



Experimental and numerical investigation of the influence of roller bending in rectangular hollow section steel arches

Ilias Thanasoulas, Cyril Douthe, Charis J Gantes, Xenofon Lignos

► To cite this version:

Ilias Thanasoulas, Cyril Douthe, Charis J Gantes, Xenofon Lignos. Experimental and numerical investigation of the influence of roller bending in rectangular hollow section steel arches. *Thin-Walled Structures*, Elsevier, 2018, 131, pp.668-680. 10.1016/j.tws.2018.07.027 . hal-02165876

HAL Id: hal-02165876

<https://hal-enpc.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02165876>

Submitted on 26 Jun 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Experimental and numerical investigation of the influence of roller bending**
2 **in rectangular hollow section steel arches**

3
4 Ilias D. Thanasoulas¹, Cyril E. Douthe², Charis J. Gantes¹, Xenofon A. Lignos¹

5 ¹ Institute of Steel Structures, School of Civil Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

6 ² Laboratoire Navier, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, IFSTTAR, CNRS, France

7
8
9 **Abstract**

10 An experimental and numerical investigation of the influence of residual stresses due to curving in rectangular hollow section steel
11 arches on the overall structural behaviour is presented. Twelve circular arch specimens, grouped in two sets of curvatures, are tested
12 under tension and compression loading. Detailed finite element models are used to simulate in detail the curving procedure as well as
13 the experimental tests and implicit static analyses accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities are carried out. Experimental
14 and numerical results are compared in terms of load-displacement equilibrium paths, strain-gauge measurements and deformed shapes.
15 Overall, a quite good quantitative and qualitative agreement is achieved between FEA and experimental results, demonstrating the
16 capability of the developed finite element models to reliably estimate the residual stress distribution caused by the forming process. The
17 numerically estimated residual stresses are presented for the two sets of specimens, providing good agreement with the models proposed
18 in the literature. Extended plastification at the bottom flange edges of the arches is observed, reducing significantly the member's
19 remaining ductility; the developed accumulated plastic strains are found to be remarkably larger than the longitudinal strains that are
20 expected according to the classic beam theory. Maximum discrepancies of approximately 10% are reported in the structural response
21 of the arches depending on whether the estimated locked-in stress distributions are taken into account or not.

22
23 Keywords: Steel arches; Residual stresses, Roller bending; Cold-forming; Curving process
24
25
26
27
28

1. Introduction

The technological progress of the last few decades regarding steelmaking and forming techniques, as well as the advances in computational tools employed in engineering analysis, have influenced the design and construction of steel structures. Curved structural steel members are used more and more in modern construction, mainly due to their improved aesthetics compared to conventional geometries. The circular arch comprises the simplest curved geometry, which is customarily used to cover large spans due to its ability to carry loads largely in compression rather than bending. Typical applications of arch elements can be seen in large span roofs, bridges, stadiums, atriums etc.

Curved constructional steels are manufactured from initially straight members which are subjected to bending in order to meet the desired curvature [1-3]. Five curving methods are typically employed in the steel industry, namely: (i) “Roller bending”, (ii) “Incremental bending”, (iii) “Hot bending”, (iv) “Rotary-draw bending” and (v) “Induction bending”. The “Roller bending” or “Pyramid rolling” method as it is also called because of the bending machine’s pyramid arrangement (Fig. 1), is the most common method of curving constructional steels since it is usually less costly than the others [4]. It is a cold-forming process where a steel member passes iteratively through three rollers, causing plastic deformations along its entire length. In each subsequent iteration, the roller in the middle moves towards the other two, in order to adjust the applied curvature. Curved elements with noncircular geometries can also be manufactured with this process, such as elliptical forms, combinations of circular and elliptical shapes or S-type curves.



Figure 1: Roller bending machine for constructional steel.

Generally, the minimum achieved radius of curvature is limited by the maximum magnitude of cross-sectional distortion which is allowed to take place during the bending process. Special techniques are used in order to minimize this phenomenon, such as filling the hollow sections with mandrels, or utilizing auxiliary rolls on the tension flanges of open cross-sections in order to provide additional restraint against local flange bending and web buckling. The minimum bending radius for cold bending of hollow steel sections has

51 been assessed in some early studies [5-7], based on a series of experiments and using limits of 1% or 2% concerning the permitted wall
52 deformations. Additionally, limits regarding the minimum radius of curvature for steel members have been proposed in [8]; the
53 minimum radius is proposed to be between 10 and 14 times the depth of the member for cross-sections up to a nominal depth of 750
54 mm (30 in.), while deeper members may require a larger minimum radius. However, the aforementioned considerations may not be
55 strictly employed since distortional effects continue to be reduced with advances in bending equipment and techniques.

56 Locked-in stresses affect the overall behavior of every structural element, having considerable effect on the brittle fracture, fatigue,
57 buckling strength and inducing premature yielding of the cross-section [9]. Residual stresses of hot-rolled and cold-formed cross-
58 sections, which are caused by uneven cooling and differential plastic deformations respectively, have been investigated in numerous
59 experimental studies [10-16]. The available analytical expressions used to estimate the locked-in stress distributions for several types
60 of cross-sections have been summarized in a recent review article [17]. However, when an initially straight member made of a hot-
61 rolled or cold-formed profile is curved into the desired shape, most of the section exhibits yielding. Thus, the existing residual stress
62 pattern due to the cross-section forming is replaced by a new residual stress pattern due to curving, which is considered independent of
63 the initial one.

