

Habitat usage by the cryptic copepods *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* on Georges Bank (Northwest Atlantic)


Ann Bucklin^{1*}, Dennis J. McGillicuddy, Jr.², Peter H. Wiebe³ and Cabell S. Davis³

¹Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT 06340 USA

²Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

³Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

The cryptic copepod species, *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani*, co-occur on Georges Bank and in the Gulf of Maine (Northwest Atlantic). Distributions and abundances were mapped for each species from January to June, 1999 using species-specific PCR (SS-PCR) based on DNA sequences for a COI gene region to discriminate the species. Analysis of depth-stratified species-specific abundances and environmental parameters in five regions of the Bank revealed differences in three-dimensional habitat usage by the cryptic species (see figure below). Concentrations of *P. moultoni* were found in deep layers, resulting in higher rates of retention and lower rates of advective loss of this species from the Bank; in contrast, *P. newmani* is more subject to wind-driven transport in the surface layer. There were subtle species-specific differences in associations with temperature and salinity, based on multilinear regression. We hypothesize that differing behaviors and vertical distributions of *P. moultoni* and *P. newmani* – especially in the complex hydrographic regime of the Bank – may result in the species' differential transport and retention over Georges Bank. It is critically important that cryptic species be routinely and accurately discriminated when examining and predicting species- and community-level responses of zooplankton to bio-physical forcing and climate change.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

**Habitat usage by the cryptic copepods *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani*
on Georges Bank (Northwest Atlantic)**

Ann Bucklin^{1*}, Dennis J. McGillicuddy, Jr.², Peter H. Wiebe³ and Cabell S. Davis³

¹Department of Marine Sciences, University of Connecticut, Groton, CT 06340 USA

²Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution,
Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

³Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA

Manuscript submitted January 8, 2015

Revised manuscript submitted October 4, 2015

For consideration for publication in:

Continental Shelf Research

*Corresponding author email address: ann.bucklin@uconn.edu

1
2
3
4 **ABSTRACT**
5

6 The cryptic copepod species, *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani*, co-occur on Georges
7 Bank and in the Gulf of Maine (Northwest Atlantic); even recent studies have reported results
8 and conclusions based on examination of the combined species. Species-specific PCR (SS-PCR)
9 based on mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) sequence divergence was used in this study
10 to discriminate the species. Species-specific descriptions of habitat usage and predicted patterns
11 of transport and retention on Georges Bank were made by mapping distributions and calculating
12 abundances of each species from January to June, 1999 for four vertical strata (0-15 m, 15-40 m,
13 40-100 m, and 0-100 m) and five regions (Northern Flank, Bank Crest, Northeast Peak, Southern
14 Flank, and Slope Water) identified on the basis of bathymetry and circulation. Patterns of
15 distribution and abundance for the two species during January to June, 1999 were largely
16 consistent with those described based on vertically integrating mapping and analysis for the same
17 period in 1997 by McGillicuddy and Bucklin (2002). The region-specific and depth-stratified
18 analyses allowed further discrimination in habitat usage by the species and confirmed the
19 distinctive patterns for the two species. The observed differences between the species in
20 abundances among the five regions and three depth strata over Georges Bank impact their
21 transport trajectories. The concentration of *P. moultoni* in deep layers likely explains the higher
22 rates of retention and lower rates of advective loss of this species from the Bank, compared to *P.*
23 *newmani*, which may be more subject to wind-driven transport in the surface layer. Accurate
24 identification and discrimination of even closely-related and cryptic species is needed to ensure
25 full understanding and realistic predictions of changes in diversity of zooplankton and the
26 functioning of pelagic ecosystems.

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 Key words: Zooplankton, Georges Bank, cryptic species, COI, *Pseudocalanus*
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 **1. Introduction**
5

6 The planktonic copepod sibling species *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* occur
7
8 sympatrically during the spring and summer on Georges Bank in the NW Atlantic Ocean
9
10 (Bucklin et al., 2001; McGillicuddy and Bucklin, 2002). The species cannot be reliably
11
12 distinguished using morphological characters and even recent studies have reported the
13
14 combined abundances of the sibling species (e.g., O'Brien et al., 2013; Kane, 2007, 2014).
15
16 However, genetic divergence between the species for the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I
17
18 (COI) barcode region of 18% is consistent with that of other copepod species (Bucklin et al.,
19
20 2003, 2011; Blanco-Bercial et al., 2014), allowing design of a rapid and inexpensive molecular
21
22 protocol, species-specific PCR (SS-PCR), that can be used for routine species identification for
23
24 samples preserved in alcohol or frozen in liquid nitrogen (Bucklin et al., 1998, 1999, 2001).
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Despite their marked morphological similarity, species of *Pseudocalanus* differ in many
32
33 aspects of their life history, ecology, biogeography, and seasonal timing of reproduction (Frost,
34
35 1989; and e.g., Napp et al., 2005; Hopcroft and Kosobokova, 2010). *Pseudocalanus moultoni*
36
37 and *P. newmani* have similar development and reproductive rates; without discriminating the
38
39 species, models developed by Ji et al. (2009) used rates of development and reproduction that
40
41 fall between those of the two species (Davis, 1984; Jonasdottir, 1989; McLaren et al., 1989).
42
43 However, potentially important behavioral differences between the species, such as vertical
44
45 positioning in the water column, were not considered. The biogeographical distributions for *P.*
46
47 *moultoni* and *P. newmani* were discriminated by Frost (1989), who considered that *P. moultoni* is
48
49 a coastal species, while *P. newmani* has more open-ocean affinities. Subsequent discoveries of *P.*
50
51 *moultoni* in coastal waters surrounding Svalbard in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean by Aarbakke et
52
53 al. (2011, 2014) appear consistent with this designation.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 The biological and physical dynamics underlying the pelagic community dynamics over
5
6 Georges Bank were the focus of a U.S. GLOBEC field program during 1994-1999 (Wiebe et al.,
7
8 2006) and continuing efforts have sought to understand impacts of climate variability and change
9
10 on the critically-important Northwest Atlantic continental shelf ecosystem (Friedland et al.,
11
12 2013). During the Georges Bank Study, broad-scale surveys were used to examine the
13
14 population dynamics of key species on the Bank by monthly sampling at a set of standard
15
16 stations from January to June each year. Analysis of the resultant patterns of species distribution
17
18 and abundance was facilitated by mapping to a standard grid, with regions delimited by
19
20 bathymetry and hydrography (Chu, 2004), including a persistent and predictable tidal-mixing
21
22 front between the shallow, well-mixed waters of the Bank Crest and the deeper seasonally-
23
24 stratified waters of the Southern Flank (Bigelow, 1927; Garrett et al., 1978; Butman and
25
26 Beardsley, 1987). A number of studies reported characteristic differences in the species
27
28 composition of the zooplankton assemblage over the Bank (e.g., Durbin and Casas, 2006;
29
30 Wishner et al., 2006). Shifts in zooplankton composition, associated with climate variability and
31
32 change, impact the entire Northwest Atlantic shelf ecosystem (Mountain and Kane, 2010). In
33
34 particular, it is important to understand the response of *Pseudocalanus* spp. in the Georges Bank
35
36 region to climate change, since the species are primary prey for cod and haddock larvae; in
37
38 warmer waters, there is a shift toward the copepod, *Centropages typicus*, which is difficult for
39
40 the fish larvae to capture and can lead to fisheries collapse (Stegert et al., 2010, 2012; Petrik et
41
42 al., 2009, 2014).

