

Title: A multi-sill magma plumbing system beneath the axis of the East Pacific

Rise

Authors: Milena Marjanović^{1*}, Suzanne M. Carbotte¹, Helene Carton¹, Mladen R.

Nedimović^{2,1}, John C. Mutter¹, Juan Pablo Canales³

Affiliations:

¹ Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, New York, USA

² Department of Earth Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

³ Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA

*Correspondence to: Milena Marjanović, milena@ldeo.columbia.edu

1 The mid-crust axial magma lens detected at fast and intermediate spreading
2 mid-ocean ridges¹⁻³ is believed to be the primary magma reservoir for formation of
3 upper oceanic crust. However, the mechanism behind formation of the lower crust is a
4 subject of ongoing debate. The sheeted sill model proposed from observations of
5 ophiolites requires the presence of multiple lenses/sills throughout lower crust⁴⁻⁸ but
6 only a single lens is imaged directly beneath the innermost axial zone in prior seismic
7 studies¹⁻³. Here, high-fidelity seismic data from the East Pacific Rise reveal series of
8 reflections below the axial magma lens that we interpret as mid-lower crustal lenses.
9 These deeper lenses are present between 9°20'-57'N at variable two-way-travel-times,
10 up to 4.6 s (~1.5 km beneath the axial magma lens), providing direct support for the
11 sheeted sill model^{6,7}. From local changes in the amplitude and geometry of the events
12 beneath a zone of recent volcanic eruption⁹, we infer that melt drained from a lower
13 lens contributed to the replenishment of the axial magma lens above and, perhaps, the
14 eruption. The new data indicate that a multi-level sill complex is present beneath the
15 East Pacific Rise that likely contributes to the formation of both the upper and lower
16 crust.

17 Seismic studies of fast and intermediate spreading mid-ocean ridges (MOR)
18 reveal a crustal magmatic system composed of a narrow (~1 km) axial magma lens
19 (AML) located in the mid crust above a broader (4-6 km) crystal mush zone with 2-
20 18% distributed melt extending into the lower crust^{1-3,10}. While the AML is believed
21 to be the primary magma source body for the dykes and lavas that make up the upper
22 crust, the role of this melt body in the formation of the lower crustal gabbroic section
23 is actively debated. In the “gabbro glacier” model most crystal growth occurs within
24 the AML, which subsides by ductile flow to form the entire gabbro section⁵. In
25 contrast, in the “sheeted sill” model, gabbro formation occurs *in situ* throughout the

26 lower oceanic crust in small magma bodies, with the AML being the shallowest of
27 these^{6,7}. While the multiple sill model better explains observations of the layered
28 gabbro section in ophiolites^{4,6,7} and some geochemical characteristics of oceanic
29 basalts⁸, evidence for multiple sills has been lacking in seismic reflection studies at
30 MOR. Lenses in the near-axis and off-axis lower crust have been detected^{11,12} but
31 magma sills directly beneath the AML have not been reported.

32 Here, we present multichannel seismic (MCS) data from the northern East
33 Pacific Rise (EPR) that reveal mid-crustal seismic reflectors located below the AML
34 (hereinafter referred to as sub-axial magma lens or SAML events). A series of multi-
35 source, multi-streamer lines, resulting in up to 24 parallel reflection profiles spaced
36 37.5 m apart were collected along the ridge axis from 8°20' to 10°10'N (Methods).
37 These data were processed as individual 2D profiles, and collectively as a swath 3D
38 volume. In addition, a series of across-axis lines spanning the ridge axis from ~9°37'-
39 57'N were acquired for full 3D imaging. The most prominent SAML events are found
40 between latitudes 9°20' and 9°57'N (Figs 1, S1), where they appear as moderately
41 bright discontinuous reflection events at two-way travel times (twtt) ranging from
42 ~0.05 to 0.3 s below the AML. Below these brightest events, weaker and/or lower-
43 frequency events are present at greater twtts, up to 4.6 s (Figs 1a-b, S1c, e).