64 A theoretical model for predicting the residual stress distribution caused by cold-bending has been proposed by Timoshenko [18],
65 as a function of the steel's yield stress f_y and the ratio α between the plastic and elastic section modulus; the predicted stress distribution
66 is depicted for a typical I and a Rectangular Hollow (RH) section in Fig. 2. This model is based on the Euler-Bernoulli beam theory by
67 aggregating uniaxial stresses from inelastic bending and elastic spring-back and thus, 3-dimensional effects emanating from the theory
68 of plates are neglected. Residual stress measurements have been carried out by Spoorenberg et al. [19] on roller bent wide flange sections
69 using the sectioning method; specimens of different dimensions, steel grades, and bending curvatures were examined. The obtained
70 residual stress distribution differed significantly from Timoshenko's distribution, exhibiting stress concentration at the web-to-flange
71 junctions. Detailed finite element simulations of the curving process followed next [20] in order to validate the developed numerical
72 models with experimental results; an analytical model predicting the residual stress of wide flange sections subjected to bending was
73 proposed in [21]. Another computational study concerning roller bent rectangular hollow sections has been performed in [22], where
74 the full interaction between the bending machine and the work pieces was modeled and a numerical parametric study was carried out;
75 an analytical model for predicting the locked-in stresses of rectangular hollow sections subjected to bending has been proposed as well.




Figure 2: Theoretical residual stress distribution for roller bent I (left) and RH (right) sections, proposed by Timoshenko.

77

78 The influence of residual stresses on the structural response of steel arches has been assessed until now in several studies [23-25],
 79 by employing patterns concerning straight members. However, the way in which residual stresses influence the behaviour depends on
 80 their distribution over the cross-section, which is considerably different in the case of curved members than in straight members [26].
 81 An experimental and numerical investigation of the encountered residual stresses in rectangular hollow section steel arches along with
 82 their influence on the overall structural behaviour is performed in the present study. Twelve circular arch specimens, grouped in two
 83 sets of curvatures, are tested under tension and compression loading. Finite element models are used to simulate the preceded curving
 84 procedure as well as the experimental tests and implicit static analyses accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities are carried
 85 out in the general purpose finite element software ADINA [27]. Experimental and numerical results are compared in terms of load-
 86 displacement equilibrium paths, strain-gauge measurements and deformed shapes. The numerically estimated residual stresses are
 87 compared with the models proposed in the literature and finally, the effect of residual stresses on the overall structural behaviour is
 88 assessed through the comparison of numerical models in which the estimated locked-in stress distributions are either included or omitted.

89

90

91 2. Test specimens and experimental set-up

92

93 2.1 Geometric characteristics of specimens

94

95 A total number of twelve circular steel arches were tested at the Institute of Steel Structures in the School of Civil Engineering of
 96 the National Technical University of Athens (Fig. 3); the forming process was carried out at EMEK SA facilities. A Rectangular Hollow
 97 Section (RHS) 100 x 50 x 5 mm of S355 steel quality was chosen for all specimens, which were curved along their weak axis in order
 98 to exhibit higher out-of-plane stiffness. The first set of six arches were designed with radii of curvature equal to 3.71 m (referred

thereinafter as high arches), while the second set of six arches were designed with radii of curvature equal to 4.10 m (referred thereafter as low arches). Both high and low arches were designed to cover the same horizontal span of 4725 mm. Three compression and three tension tests were performed for each set, inducing opening and closing bending moments at crown respectively; the different test configurations for all arches are summarized in Table 1.



Figure 3: Twelve circular steel arches received for testing at the Institute of Steel Structures of NTUA.

Table 1. Test configurations.

Curvature	Tension tests	Compression tests
High arch (R = 3.71 m)	3	3
Low arch (R = 4.10 m)	3	3

Steel arches manufactured by the roller bending method usually exhibit a large amount of geometric imperfections and the achieved in-plane curvature is not ideally uniform. Six measurements were undertaken in each arch in order to evaluate the exact dimensions of the specimens, including the length of the horizontal span (L), as well as the height at five locations along the member ($h1-h5$), shown in Fig. 4; the measured dimensions of the high and low arches and the corresponding theoretical values are summarized in tables A1 and A2 of Appendix A. The mean horizontal span was measured to be approximately 7 mm longer for the high arches and 13 mm shorter

112 for the low arches, relatively to the theoretical ones, while the mean middle height was found 3mm larger and 13 mm shorter for the
113 high and low arches respectively. A maximum difference of approximately 9 mm between the heights of the first and second half of the
114 arches was measured, inducing loss of symmetry. The best fitting radius and the deriving deviations of each specimen's curvature were
115 calculated by employing the least square method and results are presented in tables A3 and A4 of Appendix A; the average fitting radii
116 of the high and low arches were estimated equal to 3.73 m and 4.03 m respectively.




Figure 4: Dimension measurements of arch specimens.

2.2 Material characteristics of specimens

118
119
120
121 The mechanical properties of steel have been extracted through tensile coupon tests of three indicative specimens (Specimens 2, 4
122 and 10). Coupons were formed from the initially straight elements prior to the curving process, in order to avoid locked-in stresses
123 caused by roller bending. The coupon geometry and testing procedure were according to EN ISO 6892-1:2009 [28]. A view of a typical
124 coupon during the test is illustrated in Fig. 5



Figure 5: Tensile coupon test.

127 Typical tension tests provide forces and displacements which are used to compute engineering stresses (σ_e) and engineering strains
128 (ε_e). Therefore, it is necessary to convert engineering stress-strain data to true stress-strain data. The corresponding true stress (σ_t) and
129 true strain (ε_t) can be calculated according to Eq. (1) and (2):

$$\sigma_t = \sigma_e(1 + \varepsilon_e) \quad (1)$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \ln(1 + \varepsilon_e) \quad (2)$$

131
132 The modulus of elasticity obtained from the coupon tests was found to be equal to 205 GPa, as expected for constructional steel.
133 The proportional limit was equal to 270 MPa and the yield stress equal to 470MPa, corresponding to a strain of 0.01 % and 0.2 %,
134 respectively. The ultimate tensile stress occurred 550 MPa at a maximum strain of 10.1 %. The complete stress -strain curves obtained
135 from the three tensile coupon tests are given in Fig 6; very good agreement is reported between them, confirming that the quality of
136 steel specimens is S355. However, it is remarkable that the transition between the elastic and the plastic domains is very smooth, on the
137 contrary to what is generally assumed. It is also noteworthy that the material exhibits premature yielding; the proportional limit is
138 approximately 25 % lower than the expected yield stress (355 MPa). This behaviour along with the limited ductility which is observed
139 are considered to be consequence of the forming process of the rectangular hollow section, which caused significant hardening to the
140 material and high residual stresses, both longitudinally and transversally [29].




