5	22.7 – 26.3
	4°C	4	40.2 ± 4.1	0.42 ± 0.04	24.7	22.8 – 26.5
	4°C+C	4	36.5 ± 1.7	0.38 ± 0.02	22.8	21.0 – 26.5
	17°C	17	44.4 ± 0.4	0.46 ± 0.005	23.1	21.3 – 26.8
	17°C+C	17	46.4 ± 1.9	0.48 ± 0.02	23.1	21.3 – 26.8
Microcosm anammox	$t_0$	4	30.5 ± 12.1	0.32 ± 0.13	28.1	22.7 – 29.9
	4°C	4	30.8 ± 6.4	0.32 ± 0.07	28.4	24.7 – 32.0
	4°C+C	4	26.3 ± 4.2	0.27 ± 0.04	26.5	24.7 – 28.4
	17°C	17	19.3 ± 9.9	0.20 ± 0.10	26.8	NA <sup>§</sup> – 32.3
	17°C+C	17	21.5 ± 8.4	0.22 ± 0.09	26.8	21.3 – 30.4

573

574 <sup>#</sup> $E_a$  is the negative of the mean slope ± s.e. of the regression line in Arrhenius plots

575 corresponding to shelf and estuarine sediments, while in the microcosm experiment, it

576 corresponds to the negative mean ± s.e. of  $E_a$  for three replicate aquaria.577 <sup>§</sup>Not able to calculate lower limit as all rates below the maximum rate were within 95%

## Nitrate reduction temperature responses

578 confidence interval of maximum rate.