43
44
45 The U.S. GLOBEC Georges Bank Study offered an opportunity to examine patterns of
46
47 distribution and abundance – and hypothesize about the underlying physical and biological
48
49 processes that determined them – of the co-occurring cryptic sibling species of *Pseudocalanus*
50
51
52

1
2
3
4 (Bucklin et al., 1998, 2001). Based on monthly sampling during broad-scale surveys during
5
6 January to June, 1997 and adjoint physical-biological modeling, McGillicuddy and Bucklin
7
8 (2002) described the species-specific patterns. The spring-summer 1997 increase of *P. moultoni*
9
10 on the crest of the Bank was shown to result both from increased transport of copepods onto the
11
12 Bank from surrounding regions and by local reproduction and recruitment, with populations
13
14 maintained within the clockwise gyre on Georges Bank. In contrast, the spring increase for *P.*
15
16 *newmani* was caused by transport from these upstream source regions on the Scotian Shelf, with
17
18 copepods carried along the Southern Flank of Georges Bank; both species became very abundant
19
20 on the Bank Crest when the around-bank circulation intensified (McGillicuddy and Bucklin,
21
22 2002).
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 The results reported here build upon earlier biological (Bucklin et al., 2001) and physical-
30
31 biological modeling studies (McGillicuddy et al., 1998; McGillicuddy and Bucklin, 2002) of
32
33 *Pseudocalanus* species on Georges Bank. In order to better understand the physical-biological
34
35 mechanisms by which *P. moultoni* and *P. newmani* may persist and proliferate in the complex
36
37 and variable flow field over Georges Bank, distributions and abundances of the cryptic species
38
39 were examined for 1999 and compared to previous results for 1997, with separate analyses for
40
41 five regions and three depth strata. The ultimate goal is to predict changes in the distribution and
42
43 abundance of each of the cryptic sibling species of *Pseudocalanus* as a result of changes in their
44
45 physical and biotic environment and to anticipate how their populations might respond to climate
46
47 change.
48
49
50
51

52 53 **2. Methods**

54 55 *Sample collection and analysis*

56
57 Vertically-stratified zooplankton samples were collected during U.S. GLOBEC Georges
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 Bank Study broad-scale surveys carried out monthly from January to June, 1999 (Fig. 1).
5
6 Collections were made using a 1-m² Multiple Opening and Closing Net and Environmental
7
8 Sensing System (MOCNESS: Wiebe et al., 1985) with 150 µm mesh nets, which effectively
9
10 capture the adults of both *Pseudocalanus* species. Samples were split at sea, with a one-half
11
12 preserved for molecular analysis in 95% ethyl alcohol and one-half preserved in buffered
13
14 formalin for taxonomic analysis. Alcohol-preserved samples from 15-20 net tows (stations), with
15
16 3-4 net samples per tow, were analyzed from each of the six broad-scale survey cruises in 1999.
17
18
19
20

21 *2.1 Determination of species abundances*

22

23 Aliquots of MOCNESS samples collected at each depth and station were removed to a
24
25 petri dish in a wide-mouth plastic pipette. Each petri dish was scanned under a dissecting
26
27 microscope for adult female *Pseudocalanus* species. At least 20 copepods were removed from
28
29 each sample and placed in a separate vial for each net sample. Adult females were used in all
30
31 cases when sufficient numbers were available; fifth-stage juveniles (CV copepodites) were used
32
33 as necessary to meet the minimum aliquot of 20 *Pseudocalanus* spp. Regression analysis
34
35 showed that, for each of the six months regardless of depth, adult females and CVs were
36
37 significantly correlated ($p < .0005$), indicating that there was no discernable bias due to the
38
39 inclusion of CVs in subsamples for SS-PCR analysis. Counts of *Pseudocalanus* spp. females
40
41 from net tows for 0-15, 15-40, and 40-100 m depths during the monthly 1999 broad-scale cruises
42
43 were used to determine species-specific patterns of distribution, which were reported as per m².
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Discrimination of the cryptic species and identification of individual copepods was done
51
52 by species-specific PCR (SS-PCR) following previously-published protocols (Bucklin et al.,
53
54 2001). All three PCR primers (LCO-1490, PM-COI, and PN-COI) were added to a competitive,
55
56 multiplexed PCR reaction cocktail, which allowed discrimination of the species with a one-step
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 protocol. Reactions were done in 96-well plates; each plate included one negative (no-DNA)
5
6 control. The relative abundances of the two species for each aliquot were determined based on
7
8 SS-PCR (for detailed description of methods, see Bucklin et al., 1998). Absolute abundances of
9
10 each species were determined by multiplying the relative proportions of the species in
11
12 subsamples determined using SS-PCR by the total *Pseudocalanus* spp. counts in the formalin-
13
14 preserved split of the same sample as determined by the URI Zooplankton Sorting Group
15
16 (<http://www.bco-dmo.org/resources>). On average, 50 samples were examined for each broad-
17
18 scale survey (3 to 4 net samples at 15 to 20 stations) for a total of 350 samples over the six
19
20 broad-scale cruises. In all, 4,201 individual copepods were analyzed using SS-PCR in order to
21
22 document the distribution and abundance of the two species on Georges Bank and in adjacent
23
24 regions at sufficiently high spatial resolution.
25
26
27
28
29

30 31 *2.2 Patterns of species' distribution and abundance*

32
33 Comparisons of habitat usage, including predicted patterns of transport and retention by
34
35 the two species on Georges Bank, were made by analyzing Bank-wide and regional patterns of
36
37 distribution and abundance. *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* abundance distributions
38
39 determined using the SS-PCR determined ratios for four strata (0-15 m, 15-40m, 40-100m, and
40
41 0-100m) were mapped individually in monthly snapshots from January to June, 1999, using the
42
43 same objective analysis procedure described in McGillicuddy and Bucklin (2002), which is
44
45 based on He et al. (1997).
46
47
48
49

50
51 In addition, high-resolution vertical and horizontal distributional data for *Pseudocalanus*
52
53 spp. across the Southern Flank and adjacent Slope Water were obtained from the Video Plankton
54
55 Recorder (VPR; Davis et al., 2004). The VPR towyo sampling was done on June 16, 1995,
56
57 starting at 0440 UTC (0040 local EDT) on Georges Bank at the 75 m isobath (40.69°N; 67.81°
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 W) and ending off-Bank at 1300 UTC (1100 EDT) (40.31° N; 67.38° W). The hydrographic data
5
6 are given in Gallagher et al. (2004). The plankton image data were obtained from the VPR's low
7
8 magnification camera, which had an imaged volume of 36 X 27 X 44 mm (= 43 mL) sampled at
9
10 60 frames per second (= 155 L/min or 77 m³ sampled during the 8.33 hr towyo). For complete
11
12 and detailed description of VPR sampling methodology see Davis et al. (2004).
13
14

15
16 Species abundances for each stratum were computed for each of five regions using
17
18 Easykrig software (Chu, 2004), which is specifically tailored for analysis of U.S. GLOBEC
19
20 broad-scale survey data (http://globec.who.edu/jg/serv/globec/gb/broadscale_grid.html0?). The
21
22 five regions are based on bathymetry and circulation patterns and include Northern Flank, Bank
23
24 Crest, Northeast Peak, Southern Flank, and Slope Water (Fig 2).
25
26