Figure 6: True stress-strain curves of steel material.

142 2.3 Experimental set-up

143
144
145 An appropriate test layout was designed for the execution of the experimental tests, including configurations for the supports and
146 load application of the arch specimens. The specimens were placed to the laboratory testing frame and hinged using pins in both ends.

147 All arches were reinforced locally at their ends, by welding 10 mm thick plates at the webs of the RHS section, in order to avoid local
148 yielding in the vicinity of the pivot axes. The distance between the hinges was designed to be 4725 mm in all tests. Since the initial
149 arches' span was not the same for all specimens and they did not fit exactly within the position of the hinges (high arches were in general
150 7 mm longer while low arches were 13 mm shorter), a proper displacement was imposed at the one arch's end, through a temporary
151 sliding system, in order to open or close the arch and bring the hinge to the right position before placement of the pin. Lateral supports
152 were added at the thirds of the span, providing additional out-of-plane protection, since numerical simulations demonstrated that the
153 first out-of-plane buckling load was relatively close to the ultimate bearing capacity of the arches. The inner face of these supports was
154 covered by Teflon™ foils in order to reduce friction between the arch and the support. The laboratory testing frame along with the
155 employed configurations are illustrated in Fig. 7; the hinged supports at the arches' ends are shown in Fig. 8
156




Figure 7: Laboratory testing frame and test configuration.

157




Figure 8: Plan view and lateral views of the main support (D1).

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

Compression and tension tests were performed using a 300 kN hydraulic actuator. The load was imposed to the arch crown through a 30 mm thick loading plate, on which half of a cylinder with diameter 48mm was welded (referred thereafter as loading cylinder). In the compression test configuration, the loading plate was fixed directly to the actuator by bolts, whereas in the tension test configuration, it was inverted and attached to the actuator through four rods. All auxiliary elements were designed to have sufficient overstrength and stiffness, in order to ensure uniform load application on the specimen and consequently avoid any undesirable local failure of the tube. Views of the loading plates used for the tension and compression tests are illustrated in Fig 9.




Figure 9: View of the loading plates (D2) for the tension (left) and compression (right) tests.

166

167

168

The performed tests were displacement controlled and followed the same protocol; a first loading step up to 20 mm was executed, followed by an unloading step back to 10 mm, before the final loading up to 80 mm and 95 mm for the tension and the compression

169 tests, respectively. The magnitude of the maximum displacement was sufficient for reaching the ultimate bearing capacity of the arches,
170 while the small cycle between 10 mm and 20 mm (within the elastic range) was aimed at investigating the elastic properties of the
171 specimens, while remaining in the domain where the clearances did not influence the tests. The imposed displacement along with the
172 reaction force were measured by a displacement-cell and a load-cell respectively, mounted on the actuator's head.

173 Three Individual Linear Variable Differential Transformers (LVDTs) were installed to measure the specimen's vertical displacement
174 at a distance of 150 mm left of the crown and assess the deflection and torsional rotation near the top. The deflected shape was also
175 captured by an inclined LVDT on each side, placed perpendicularly to the arch cross-section at a horizontal distance of 700 mm from
176 the arches' ends (their position was selected on the basis of linear static analyses and corresponds approximately to the point of maximal
177 deflection in the opposite direction of that at crown). Four Strain Gauges (SGs) consisting of two 90° tee rosettes and two linear gauges,
178 were set on each specimen in order to measure the developed longitudinal and transverse strains at a cross-section located 150 mm on
179 the right of the crown. The two rosettes were placed in the middle of the top and bottom flanges, while the two linear gauges were
180 placed on the top flange edges, in order to assess the uniformity of the stress distribution. The experimental set-up along with the
181 employed measuring devices are depicted in Fig. 10.



Figure 10: View of the experimental set-up (top) and the measuring devices (bottom) in the following order:
inclined LVDT, top flange SG, bottom flange SG, vertical LVDTs.

183
184
185 **3. Numerical modelling of the experiments**
186

187 The general purpose finite element software ADINA was employed for the numerical simulation of the experiments. Implicit static
188 analyses accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities were carried out using the Newton – Raphson solution algorithm. The
189 implementation of Geometry and Material Nonlinear Analyses (GMNA) considered the practical aspects of Finite Element Method
190 (FEM) presented in [30]. Large displacement and large strain formulations were employed since local or global buckling of the
191 specimens were found critical during the tests and the developed plastic strains due to the curving process were significant. Locked-in
192 stresses emanating from the forming process of the rectangular hollow section were not considered in the analyses since it is generally
193 assumed that these residual stresses are removed and replaced by new during the curving process.

194 In order to maintain an acceptable level of accuracy and at the same time reduce the computational effort, numerical modeling was
195 performed in three steps: (i) the hinge support was modeled first, in order to estimate the stiffness provided to the arch specimens, (ii)
196 detailed simulation of the curving process was performed then, in order to obtain a reliable residual stress pattern and finally, (iii) the
197 compression and tension tests of the arches were simulated, considering the computed residual stress distribution and stiffness of the
198 supports.

