brought to you by 🚲 COR

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 40, 1878–1882, doi:10.1002/grl.50091, 2013

## An ocean coupling potential intensity index for tropical cyclones

I.-I. Lin,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Black,<sup>3</sup> J. F. Price,<sup>4</sup> C.-Y. Yang,<sup>1</sup> S. S. Chen,<sup>5</sup> C.-C. Lien,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Harr,<sup>6</sup> N.-H. Chi,<sup>7</sup> C.-C. Wu,<sup>1</sup> and E. A. D'Asaro<sup>7</sup>

Received 31 October 2012; revised 12 December 2012; accepted 13 December 2012; published 15 May 2013.

[1] Timely and accurate forecasts of tropical cyclones (TCs, i.e., hurricanes and typhoons) are of great importance for risk mitigation. Although in the past two decades there has been steady improvement in track prediction, improvement on intensity prediction is still highly challenging. Cooling of the upper ocean by TC-induced mixing is an important process that impacts TC intensity. Based on detail in situ air-deployed ocean and atmospheric measurement pairs collected during the Impact of Typhoons on the Ocean in the Pacific (ITOP) field campaign, we modify the widely used Sea Surface Temperature Potential Intensity (SST\_PI) index by including information from the subsurface ocean temperature profile to form a new Ocean coupling Potential Intensity (OC\_PI) index. Using OC\_PI as a TC maximum intensity predictor and applied to a 14 year (1998–2011) western North Pacific TC archive, OC PI reduces SST PI-based overestimation of archived maximum intensity by more than 50% and increases the correlation of maximum intensity estimation from  $r^2 = 0.08$ to 0.31. For slow-moving TCs that cause the greatest cooling,  $r^2$  increases to 0.56 and the root-mean square error in maximum intensity is  $11 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . As OC\_PI can more realistically characterize the ocean contribution to TC intensity, it thus serves as an effective new index to improve estimation and prediction of TC maximum intensity. Citation: Lin, I.-I., P. Black, J. F. Price, C.-Y. Yang, S. S. Chen, C.-C. Lien, P. Harr, N.-H. Chi, C.-C. Wu, and E. A. D'Asaro (2013), An ocean coupling potential intensity index for tropical cyclones, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1878-1882, doi:10.1002/grl.50091.

## 1. Introduction

[2] Tropical cyclones (TCs) impose threats to a billion people each year [*Peduzzi et al.*, 2012] but current TC intensity forecasting remains a very difficult task due to

©2012. American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved 0094-8276/13/10.1002/grl.50091

the complex physical processes controlling TC intensity [Rappaport et al., 2012]. Proposed in the 1980s, the Potential Intensity (PI) index is a fundamental concept and a widely used guide to estimate upper bound of TC intensity (i.e., PI) given atmospheric and ocean surface conditions [Emanuel, 1988, 1995, 1997; Holland, 1997; Bister and Emanuel, 1998; Wang and Wu, 2004; Vecchi and Soden, 2007]. The PI is developed based on the assumption that the TC behaves like a classic Carnot heat engine in which energy is added at the underlying warm ocean surface and lost in the cool outflow area. By incorporating eye dynamics, which is closed by assuming a balance between the radial entropy advection and the surface entropy flux together with an assumption of cyclostrophic balance, Emanuel [1995, 1997] showed that PI (measured by maximum surface wind) has an explicit dependence on sea surface temperature (SST), air temperature of the outflow layer in the upper troposphere, the ratio of the exchange coefficient to the drag coefficient at the air sea interface (Ck/CD), and maximum entropy difference between the cyclone center and the environment.

[3] However, as the above PI index uses only sea surface temperature (SST) to characterize the ocean contribution to cyclone intensity and does not consider the contribution from the subsurface ocean, it often grossly over-estimates (or over-predicts) the intensity upper bound [*Wang and Wu*, 2004]. Because the upper bound can be unrealistically high, when using PI as a TC maximum intensity predictor, TC peak (i.e. maximum) intensity is often grossly over-predicted. Here we modify the existing PI index and propose a revised OC (Ocean Coupling or Ocean Cooling) PI index to account for overestimation of SST\_PI due to incomplete ocean information and find a substantial improvement in the performance of using PI as a predictor of TC maximum intensity.