27
28 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was implemented in MATLAB[®] (The Mathworks, Inc.)
29
30 to statistically evaluate the effects of four different sources of variation: differences between the
31
32 two species, five regions, six months (January to June, 1999), and three depth strata (0-15 m, 15-
33
34 40 m, and 40-100 m). Abundances (numbers / m²) of the species were log₁₀ transformed for
35
36 analysis. The null hypothesis tested was that the effects of the four sources of variance did not
37
38 differ. Effects of the variables and their pairwise interactions were evaluated for statistical
39
40 significance.
41
42
43
44

45 46 2.3 Temperature and Salinity distributions 47

48 The relationship between the two *Pseudocalanus* species and temperature and salinity data
49
50 collected on the 1999 broad-scale cruises were analyzed. Average temperature and salinity
51
52 values were computed for each of the three depth strata sampled on the broad-scale cruises in
53
54 1999 where *Pseudocalanus* spp were counted. The mean values were then kriged to the standard
55
56 grid for Georges Bank, which was subdivided into five regions based on bathymetry and
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 circulation patterns (Figure 2). Averages of the kriged values for each bank region, depth
5 interval, and month were computed to match the *Pseudocalanus* species data. Portions of the
6 bank that were shallower than the deepest depth interval (40-100 m) were excluded from this
7 calculation.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **3. Results**

17 *3.1 Bank-wide patterns of abundance*

18
19
20
21 Month-to-month patterns of distribution and abundance for the two species in 1999 were
22 largely consistent with those described for spring 1997 by McGillicuddy and Bucklin (2002). In
23 vertically-integrated (0-100 m) distribution maps, early spring distributions (source regions)
24 were apparently distinct, with marked concentrations of *P. newmani* on the Southern Flank of the
25 Bank during March and April, while summer distributions (destination regions) were
26 overlapping on top of Georges Bank (Fig. 3A). In January, *P. moultoni* was concentrated in
27 surface waters along the southern flank, while populations of *P. newmani* spanned an area from
28 the adjacent Brown's Bank, over the Northeast Peak, and along the Southern Flank, where the
29 two species' distributions overlapped. These patterns persisted into February, although
30 concentrations diminished for *P. moultoni*. In March, population growth was marked for *P.*
31 *newmani*, especially along the Southern Flank of the Bank. In April, *P. moultoni* concentrations
32 increased over the Bank Crest, while *P. newmani* predominated along the entire Southern Flank
33 and Northeast Peak. During May and June, patterns of distribution and abundance were similar
34 for the two species, with highest concentrations of both species usually found over the Bank
35 crest.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Bank-wide distributions also showed distinctive patterns for the two species by depth
5
6 stratum: 0-15 m (Fig. 3B), 15-40 m (Fig. 3C), and 40-100 m (Fig. 3D). At the surface (0-15 m),
7
8 *P. moultoni* were consistently concentrated over the Bank Crest, showing a slight increase from
9
10 February to March, and building steadily to a maximum in May (Fig. 3B). In distribution maps
11
12 of the top-most layer, *P. newmani* showed the marked concentrations on the Northeast Peak and
13
14 Southern Flank in April, followed by May – June concentrations on the Bank Crest (Fig. 3B). At
15
16 mid depths (15-40 m), most notable is the later population increase of *P. moultoni*, with dense
17
18 concentrations spreading across the Bank by April and persisting through June (Fig. 3C). This
19
20 layer also shows the characteristic earlier peak of *P. newmani* on the Northeast Peak, with
21
22 subsequent increases on the Southern Flank in April that expanded across the Bank by May and
23
24 June (Fig. 3C). Maps of the deepest layer (40-100 m) showed a high concentration of *P.*
25
26 *moultoni* on the Northeast Peak in February, which was not seen in other layers (Fig. 3D). With
27
28 the exception of this novel feature, the month-month distributional patterns of the two species
29
30 were similar to the shallower layers described above.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 Summed over all depth strata, Bank-wide abundance of *P. moultoni* was lowest in
39
40 February, while *P. newmani* was least abundant in January (Fig. 4). The month of the greatest
41
42 difference in total abundance was April, when *P. newmani* showed a markedly larger seasonal
43
44 increase than *P. moultoni*. In May, total abundances were at their maximum levels for both
45
46 species; in June, *P. newmani* abundances decreased, while *P. moultoni* remained high (Fig. 4).
47
48 Considering bank-wide abundances for the species in each depth stratum separately, notable
49
50 features are the low relative abundances of *P. moultoni* in the top-most (0-15 m) layer throughout
51
52 all six months (Fig. 4). Summed over the sampled broad-scale collections, *P. moultoni* had
53
54 nearly equal abundances in the two subsurface layers (15-40 m and 40-100 m; Fig. 4). The
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 species differed in month-to-month abundances in the deepest waters analyzed (>40 m). The
5
6 largest relative concentration of *P. newmani* in the deepest layers (40-100 m) was in March; most
7
8 of the population was found in the middle layer (15-40 m) in May. In contrast, *P. moultoni*
9
10 concentrations in deep waters were greatest in May and June (Fig. 4).
11
12

13 3.2 Comparisons among Georges Bank regions

14
15 Calculation of depth-stratified and total abundances of the two species in five Georges
16
17 Bank regions defined by bathymetry and circulation allowed more detailed examination of
18
19 habitat usage (Fig. 5). *Pseudocalanus moultoni* increased gradually from March to April, with a
20
21 marked increase from April to May, and seasonal maxima in May on the Northern Flank, Bank
22
23 Crest, and Southern Flank; starting in May, *P. moultoni* is more abundant in deeper strata (Fig. 5).
24
25 *Pseudocalanus newmani* showed a similar pattern of total and depth-stratified abundance on the
26
27 Bank Crest, except for a more marked decrease in abundance in June. The Northeast Peak,
28
29 Southern Flank, and Slope Water abundances of *P. newmani* all showed a March increase in the
30
31 deepest layer (40-100 m) that was not seen for *P. moultoni*. On the Southern Flank, this was
32
33 followed by a sharp April increase in the middle layer (15-40 m), a marked May increase in the
34
35 surface layer (0-15 m) resulting in peak total abundance, and then a June decrease (Fig. 5). On
36
37 the Northern Flank, *P. moultoni* had a marked spike in abundance in the deepest layer in May,
38
39 much higher than the deep-water May increase of *P. newmani*. The Northeast Peak had
40
41 distinctive seasonal patterns for both species: *P. moultoni* abundances were highest in June, not
42
43 May, and the surface layer abundances of *P. newmani* did not show the May increase.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 3.3 Sources of variation

53
54 The effects of the four different sources of variation (species, regions, months, and depth
55
56 strata) were significantly different based on mean square values in an Analysis of Variance
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 (ANOVA; Table 1). The largest effect was months ($p < 0.001$), reflecting the marked changes in
5
6 abundances of each species in each region from January to June 1999. The second largest effect
7
8 was depth stratum ($p < 0.001$), clearly demonstrating that the species were not homogeneously
9
10 distributed throughout the water column. The difference between species ($p = 0.015$) and regions
11
12 ($p = 0.026$) explained less of the overall variation and had lower significance values (Table 1).
13
14
15 Notably, all pairwise interactions that included species were highly significant, clearly indicating
16
17 that the two species displayed distinctive habitat preferences with regard to these three variables;
18
19 other interaction terms were not statistically significant ($p > 0.010$; Table 1).
20
21
22