199
200 *3.1 Simulation of the support assembly*
201

202 In order to estimate the stiffness provided by the hinged support to the arch specimens, a detailed numerical model of the actual
203 support configuration was developed. The numerical model, consisting of (i) a M20 10.9 pin, (ii) two vertical plates of steel S355 and
204 (iii) a part of the RHS 100 x 50 x 5 mm, was built using 8-node brick 3d-solid finite elements, as shown in Fig. 11. Bilinear elastic-
205 plastic material models were used for the simulation of all parts composing the assembly; the mechanical properties obtained from the
206 tensile coupon tests (Section 2.2) were used for the RHS segment while the characteristic values of the mechanical properties were used
207 for the pin and the plates, in absence of more accurate experimental data. Appropriate contact elements were introduced at the interfaces
208 between adjacent parts, taking into account the design geometric tolerances inside the assembly; the Coulomb friction coefficient of the
209 contacting surfaces was taken equal to 0.3.
210




Figure 11: Simulation of the arch's hinged support with 3d finite elements.

211
 212 The vertical steel plates were fixed at the base and a horizontal force, causing either compression (negative) or tension (positive) for
 213 the arch, was applied on the cross-sectional centroid with the use of rigid links; the other degrees of freedom of the cross-sectional
 214 centroid were restrained. The estimated horizontal stiffness for the low and high arches, obtained from GMN analyses, is presented in
 215 Fig. 12; the difference in the response between the two cases is caused by the consideration of different initial tolerances, since high
 216 arches were compressed while low arches were tensioned in order to fit the span of the experimental setup. Tolerances in the vertical
 217 direction were found to have negligible effect on the overall behaviour of arches, and thus the vertical support stiffness was assumed as
 218 rigid.





Figure 12: Support's stiffness at the horizontal direction.

3.2 Simulation of the curving process

221
 222
 223
 224 Subsequently, aiming at obtaining a realistic distribution of the encountered residual stresses in the arch specimens, the curving
 225 process of initially straight segments was numerically simulated. Two different numerical models were developed in order to simulate

226 the curving procedure of the low and high arches consisting of rectangular hollow sections. The straight length of the beams was
227 assumed to be equal to the corresponding curved length after the bending process and based on the average measured dimensions for
228 each set of specimens. A uniform and sufficiently dense mesh with 4-node shell elements and five integration points at the element
229 thickness direction was employed in order to model reliably the elastoplastic behavior of the RHS thin-walled plates; a bilinear material
230 model based on the mechanical properties obtained from the tensile coupon tests (Section 2.2) was used.

231 The curving process of an initially straight beam can be simulated numerically either by applying opposite prescribed rotations at
232 the beam's ends or by modelling the full interaction with the bending rolls. Less computational effort is required in the first case, where
233 the obtained locked-in stresses are found to be more consistent with the Timoshenko stress distribution of Fig. 1. The numerical
234 simulation in the second case is much more sophisticated and computationally demanding but literature findings [20, 22] agree well
235 that a different and more reliable locked-in stress distribution is provided. Both methods were employed in order to perform some
236 preliminary analyses and to evaluate the results. It was confirmed that the residual stress patterns of a rectangular hollow section steel
237 arch differed significantly; a comparison of the locked-in stress distributions provided in both cases is depicted in Fig. 13.



239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
Figure 13: Comparison of the longitudinal locked-in stresses provided by applying prescribed rotations (left) and by modelling the full interaction (right).

240 To that and, the second approach was eventually adopted in the present study and the full interaction between the rolls and the work
241 piece was simulated. Three cylindrical rolls of 300 mm diameter and pyramid arrangement were modelled with shell elements. The
242 distance between the centres of the outer rolls was taken equal to 900 mm. Rigid elements were used to connect the nodes of each
243 cylinder to its centre, in order to apply the prescribed rotations and displacements. Appropriate contact elements were introduced
244 between the contacting interfaces of the rolls and the beam and the Coulomb friction coefficient of the contacting surfaces was taken
245 equal to 0.3; the rigid target algorithm was employed for the solution of the contact-element equations. Large strain and displacement
246 formulations were employed for the GMNA. The load step required in order to achieve uniform curvature along the segment, mainly
247 depends on the number of elements at the longitudinal beam direction; in the present study a sensitivity analysis was performed in order
248 to select the appropriate load step magnitude.

249 Numerical simulation of the curving procedure is performed in three stages, which are illustrated schematically in Fig. 14. Firstly,
 250 (i) a prescribed displacement at the middle roller is applied towards the beam, causing plastic deformations at this point. Subsequently,
 251 (ii) a prescribed rotation is applied at the centre of one roller (i.e the middle one) and, through contact traction, the beam is feeded inside
 252 the bending machine causing uniform plastification over its entire length. The angular speed has to be controlled by a single roller, since
 253 it is different between the three rollers; thus rotating of the other rollers is left unrestrained. Finally, (iii) when the entire work piece has
 254 been feeded inside the bending machine, the middle roller is moved downwards, releasing the beam. At the end of this procedure, the
 255 beam's edges will not have been bent to the desired curvature since they have not passed entirely through the machine; these regions
 256 with length equal to the distance between the centres of the outer rollers, comprise waste material and are cut-off. To that end, the initial
 257 straight length of the beam is modelled to be larger by twice the length of the bending machine.
 258




Figure 14: Numerical simulation of roller bending process.

259 Due to the highly non-linear character of the roller bending process it is hard to accurately predict in advance the required
 260 displacement of the middle roller in order to arrive at the exact specified radius of curvature. Thus, in practice multiple passes through
 261 the rolls are needed to successfully bend a beam. In the numerical simulations, only a single forming pass was analyzed, assuming that
 262 the residual stresses are identical for single- and multiple pass bending. An analytical formula for estimating the required displacement
 263 is proposed in the current study, based on the principle of virtual work and assuming triangular moment distribution of the beam segment
 264

265 between the rollers. For the straight beam subjected to three point bending, shown in Fig. 15, the principle of virtual work is expressed
 266 by Eq. 3.

$$M_{pl} \cdot \varphi = F \cdot d \quad (3)$$

268
 269 where:

270 M_{pl} = section's plastic moment capacity

271 φ = plastic angle of rotation

272 F = acting force at the middle cross-section

273 d = residual deflection at the middle cross-section

274




Figure 15: Method of virtual work for a beam subjected to three point bending

275

276 After the development of a plastic hinge at the middle of the simply-supported beam, the acting force F can be calculated by Eq. 4.