### 2. Current Potential Intensity Index

[4] The energy of a TC is supplied by the warm preexisting underlying ocean. A useful conceptual view of a TC is as a heat engine in which the warm reservoir is the ocean (characterized by *SST*), and the cold reservoir is defined as the temperature,  $T_0$  of the outflow at the top of the TC. The PI index

$$V^{2} = \frac{SST - T_{0}}{T_{0}} \frac{C_{k}}{C_{D}} (k^{*} - k)$$
(1)

predicts TC maximum intensity (in maximum surface wind speed, V), as a function of the pre-cyclone *SST* (with no cooling effect from the subsurface, hence the name SST\_PI in this study),  $T_0$  (TC outflow temperature determined by the atmospheric vertical profile), the drag coefficient,  $C_D$ , the enthalpy exchange coefficient,  $C_k$ , the saturation enthalpy of the sea surface,  $k^*$ , and the surface enthalpy in the TC environment, k. However, as a TC intensifies,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Atmospheric Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Science Application International Corporation, Inc and Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, California, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, USA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

Corresponding author: I.-I. Lin, Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences, National Taiwan University, No. 1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106, Taiwan. (iilin@as.ntu.edu.tw)

vertical mixing and upwelling of cooler subsurface ocean water with the warm pre-cyclone surface water reduces the SST. The strength of this effect depends on the ocean subsurface thermal structure, as well as the TC translation speed, size, and wind speed [*Price*, 1981; *Emanuel*, 1999; *Bender and Ginis*, 2000; *Goni et al.*, 2009; *Lin et al.*, 2003, 2005, 2008, 2009; *Tseng et al.*, 2010; *Lin*, 2012]. Stronger cooling occurs where colder water is closer to the surface [*Price*, 1981; *Price et al.*, 1994; *Lin*, 2012]. In addition to the above-mentioned mechanical turbulent mixing and upwelling, air-sea fluxes can also contribute to SST cooling, though the effect is usually much smaller [*Price*, 1981].

# 3. Impacts of Typhoons on the Ocean in the Pacific (ITOP) Field Campaign

[5] ITOP was an international field experiment conducted during August to October 2010 in the western North Pacific Ocean to study the interaction between tropical cyclones and the ocean [*D'Asaro et al.*, 2011]. Atmospheric profiles were taken with dropwindsondes and oceanic profiles with Airborne EXpendable BathyThermographs (AXBTs). Both instrument systems were deployed from a U.S. WC130J aircraft. Measurements taken during the ITOP field campaign in 2010 showed the varying effects of TC-induced ocean cooling beneath three intensively measured TCs: Megi, Fanapi, and Malakas, with maximum observed winds of 82 m s<sup>-1</sup> (category-5 in Saffir-Simpson scale),  $54 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (category-3), and 46 m s<sup>-1</sup> (category-2), respectively. The pre-cyclone SST of approximately 29.5°C was similar for all three cyclones (Figures 1a and 2a; see also Figure S1 and Table S1), and hence all had a similar SST\_PI of approximately 75–80 m s<sup>-1</sup> (category-5) (Figure 1c). Only Megi actually reached this intensity. The depth of the 26°C isotherm (D26) [*Leipper and Volgenau*, 1972; *Shay et al.*, 2000; *Lin et al.*, 2005, 2008, 2009; *Pun et al.*, 2007, 2011; *Goni et al.*, 2009] indicates the thickness of the warm ocean subsurface layer. Megi intensified over a very thick warm layer with D26 ~110 m. Fanapi and Malakas intensified in regions with thinner warm layers (i.e., colder subsurface water is closer to the surface), with D26 near 70 and 45 m, respectively (Figure 2a).

[6] Co-located profiles of atmospheric and oceanic properties obtained from aircraft deployments (locations depicted in Figure 1b), combined with pre-cyclone ocean temperature profiles from operational ARGO floats [Gould et al., 2004], measured ocean cooling beneath each cyclone (Figure 2b). Fanapi and Malakas induced much more SST cooling than Megi. Throughout the intensification of Megi, the SST beneath the cyclone remained at ~29°C (Figure 2b, blue triangles with error bars). The air-sea temperature and humidity differences remained nearly constant (Figures S8A and S8B), hence the air-sea enthalpy (latent plus sensible heat) fluxes increased with increasing wind speed (Figure 2c, blue triangles with error bars). In contrast, the SST beneath Fanapi and Malakas was cooler at approximately 27-28°C (Figure 2b, black and red triangles with error bars), and the air-sea temperature and humidity differences decreased with increasing wind speed (Figures S8A and S8B). The resulting air-sea fluxes increased with wind speed up to approximately



