Increase in mercury in Pacific yellowfin tuna

- 3 Paul E. Drevnick^{1,*}, Carl H. Lamborg², and Martin J. Horgan³ 1University of Michigan Biological Station and School of Natural Resources and 6 Environment, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 USA
- 2Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Department of Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry,
- 8 Woods Hole, MA 02543 USA
	- 3230 Northridge Dr., Oxford, OH 45056
	- 11 *Corresponding Author: *E-mail: drevnick@umich.edu. Phone (734) 763-6280.

Abstract

14 Mercury is a toxic trace metal that can accumulate to levels that threaten human and 15 environmental health. Models and empirical data suggest that humans are responsible for 16 a great deal of the mercury actively cycling in the environment at present. Thus, we would 17 predict that the concentration of mercury in fish should have increased dramatically since 18 the Industrial Revolution. Evidence in support of this hypothesis has been hard to find, 19 however, and some studies have suggested that analyses of fish show no change in mercury 20 concentration. By compiling and re-analyzing published reports on yellowfin tuna 21 (*Thunnus albacares*) caught near Hawai'i over the past half century, we find that the 22 concentration of mercury in these fish is currently increasing at a rate $\geq 3.8\%$ per year. 23 This rate of increase is consistent with a model of anthropogenic forcing on the mercury 24 cycle in the North Pacific, and suggests fish mercury concentrations are keeping pace with 25 current loadings increases to the ocean. Future increases in mercury in yellowfin tuna and 26 other fishes can be avoided by reductions in atmospheric mercury emissions from point 27 sources.

Introduction

30 Mercury is a potent toxin that can accumulate to high concentrations in fish, posing a health 31 risk to humans who eat fish. Methylmercury, the predominant form of mercury in fish, is 32 formed from mercuric ions (Hg[II]) by microbes and perhaps abiotically in waters and 33 sediments, then enters the base of the food web and increases in concentration with each 34 successive trophic level. Consumption of mercury-contaminated fish from gross pollution 35 events, e.g., in Minamata, Japan [1] where fish with concentrations as high as 36 ppm could 36 "easily be captured by hand", has resulted in severe neurologic damage in humans, most 37 acutely in children exposed prenatally via maternal fish consumption. In waters not 38 directly affected by local pollution, mercury concentrations in fish are typically < 1 ppm. 39 However, even at this lower level, prenatal exposure is associated with developmental 40 deficits [2]. In the U.S. annually, Trasande et al. [3] found that *c.* 300,000-600,000 children 41 are born with mercury concentrations in cord blood that exceed 5.8 µg/L, a value 42 associated with significant loss of IQ (intelligence quotient); the economic consequence of 43 which is estimated to be US\$8.7 billion annually in lost income.

44 Humans are exposed to methylmercury primarily via consumption of ocean fish [4], 45 and while models (see review in [5]) and empirical data [6] suggest an increase in mercury 46 content of the global ocean since preindustrial times, a corresponding increase in

47 methylmercury has not been shown for ocean fish. Local pollution of nearshore

48 environments occurs where there are point-source water discharges, but for open ocean 49 the principal source of mercury is atmospheric deposition [7]. Atmospheric mercury is

50 now dominated by human contributions (primarily from fossil fuel combustion and

51 artisanal gold mining), and present-day rates of mercury deposition are 3-5x greater than

52 natural (pre-anthropogenic) rates [8]. It had been thought that mercury pollution could

53 only result in a negligible increase in mercury concentration in open ocean waters [9], but a

54 recent synthesis of data from water column profiles of total mercury points to a 2.6x 55 increase (since the 1500s) in waters shallower than 1000 m, globally [6].

56 Here we show for a commercially important species, the yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus* 57 *albacares*), a temporal increase in mercury concentration. Mercury data for Pacific 58 yellowfin tuna from waters near Hawai'i present a unique record in that the same 59 population/location was sampled three different time periods over 37 years, muscle tissue 60 samples were analyzed for Hg, and data were reported in peer-reviewed literature. A 61 network of fish aggregation devices in Hawai'i have documented the high site fidelity of 62 yellowfin tuna [10]. The following is a report of our compilation, re-analysis, and 63 interpretation of the mercury data.