277

$$F = \frac{2M_{pl}}{S_{roll}} \quad (4)$$

278

279 where S_{roll} is the horizontal distance between the rollers.

280

281 The plastic angle of rotation is determined by the integration of the plastic curvature (k) along the plastic hinge length (L_{pl}), according
 282 to Eq. 5.

283

$$\varphi = k \cdot L_{pl} \quad (5)$$

The plastic hinge length (L_{pl}) of the beam segment shown in Fig. 16, can be calculated as a function of the horizontal distance between the rollers and the cross-sectional elastic (W_{el}) and plastic (W_{pl}) moment resistances, according to Eq. 6.

$$L_{pl} = 2S_{roll} \frac{(W_{pl} - W_{el})}{W_{pl}} \quad (6)$$




Figure 16: Estimation of the plastic hinge length.

The prescribed displacement at the middle roll should be taken equal to the double of the permanent deflection given by considering the straight beam subjected to three point bending, since the part of the work piece being in the back of the middle roll is not straight but curved. By aggregating also the elastic spring-back deformation, the estimated prescribed displacement at the middle roller is given by Eq. 7.

$$d = 2 \left(\frac{S_{roll} \cdot L_{pl}}{2R} \right) + \frac{M_{el} \cdot S_{roll}^2}{3EI} \quad (7)$$

Aiming at determining the required prescribed displacement of the middle roller in order to obtain the desired curvatures in the case of the examined arches, the aforementioned formula was employed. Prescribed displacements of 22 mm and 23.5 mm were provided according to Eq. 7 in order to obtain radii of curvatures equal to 4.10 m and 3.71 m respectively; these values were found to provide accurately the desired curvatures for both the low and high arches during the numerical simulation.

3.3 Simulation of the compression and tension tests

303 Numerical simulation of the compression and tension tests was then performed by employing the restart analysis feature of ADINA;
304 the final condition of the bending process simulation was used as initial condition for the subsequent analysis. This approach was
305 selected in order to take advantage of the reliable residual stress distribution encountered in the specimens, which was obtained from
306 the full curving process simulation. The element-death feature was employed for the finite elements which were not needed any more,
307 such as the ones modelling the rollers, the waste parts of the arches at the starting and ending regions and the contact elements. Rigid
308 links were added to connect the nodes of the edged cross-sections to their geometric centroids, in order to prescribe the boundary
309 conditions (Fig. 17). The semi-rigid stiffness of the supports was introduced with the use of horizontal spring elements at the cross-
310 sectional centroids of the arch ends according to Fig. 12. The support's stiffness in the vertical direction was assumed to be rigid. Either
311 compression or tension loading was applied at the arch's crown with the use of a rigid cylinder, exactly as in the experiments; therefore
312 appropriate contact elements were born using the element-birth feature (Fig. 17). This detailed simulation was selected in order to
313 capture accurately the local buckling of the RHS sections at the location contacting with the rigid cylinder, which was observed in the
314 experiments. A total number of four Geometric and Material Nonlinear Analyses (GMNA) were executed for the low and high arches
315 under tension and compression loading.




Figure 17: Simulation of the tests and detailed views of the arches boundary and loading conditions.

4. Experimental and numerical results

317
318
319 In this section, the experimental results of the arch specimens (Sp. 1-12) are presented and compared to the corresponding numerical
320
321 results obtained from Geometric and Material Nonlinear Analyses (GMNA), in terms of equilibrium paths between load and
322

323 displacement, developed strains and deformed shapes. Thus, a general overview of the structural behavior is provided and the developed
324 finite element models are validated.

326 4.1 Compression of high arches

327
328 Equilibrium paths relating the imposed load with the vertical displacement at the crown and the transverse displacement at the
329 position of the inclined LVDT are depicted in Fig. 18, concerning the high arches subjected to compression. Excellent agreement is
330 evidenced between the three test results, as all experimental curves almost coincide. The ultimate load capacity is recorded
331 experimentally and numerically equal to 49 kN and 48 kN respectively, although the initial stiffness is numerically overestimated. High
332 arches under compression do not exhibit linear behavior, even for low levels of loading and the ultimate load-bearing capacity is reached
333 by progressive softening, a fact that may be attributed to the effects of the forming process of the rectangular hollow section. The three
334 vertical LVDTs located 150 mm left of the crown, demonstrated that the torsional rotation was prevented with placement of the lateral
335 supports; the LVDTs provided quite similar values to the displacement-cell mounted on the actuator's head. Characteristic strain gauge
336 measurements, including the developed longitudinal strains at the top and bottom flange middle along with the transverse strains at the
337 bottom flange middle, are compared to the corresponding values obtained from GMNA in Fig. 19. The developed longitudinal strains
338 at the top and bottom flange middle are found to exhibit similar magnitudes, while transverse strains are also significant (one quarter of
339 longitudinal strain), which is due to Poisson effect and transverse bending that is typical for curved members. The softening response
340 of the material, which was observed in the equilibrium paths is evidenced in the strain gauge measurements as well. A characteristic
341 deformed shape of this set of test specimens at the end of the experimental and numerical analysis is presented in Fig. 20; local buckling
342 of the top flange is developed at the arches' crown in both cases.




Figure 18: Load-displacement curves at the arch's crown (left) and at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the span (right).




