International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring 2019, Vol. 17(2), pp.3-19. DOI: 10.24384/sa09-av91

**Academic Paper** 

# Breaking Grad: Building Resilience Among a Sample of Graduate Students Struggling with Stress and Anxiety via a Peer Coaching Model – An 8-Month Pilot Study

Rebecca R. Fried (Western University) Melanie-Anne P. Atkins (Western University) Jennifer D. Irwin ⊠ (Western University)

## Abstract

This mixed methods, 8-month pilot study investigated graduate students' (n = 11) experiences in *Breaking Grad*, a peer coaching program derived from mentorship, motivational interviewing, and Co-Active Life Coaching. Offered to address stress and anxiety, while enhancing resilience, participants' psychosocial experiences of the program were assessed via individual semi-structured interviews and validated questionnaires at pre-, mid-, and immediate post-intervention. Qualitatively, participants viewed the intervention as positively impacting their ability to cope with stress and anxiety and enhancing resilience. Quantitatively, one-way, repeated-measures ANOVAs indicated no statistical significance. The qualitative findings are encouraging. This intervention should be assessed with a larger sample.

#### Keywords

mental health, resilience, graduate students, peer coaching, mentorship,

#### **Article history**

Accepted for publication: 10 July 2019 Published online: 01 August 2019



© the Author(s) Published by Oxford Brookes University

# Introduction

Postgraduate/graduate students – those pursuing a Master's or Doctoral degree – are unlike undergraduate students in many ways (Arnold, 2014). In addition to completing course-work, postgraduate students are typically required to engage in research projects, and teaching and research assistantships (Arnold, 2014). One unfortunate similarity between postgraduate students and undergraduate students centres around mental health challenges. Specifically, mental health on university campuses is considered a crisis for both cohorts (Evans, Bira, Gastelum, Weiss, & Vanderford, 2018; Wyatt & Oswalt, 2013). However, postgraduate students encounter unique stressors pertaining to research funding, supervisors, pressure to publish, and finishing

theses/dissertations within a reasonable time frame (Arnold, 2014; Canadian Federation of Students-Ontario [CFSO], 2018), A recent study (Evans et al., 2018) conveyed that postgraduate students are more than six times as likely to experience depression and anxiety versus the general population. Further, in an online survey (CFSO, 2018), wherein Ontario postgraduate students (n = 2,001) reported events related to mental health issues, bullying, and harassment, it was found that: (a) 51% did not feel supported by their academic institution; (b) 70% felt pressured to overwork; (c) 67% reported anxiety to finish their degree requirements on time and balance their workload; and (d) 63% described feeling anxious and fearful of failing and/or appearing weak. Despite rising psychological issues among postgraduate students (Clay, 2013), they are often neglected with respect to mental health services (Arnold, 2014). According to a recent meta-analysis (Yusfov, Nicoloro-SanataBarbara, Grey, Moyer, & Lobel, 2018), only a handful of postgraduate-focused interventions exist, and this was corroborated in a systematic review (Stillwell, Vermeesch, & Scott, 2017). These interventions include a successful study by Steinhardt and Dolbier (2008) who investigated the impact of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT), coping skills training, psychoeducation, and social support on the resilience and perceived stress of 57 American postgraduate students (n = 30 experimental; n = 27 wait-list control). At post-intervention, they found the experimental cohort exhibited significantly higher scores for resilience and protective factors, more effective coping strategies, and lower scores on symptomatology (i.e. perceived stress) versus the wait-list control cohort. Another approach by Cohen and Miller (2009) utilized interpersonal mindfulness training (IMT) on 21 American postgraduate students to assess its impact on perceived stress and mindfulness. The researchers found that IMT positively affects perceived stress and anxiety, as well as social connectedness, emotional intelligence, and mindfulness. Yusufov and colleagues (2018) concluded coping skills, CBT, and social support as most efficacious among the approaches used to date, in terms of decreasing perceived stress and psychoeducation, relaxation training, and mindfulness for reducing anxiety. Stillwell and colleagues (2017) deduced MBSR (e.g. mindfulness, breathing techniques) was effective in reducing perceived stress. Wider-spread investigations building upon the approaches used previously, and noted above, are recommended. And, because so few interventions have been implemented to date, it is also important to explore additional innovative strategies to help postgraduate students cope with stress and anxiety, while enhancing their resilience. In fact, in 2018, Evans and colleagues discussed the "critical need for additional studies investigating strategies addressing the mental health crisis in the graduate trainee population" (p. 283).