23 *3.4 Relationship with Temperature and Salinity*

24
25 Average temperatures on Georges Bank ranged from about 5°C to about 13°C, and varied
26
27 monthly with March the coldest and June the warmest (Fig. 6). From January through April, the
28
29 deepest depth was the warmest and the surface interval was the coldest. In May and June the
30
31 pattern was reversed and deepest depth interval was coldest and the surface depth interval was
32
33 the warmest. Except for the Bank Crest region, the other four regions reflected the general
34
35 pattern of seasonal and vertical temperatures. On the Crest there was very little difference in the
36
37 vertical due to the shallow depths and strong tidal mixing (Fig. 7). Across the Bank, the average
38
39 salinity values ranged from ~32.2 to 33 PSU and were lowest in January. The deepest depth
40
41 interval always had the highest salinities and the surface the lowest (Fig. 6). Regionally the
42
43 salinities varied with lower values on the Northern Flank, Bank Crest, and Northeast Peak;
44
45 higher values were observed on the Southern Flank and Slope Water regions (Fig. 7).
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 A 3-way ANOVA was performed on the temperature and salinity to determine the effects of
54
55 regions, months, and depth. For temperature, the regions, months, and depths were significantly
56
57 different ($P < .05$), as were the interaction terms for regions x months and months x depths (Table
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 2). For salinity, the regions, months, and depths were also significantly different ($P < .05$), as
5
6 were the interaction terms for regions x months and regions x depths, but not months x depths
7
8 (Table 3).
9

10
11 A multiple linear regression analysis was performed to examine the relationship between
12
13 the two *Pseudocalanus* species and temperature and salinity. The species were the dependent
14
15 variable and temperature, salinity, and a temperature/salinity interaction term were the
16
17 independent variables. Both regressions were significant ($p < .05$). For *P. moultoni*, the regression
18
19 explained about 26% of the variance; for *P. newmani*, it explained about 21%. The abundance
20
21 data were plotted as a function of temperature and salinity and the regression equations were
22
23 used to plot a predicted model surface (Fig. 8). The model surfaces for the two species show
24
25 subtle differences that reflect the spatial differences in the species distributions on Georges Bank.
26
27 However, the differences are not statistically significant, likely due to the variability of the data
28
29 and overlap in the confidence limits of the regression coefficients.
30
31
32
33
34

35 36 **4. Discussion**

37
38 Although *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* can be discriminated using morphological
39
40 characters (Frost, 1989), the differences are subtle and requires time consuming high resolution
41
42 microscope examination key morphological characters not practical for large numbers of
43
44 samples. By using total counts of *Pseudocalanus* spp. and determining proportions of the two
45
46 species by species-specific PCR (SS-PCR) reactions based on DNA sequence differences of the
47
48 COI barcode region, the cryptic species were shown to have distinct patterns of distribution and
49
50 abundance on Georges Bank during January to June, 1999. These findings are consistent with an
51
52 earlier study using adjoint physical-biological modeling of the two species' abundances based on
53
54 vertically-integrated broad-scale surveys on Georges Bank from January to June 1997
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 (McGillicuddy and Bucklin, 2002). Fully comparable analyses from multiple years is especially
5
6 important, and the similarity of the overall findings is particularly noteworthy, given the
7
8 importance of large-scale forcing and associated interannual variability of ocean dynamics in this
9
10 region (Petrie and Drinkwater, 1993; Loder et al., 1998; Smith et al., 2001, 2012; Mountain and
11
12 Kane, 2010; and see Wiebe et al., 2002). Also, in contrast to the 1997 results, we report here
13
14 analysis of depth-stratified samples from the 1999 collections, which allows comparative
15
16 analysis of three-dimensional habitat usage by the cryptic species. Although these analyses do
17
18 not allow conclusions of the underlying dynamic causes of the observed and analyzed
19
20 distributions, the mapping and analysis of species abundances in three depth strata and the
21
22 separate calculation of abundances in five regions defined by bathymetry and circulation allow
23
24 inferences of species-specific patterns of transport, retention, and maintenance.
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 McGillicuddy and Bucklin (2002) speculated that concentrations of *P. newmani* on the
32
33 northeastern edge of the sampled domain represented either the southern edge of a Scotian Shelf
34
35 population or the nearshore extension of an offshore population. The persistence of *P. newmani*
36
37 in waters to the north and east of the Bank was consistent with an earlier study by McLaren et al.
38
39 (1989), who found the species on Browns Bank almost throughout the year. We concluded that
40
41 these populations may be the source of *P. newmani* populations on Georges Bank; the species
42
43 may be transient along the Southern Flank of Georges Bank where the populations are unlikely
44
45 to be retained or self-maintained. The spring-summer 1997 increase of *P. moultoni* on the Bank
46
47 Crest, while later than that of *P. newmani*, was shown to result both from increased transport of
48
49 copepods onto the Bank from surrounding regions and from local reproduction and recruitment,
50
51 with populations maintained within the clockwise gyre on Georges Bank. Copepods from the
52
53 two distinct source regions were intermixed by circulation on the Bank, and the species'
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 distributions overlapped by early summer (Bucklin et al., 2001; McGillicuddy and Bucklin,
5
6 2002). The objectively-analyzed maps for vertically-integrated (0-100 m) distribution and
7
8 abundance during January to June 1999 showed strong parallels with the 1997 maps, models, and
9
10 cartoons for the same months (McGillicuddy and Bucklin, 2002). Notable differences include:
11
12 the relatively high abundance of *P. moultoni* on the Southern Flank (rather than the northwest
13
14 region of the Bank) in January and the widespread abundance and overlap of both species in May
15
16 and June. Interannual differences in transport events, including noteworthy – and anomalous –
17
18 cross-over of Scotian Shelf water onto Georges Bank in 1997 (Bisagni et al., 1996; Ji et al.,
19
20 2006) likely contributed to the differences between the two years.
21
22
23
24

25
26 The deeper abundance of *Pseudocalanus* spp. on the Southern Flank in June was also
27
28 observed in VPR data from June, 1995 (Fig. 9). Although VPR images cannot discriminate the
29
30 two species, the data show clearly that *Pseudocalanus* spp. were abundant deeper in the water
31
32 column, below the seasonal thermocline. While this pattern in vertical distribution is consistent
33
34 with the net-based sampling from 1999, the abundances were much lower. The VPR-based
35
36 abundances of egg-carrying *Pseudocalanus* spp. averaged over the Southern Flank were 20 / m²
37
38 (0-15 m), 277 / m² (15-40 m), 1,686 / m² (40-100 m), and 1,983 / m² (0-100 m). However, these
39
40 differences may reflect interannual variability, as the abundance of *Pseudocalanus* spp. (as well
41
42 as other small copepods) increased by a factor of two-to-three on Georges Bank between 1995-
43
44 1999 (Kane, 2007; Ji et al., 2013). Based on our 1997 (Bucklin et al., 2001) and 1999 (Figs. 3D
45
46 and 5) observations, it seems likely that the deep *Pseudocalanus* observed with the VPR on the
47
48 southern flank in 1995 were predominantly *P. moultoni*.
49
50
51
52