Figure 19: Longitudinal strain at the top flange (left), the bottom flange (middle) and transverse strain at the bottom flange (right).

345




Figure 20: Low arches' deformed shape under compression loading, at the end of test (left) and GMNA (right)

346

347 4.2 Tension of high arches

348

349 Experimental and numerical results of the high arches subjected to tension are compared in Fig. 21, in terms of equilibrium paths of
 350 the imposed load with the vertical displacement at the crown and the transverse displacement at the position of the inclined LVDT.
 351 Excellent agreement is again evidenced again between the three test results. The equilibrium paths in all cases exhibit an initial part of
 352 increasing displacement with a small increase of load, which is attributed to the initial geometric tolerances of the support assemblies.
 353 Subsequently, an approximately linear response is recorded for imposed load up to 45 kN and it is followed by a gradual diminishing
 354 of stiffness until reaching another linear part after 55 kN. Finally at a total displacement of 80 mm, the maximum load is found
 355 experimentally and numerically equal to 78.5 kN and 72 kN respectively. Characteristic strain gauge measurements, including the
 356 developed longitudinal strains at the top and bottom flange middle along with the transverse strains at the bottom flange middle, are
 357 compared to the corresponding values obtained from GMNA in Fig. 22. The developed longitudinal strains at the top and bottom flange
 358 middle are found to exhibit similar magnitudes, while transverse strains are also significant. A characteristic deformed shape of this set

359 of test specimens at the end of experiment and numerical analysis is presented in Fig. 23; in both cases, significant local deformation of
360 the bottom flange is developed at the arches' crown.

361




Figure 21: Load-displacement curves at the arch's crown (left) and at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the span (right).

362




Figure 22: Longitudinal strain at the top flange (left), the bottom flange (middle) and transverse strain at the bottom flange (right).

363




Figure 23: High arches' deformed shape under tension loading, at the end of the test (left) and the GMNA (right)

364

365 4.3 Compression of low arches

366

367 Equilibrium paths of the imposed load with the vertical displacement at the crown and the transverse displacement at the position
368 of the inclined LVDT are depicted in Fig. 24 concerning the low arches subjected to compression. Specimen 9 failed to support the
369 imposed load due to fracture of the top flange at the crown and the test was stopped prematurely. Furthermore, the loading protocol of
370 Specimen 10 was modified and a total displacement of 150 mm was finally imposed. The response of the low arches under compression
371 is found similar to the corresponding one recorded for the high arches, although in the first case they reach a little lower ultimate load-
372 bearing capacity and exhibit a more flexible response. Stiffness is here predicted by the simulation better than for high arches, which is
373 attributed to the fact that for lower arches geometric non-linearities are more dominant, and considering that geometric nonlinearity is
374 better taken into account in the numerical model than material one. In all cases, the equilibrium paths exhibit an initial part of increasing
375 displacement with a small increase of load, attributed to the initial geometric tolerances of the hinge supports. Characteristic strain
376 gauge measurements, including the developed longitudinal strains at the top and bottom flange middle along with the transverse strains
377 at the bottom flange middle, are compared to the corresponding values obtained from GMNA in Fig. 25. The strain gauge used for
378 measuring longitudinal strains at the top flange middle of Sp. 8 encountered loss of proper contact, which caused stop of recording
379 during the test. The deformed shape at the end of this set of tests is similar to the one depicted for high arches in Fig. 20; the fracture of
380 Sp. 9 at the top flange is shown in Fig. 26.

381




Figure 24: Load-displacement curves at the arch's crown (left) and at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the span (right).

382




Figure 25: Longitudinal strain at the top flange (left), the bottom flange (middle) and transverse strain at the bottom flange (right).

383




Figure 26: Fracture of Specimen 9, located at the top flange at crown.

384

385 4.4 Tension of low arches

386

387 The experimental and numerical results of the low arches subjected to tension are compared in Fig. 27, in terms of equilibrium paths
388 of the imposed load with the vertical displacement at the crown and the transverse displacement at the position of the inclined LVDT.
389 Once again, the response of the low arches under tension is similar to the corresponding one recorded for the high arches, although in
390 the first case they reach a little lower ultimate load-bearing capacity and exhibit more flexible response. The inclined LVDTs were not
391 able to measure accurately the transverse displacement of Sp. 12 as the friction between the bottom flange and the LVDT introduced a
392 large amount of errors. Characteristic strain gauge measurements, including the developed longitudinal strains at the top and bottom
393 flange middles, along with the transverse strains at the bottom flange middle, are compared to the corresponding values obtained from
394 GMNA in Fig. 28. The deformed shape of this set of test specimens at the end of the tests is similar to the one depicted for high arches
395 in Fig. 23.

396




Figure 27: Load-displacement curves at the arch's crown (left) and at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the span (right).

397




Figure 28: Longitudinal strain at the top flange (left), the bottom flange (middle) and transverse strain at the bottom flange (right).

398

399

400 5. Influence of roller bending on RHS arches

401

402 5.1 Residual stress and strain distributions

403

404 Overall, a good quantitative and qualitative agreement was achieved between numerical and experimental test results, demonstrating
 405 the reliability of the developed finite element models and their ability to estimate the residual stress distribution caused by the forming
 406 process. The obtained locked-in stresses along with the developed plastic strains of the low and the high arches at the end of the curving
 407 process are illustrated in Fig. 29. All distributions are found to be uniform along the arches, as it is expected due to the constant curvature.
 408 A non-symmetrical layout of residual stress and strain is obtained over the cross-sectional width and height; stress and strain
 409 concentrations are located at the edges of the bottom flange. The developed strains are reasonably found to be quite larger in the case
 410 of high arches compared to low arches. However, in both cases the maximum developed plastic strains are about one order of magnitude
 411 larger than the corresponding longitudinal strains that are expected according to the classical beam theory, meaning that the member's
 412 remaining ductility is significantly reduced. The obtained stress distribution is found in good agreement with the analytical model
 413 proposed in [22].