The current pilot study utilized an innovative approach to help enhance postgraduate students' stress, anxiety, and resilience. The foundation of this pilot study was built on previous work in this field, such that the researchers sought to utilize and assess the impact of tools that have demonstrated considerable efficacy for mitigating and managing stress and anxiety, such as social support, as well as techniques that promote mindful behaviours. Given that a health promotion perspective formed the backbone of this current pilot study, the intervention endeavoured to provide participants with the necessary tools to increase their control over their own health and wellbeing (World Health Organization, 2016); with the further intention of providing participants with the necessary tools to build and increase resilience to stress- and anxiety-related issues in order to prevent the need for clinical interventions (when possible and applicable). For the purpose of this paper, stress is viewed as the reaction to an external demand (Selye, 1956) or an individual's response to a change in surroundings due to a stressor (Cook, 2014). Chronic stress may be detrimental to overall health because it increases the risk of experiencing psychological and physical health ailments (Mariotti, 2015). Anxiety is considered more intense than stress, and it results in mental and physical effects (Rector, Bourdeau, Kitchen, & Joseph-Massiah, 2008). It tends to be characterized by worrying and fear, irrespective of the presence of a stressor (Arkin & Rucks, 2007). Constant anxiety can be deleterious to wellbeing and health (Arkin & Rucks, 2007). By contrast, resilience is considered essential for positive mental health, and is described as the ability to recover from, and cope with, difficult life situations (Tugade & Fredrickson, 2011). To address the stress, anxiety, and resilience of postgraduate students in the current study, a peer coaching approach was used. The approach was derived using peer mentorship, and motivational interviewing tenets (MI; [Miller & Rollnick, 2002]) that were applied via tools from Co-Active Life

Coaching (CALC; [Kimsey-House, Kimsey-House, Sandahl, & Whitworth, 2018]; MI-via-CALC). A brief review of each component of the approach is described below:

## **Peer Mentorship**

Occurring between people with shared experiences, mentorship can be described as a symbiotic relationship, providing social and emotional support - and it is vital for mental well-being (Mental Health Commission of Canada, 2016). Benefits include: (a) gaining support networks; (b) personal development and self-reflection; and (c) skill acquisition (Grima, Paillé, Mejia, & Prud'homme, 2014; Ragins & Scandura, 1999; Zey, 1984). Mentorship has been shown to enhance resilience (Zimmerman & Bingenheimer, 2002) and has demonstrated efficacy for building resilience in interventions assessing student populations (Fried, Karmali, Irwin, Gable, & Salmoni, 2018), For instance, Fried and colleagues (2018) addressed the use of a peer mentorship model among 30 full-time undergraduate students and its impact on increasing physical activity and resilience. Participants utilized a mentorship model based on Leadership Effectiveness Training (LET; [Gordon, 2001]) and a one-hour MI training session. The researchers found that through peer mentorship, participants increased their levels of physical activity and resilience. Participants specifically noted that the intervention helped to improve their mental health and provided support and important skills for mental health and in general (e.g. listening, time-management; [Fried et al., 2018]). Cyr, McKee, O'Hagan, and Priest (2016) further highlighted peer-mentorship's utility, such that through interviews, focus groups, and surveys, Canadians (n = 820) reported positive responses concerning the use of peer-based support for mental health. One participant stated, "Peer support is about providing all the tools besides medication – the tools for the other 80% of your life" (p. 50).