53 54 55 4.1 Bank-wide patterns of distribution and abundance 56

57 Mapping of distributions (Figs. 3A-D) and calculations of Bank-wide abundances (Fig. 4) in
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 three distinct depth strata provided further evidence of differences between the species in habitat
5 usage. The complex temporal and spatial patterns of temperature and salinity variation across the
6
7 Georges Bank region – with marked variability among grid zones, depth strata, and months –
8
9 provided an exceptional opportunity to explore the habitat preferences of the two *Pseudocalanus*
10
11 species. Based on our statistical analysis (see Results), patterns of distribution and abundance of
12
13 the two species were associated with temperature and salinity (26% of the variance for *P.*
14
15 *moultoni*; 21% for *P. newmani*, based on multiple linear regression). Also, there were subtle (not
16
17 statistically-significant) species-specific differences in these associations (Fig. 8). This result is
18
19 noteworthy, since the Georges Bank region is a small fraction of the rather extensive geographic
20
21 ranges of both species (Frost, 1989).
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Time-series analysis for 1995-2012 by Erikson (2015) also showed differences in the
30
31 responses of the two species to temperature and salinity on Georges Bank, based on intensive
32
33 sampling and environmental observations by NOAA-NEFSC Ecosystem Monitoring (EcoMon)
34
35 Surveys and U.S. GLOBEC broad-scale surveys during May-June each year. *Pseudocalanus*
36
37 *moultoni* abundances were significantly positively correlated with depth-averaged temperature
38
39 during this period, while *P. newmani* abundances were not. There were significant differences
40
41 between the abundance anomalies of each species during a number of years, with no consistent
42
43 pattern in relative abundances (Erikson, 2015). These analyses provided further indications that
44
45 the two species may respond differently to environmental conditions, and suggested that there
46
47 may be other species-specific differences in responses to conditions of their pelagic environment.
48
49
50
51
52

53 Both species had peak abundances in May, with somewhat greater concentrations of *P.*
54
55 *moultoni* in middle and deep layers, compared to concentrations of *P. newmani* in the surface
56
57 and middle layers (Fig. 4). The June increase in *P. moultoni* was largely explained by increased
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 concentrations in deeper waters (40-100 m). Concentrations of *P. newmani* along the Southern
5 Flank were most evident in the middle and deep layers in March (Figs. 3C, 3D) and in the
6
7 surface layer in April (Fig. 3B). In contrast, *P. moultoni* on the Northern Flank was most evident
8
9 in deep layer in May (Fig. 3D).
10
11
12

13 14 *4.2 Region-specific patterns of distribution and abundance*

15
16 Analysis of depth-stratified abundances for the two species in each of the five regions allowed
17
18 further discrimination of habitat usage by the cryptic species on the Bank. In three regions
19
20 (Northeast Peak, Southern Flank, and Slope Water), *P. newmani* abundance increased in the deep
21
22 layer in March (not seen for *P. moultoni*), followed by a sharp April increase and slight May
23
24 decrease in the middle layer. In contrast, the seasonal increase for *P. moultoni* started in April in
25
26 all five regions; with notable concentrations in the deep layer during May on the Northern Flank
27
28 and during June on the Northeast Peak.
29
30
31

32 33 *4.3 Vertical distributions of the cryptic species*

34
35 Differences in vertical distributions were most apparent in the proportional abundances of the
36
37 two species in each depth stratum during January to June, 1999. The proportional concentration
38
39 of *P. moultoni* in the deepest layer (40-100 m) was apparent in May and June in the Bank-wide
40
41 analysis (Fig. 4); the species showed proportional concentrations on the Northeast Peak and
42
43 Southern Flank in June in the regional analyses (Fig. 5), consistent with the 1997 genetic data
44
45 (Bucklin et al., 2001) and the 1995 VPR data (Fig. 9). In contrast, *P. newmani* was concentrated
46
47 in the deep waters on the Bank Crest in May and the Southern Flank in April and May (Fig. 5);
48
49 the Bank-wide analysis showed larger proportional concentration in deep waters in March (Fig.
50
51 4). Similar differences in the vertical distributions of the two species were observed by Manning
52
53 and Bucklin (2005) in a time-series study of zooplankton in coastal waters of the western Gulf of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Maine. There, *P. moultoni* was less abundant above the pycnocline in spring and summer, and *P.*
5
6 *newmani* was generally more abundant in surface samples throughout the year.

9 *4.4 Species-specific patterns of habitat usage*

10
11 We may hypothesize that differing behaviors and vertical distributions of *P. moultoni* and *P.*
12
13 *newmani* – especially in the complex hydrographic regime of the Bank – may result in the
14
15 species' differential transport and retention over Bank regions. The mechanism(s) of differential
16
17 flux and retention are unclear, but may include vertical distribution in the stratified flows of
18
19 frontal regions, differential responses to turbulence, and differential micro-habitat preferences.
20
21

22
23 The tidal mixing front, which typically sets up during spring around the periphery of the
24
25 Bank Crest (Loder et al., 1993; Ullman et al., 2003), may help retain deeper-dwelling copepods
26
27 on the Bank. Copepods in near-surface waters are subject to advection by Ekman transport, while
28
29 copepods below the Ekman layer are less subject to transport. Hannah et al. (1998) identified a
30
31 mechanism for convergence of drifting particles on the Bank crest, owing to the decrease in
32
33 surface layer transport due to the increase in thickness of the Ekman layer associated with tidal
34
35 mixing. Chen et al. (2003) modeled particle transport on Georges Bank, showing distributions of
36
37 particles with tidal forcing plus realistic wind stress, and demonstrating that remaining deeper in
38
39 the water column may represent a strategy to aid retention. Downward vertical migration by the
40
41 chaetognath *Sagitta elegans* has been postulated as a key mechanism for on-Bank retention
42
43 (Lough and Trites, 1989).
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 Vertical positioning in the water column, resulting in characteristic distributional depth
52
53 ranges, is typical of many zooplankton species of diverse taxonomic and functional groups,
54
55 including copepods (e.g., Gallagher et al., 2004). On Georges Bank, concentration of abundances
56
57 in deep layers may represent a strategy to avoid advective transport and loss for small copepods
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 like *Pseudocalanus* spp. We hypothesize that the deeper distribution of *P. moultoni* will prove
5
6 advantageous if significant warming of the northwest Atlantic Ocean takes place as a result of
7
8 climate change. The observed differences in species-specific patterns of vertical distribution
9
10 between *P. moultoni* and *P. newmani* require additional analysis to understand their relationships
11
12 to environmental variation (biological and physical parameters), the behavioral mechanisms that
13
14 generate and maintain them, and the consequences for advective transport, retention, and loss
15
16 from the Bank.
17
18
19
20

21 *4.5 Ecological significance of cryptic species in pelagic biodiversity assessments*

22

23 It is critically important that cryptic species be routinely and accurately discriminated in analyses
24
25 of pelagic biodiversity. Although the importance of these species in determining ecosystem
26
27 dynamics and responses to climate change is currently unclear, species identification is the
28
29 foundation of understanding niche parameters, physiological tolerance limits, characterization of
30
31 trophic relationships, and sensitivities of species, food webs, and communities to environmental
32
33 variability. The goal of complete and accurate characterization of species diversity is clearly in
34
35 reach with use of DNA-based detection and identification approaches.
36
37
38
39
40