414




Figure 29: Residual longitudinal stress, von Mises stress, longitudinal strain and accumulated plastic strain of the high (top) and the low arches (bottom).

415

416 5.2 Comparison of the overall response

417

418 During typical structural analysis and design of arches, it is difficult to take into consideration residual stresses emanating from the
 419 manufacturing process. In practice, arched structures are usually subjected to compressive distributed loads with either radial or gravity
 420 direction. Since the developed numerical models, incorporating the computed residual stresses, have been validated from the
 421 experimental results, they were next employed for evaluating the influence of residual stresses on the overall structural response. To
 422 that end, two additional numerical models were developed, using the same nodal mesh geometries with the high and low arches after
 423 the curving process. A uniform and sufficiently dense mesh with 4-node shell elements and five integration points at the element
 424 thickness direction was chosen and a bilinear material model based on the mechanical properties obtained from tensile coupon tests
 425 (Section 2.2) was employed once again. Geometry and material nonlinear analyses were carried out in all cases, under uniform
 426 compressive loading at the top flange with either radial or vertical direction. The obtained equilibrium paths of the high and low arches
 427 with and without residual stresses are compared in Fig. 30, in terms of the imposed load and the corresponding vertical displacement at
 428 the crown. In all cases, the initial stiffness and the ultimate strength capacity of the low arches are found reasonably lower compared to
 429 the high arches. Residual stresses are evidenced to have a positive impact on the ultimate strength capacity under vertical loading,
 430 increasing the load-bearing capacity by approximately 10%. On the other hand, residual stresses have a negligible effect on the structural
 431 response of the arches under radial loading, where the load is carried largely in axial compression.

432




Figure 30: Equilibrium paths of the high (top) and low (bottom) arches, under compression loading at the vertical (left) and radial (right) directions.

433

434

435

6. Conclusions

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

The influence of the curving process on rectangular hollow section steel arches has been assessed in the present study. Twelve circular arches, grouped in two sets of curvatures, were tested under tension and compression loading. Finite element models were used to simulate in detail the curving procedure and then the experimental tests and implicit static analyses accounting for geometric and material nonlinearities were carried out. An analytical formula for estimating the required displacements of the bending machine rollers in order to obtain the desired curvature was also proposed, which can support both the numerical simulation and the manufacturing procedure. Experimental and numerical results were compared in terms of load-displacement equilibrium paths, strain-gauge measurements and deformed shapes. Quite good agreement was observed regarding the load-displacement curves and the deformed shapes, while some discrepancies were observed in the strain gauge measurements. Overall, a quite good quantitative and qualitative agreement was achieved between FEA and experimental test results, demonstrating the reliability of the developed finite element models, which are hence capable of estimating the residual stress distribution caused by the forming process.

The numerically estimated residual stresses and strains were presented for the two sets of arches demonstrating similar distributions in both cases; the patterns differed significantly from those obtained by applying opposite prescribed rotations at the beam's ends and therefore modelling of the exact bending procedure is considered to be mandatory for proper estimation of such stresses. The maximum accumulated plastic strains were found to be remarkably larger than the developed longitudinal strains, meaning that the member's remaining ductility has been significantly reduced during roller bending. Additionally, the developed strains were found to increase with increasing arch curvature. The influence of the curving process on the overall structural response has been assessed for the arches subjected to compressive distributed loading with either radial or gravity direction, which are the most common cases encountered in engineering practice. In the case of the arches subjected to gravity loading, the ultimate strength capacity when considering the residual stresses was found to be increased by approximately 10%, while in the case of the arches subjected to radial loading, residual stresses had a negligible effect on the overall behaviour.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the State Scholarships Foundation in the frame of the Research Projects of Excellence IKY/Siemens. The second author would like to acknowledge support by the European Community under a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship for Career Development (Grant agreement number 235196).

Appendix A. Dimensions of specimens, best fitting radii and deviations.

The measured dimensions of each specimen, including the length of the horizontal span (L), the height at five locations along the member (h_1-h_5) and the corresponding values of the theoretical form, are summarized in tables A1 and A2, for the high and low arches respectively.

Table A1. High arches dimensions.

Arches	L (mm)	h_1 (mm)	h_2 (mm)	h_3 (mm)	h_4 (mm)	h_5 (mm)
Sp. 1	4726	453	735	825	736	454
Sp. 2	4738	449	723	811	728	454
Sp. 3	4737	458	730	815	729	456
Sp. 4	4734	453	734	821	728	452
Sp. 5	4730	455	732	827	737	458
Sp. 6	4728	467	740	832	739	464
Average	4732	456	732	822	733	456

Theoretical	4725	459	737	825	737	459
-------------	------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table A2. Low arches dimensions.

Arches	L (mm)	h_1 (mm)	h_2 (mm)	h_3 (mm)	h_4 (mm)	h_5 (mm)
Sp. 7	4709	406	654	736	652	401
Sp. 8	4706	409	659	739	661	407
Sp. 9	4725	403	648	723	643	394
Sp. 10	4716	400	659	740	659	402
Sp. 11	4707	402	659	744	660	398
Sp. 12	4711	405	657	739	654	401
Average	4712	404	656	737	655	401
Theoretical	4725	397	645	724	645	397

The best fitting radius and the deriving deviations of each specimen's curvature, obtained by employing the least square method, are presented in tables A3 and A4, for the high and low arches respectively.

Table A3. Best fitting radii and deviations of high arches.

Arches	Best fitting radius (mm)	St. deviation (mm)	Min. deviation (mm)	Max. deviation (mm)
Sp. 1	3708	2.6	-3.6	2.7
Sp. 2	3776	2.1	-2.7	3.3
Sp. 3	3761	1.1	-1.2	1.8
Sp. 4	3733	2.9	-3.0	3.0
Sp. 5	3700	3.0	-3.9	3.9
Sp. 6	3700	2.4	-2.9	3.6
Average	3730	2.4	-2.9	3.0

Table A4. Best fitting radii and deviations of low arches.