44 Given the twtt range of the SAML events below the AML, there are several
45 hypotheses that need to be ruled out for the origin of these events before
46 interpretations in terms of real events from reflective horizons in the crust can be
47 made. These include presence of a P-to-S converted phase from the AML ($P_{\text{AML}S}$), as
48 well as returned energy associated with internal multiples, seafloor side scattering, or
49 out-of-plane imaging of the AML or other crustal horizons. Strong converted shear
50 waves reflected from an AML are expected when the melt content within the lens is

51 high and are detected in prior studies using the method of partial-offset stacking^{13,14}.
52 Using a similar approach, a prominent P_{AMLS} event at twtts of ~ 0.2 s below the AML
53 is observed in our data (Figs S2-S3), as predicted for this converted shear (Methods).
54 This event is distinct from the SAML events in stacking velocity, frequency content,
55 offset range at which it is observed in pre-stack data (Fig. S2), and in the twtt on
56 stacked images.

57 Of the other, potential sources that could generate arrivals below the AML,
58 simple intrabed and interbed multiples arising from energy reflecting within layer 2A
59 and/or 2B (Figs S4-S5) can also be ruled out for all of the indicated SAMLs (Figs. 1a-
60 b, S1; Supplementary Discussion). The SAML events do not show the expected
61 consistent relationship with the presence and reflection intensity of the AML above
62 and source-receiver offsets and travel-times for which SAML events are observed are
63 inconsistent with those predicted for simple intrabed or interbed multiples (Figs S4-
64 S6). Side-scatter arrivals from rough seafloor topography are present in places along
65 our seismic sections, but occur at greater twtts (≥ 4.8 s) than the SAML events (Fig.
66 S7). Furthermore, the SAML events are identified in the migrated 3D seismic
67 volumes available for part of our study area ruling out side-echoes from possible out-
68 of-plane AML events (Supplementary Discussion, Fig. S8).

69 Based on these considerations, we argue that the SAML events are P-wave
70 reflections from horizons located beneath the AML. Could some of the SAML be
71 previously undetected bottom reflections from a thick magma sill with the AML
72 reflection arising from the top of this body? We consider this possibility unlikely.
73 Using a range of geologically plausible velocities for the region below the AML
74 (~ 4000 - 5500 m/s) (ref. 10) the estimated depths of the shallowest SAMLs range from
75 ~ 100 - 800 m (for mapped twtt ~ 0.05 - 0.3 s) beneath the AML implying thick

76 intracrustal sills. Prior waveform modelling of the AML reflection in this region^{2,13-15}
77 indicates that the magma lens is not more than 50 m thick, strongly arguing against
78 the above possibility.

79 If these events are reflections off a magma body similar to the AML, is the
80 material within them molten? The signal-to-noise ratio of the SAML events (even for
81 the brightest ones) is too low for application of a standard AVO analysis¹⁶. Hence, to
82 explore the nature of these sub-axial sills, we examine the amplitude versus offset
83 (AVO) behaviour of SAML events on common-mid point (CMP) supergathers using
84 a *quasi* forward AVO method^{11,16} (Methods). The CMP supergather shows that the
85 AVO response of the SAML event (when normalized) is similar to that of the AML
86 event above it with comparable decrease in amplitude with increasing source-receiver
87 offset (Fig. 2). In addition, the AVO response of the SAML event can be well
88 approximated with simple 1D models calculated for a partially molten sill (with shear
89 velocity within the sill of 800 m/s, see Methods).

90 From these analyses, we interpret the SAML events as reflections from thin
91 magma sills similar to the AML, which vary in depth and character along the axis.
92 The SAML events travel times locate them in the mid-crust, within the upper to mid
93 gabbroic layer (up to 4.6 s, equivalent to 1200-1650 m below AML). We speculate
94 that sills at even deeper levels may be present, but high seismic attenuation from melt
95 presence in the overlying crust makes them invisible to our method (attenuation likely
96 accounts for the weak amplitudes and lower frequency of the detected deeper
97 SAMLs). These new seismic images indicate a multi-level, multi-body magma
98 plumbing system beneath the inner axial zone of the EPR, in contrast to prior views of
99 a single, axis centred mid-crustal melt sill above a broader crystal mush zone³ and

100 provide direct support for the sheeted sill model for the formation of the crust derived
101 from ophiolite studies^{e.g.,7}.