## **MI-via-CALC**

To structure the mentorship relationship and provide the peer coaching model that was used for the intervention, an integrated model that has been studied (Newnham-Kanas, Irwin, & Morrow, 2010) and used extensively in the senior author's research program (e.g. Mantler, Irwin, Morrow, Hall, & Mandich, 2014; Mantler, Irwin, & Morrow, 2013), was adopted. Namely, MI-via-CALC, was used. This is a "theoretically-grounded, collaborative type of coaching wherein the coach and client are seen as equals who function as a team to resolve issues upon which the client chooses to focus" (Fried & Irwin, 2016, p. 18). The intention is to address the receiver's ambivalence toward behaviour changes, while creating solutions to elicit changes through powerful, open-ended questions. Although MI is efficacious for treatment adherence in anxiety disorders (Westra & Dozois, 2006), it lacks consistent training and standardized tools for implementation (Hettema, Steele, & Miller, 2005). Contrarily, CALC encompasses all of MI's tenets, while having concrete tools and rigorous training to put those tenets into action (Newnham-Kanas, Irwin, & Morrow, 2010). Further, several literature reviews regarding health coaching concluded that while an abundance of coaching interventions exist - as well as a plethora of coaching practices - very few studies define and provide specifics on the specific coaching and methods used (Ammentorp et al., 2013; Olsen, 2014; Olsen & Nesbitt, 2010). Comparatively, a recent scoping review (Liu, Irwin, & Morrow, 2015) highlighted MI-via-CALC's increasing evidence base as an efficacious approach for modifying health behaviours. Knowing the specific components that might have been used in an intervention (e.g. powerful questions, providing advice only with permission, acknowledging receiver's experience), is essential for researchers to be as transparent as possible about what transpired (i.e. validity; Maul, 2018).

An extensive body of literature exemplifies MI-via-CALC's efficacy for various health behaviours, which have all been associated with reductions in stress (e.g. Mantler et al., 2013; Pearson, Irwin, Morrow, Battram, & Melling, 2013). Further, MI-via-CALC has specifically been used as an intervention for university students' mental health (Fried & Irwin, 2016), wherein undergraduate students worked with certified coaches for an academic semester. Statistical significance was

found in a favourable direction for all scales from pre-intervention to mid-intervention and from preintervention to post-intervention, but not from mid-intervention to post-intervention. These findings were corroborated through qualitative interviews, where participants described MI-via-CALC's positive impact on their stress and overall mental health. Although promising, MI-via-CALC has not previously been utilized with postgraduate students in any capacity known to the authors. As such, the purpose of this pilot study was to investigate postgraduate students' experiences in a peer coaching program – derived from mentorship, motivational interviewing, and Co-Active Life Coaching – intended to address stress and anxiety, while enhancing resilience.

# Methodology

## **Recruitment and participants**

This mixed methods, pilot research (MMR) study was conducted at a large Canadian university. Once approval was received from the host institution's Office of Research Ethics, postgraduate students in all departments/faculties were sent a recruitment email en masse. Participants were asked to contact the researchers if they were interested in participating in the program, called Breaking Grad, and wanted a Letter of Information/Consent form. Participants were contacted in order of their responses. The inclusion criteria consisted of: (a) full-time postgraduate students at the host institution; (b) English-speaking; (c) not receiving counselling/therapy; (d) not taking medications for stress or anxiety <sup>[1]</sup>; (e) experiencing stress and anxiety that interfered with daily living; and (f) ability to attend a full-day training, which was required to ensure all participants received consistent training. Participants met with a researcher (RRF) to confirm eligibility and complete pre-intervention assessments. It was estimated that 20 participants were sufficient to detect a moderate effect (r2 = .12) of a three-level within-subject independent variable more than 80% of the time, using an alpha of .05. Despite great interest (over 100 responses were received), only 16 people enrolled during the two-week recruitment period, due to others' inability to attend the mandatory training, which needed to take place by the end of September to maximize the intervention duration. That is, the current pilot study sought to assess the suitability of this intervention over an academic year (September - May). Given longer- versus shorter-term interventions have been correlated with better outcomes for postgraduate students (e.g. relaxation training; Yusufov et al., 2018) - and because some postgraduates engage in research/fieldwork offcampus during the Summer term - the researchers chose to move forward with those enrolled to avoid reducing the duration of the intervention. Two participants dropped out by not receiving training, two dropped out before mid-intervention citing time constraints, and one dropped out before mid-intervention due to a personal issue. The final sample was 11 (Table 1).