**Figure 1.** (a) Pre-cyclone SST (color) at the start (11–13 September 2010) of the ITOP field campaign. Intensification tracks (from first point in category-1 to peak) of the three ITOP TCs are shown by black circles. White triangles show locations of nearby, pre-cyclone, Argo float temperature profiles. (b) As in Figure 1a, but for pre-cyclone T80 (temperature averaged over top 80 m) computed from satellite altimetry. Symbols show locations of the dropwindsonde and AXBT profiles. (c) SST\_PI (computed using SST from Figure 1a) indicated by color (C1–C5 indicates intensity categories). Intensification tracks and intensities of the three ITOP cases are shown by colored circles. (d) As in Figure 1c but OC\_PI\_T80 using T80 from Figure 1b.



**Figure 2.** (a) Pre-cyclone ocean temperature profiles from ARGO floats color-coded by TC cases. (b) During intensification mean SST (triangles) with standard deviation (error bars) from AXBTs within 34 kt wind radius of each cyclone. Simulation of SST evolution for each cyclone during intensification (solid lines) with additional simulations with wind speeds up to  $90 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (dashed lines). (c) As in Figure 2b, but for the corresponding air-sea enthalpy flux supply during intensification (see also auxiliary material).

 $35 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , but decreased at higher wind speeds (Figure 2c). We hypothesize that this reduction in enthalpy fluxes caused by SST cooling was a key factor preventing Fanapi and Malakas from intensifying to the full strength predicted by their SST PI.

[7] Simulations of ocean cooling using a 3D ocean mixed layer model [*Price et al.*, 1994] predict the observed changes in SST for all three cyclones to within the measurement error (Figure 2b, solid lines). We test the hypothesis by conducting additional simulations with wind speeds up to 90 m s<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2b, dashed lines). With increasing winds, the ocean cooling increases and the air-sea enthalpy flux decreases for Fanapi and Malakas (Figure 2c) to reach zero near 80 m s<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, the fluxes were greater for Megi throughout its intensification (Figure 2c). Because the ocean is the energy source for intensification [*Bister and Emanuel*, 1998; *Emanuel*, 1999], these nearly zero fluxes could not have supported intensification of Fanapi and Malakas to their SST PI, even if the atmospheric conditions were favourable.

## 4. The New Ocean Coupling (or Ocean Cooling) Potential Intensity Index

[8] A new index, OC\_PI, is proposed to include the effect of ocean cooling by substituting the pre-cyclone depth-averaged (averaged from the surface down to the expected cyclone-induced mixing depth) ocean temperature,  $\bar{T}$ , for a pre-cyclone *SST*:

$$V_{OC\_PI}^{2} = \frac{\bar{T} - T_0}{T_0} \frac{C_k}{C_D} (k^* - k)$$
(2)

[9] This is because the pre-cyclone depth-averaged  $\overline{T}$  is a good approximation of the sea surface temperature during

the TC intensification (i.e., the SST affected by subsurface mixing) [Price, 2009]. Although the mixing depth depends on the TC translation speed, size, and intensity, and on the upper ocean thermal structure [Price, 1981; Price et al., 1994; Lin et al., 2003, 2005, 2008, 2009; Price, 2009], it is typically 60-100 m [Price, 2009]. A series of OC PI for  $\overline{T}$  from T20 to T100 (i.e., 20–100 m mixing depth) thus was computed for analysis (Figures 3, 4, and S9). Here in Figures 1 and 3, we illustrate the results using T80 (denoted as OC PI T80) because it was found to be a convenient first-guess choice to illustrate the concept of OC PI (see detail discussions for depth choices in the auxiliary material). Figures 1b and 1d show pre-ITOP  $\overline{T}$  (for T80) and the predicted OC PI T80. For Megi,  $\overline{T}$  is close to the sea surface temperature (Figures 1a and 1b) and the OC PI T80 is close to the SST PI (Figures 1c and 1d). Both indices predict maximum (peak) intensity well. For Fanapi and Malakas, the maximum intensity predicted by OC PI T80 are 64 and 57 m s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, much closer to their actual maximum intensities than the SST PI  $(75-80 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ (Figures 1c and 1d). Besides the above fixed-depth approach, a more precise mixing depth and  $\overline{T}$  may also be obtained by using the cyclone translation speed, wind speed, and pre-cyclone upper ocean thermal profile as inputs to the Price [2009] estimation model (program available from http://www.whoi.edu/jpweb/Td.f). Examples based on translation speeds of 3 and  $6 \,\mathrm{m \, s^{-1}}$  and the associated OC PI for the case of Fanapi are shown in Figure S10. It can be seen that a slower translation speed (i.e.  $3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ,  $\overline{T}$  is smaller and resulted in smaller OC PI).