Arches	Best fitting radius (mm)	St. deviation (mm)	Min. deviation (mm)	Max. deviation (mm)
Sp. 7	4031	2.3	-2.4	4.3
Sp. 8	4007	2.3	-2.5	3.3
Sp. 9	4109	3.4	-2.6	5.6
Sp. 10	4014	1.9	-3.3	1.5
Sp. 11	3986	2.8	-4.7	2.9
Sp. 12	4022	1.8	-2.4	2.1
Average	4028	2.4	-3.0	3.3

References

- [1] Bjorhovde R. Cold bending of wide-flange shapes for construction. *Engineering Journal* 2006;43(4):271-86.
- [2] C. King, D. Brown, *Design of Curved Steel*, The Steel Construction Institute, Berkshire, 2001.
- [3] Weisenberger G. Bending in the right direction. *Modern Steel Construction* 2007;(5).
- [4] Alwood TA. What engineers should know about bending steel. *Modern Steel Construction* 2006;(5).
- [5] J.B. Kennedy, Minimum Bending Radii for Square & Rectangular Hollow Sections (3-roller Cold Bending), CIDECT Report 11C-88/14, 1988.
- [6] J.F. Brady, Determination of Minimum Radii for Cold Bending of Square and Rectangular Hollow Structural Sections, CIDECT Report 11B-78/12, 1978.
- [7] J.B. Kennedy, Deformations of Hollow Structural Sections Subjected to Cold Bending, CIDECT Report 11Bt-85/2, 1985.
- [8] AISC, *Steel Construction Manual*, 13th Ed., USA, 2006
- [9] ECCS. *Manual on stability of steel structures - ECCS Committee 8 Stability*: 1976.
- [10] Young BW. Residual stresses in hot rolled members. *IABSE Reports of the Working Commissions* 1975;23:2538.
- [11] Galambos TV. *Guide to stability design criteria for metal structures*. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.; 1998.
- [12] Alpsten G. Residual stresses, yield stress, and the column strength of hot- rolled and roller-straightened steel shapes. *IABSE Reports of the Working Commissions* 1975;23:3959.
- [13] Kato B, Aoki H. Residual stresses in cold-formed tubes. *Journal of Strain Analysis* 1978;13(4):193204.
- [14] Weng CC, White RN. Residual stresses in cold-bent thick steel plates. *Journal of Structural Engineering ASCE* 1990;116(1):2439.
- [15] Weng CC, Pekoz T. Residual stresses in cold-formed steel members. *Journal of Structural Engineering ASCE* 1990;116(6):161125.
- [16] C.D. Moen, T. Igusa, B.W. Schafer, Prediction of residual stresses and strains in cold-formed steel members, *Thin-Walled Struct.* 46 (2008) 1274–1289. doi:10.1016/j.tws.2008.02.002.
- [17] M. Abambres and W.-M. Quach, Residual stresses in steel members : a review of available analytical expressions, *International Journal of Structural Integrity* 7 (2016). doi:10.1108/IJSI-12-2014-0070.
- [18] S.P. Timoshenko, *Strength of Materials: Part II: Advanced Theory and Problems*, 2 ed.D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., N. Y., 1940
- [19] R.C. Spoorenberg, H.H. Snijder, J.C.D. Hoenderkamp, Experimental investigation of residual stresses in roller bent wide flange steel sections, *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 66 (2010) 737–747.

- 510 [20] R.C. Spoorenberg, H.H. Snijder, J.C.D. Hoenderkamp, Finite element simulations of residual stresses in roller bent wide flange
511 sections, *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 67 (2011) 39–50.
- 512 [21] R.C. Spoorenberg, H.H. Snijder, J.C.D. Hoenderkamp, Proposed residual stress model for roller bent steel wide flange sections,
513 *J. Constr. Steel Res.* 67 (2011) 992–1000.
- 514 [22] S.P. Chiew, Y.F. Jin, C.K. Lee, Residual stress distribution of roller bending of steel rectangular structural hollow sections,
515 *JCSR.* 119 (2016) 85–97. doi:10.1016/j.jcsr.2015.12.016.
- 516 [23] Y.L. Pi, N.S. Trahair, In-plane inelastic buckling and strengths of steel arches, *J. Struct. Eng.* 122 (7) (1996).
- 517 [24] Y.L. Pi, N.S. Trahair, Inelastic lateral buckling strength and design of steel arches, *Eng. Struct.* 22 (2000) 993–1005.
- 518 [25] Komatsu S, Sakimoto T. Ultimate load carrying capacity of steel arches. *Journal of the Structural Division* 1977;103(12):2323-
519 36.
- 520 [26] M. Hadjioannou, C. Douthe, C.J. Gantes, Influence of Cold Bending on the Resistance of Wide Flange Members, 13 (2013)
521 353–366. doi:10.1007/s13296-013-2013-6.
- 522 [27] ADINA System Release Notes. ADINA R&D Inc. Watertown. U.S.A.; 2017.
- 523 [28] EN ISO 6892-1:2009, *Metallic Materials – Tensile Testing – Part 1: Method of Test at Room Temperature*, March 2009.
- 524 [29] P.W. Key, G.J. Hancock, *A Theoretical Investigation of the Column Behaviour of Cold-Formed Square Hollow Sections, Thin-*
525 *Walled Structures*, vol. 16, pp. 31-64, 1993.
- 526 [30] Gantes CJ, Fragkopoulos KA. Strategy for Numerical Verification of Steel Structures at the Ultimate Limit State. *Struct &*
527 *Infrastruct Eng* 2010;6:225–255.