41 *Pseudocalanus* spp. offer a useful case history of the complexities of zooplankton
42
43 diversity and biogeographical distributions. Recent investigations by Aarbakke et al. (2011,
44
45 2014) have continued to document new findings in this regard. It is unclear whether the
46
47 emerging and more complicated patterns, which appear to confirm broader habitat preferences
48
49 and biogeographic distributions, result from recent responses and rearrangements due to
50
51 changing conditions, species introductions (e.g., ballast water transport), insufficient sampling,
52
53 and/or prior inability in species identification. In any case, the emerging and more accurate
54
55 global view of zooplankton species diversity will provide a sound and valuable basis for
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 examining and predicting species- and community-level responses of zooplankton to bio-
5
6
7 physical changes driven by climate change.
8

9 **5. Acknowledgements**

10
11 We thank the captains and crew of the U.S. GLOBEC broad-scale surveys during January to
12
13 June, 1999. Laboratory assistance was provided by Lisa Allen, Meredith Bailey, Jason Beaudet,
14
15 Alyssa Bentley, Nicole Desrochers, and Niele Mottola (all then students or staff at the University
16
17 of New Hampshire). Funding was provided by the National Science Foundation as part of the
18
19 U.S. GLOBEC Program (Award Nos. OCE-9529100 and OCE-9632840 to Ann Bucklin; Award
20
21 No. OCE-0815047 to Dennis McGillicuddy).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 **6. Literature Cited**
5

6 Aarbakke, O.N.S., Bucklin, A., Halsband, C., Norrbin, F., 2011. Discovery of *Pseudocalanus*
7
8 *moultoni* (Frost, 1989) in Northeast Atlantic waters based on mitochondrial COI
9
10 sequence variation. *J. Plankton Res.* 33, 1487-1495.
11
12

13
14 Aarbakke, O.N.S., Bucklin, A., Halsband, C., Norrbin, M.F., 2014. Comparative phylogeography
15
16 and demographic history of five sibling copepod species of *Pseudocalanus* (Copepoda,
17
18 Calanoida) in the North Atlantic Ocean. *J. Exptl. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 404, 108-115.
19
20

21 Bigelow, H.B., 1927. Physical oceanography of the Gulf of Maine. *Bull. U.S. Bur. Fish.* 40, 511-
22
23 1027.
24
25

26 Bisagni, J.J., Beardsley, R.C., Ruhsam, C.M., Manning, J.P. Williams, W., 1996. Historical and
27
28 recent evidence concerning the presence of Scotian Shelf water on southern Georges
29
30 Bank. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 43, 1439-1471.
31
32

33 Bucklin, A., Bentley, A.M., Franzen, S.P., 1998. Distribution and relative abundance of
34
35 *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* (Copepoda: Calanoida) on Georges Bank using
36
37 molecular identification of sibling species. *Mar. Biol.* 132, 97-106.
38
39

40 Bucklin, A., Frost, B.W., Bradford-Grieve, J., Allen, L.D., Copley, N.J., 2003. Molecular
41
42 systematic and phylogenetic assessment of 34 calanoid copepod species of the Calanidae
43
44 and Clausocalanidae. *Mar. Biol.* 142, 333-343.
45
46
47

48 Bucklin, A., Guarnieri, M., McGillicuddy, D.J., Hill, R.S., 2001. Spring evolution of
49
50 *Pseudocalanus* spp. abundance on Georges Bank based on molecular discrimination of *P.*
51
52 *moultoni* and *P. newmani*. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 48, 589-608.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

- 1
2
3
4 Bucklin, A., Hill, R.S., Guarnieri, M., 1999. Taxonomic and systematic assessment of planktonic
5
6 copepods using mitochondrial COI sequence variation and competitive, species-specific
7
8 PCR. *Hydrobiol.* 401,239-254.
9
- 10
11 Bucklin, A., Steinke, D., Blanco-Bercial, L., 2011. DNA barcoding of marine metazoa. *Annu.*
12
13 *Rev. Mar. Sci.* 3, 471-508.
14
- 15
16 Chen, C., Beardsley, R.C., 1998. Tidal mixing and cross-frontal particle exchange over a finite
17
18 amplitude asymmetric bank: a model study with application to Georges Bank. *J. Mar.*
19
20 *Res.* 56, 1163–1201.
21
22
- 23
24 Chen, C., Xu, Q., Beardsley, R.C., Franks, P.J.S., 2003. Model study of the cross-frontal water
25
26 exchange on Georges Bank: a three-dimensional Lagrangian experiment. *J. Geophys.*
27
28 *Res.* 108, 3142.
29
- 30
31 Chu, D., 2004. The GLOBEC Kriging Software Package (EasyKrig3.0).
32
33 http://globec.whoi.edu/software/kriging/easy_krig/easy_krig.html
34
35
- 36
37 Davis, C.S., 1987. Zooplankton Life Cycles. In: *Georges Bank*, R.H. Backus (Ed). MIT Press,
38
39 Cambridge, MA. Pages 256-267.
40
- 41
42 Davis, C.S., Hu, Q., Gallager, S.M., Tang, X., Ashjian, C.J., 2004. Real-time observation of
43
44 taxa-specific plankton distributions: An optical sampling method. *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.*
45
46 284, 77–96.
47
- 48
49 Durbin, E.G., Casas, M.C., 2006. Abundance and spatial distribution of copepods on Georges
50
51 Bank during the winter/spring period. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 53, 2537-2569.
52
- 53
54 Erikson, K.B., 2015. A time series investigation of the cryptic copepods *Pseudocalanus* spp. on
55
56 the NW Atlantic continental shelf. M.S. Thesis, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT
57
58 USA.
59
60
61
62