[10] A statistical comparison of OC\_PI and SST\_PI was made using 1998–2011 best-track estimates of cyclone track and intensity from the U.S. Joint Typhoon Warning Center. All cases during western North Pacific TC season (July–October) between 1998 and 2011 were



**Figure 3.** Scatter plots of observed maximum TC intensity from SST\_PI (top row, a, c, e, and g) and OC\_PI (bottom row, b, d, f, and h). Columns subdivide the data by TC translation speed.



**Figure 4.** The TC maximum intensity estimation error for SST\_PI (brown) and OC\_PI for various depths of temperature profile averaging (see legend).

examined. Average values of SST\_PI and OC\_PI were computed along the track locations from category-1 to peak. Inputs were based on pre-cyclone (2 days before category-1) atmospheric (reanalysis data from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts) and ocean information. Ocean inputs (i.e., SST and  $\overline{T}$ ) were calculated from pre-cyclone temperature profiles estimated from satellite SST and altimetry [*Shay et al.*, 2000; *Pun et al.*, 2007; *Goni et al.*, 2009] (for details see auxiliary material).

[11] The SST\_PI has little correlation ( $R^2 = 0.08$ , slope = 0.16) with the observed maximum intensity (Figure 3a). OC\_PI has a higher correlation, with  $R^2 = 0.31$  and a slope of 0.58 for OC\_PI\_T80 (Figure 3b). The OC\_PI\_T80 also greatly reduces the overestimation of TC maximum intensity by SST\_PI (Figure 4), from 34 to  $15 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (56%) for category-1 TCs and from 19 to  $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  (58%) for category-3

TCs (Figure 4). Greater skill for OC PI T80 is achieved by segregating TCs by translation speed. Estimation of PI for slow TCs  $(0-3 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ , which exhibit the greatest ocean cooling [Price, 1981; Price et al., 1994; Lin et al., 2009], exhibits the greatest improvement with  $R^2 = 0.56$ , a slope of 1.04, and a root mean square (RMS) error of only  $11 \,\mathrm{m\,s^{-1}}$ (Figure 3d). For moderate and fast TCs. OC PI T80 also has higher correlations than SST PI (Figures 3e-3h). The above results show that through inclusion of more complete ocean information, it is possible to improve prediction and estimation of TC intensity upper bound, hence improvement in TC maximum intensity prediction can be achieved. However, it should be cautious in the determination of the mixing depth to avoid over-use of the subsurface information (i.e. over-cooling). As illustrated in Figure 4, the choice of 100m mixing depth (i.e. T100) can lead to over-cooling of certain cases and under-predict the intensity upper bound and maximum intensity.

#### 5. Discussion and Conclusion

[12] With the advent of global ARGO floats and ocean depth-temperature profile estimation by means of satellite altimetry [Shay et al., 2000; Pun et al., 2007, 2011; Goni et al., 2009], it is now possible to operationally incorporate subsurface ocean information into quasi-dynamical TC intensity estimates, such as OC PI. We have shown that this approach improves hindcasts of TC maximum intensity and anticipate that similar approaches would contribute to improvements in forecasts. For instance, currently the bestperforming intensity-prediction model is the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)'s statistical model [DeMaria et al., 2005; Mainelli et al., 2008], in which SST PI is used as a key predictor. It would be interesting to explore replacing SST\_PI with OC\_PI as OC\_PI can more realistically characterize the ocean contribution to TC maximum intensity. For physical-based predictions, it also provides a baseline for new generation of fully coupled atmosphere-wave-ocean models [Chen et al., 2007].