102 Within the region of our seismic coverage, two mid-ocean ridge eruptions
103 occurred, in 1991-92 (ref.17) and 2005-06 (ref.9,18), both centred at $\sim 9^{\circ}50'N$,
104 providing the opportunity to characterize the multi-sill magma source reservoir
105 beneath the recent eruption (Fig. 1). Seismic data show that the AML is partitioned
106 into three primary segments beneath the eruption, each defined by disruptions in the
107 continuity of the AML reflection that coincide with local deepening of the event or
108 small steps in travel-time from one lens segment to the next¹⁹ (Fig. 1). The eruption
109 products above each lens segment show distinct lava chemistry, eruptive volume and
110 dominant flow morphology. The lava morphologies indicative of highest flow rate
111 and the hottest (high MgO) lavas are both associated with the central lens segment
112 between $9^{\circ}48-51.5'N$ (ref. 20,21). Bright shallow SAML events are present beneath
113 most of the eruption zone and reside at different twtts (from $\sim 0.05-0.3$ s) beneath each
114 AML segment with steps in twtt of $\sim 0.02-0.05$ s from one segment to the next (Fig.
115 1). These SAML events weaken in reflection amplitude and disappear toward the
116 northern and southern ends of the eruption zone as well as within a region extending
117 ~ 3000 m along axis from $\sim 9^{\circ}49.9-51.4'N$. This prominent “gap” in these shallow
118 SAML events is present across the full ~ 700 m cross-axis width of the swath 3D
119 volume and underlies the northern portion of the central AML segment. Estimates of
120 the melt content of the AML derived from the presence of converted shear arrivals,
121 waveform inversion, and AVO properties indicate, on average, less melt within the
122 AML beneath this central eruption zone than to the north and south^{14,22} (Fig. 1). In
123 this same region, below the gap in the shallow SAML, a deeper dome-shaped, low-
124 frequency SAML event (at ~ 4.33 s twtt) is observed, which is suggestive of a velocity

125 “pull up” due to locally higher velocities in the rocks above (by >50-75 m/s, Fig. 1),
126 which in this MOR setting could reflect less melt in the overlying crust.

127 We attribute this local zone of higher crystallinity within the AML, erased
128 reflection signature of the SAML immediately below and locally increased seismic
129 velocities, to evacuation of melt from both bodies during the 2005-06 eruption. In our
130 proposed eruption scenario (Fig. 3), melts drained from a portion of the SAML
131 ascended through the crust, possibly mixing with melts in the overlying central AML
132 segment and erupted, contributing to the large eruption volumes and high flow rate
133 morphologies observed at the seafloor. Geochemical studies of lavas from both the
134 1991-92 and 2005-06 eruptions lend further support to the interpretation that melts
135 from below the AML contributed to the erupted lavas. Volatile concentrations in
136 olivine melt inclusions from lavas sampled at ~9°50'N indicate that the source
137 magmas for both eruptions underwent some crystallization at depths below the
138 AML²³. Furthermore, geochemical modelling of changes in lava compositions from
139 the 1991-92 to 2005-06 eruptions indicate the source magmas for the more recent
140 eruption were derived from the addition and mixing of more evolved melt from
141 deeper in the crust, and not from simple fractional crystallization of the 1991 magma
142 within the AML²¹. Recent studies of subaerial volcanic systems also provide evidence
143 for magma transport from multiple levels within the crust during intrusion and
144 eruption events. From the sequence of seismicity and eruptions observed during the
145 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption in Iceland, Tarasewicz et al.²⁴, infer that draining and
146 depressurization of a shallow magma sill promoted mobilization of magma from
147 deeper sills later in the eruption sequence. In a similar way, during the recent EPR
148 eruptions, withdrawal of magmas from the AML may have led to tapping of melts
149 from the underlying SAML and possibly deeper levels in the crust, contributing melts

150 that differentiated at different depths to mix and erupt during a single eruption
151 episode.