- 1
2
3
4 Friedland, K.D., Kane, J., Hare, J.A., Lough, G.R., Fratantoni, P.S., Fogarty, M.J., Nye J.A.,
5
6
7 2013. Thermal habitat constraints on zooplankton species associated with Atlantic Cod
8
9 (*Gadus morhua*) on the US Northeast Continental Shelf, Progr. Oceanogr. 116, 1-13.
10
11
12 Frost, B.W., 1989. A taxonomy of the marine calanoid copepod genus *Pseudocalanus*. Can. J.
13
14 Zool. 67, 525–551.
15
16
17 Gallagher, S.M., Yamazaki, H., Davis, C.S., 2004. Contribution of fine-scale vertical structure and
18
19 swimming behavior to formation of plankton layers on Georges Bank. Mar. Ecol. Progr.
20
21 Ser. 267, 27-43.
22
23
24 Garrett, C.J.R., Keeley, J.R., Greenberg, D.A., 1978. Tidal mixing versus thermal stratification in
25
26 the Bay of Fundy and Gulf of Maine. Atmos. Ocean 16, 403-423.
27
28
29 Hannah, C.G., Naimie, C.E., Loder, J.W., Werner, F.E., 1997. Upper-ocean transport
30
31 mechanisms from the Gulf of Maine to Georges Bank, with implications for *Calanus*
32
33 supply. Cont. Shelf Res. 17, 1887-1911.
34
35
36 He, I., Hendry R., Boudreau, G., 1997. OAX demonstration and test case.
37
38 <http://www.tuns.ca/hey/ocean/oview.htm>
39
40
41 Hopcroft, R.R., Kosobokova, K.N., 2010. Distribution and egg production of *Pseudocalanus*
42
43 species in the Chukchi Sea, Deep Sea Res. II 57, 49-56.
44
45
46 Ji, R., Davis, C.S., Chen, C., Beardsley, R.C., 2009. Life history traits and spatiotemporal
47
48 distributional patterns of copepod populations in the Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region.
49
50 Marine Ecol. Progr. Ser. 384, 187-205.
51
52
53 Ji, R., Stegert, C., Davis, C.S., 2013. Sensitivity of copepod populations to bottom-up and top-
54
55 down forcing: a modeling study in the Gulf of Maine region. J. Plankton Res. 35, 66-79.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4 Ji, R., Chen, C., Franks, P.J.S., Townsend, D.W., Durbin, E.G., Beardsley, R.C., Lough, R.G.,
5
6 Houghton, R.W., 2006. The impact of Scotian Shelf Water “cross-over” on the plankton
7
8 dynamics on Georges Bank: A 3-D experiment for the 1999 spring bloom. *Deep-Sea Res.*
9
10 II. 53, 2684-2707.
11
12
13
14 Jonasdottir, S.H., 1989. Effects of food concentration on egg-production rates of two species of
15
16 *Pseudocalanus*: laboratory observations. *J.Exptl. Marine Biol. Ecol.* 130, 33-43.
17
18
19 Kane, J., 2007. Zooplankton abundance trends on Georges Bank, 1977–2004. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*
20
21 64, 909-919.
22
23
24 Kane, J., 2014. Decadal distribution and abundance trends for the late stage copepodites of
25
26 *Pseudocalanus* spp. (Copepoda: Calanoida) in the U.S. northeast continental shelf
27
28 ecosystem. *J. Northw. Atl. Fish. Sci.*, 46: 1-13.
29
30
31 Loder, J.W., Petrie, B.D., Gawarkiewicz, G., 1998. The coastal ocean off northeastern North
32
33 America: A large-scale view. In: K.H. Brink and A.R. Robinson (Eds) *The Sea*, Vol. 11.
34
35 John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. Pages 105–133.
36
37
38 Loder, J.W., Drinkwater, K.F., Oakey, N.S., Horne, E.P.W., 1993. Circulation, hydrographic
39
40 structure and mixing at tidal fronts: The view from Georges Bank [and discussion]. *Phil.*
41
42 *Trans. Phys. Sci. Eng.* 343, 447-460.
43
44
45 Lough, R.G., Trites, R.W., 1989. Chaetognaths and oceanography on Georges Bank. *J. Mar. Sci.*
46
47 47, 343-369.
48
49
50 Manning, C.A., Bucklin, A., 2005. Multivariate analysis of the copepod community of near-
51
52 shore waters in the western Gulf of Maine (Northwest Atlantic). *Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser.*
53
54 292, 233-249.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

- 1
2
3
4 McGillicuddy, D.J., Bucklin, A., 2002. Intermingling of two *Pseudocalanus* species on Georges
5
6 Bank. J. Mar. Res. 60, 583-604.
7
8
9 McGillicuddy, D.J., Lynch, D.R., Moore, A.M., Gentleman, W.C., Davis, C.S., Meise, C.J.,
10
11 1998. An adjoint data assimilation approach to diagnosis of physical and biological
12
13 controls on *Pseudocalanus* spp. in the Gulf of Maine - Georges Bank region. Fish.
14
15 Oceanogr. 7, 205-218.
16
17
18 McLaren, I.A., Laberge, E., Corkett, C.J., Sevigny J.-M., 1989. Life cycles of four species of
19
20 *Pseudocalanus* in Nova Scotia. Can. J. Zool. 67, 552-558.
21
22
23 McLaren, I.A., Sevigny, J.-M., Corkett, C.J., 1989. Temperature-dependent development in
24
25 *Pseudocalanus* species. Can. J. Zool. 67, 559-564.
26
27
28 Mountain, D.G., Kane, J., 2010. Major changes in the Georges Bank ecosystem, 1980s to the
29
30 1990s. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 398, 81-91.
31
32
33 Napp, J.M., Hopcroft, R.R., Baier, C.T., Clarke, C., 2008. Distribution and species-specific egg
34
35 production of *Pseudocalanus* in the Gulf of Alaska. J. Plankton Res. 27, 415-426
36
37
38 O'Brien, T.D., Wiebe, P.H., Falkenhaus, T., 2013. ICES Zooplankton Status Report 2010/2011.
39
40 ICES Coop. Res. Rep., 318, 208 pp.
41
42
43 Petrie, B., Drinkwater, K., 1993. Temperature and salinity variability on the Scotian Shelf and in
44
45 the Gulf of Maine 1945-1990. J. Geophys. Res. 98, 20079-20089.
46
47
48 Petrik, C.M., Kristiansen, T., Lough, R.G., Davis, C.S., 2009. Prey selection by larval haddock
49
50 and cod on copepods with species-specific behavior: an individual-based model analysis.
51
52 Marine Ecol. Progr. Ser. 396, 123-143.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62

- 1
2
3
4 Petrik, C.M., Ji, R., Davis, C.S., 2014. Interannual differences in larval haddock survival:
5
6 hypothesis testing with a 3D biophysical model of Georges Bank. *Fish. Oceanogr.* 23,
7
8 521-553.
9
- 10
11 Smith, P.C., Houghton, R.W., Fairbanks, R.G., Mountain, D.G., 2001. Interannual variability of
12
13 boundary fluxes and water mass properties in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank.
14
15 *Deep-Sea Research II* 48, 37-70.
16
17
- 18
19 Smith, P.C., Pettigrew, N.R., Yeats, P., Townsend, D.W., Han.G., 2012. Regime shift in the Gulf
20
21 of Maine. In: R.L. Stephenson, J.H. Annala, J.A., Runge, M. Hall-Arber (Eds.),
22
23 *Advancing an Ecosystem Approach in the Gulf of Maine*. American Fisheries Society,
24
25 Symposium 79, Bethesda, MD. Pages 185-203.
26
27
- 28
29 Stegert, C., Ji, R., Davis, C.S., 2010. Influence of projected ocean warming on population growth
30
31 potential in two North Atlantic copepod species. *Progr. Oceanogr.* 87, 264-276.
32
33
- 34
35 Stegert, C., Ji, R., Li, N., Davis, C.S., 2012. Processes controlling seasonality and spatial
36
37 distribution of *Centropages typicus*: a modeling study in the Gulf of Maine/Georges Bank
38
39 region. *J. Plank. Res.* 34, 18-35.
40
- 41
42 Ullman, D.S., Dale, A.C., Hebert, D., Barth, J.A., 2003. The front on the Northern Flank of
43
44 Georges Bank in spring: 2. Cross-frontal fluxes and mixing. *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, 8010.
45
46
- 47
48 Wiebe, P.H., Morton, A.W., Bradley, A.M., Backus, R.H., Craddock, J.E., Barber, V., Cowles
49
50 T.J., Flierl G.R., 1985. New developments in the MOCNESS, an apparatus for sampling
51
52 zooplankton and micronekton. *Mar. Biol.* 87,313-323.
53
- 54
55 Wiebe, P.H., Beardsley, R.C., Mountain, D.G., Bucklin A., 2002. U.S. GLOBEC North Atlantic
56
57 / Georges Bank Program. *Oceanography* 15, 13-29.
58
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Wiebe, P.H., Beardsley, R.C., Mountain, D.G., Gregory Lough, R., 2006. Introduction to "Dynamics of plankton and larval fish populations on Georges Bank, the North Atlantic US GLOBEC study site". Deep-Sea Res. II 53, 2455-2456.