65 **Methods**

64

66 We compiled published reports on mercury in yellowfin tuna caught from waters of the 67 North Pacific Ocean near Hawai'i during 1971 [11, 12], 1998 [13], and 2008 [14]. From 68 each specimen, muscle tissue was subsampled and measured for total mercury. Data are 69 comparable across studies because of strict adherence to quality assurance/quality control 70 (QA/QC) that ensured accuracy of data. Muscle tissue samples from 1971 [11, 12] and 71 1998 [13] were acid digested and analyzed by cold-vapor atomic absorption 72 spectrophotometry. Rivers et al. [11] reported the mean recovery of spiked samples was 73 101% and that the result for each sample was validated by separate analysis of 74 methylmercury, indeed confirming that nearly all of total mercury is methylmercury [15]. 75 Thieleke [12; see also 16] had each sample analyzed by two independent laboratories, with 76 excellent agreement between laboratories, as the maximum deviation among samples was 77 6%. Kraepiel et al. [13] reported detailed QA/QC procedures, including sample handling 78 and use of duplicates (mean relative percent difference of 4.7%), spikes (mean recovery of 79 96.7%), and a reference sample (mean concentration of 0.128 ppm with standard deviation 80 of 0.0098 ppm on 6 samples) for analyses. Muscle tissue samples from 2008 [14] were 81 analyzed by thermal decomposition, amalgamation, and atomic absorption 82 spectrophotometry. Choy et al. [14; see also 17] also reported detailed QA/QC procedures, 83 including analysis of duplicates (data only accepted if relative percent difference between 84 duplicates was <5%) and certified reference materials (mean recoveries of DORM-3 and 85 High Purity Standard Trace Metal Fish were 100.3% and 96.1%, respectively). 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49

86 To compare Hg concentration among the three data sets (1971, 1998, and 2008), we 87 used the analysis-of-covariance (ANCOVA) model, with body size as the covariate. ANCOVA 88 is the standard parametric test for comparing a characteristic of groups of subjects while 89 controlling for the effect of another variable on that characteristic. Controlling for the 90 effect of body size when assessing mercury concentration among groups of fish is thus a 91 classic use of ANCOVA. Fish from 22–76 kg were included in the analysis as this size range 92 $(\pm 5 \text{ kg})$ was common to all three data sets. It was necessary to remove the fish < 22 kg, 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58

93 because these fish did not adhere to the assumption of linearity. Mercury concentrations in

94 young tuna tend to be low, but highly variable [18]. A diet shift occurs in young tuna when

95 a critical body mass is developed that enables endothermic capability to allow access to

96 prey in deeper, colder water [19]. At a certain size (depending on species), likely due to

97 this ontogenetic diet shift, the mercury concentration versus size relationship conforms to 98 expectations (i.e, a linear relationship). Outliers, identified with Tukey box plots and

99 confirmed by one-sided Grubbs' tests, were also removed from the data sets.

Results

102 The ANCOVA revealed that slopes of the relationships between mercury concentration and 103 fish size were not statistically different among the three time periods $(F_{2,223} = 1.17, P =$ 104 0.31; Fig. 1), but mercury concentrations were higher in 2008 than either 1971 or 1998 105 (*F*2,225 = 11.6, *P* < 0.0001; Tukey's HSD; Fig. 1). Accordingly, the average mercury 106 concentration (least square mean \pm std. error) was considerably higher in 2008 (0.336 107 \pm 0.023) than in 1971 (0.229 \pm 0.008), or 1998 (0.218 \pm 0.008). Sample size (for 1971, 108 1998, and 2008, n = 111, 104, and 14, respectively) is incorporated in the ANCOVA, and 109 with the significant result, it can be ruled out – with 95% probability – that the effect of 110 sample year was due to chance. The fourteen data points from 2008 are elevated relative 111 to the two other data sets. Note that we conducted statistical diagnostics and found five 112 points among the three datasets with potentially high leverage or high influence. We 113 performed the ANCOVA without these points and found no change in the qualitative results 114 of the ANCOVA (no difference in slopes, significant difference in intercepts).