[13] The TC-induced ocean cooling is one of the factors controlling tropical cyclone intensity [Wang and Wu, 2004; DeMaria et al., 2005; Houze et al., 2007]. Atmospheric wind shear and TC internal dynamics such as eyewall replacement cycles are also influential [Frank and Ritchie, 2001; DeMaria et al., 2005; Houze et al., 2007; Tang and Emanuel, in press). Our analysis suggests that these nonocean factors should be relatively more (less) important for fast (slow)-moving TCs, where the OC PI shows the smallest (largest) improvement (Figures 3g, 3h, and S9). Very likely, atmospheric-based approaches, for example the recently proposed ventilation index (an improved characterization of the shear impact) [Tang and Emanuel, in press]) may be more effective for these TCs. Ultimately, a combined approach based on improved new approaches from both atmosphere (e.g., ventilation index) and ocean (e.g., OC PI) may yield the best results for intensity estimation and prediction improvement.

[14] Finally, it could be helpful to use OC\_PI to explore further in the context of climate change. Instead of considering only the change in SST [*Vecchi and Soden*, 2007], change in subsurface ocean thermal condition (for example, recent rapid warming in the western North Pacific Ocean [*Pun et al.*, 2013]) can also be included in projecting future TC activities.

[15] Acknowledgments. This work is supported by Taiwan's National Science Council and National Taiwan University (grant numbers: NSC 101-2111-M-002-002-MY2; NSC 101-2628-M-002-001-MY4; 102R7803) and US Office of Naval Research (ONR) under the Impact of Typhoons on Pacific (ITOP) program. Many thanks to Kerry Emanuel for providing the SST\_PI program, to David Tang for leading the Taiwan ITOP component, to NASA, AVISO, Argo, RSS for essential data sets, to the U.S. Air Force for executing the airborne data collection, and to the Reviewers for very helpful and constructive comments. PB's support is provided by ONR under PE 0601153N through NRL Contract N00173-10-C-6019.

### References

- Bender, M. A., and I. Ginis (2000), Real case simulation of hurricane-ocean interaction using a high-resolution coupled model: Effects on hurricane intensity, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 917–946.
- Bister, M., and K. A. Emanuel (1998), Dissipative heating and hurricane intensity, *Meteor. Atmos. Phys.*, *52*, 233–240.
- Chen, S. S., J. F. Price, W. Zhao, M. A. Donelan, and E. J. Walsh (2007), The CBLAST-Hurricane Program and the next-generation fully coupled atmosphere-wave-ocean models for hurricane research and prediction, *Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc.*, 88, 311–317.
- D'Asaro, E. A., et al. (2011), Typhoon-ocean interaction in the western North Pacific, part 1. *Oceanography*, 24, 24–31.
- DeMaria, M., M. Mainelli, L. K. Shay, J. A. Knaff, and J. Kaplan (2005), Further improvements to the Statistical Hurricane Intensity Prediction Scheme (SHIPS), *Weather Forecast.*, 20, 531–543.
- Emanuel, K. A. (1988), The maximum intensity of hurricanes, J. Atmos. Sci., 45, 1143–1155.
- Emanuel, K. A. (1995), Sensitivity of tropical cyclones to surface exchange coefficients and a revised steady-state model incorporating eye dynamics, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 52, 3969–3976.
- Emanuel, K. A. (1997), Some aspects of hurricane inner-core dynamics and energetics, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 1014–1026.
- Emanuel, K. A. (1999), Thermodynamic control of hurricane intensity, *Nature*, 401, 665–669.