152

153 **Methods:**

154 **Seismic survey and data processing.** In summer 2008 a multi-streamer (four 6 km
155 long streamers, each with 468 channels at 12.5 m spacing) and multi-source (two
156 3300 cu. in. air-gun arrays) seismic reflection survey was conducted aboard the *R/V*
157 *Marcus G. Langseth* during expedition MGL0812²⁵. One part of the survey was
158 designed to image the axial zone of the EPR in the along-axis direction between
159 8°20'-10°10'N. North of 9°20'N, either two or three closely-spaced parallel sail lines
160 were acquired over the ridge crest, including lines axis2r1 (acquired with 7.5 m
161 streamer tow depth) and axis4 (10 m streamer tow depth) (Fig. 1). With the dual
162 source and four-streamer configuration, each sail line yielded eight parallel common-
163 mid point (CMP) lines spaced 37.5 m apart with an in-line CMP spacing of 6.25 m.
164 The along-axis lines were processed along their entire lengths assuming a 2D
165 geometry (using streamer 2 and combining shots from both airgun arrays), and as a
166 3D-binned swath for the region north of 9°20'N where multiple parallel lines were
167 shot²².

168 The processing sequence for the 2D sections and swath 3D volumes is similar
169 and includes: trace editing, band-pass filter, spherical divergence correction and
170 amplitude balancing, resampling to 0.004 s (with anti-aliasing filter applied), mute
171 below the first water bottom multiple, velocity analysis, normal-move out (NMO)
172 correction, stacking and Kirchhoff post-stack time migration. Geometry definition for
173 the swath 3D processing involves identification of separate processing boxes to
174 account for changes in survey line orientation of ~4° and number of CMP lines

175 collected. Data are organized into 37.5×6.25 m² CMP bins and flexible binning is
176 applied so that each CMP bin contains an equal number of traces corresponding to the
177 nominal fold, here 39. 3D velocity functions for stacking and migration are
178 constructed by interpolating between velocity functions determined for each 2D
179 section hung from the seafloor bathymetry. Processing is conducted using *Paradigm's*
180 processing suite *Focus*²². It is important to note that the collected 3D swath is
181 narrower than required to properly migrate and image the AML and SAML events in
182 cross-axis direction and hence the detailed plan view geometry of these events is not
183 represented in the along-axis data.

184 In the final seismic sections and volumes, reflected arrivals from the seafloor
185 and axial magma lens (AML) are imaged, as well as a series of events below the
186 AML that are the focus of this study (Figs 1, S1). In addition, refracted arrivals from
187 the steep velocity gradient zone at the base of layer 2A are observed at source-
188 receiver offsets >1500 m and are stacked to provide an image of the base of layer 2A
189 (ref. 26).

190 **Stacking for P_{AML}S.** In this study, partial offset stacking is used to identify S-wave
191 converted phases from the AML (P_{AML}S) along line axis2r1. Pre-stack processing for
192 optimal imaging of the P_{AML}S phase includes band-pass filtering (2-7-20-40 Hz) and
193 application of a dip filter in the f-k domain (dip pass: -0.0009 to 0.002 s/trace) on
194 NMO-corrected (NMO velocity V=1520 m/s) 24 fold CMP supergathers (Fig. S2).
195 After filtering and removing the above NMO, the CMP supergathers are split into
196 single fold CMP gathers, NMO corrected using V_{RMS}=2400 m/s, and stacked for
197 source-receiver offsets of 1500-4000 m (ref. 13, 14, 27) (Figs S2-S3).