Discussion

117 Our analysis, at least for the early part of the record, is in agreement with that of Kraepiel et 118 al. [13], who found no change in tuna mercury between the same 1971 and 1998 datasets. 119 This conclusion led Kraepiel et al. [13] to hypothesize that methylmercury forms from 120 mercury naturally occurring in deep waters, sediments, or possibly hydrothermal vents 121 and is therefore largely natural. Subsequently developed independent lines of evidence 122 have suggested that vents are not strong enough sources of mercury to supply foodwebs 123 [20], that fish do acquire methylated mercury from shallow depths in the ocean [21], and 124 that seawater mercury concentrations are increasing ocean-wide [6], including near 125 Hawai'I [22]. Thus, we should expect to see changes in the concentration of mercury in 126 tuna now and in the foreseeable future.

127 Such changes are discernable in the recent part of the record, from 1998 to 2008, 128 which shows an increase in mercury concentration in tuna at a rate of $\geq 3.8\%$ per year, in 129 agreement with recent and modeled changes in mercury cycling in the North Pacific (Fig. 2). 130 Sunderland et al. [22] found a significant rise in mercury concentrations in seawater at all 131 depths (0-1000 m) from 2002 to 2006. The largest increases, modeled at 3% per year 132 between 1995-2006, are occurring in intermediate waters (150-1000 m), which in addition 133 to receiving mercury from atmospheric deposition to surface waters above (0-150 m) also 134 receive inputs associated with lateral flow of mercury-enriched waters from the coast of 135 the Northwest Pacific [22, 23]. Yellowfin tuna near Hawai'i spend most of their time in 136 surface waters or immediately below [24]. The agreement between the data/modeling by 137 Sunderland et al. [22] and the updated tuna record compiled and re-analyzed here provides

138 support for the alternative hypothesis that mercury and methylmercury concentrations in 139 the ocean are increasing due to human activity and that anthropogenic methylmercury 140 accumulates in important commercial fish.

141 A criticism of the Kraepiel et al. [13] study, that effects of fishing on oceanic food 142 webs may have affected methylmercury accumulation in tuna [25], also applies here. Data 143 for age, growth, and trophic level are not available for the individual fish in our synthesis. 144 In the Pacific Ocean, the largest tunas have become more rare, but no detectable change in 145 trophic level has occurred in any population [26]. Growth statistics of yellowfin tuna 146 appear unchanged over the past half century [27], but the data aren't ideal for determining 147 temporal trends. One could expect an increase in growth rate, as a density-dependent 148 response to over-exploitation of the population [28]. With increased growth, there would 149 be "growth dilution" of mercury [29]. To sum, there are no data to suggest Pacific yellowfin 150 tuna have different growth rates or trophic level for the study period, but if either of those 151 two factors have changed, it would likely be in a direction that would tend to lower the 152 concentration of mercury in tuna, masking the effect of increased mercury loading to the 153 system.

154 Because fish mercury concentrations appear to be increasing in step with modeled 155 loadings, these data indicate that mercury concentrations in open ocean fish are responsive 156 to anthropogenic mercury releases. Lamborg et al. [6] suggested that if atmospheric 157 mercury emissions continue to increase, the deepwater sink will become 'overwhelmed' in 158 the coming decades. Therefore, it should be expected that the rate of increase in mercury 159 in surface waters (0-1000 m) will be greater than the rate of increase in emissions. 160 Mercury contamination of ocean fish is a serious global health issue, now being addressed 161 by the UN Minamata Convention on Mercury. Current goals of the convention – that aim to 162 reduce atmospheric mercury emissions from point sources – would result in avoided 163 increases in rates of atmospheric mercury deposition [30]. However, even if current 164 deposition rates are maintained, North Pacific intermediate waters are expected to double 165 in mercury concentration by 2050 [22]. Thus, more stringent reductions in emissions are 166 necessary.

Supplemental Data

169 The data reported were previously published [11-14], but can also be found in Table 170 S1.

Acknowledgments

173 PED was supported by the University of Michigan and the Fonds de recherche du Québec – 174 Nature et Technologies . CHL was supported by NSF OCE-1129339, 1232760 and the 175 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Bruce Monson, Ed Swain, and anonymous 176 reviewers provided constructive comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. The 177 authors declare no competing financial interests or any other conflicts of interest.

References

180 [1] Harada M. 1995. Minamata disease: methylmercury poisoning in Japan caused by 181 environmental pollution. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 25:1-24.

-
-