- Frank, W. M., and E. A. Ritchie (2001), Effects of vertical wind shear on the intensity and structure of numerically simulated hurricanes, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 129, 2249–2269.
- Goni, G., et al. (2009), Application of satellite-derived ocean measurements to tropical cyclone intensity forecasting, *Oceanography*, 22(3), 190–197.
- Gould, J., et al. (2004), Argo profiling floats bring new era of in situ ocean observations, *EOS, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, *85*, 190–191.
- Holland, G. J. (1997), The maximum potential intensity of tropical cyclones, J. Atmos. Sci., 54, 2519–2541.
- Houze, R. A. Jr., S. S. Chen, B. F. Smull, W. C. Lee, and M. M. Bell (2007), Hurricane intensity and eyewall replacement, *Science*, 315, 1235–1239.
- Leipper, D. F., and D. Volgenau (1972), Hurricane heat potential of the Gulf of Mexico, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 2, 218–224.
  Lin, I. I., W. T. Liu, C. C. Wu, G. T. F. Wong, C. Hu, Z. Chen, W. D. Liang,
- Lin, I. I., W. T. Liu, C. C. Wu, G. T. F. Wong, C. Hu, Z. Chen, W. D. Liang, Y. Yang, and K. K. Liu (2003), New evidence for enhanced ocean primary production triggered by tropical cyclone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(1718), doi:10.1029/2003GL017141.
- Lin, I. I., C. C. Wu, K. A. Emanuel, I. H. Lee, C. R. Wu, and I. F. Pun (2005), The Interaction of Supertyphoon Maemi (2003) With a Warm Ocean Eddy, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 133, 2635–2649.
- Lin, I. I., C. Č. Wu, I. F. Pun, and D. S. Ko (2008), Upper-Ocean Thermal Structure and the Western North Pacific Category-5 Typhoons. Part I: Ocean Features and Category-5 Typhoon's Intensification, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 136, 3288–3306, doi:10.1175/2008MWR2277.1.
- Lin, I. I., I. F. Pun, and C. C. Wu (2009), Upper ocean thermal structure and the western North Pacific category-5 typhoons—Part II: Dependence on translation speed, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 137, 3744–3757.
- Lin, I. I. (2012), Typhoon-induced phytoplankton blooms and primary productivity increase in the western North Pacific Subtropical Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C03039, doi:10.1029/2011JC007626.
- Mainelli, M., M. DeMaria, L. K. Shay, and G. Goni (2008), Application of oceanic heat content estimation to operational forecasting of recent Atlantic category 5 hurricanes, *Weather Forecast.*, 23, 3–16.
- Peduzzi, P., et al. (2012), Global trends in tropical cyclone risk, *Nature Clim. Change*, 2, 289–294.
- Price, J. F. (1981), Upper ocean response to a hurricane, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 11, 153–175.
- Price, J. F., T. B. Sanford, and G. Z. Forristall (1994), Forced stage response to a moving hurricane, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 24, 233–260.
- Price, J. F. (2009), Metrics of hurricane-ocean interaction: Vertically-integrated or vertically-averaged ocean temperature? *Ocean Sci.*, 5, 351–368.
- Pun, I. F., I. Lin, C. R. Wu, D. S. Ko, and W. T. Liu (2007), Validation and application of altimetry-derived upper ocean thermal structure in the western North Pacific ocean for typhoon intensity forecast, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 45, 1616–1630.
- Pun, I. F., Y. T. Chang, I. I. Lin, T. Y. Tang, and R. C. Lien (2011), Typhoon-Ocean Interaction in the Western North Pacific, Part 2, *Oceanography*, 24(4), 32–41, doi:10.5670/oceanog.2011.92.
- Pun, I. F., I. Lin, and M. H. Lo (2013), Recent increase in high tropical cyclone heat potential area in the western North Pacific Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1002/grl.50548.
- Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., doi:10.1002/grl.50548.
  Rappaport, E. N., J. G. Jiing, C. W. Landsea, S. T. Murillo, and J. L. Franklin (2012), The joint hurricane testbed—Its first decade of tropical cyclone research-to-operations activities revisited, *Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc.*, 93, 371–380.
- Shay, L. K., G. J. Goni, and P. G. Black (2000), Role of a warm ocean feature on Hurricane Opal, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 1366–1383.
- Tang, B., and K. Emanuel, (in press), A ventilation index for tropical cyclones, *Bull. Am. Meteor. Soc.*
- Tseng, Y.-H., S. Jan, D. E. Dietrich, I. I. Lin, Y. T. Chang, and T. Y. Tang (2010), Modeled Oceanic Response and sea surface cooling to Typhoon Kai-Tak, *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*, 21(1), 85–98, doi:10.3319/ TAO.2009.06.08.02(IWNOP).
- Vecchi, G. A., and B. J. Soden (2007), Effect of remote sea surface temperature change on tropical cyclone potential intensity, *Nature*, 450, 1066–1070.
- Wang, Y., and C. C. Wu (2004), Current understanding of tropical cyclone structure and intensity changes—A review, *Meteor. Atmos. Phys.*, 87, 257–278.