198 **AVO analysis.** Due to the low signal-to-noise ratio of the SAML events in the pre-
199 stack data, amplitude variation with offset (AVO) for these events is examined by

200 calculating amplitude envelopes on CMP supergathers. For AVO analysis, we use a
201 24-fold CMP supergather centred at 19018 CMP with the same processing steps as for
202 imaging the $P_{\text{AML}}S$ event (Fig. S2). After the initial dip filter described above, we
203 apply a second dip filter with a reject-band between -0.002 to 0.002 s/trace to remove
204 noise arising from shallow crustal events (low velocity) that remains at near offsets
205 and partially masks the AML and SAML events. We assume that the dip filter has the
206 same effect on both events and its application is considered appropriate. NMO
207 correction ($V=2600$ m/s) is applied to the filtered CMP supergather and amplitude
208 envelopes are calculated for the flattened AML and SAML events. Amplitude values
209 are then picked from the amplitude envelopes, smoothed (using simple moving
210 average) and plotted as a function of shot-receiver offset (for offsets from 500-4000
211 m; Fig. 2).

212 For comparison AVO response for the SAML event is normalized to the AML
213 amplitude at minimum source-receiver offset. In addition, we calculate a range of
214 theoretical AVO curves and normalize them to SAML amplitude at minimum offset.
215 The theoretical curves are calculated using Zoeppritz equation for reflected P-wave²⁸
216 with velocities above SAML $V_{p1}=4500$ m/s, $V_{s1}=2600$ m/s and within SAML
217 $V_{p2}=2400$ m/s, and a range of shear velocities within the SAML of $V_{s2}=0$; 800; and
218 1600 m/s.

219 **Data Sources.** MCS data are available through the Marine Geoscience Data System
220 (MGDS) (<http://www.marine-geo.org/tools/search/entry.php?id=MGL0812>).

221 Bathymetric data are from the GMRT Synthesis²⁹ available through the MGDS.

222 Hydrothermal vent locations are from the Ridge2000 Data Portal of the MGDS at
223 (http://www.marine-geo.org/portals/ridge2000/vents.php?feature_id=EPR).

224 2005-2006 lava flow outline¹⁸ is from Soule, S. A., Interpretation of the Extent of the
225 Axial Summit Trough and New Lava Emplaced during the 2005-2006 Eruption(s) at
226 the East Pacific Rise 9°N. Integrated Earth Data Applications (IEDA),
227 doi:10.1594/IEDA/100071 (2012) and is available through the MGDS.

228 **References:**

- 229
- 230 1. Detrick R. S. *et al.* Multi-channel seismic imaging of a crustal magma chamber
231 along the East Pacific Rise. *Nature* **326**, 35-42 (1987).
232
- 233 2. Kent, G. M., Harding, A. J. & Orcutt, J. A., Distribution of Magma Beneath the East
234 Pacific Rise Between the Clipperton Transform and the 9°17'N Deval From Forward
235 Modeling of Common Depth Point Data. *J. Geophys. Res.* **98**, 13,945–13,969 (1993).
236
- 237 3. Sinton, J. M. & Detrick, R. S., Mid-ocean ridge magma chambers. *J. Geophys. Res.*
238 **97**, 197–216 (1992).
239
- 240 4. Nicolas A., Ceuleneer, G., Boudier, F. & Misseri, M., Structural mapping in the
241 Oman ophiolites: Mantle diapirism along an oceanic ridge. *Tectonophysics* **151**, 27-56
242 (1988).
243
- 244 5. Phipps-Morgan, J. & Chen, Y. J., The genesis of oceanic crust magma injection,
245 hydrothermal cooling, and crustal flow. *J. Geophys. Res.* **98**, 6283– 6297 (1993).
246
- 247 6. Boudier, F., Nicolas, A. & Ildefonse, B., Magma chambers in the Oman ophiolite:
248 fed from the top and the bottom. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **144**, 239-250 (1996).
249
- 250 7. Kelemen, P. B., Kogu, K. & Shimizu, N., Geochemistry of gabbro sills in the crust –
251 mantle transition zone of the Oman ophiolite: implications for the origin of the oceanic
252 lower crust. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **146**, 475-488 (1997).
253
- 254 8. Natland, J. H. & Dick, H. J. B., Formation of the lower ocean crust and the
255 crystallization of gabbroic cumulates at a very slowly spreading ridge. *J. Volcanol.*
256 *Geother. Res.* **110**, 191-233 (2001).
257
- 258 9. Tolstoy, M., Waldhauser, F., Bohnenstiehl, D. R., Weekly R. T. & Kim W. -Y.,
259 Seismic identification of along-axis hydrothermal flow on the East Pacific Rise. *Nature*
260 **451**, 181-184, (2008).
261
- 262 10. Dunn, R. A., Toomey, D. R. & Solomon, S. C., Three-dimensional seismic
263 structure and physical properties of the crust and shallow mantle beneath the East
264 Pacific Rise at 9°30'N. *J. Geophys. Res.* **105**, 23,537–23,555 (2000).
265
- 266 11. Canales, J. P., Nedimović, M. R., Kent, G. M., Carbotte S. M. & Detrick R. S.,
267 Seismic reflection images of a near-axis melt sill within the lower crust at the Juan de
268 Fuca Ridge. *Nature* **460**, 89-93 (2009).
269
- 270 12. Canales, J. P. *et al.* Network of off-axis melt bodies at the East Pacific Rise. *Nature*
271 *Geosci.* **5**, 279–283 (2012).
272
- 273 13. Singh, S. C., Kent, G. M., Collier, J. S., Harding, A. J. & Orcutt, J. A., Melt to
274 mush variations in crustal magma properties along the ridge crest at the southern East
275 Pacific Rise. *Nature* **394**, 874-878 (1998).
276