## Procedure

This MMR, eight-month pilot study used a repeated-measures design, with participants acting as their own controls (as per Keiffer, 2002). Data was collected at pre-intervention (September 2017), mid-intervention (January 2018), and immediately post-intervention (April 2018). To provide concrete tools, participants attended an eight-hour MI-via-CALC training session presented by the researchers (JDI & RRF; both are Certified Professional Co-Active Coaches and JDI is an experienced MI-via-CALC trainer). The training was interactive and included groupwork and content- and tool-based handouts (e.g. definitions, suggestions for powerful/open-ended questions, practice coaching). Researchers also met with participants every two to five weeks (n = 8 sessions) for one-hour group check-in meetings to discuss coaching experiences and challenges, and to provide skill refinement. Additionally, a two-hour booster session was offered (January 2018) for refining skills.

Participant Characteristic	N	%	Mean	SD
Sex				
Male	3	27.3	n/a	
Female	7	63.6	-	
Other: Genderqueer female	1	9.1		
Age				
22	1	9.1	24.45 2.54	
25	1	9.1	1	
26	1	9.1	1	
27	2	18.18	1	
28	3	27.27	1	
30	2	18.18	1	
31	1	9.1	1	
Ethnicity				
Caucasian	4	36.4	n/a	
East Asian	2	18.2	1	
Hispanic	1	9.1	1	
Middle Eastern	3	27.3	1	
South Asian	1	9.1	1	
Student Type				
Domestic	5	45.5	n/a	
International	6	54.5		
Degree				
Master's	7	63.6	n/a	
Doctoral	4	36.4	1	
Year of Enrollment				
1st	6	54.5	1.64	0.92
2nd	4	36.4	1	
3rd	0	0	1	
4th	1	9.1	1	
Faculty				
Education	3	27.3	n/a	
Health Sciences	1	9.1	1	
Information and Media Studies	2	18.2	1	
Affiliate University College	1	9.1	1	
Medicine and Dentistry (MSc/PhD, Not MDs)	2	18.2	1	
Science	1	9.1	1	
Social Sciences	1	9.1	1	
Employment				
Full-time	0	0	n/a	
Part-time	5	45.5		
Not working	4	36.4		
Other: Teaching Assistant for one term	2	18.2		

#### Table 1: Demographic Information

Participants worked in assigned and arbitrarily-matched dyads, which changed seven times throughout the study. This was done to allow participants the opportunity to interact with a diverse group of their peers. Participants agreed to engage in four, 35-40-minute sessions per month – two as coach, and two as client/receiver. Each participant agreed to use only the tools learned during the training session, and each partnership decided on the mode of engagement they preferred (i.e. in-person, Skype/FaceTime, or telephone).

## Qualitative measures.

#### Individual semi-structured interviews and note-taking

Semi-structured interview questions were created prior to implementation and deemed appropriate for the study by nine postgraduate students external to the study. At each time point, interviews: (a) were conducted to explore participants' intervention-related experiences; (b) occurred in a lab at the host institution, lasted 12-minutes to 1-hour (average: ~33-minutes); and (c) were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. To mitigate social desirability, *honesty demands* (Bates, 1992)

were employed (i.e. participants were asked to be honest, as specific answers were not being sought). Research team members (RRF, DS, & KS) recorded detailed notes during check-in meetings, which were part of the data; however, no identifiable information was recorded in order to maintain confidentiality (Table 2).

Table 2: Sem	i-Structured	Interview	Questions
--------------	--------------	-----------	-----------

Pre-Intervention	Mid-Intervention & Post-Intervention*
How would you describe your current level of stress and/or	What impact has the intervention had on you so far?
anxiety?	
In what ways does school affect your mental health/well-	What have you noticed since being in the study with respect to your
being?	mental health, stress, anxiety, and resiliency?
Given the definition of resiliency, how would you describe	What changes have you noticed since being in the intervention?
your own resilience?	
What does a healthy school experience look like?	What is working out well for you?
In what ways are your stress- and/or anxiety-related needs	What challenges are you having?
supported or met – or NOT – at school?	
What supports do you feel you need to cope with your stress	What else have you noticed about how the intervention has impacted
and/or anxiety?	you (so far)?
If at the end of the study you were to say it was effective,	In what ways do you intend to use the MI-via-CALC skills you obtained
what would be different?	in this study in the future? *post-intervention
What else should the researchers know?	What else should the researchers know?