Wishner, K.F. Outram, D.M., Ullman, D.S. 2006. Zooplankton distributions and transport across the northeastern tidal front of Georges Bank. Deep-Sea Res. II 53, 2570-2596.

Table 1. Results of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of log10 transformed abundances for the two species in the five Georges Bank regions, over six months (January to June, 1999), and three depth strata (0-15m, 15-40m, and >40 m). Columns indicate: (1) source of the variability; (2) sum of squares (SS) due to each source; (3) degrees of freedom (d.f.) associated with each source; (4) mean squares, calculated as the ratios of SS / d.f.; (5) F statistics, calculated as the ratios of the mean squares; and (6) significance levels (p-values).

(1) Source	(2) Sum Sq.	(3) d.f.	(4) Mean Sq.	(5) F	(6) p-values
Species	0.767	1	0.76669	8.62	0.004
Regions	0.749	4	0.18721	2.10	0.085
Months	40.54	5	8.10816	91.17	<0.0001
Depths	5.921	2	2.96033	33.28	<0.0001
Interactions					
1) Species x Regions	1.3703	4	0.34257	3.85	0.0056
2) Species x Months	2.0231	5	0.40462	4.55	0.0008
3) Species x Depths	2.2420	2	1.12100	12.60	<0.0001
4) Regions x Months	2.0606	20	0.10303	1.16	0.3028
5) Regions x Depths	0.2762	8	0.03453	0.39	0.9251
6) Months x Depths	1.6605	10	0.16605	1.87	0.0565
Error	10.4948	118	0.08894		
Total	68.1046	179			

Table 2. Results of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of temperature data for the five Georges Bank regions, over six months (January to June, 1999), and three depth strata (0-15 m, 15-40 m, and 40-100 m).

(1) Source	(2) Sum Sq.	(3) d.f.	(4) Mean Sq.	(5) F	(6) p-values
Regions	40.55	4	10.1375	44.13	<0.0001
Months	343.787	5	68.7573	299.29	<0.0001
Depths	4.884	2	2.4421	10.63	0.0002
Interactions					
1) Regions x Months	15.075	20	0.7538	3.28	0.0007
2) Regions x Depths	1.607	8	0.2008	0.87	0.5461
3) Months x Depths	54.148	10	5.4148	23.57	<0.0001
Error	9.189	40	0.2297		
Total	469.24	89			

Table 3. Results of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) of salinity data for the five Georges Bank regions, over six months (January to June, 1999), and three depth strata (0-15 m, 15-40 m, and 40-100 m).

(1) Source	(2) Sum Sq.	(3) d.f.	(4) Mean Sq.	(5) F	(6) p-values
Regions	2.49727	4	0.62432	61	<0.0001
Months	1.89448	5	0.3789	37.02	<0.0001
Depths	2.10203	2	1.05101	102.7	<0.0001
Interactions					
1) Regions x Months	1.39655	20	0.06983	6.82	<0.0001
2) Regions x Depths	0.346	8	0.04325	4.23	0.001
3) Months x Depths	0.13487	10	0.01349	1.32	0.2544
Error	0.40937	40	0.01023		
Total	8.78056	89			

1
2
3
4 **Figure Legends**
5
6
7

8 **Figure 1.** Station locations and numbers where samples were collected for analysis of
9
10 *Pseudocalanus* spp. abundances during monthly broad-scale surveys of the U.S. GLOBEC
11 Georges Bank Study. On the crest of the Bank, water depths are often less than 40 m deep,
12 allowing only two depth strata to be sampled. Open circles represent stations that lack data for
13 one or more depth intervals; filled circles indicate that data were available and species
14 abundances were estimated for all depth intervals, including integrated abundances.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Figure 2.** Standard grid for Georges Bank, showing five regions defined by bathymetry and
24 circulation patterns. Regions are: 1) Northern Flank, 2) Bank Crest, 3) Northeast Peak, 4)
25 Southern Flank, and 5) Slope Water. Also shown is a Video Plankton Recorder (VPR) transect
26 made on June 16, 1995 between 0040 local EDT (40.69° N; 67.81° W) and 1100 EDT (40.30° N;
27 67.37° W). Additional results from the VPR transect are provided in Gallagher et al. (2004);
28 methods are described in Ji et al. (2013).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **Figure 3.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* based on broad-scale surveys
40 over Georges Bank for January to June, 1999 for 0-100 m (A), 0-15 m (B), 15-40 m (C), and 40-
41 100 m (D). Data were objectively analyzed onto a finite element mesh (see McGillicuddy and
42 Bucklin, 2002). Scale bar: number of individuals per square meter; open circles: sample
43 collection locations; geographic coverage of each map is confined to that area where the
44 expected error computed in the objective analysis, averaged over all time periods and both
45 species, is less than ~70 percent. This error threshold was subjectively chosen to define a
46 consistent mapping area that encompasses all of the broad-scale survey stations.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Figure 3A.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for 0-100 m.
59
60
61
62

1
2
3
4 **Figure 3B.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for 0-15 m.

5
6
7 **Figure 3C.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for 15-40 m.

8
9 **Figure 3D.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for 40-100 m.

10
11
12 **Figure 4.** Total Bank-wide abundances of female *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for
13 three depth strata (0-15 m, 15-40 m, and 40-100 m) in samples collected during U.S. GLOBEC
14 broad-scale surveys from January to June, 1999.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21 **Figure 5.** Abundances of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* for three depth strata (0-15
22 m, 15-40 m, and 40-100 m) in the five regions of Georges Bank, based on collections from U.S.
23 GLOBEC broad-scale surveys during January – June 1999. Regions are: Northern Flank, Bank
24 Crest, Northeast Peak, Southern Flank, and Slope Water (see Figure 2).

25
26
27
28
29
30
31 **Figure 6.** Bank-wide average temperatures and salinities as a function of depth interval and
32 month.

33
34
35
36
37 **Figure 7.** Regional average temperatures and salinities as a function of depth interval and month.

38
39
40
41 **Figure 8.** Abundance of *Pseudocalanus moultoni* and *P. newmani* versus temperature and
42 salinity (solid dots) and the predicted model surface based on a multilinear regression of
43 abundance versus temperature and salinity.

44
45
46
47
48
49 **Figure 9.** Distribution of *Pseudocalanus* spp. females with eggs on Georges Bank on June 16,
50 1995 based on VPR data. The contours indicate seawater temperature (°C); scale is shown in
51 color bar. Circles represent abundance in number of individual copepods per m³. Georges Bank
52 regions sampled were Southern Flank (Region 4) and Slope Water (Region 5), as defined in
53 Figure 2. Inset: VPR image of *Pseudocalanus* with attached egg sac.

Figure 1

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 2
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3A

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3B

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3C

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 3D

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 4

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 5
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 6
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 7
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Figure 8
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)





Figure 9
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