- 277 14. Xu, M. *et al.* Variations in axial magma lens properties along the East Pacific Rise
278 (9°30'-10°00'N) from swath 3D seismic imaging and 1D waveform inversion. *J.*
279 *Geophys. Res.*, **119**, 2721-2744, (2014).
280
- 281 15. Collier, J. S. & Singh, S. C., Detailed structure of the top of the melt body beneath
282 the East Pacific Rise at 9°40'N from waveform inversion of seismic reflection data. *J.*
283 *Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 20,287–20,304 (1997).
284
- 285 16. Nedimović, M. R. *et al.* Frozen melt lenses below the oceanic crust. *Nature* **436**,
286 1149-1152 (2005).
287
- 288 17. Haymon, R. M. *et al.* Volcanic eruption of the mid-ocean ridge along the East
289 Pacific Rise crest at 9°45'-52'N: Direct submersible observations of the seafloor
290 phenomena associated with an eruption event in April, 1991. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*
291 **119**, 85-101 (1993).
292
- 293 18. Soule, S. A., Fornari, D. J., Perfit, M. R. & Rubin, K. H., New insights into mid-
294 ocean ridge volcanic processes from the 2005-2006 eruption of the East Pacific Rise,
295 9°46'-9°56'N. *Geology* **35**, 1079-1082 (2007).
296
- 297 19. Carbotte, S. M. *et al.* Fine-scale segmentation of the crustal magma reservoir
298 beneath the modern eruptive zone of the East Pacific Rise. *Nature Geosci.* **6**, 866-870
299 (2013).
300
- 301 20. Fundis, A. T., Soule, S. A., Fornari, D. J., & Perfit, M. R., Paving the seafloor:
302 Volcanic emplacement processes during the 2005–2006 eruptions at the fast spreading
303 East Pacific Rise, 9°50'N. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **11**, Q08024, (2010).
304
- 305 21. Goss, A. R. *et al.* Geochemistry of lavas from the 2005–2006 eruption at the East
306 Pacific Rise, 9°46'N–9°56'N: Implications for ridge crest plumbing and decadal
307 changes in magma chamber compositions. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **11**, Q05T09,
308 (2010).
309
- 310 22. Marjanović, M., Signatures of Present and Past Melt Distribution Along Fast and
311 Intermediate Spreading Centers. *Ph.D Thesis, Columbia University, New York, NY,*
312 *USA*, (2013).
313
- 314 23. Wanless, V. D. & Shaw, A. M., Lower crustal crystallization and melt evolution at
315 mid-ocean ridges. *Nature Geosci.* **5**, 651-655, (2012).
316
- 317 24. Tarasewicz, J., White, R. S., Woods, A.W., Brandsdóttir B. & Gudmundsson M.
318 T., Magma mobilization by downward-propagating decompression of the
319 Eyjafjallajökull volcanic plumbing system. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L19309, (2012).
320
- 321 25. Mutter, J. C., Carbotte, S.M., Nedimović, N. R., Canales, J.P. & Carton, H.,
322 Seismic imaging in three dimensions on the East Pacific Rise. *Eos, Transactions*
323 *American Geophysical Union*, Volume **90**, 374-375 (2009).
324

- 325 26. Harding, A. J., Kent, G. M. & Orcutt, J. A., A Multichannel Seismic Investigation
326 of Upper Crustal Structure at 9°N on the East Pacific Rise: Implications for Crustal
327 Accretion. *J. Geophys. Res.* **98**, 13,925–13,944 (1993).
- 328 27. Canales, J. P. *et al.* Seismic evidence for variations in axial magma chamber
329 properties along the southern Juan de Fuca Ridge. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **246**, 353-366
330 (2006).
- 331
- 332 28. Guy, E.D., Radzevicius, S.J. & Conroy, J.P., Computer Programs for Application
333 of Equations Describing Elastic and Electromagnetic Wave Scattering from Planar
334 Interfaces. *Comput. Geosci.* **29**, 569-575 (2003).
- 335
- 336 29. Ryan, W.B.F. *et al.* Global Multi-Resolution Topography synthesis. *Geochem.*
337 *Geophys. Geosyst.*, **10**, Q03014, (2009).
- 338
- 339 30. White, S. M., Haymon, R. M. & Carbotte, S. M., A new view of ridge
340 segmentation and near-axis volcanism at the East Pacific Rise, 8-12°N, from EM300
341 multibeam bathymetry. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **7**, Q12O05, (2006).
- 342

343 Correspondence and request for materials should be addressed to M. M.

344

345 **Acknowledgments**

346

347 We thank Captain M. Landow, crew, and technical staff led by R. Steinhaus. We are
348 also very grateful to Jeff Malloy, Douglas Foster and Charles Mosher from
349 ConocoPhillips for comments and suggestions on the technical part of the paper. This
350 research was supported by NSF awards OCE0327872 to J. C. M., S. M. C., OCE-
351 0327885 to J. P. C., and OCE0624401 to M. R. N.

352 **Author contributions**

353 All authors participated in the MCS field experiment. M.M. carried out the MCS
354 processing and data analysis. M.M. and S.M.C. interpreted the data and wrote the
355 paper with contributions from all co-authors.

356 **Competing financial interests**

357

358

359 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Characteristics of the AML and sub-AML (SAML) seismic reflections imaged along the EPR. Along-axis seismic reflection profiles **a**, axis2r1 (dashed line shows location of the gather in Fig. 2) and **b**, axis4. **c**, Map of two-way-travel-time to the AML and **d**, the first SAML reflections below AML, both digitized from seismic data, and superimposed on EM300 bathymetry³⁰ in grey shaded relief. Black line in map view shows outline of 2005-06 lava flow¹⁸. Dotted and dashed lines show locations of axis2r1 and axis4, respectively. Black dot shows location of the gather in Fig. 2.

Figure 2. AVO behaviour of the AML and SAML. **a**, NMO corrected CMP supergather 19018 (location in Fig.1) including offsets to 4000 m. **b**, Filtered AVO response of AML and shallow SAML from the gather shown in **a** (Methods). For comparison, AVO response of the SAML is also shown normalized to AML. Theoretical AVO curves, normalized to AVO response of SAML are calculated using velocity models with a range of shear velocity within SAML of $V_{s2}=0-1600\text{m/s}$ (gray-shaded area). The theoretical AVO curve with $V_{s2}=800\text{m/s}$ is shown as the best fit to the AVO response of the SAML event.

Figure 3. Scenario for the 2005-06 eruption. During the eruption, compositionally distinct magmas intrude primarily vertically (broad arrows) from magma sill segments mapped directly below the eruption site^{19,21} (top). After the eruption, the AML and SAML beneath the central eruption site are partially (AML cross-hatched pattern) to fully (SAML gap) crystallized due to draining of melt during the eruption (bottom). Presence of possible deeper SAMLs is marked by dashed outline.