## **Quantitative measures**

The following were distributed via Qualtrics® at pre-, mid-, and immediate post-intervention.

### **Brief Resilience Scale (BRS)**

Participants completed the Brief Resilience Scale (BRS; Smith et al., 2008), an instrument that assesses resilience in its most basic and original meaning: to bounce back or recover from stress. For example, one item indicates: "I have a hard time making it through stressful events" (Smith et al., 2008, p. 196). The 5-point Likert scale (strongly disagree to strongly agree) consists of 6 items, and is scored by reverse coding items 2, 4, and 6 and finding the mean of all six items. Items 1, 3, and 5 are positively worded, and items 2, 4, and 6 are negatively worded. Higher means signify higher levels of resilience. The scale is a reliable medium to quantify resilience (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .0.84-0.87; [Smith et al., 2008; Windle, Bennett, & Noyes, 2011]).

#### Mental Health Inventory (MHI)

Participants completed the Mental Health Inventory (MHI; Veit & Ware, 1983), which measures overall emotional functioning, and is utilized to enumerate mental health status and psychological well-being. The MHI consists of an 18-item questionnaire operating on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*all of the time*) to 6 (*none of the time*), with items 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, and 15 allocated a reverse score. It is comprised of "four subscales (Anxiety, Depression, Behavioral Control, Positive Affect), and one total score" (National Multiple Sclerosis Society [NMSS], 1997, p. 29). The total score and subscales range from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicate better mental health. The MHI is valid and reliable (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .93; NMSS, 1997; Ware, Kosinski, & Gandek, 2003). Because it was created to assess general psychological distress and well-being in otherwise 'healthy' populations, it is appropriate for measuring mental health in general populations, such as students (Veit & Ware, 1983).

#### Short Form (36) Health Survey (SF-36)

Participants were also required to complete the Short Form (36) Health Survey (SF-36; Ware, Kosinski, & Gandek, 2003), which includes additional dominions (e.g. physical functioning) to that of the BRS and MHI. The SF-36 appraises an individual's perception of physical, emotional, and mental health, consisting of 36 questions and 8 subscales. To score it, pre-coded numeric values

are re-coded based on the scoring key, where higher scores assume a more positive state of health. Each item is scored between 0 (lowest) to 100 (highest). Based on the scoring guide, "scores are representative of the percentage of the total possible score achieved" (RAND, 2018, para. 3). The survey has been utilized with various sub-populations of university students (Ribeiro et al., 2017) and is a validated, feasible, reliable (Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = .80; Jenkinson, Coulter, & Wright, 1993), and simple survey to complete among both general and patient populations (Brazier et al., 1992; Garratt, Ruta, Abdalla, & Russell, 1994).

# Data Analysis

## Qualitative

To obtain a comprehensive understanding of participants' experiences and to find emergent themes, inductive content analysis was utilized (Patton, 2002). Quality assurance steps were followed: (Guba & Lincoln, 1989): (a) *credibility* – member-checking/reflecting back responses between questions/at the end of interviews to confirm responses were accurately understood; (b) *confirmability* – inductive content analysis completed separately by multiple parties (RRF, KS, & ZR) who then met to resolve any discrepancies and collectively determine main themes; (c) *dependability* – research process was recorded in rich detail for an audit trail; findings were debriefed, summarized, and deliberated within the research team to ensure biases were not present; and (d)*transferability* – methods, procedures, and analyses were documented, enabling others to determine whether or not findings are transferable to other situations.

## Quantitative

Descriptive statistics were run using IBM SPSS (version 21.0). One-way, repeated-measures ANOVAs were run using an alpha of 0.05 on all scales and sub-scales to determine statistical significance. The Greenhouse-Geisser correction was implemented when Mauchly's test of sphericity was violated. Adjusted p values were obtained using adjusted degrees of freedom (p < .017). To counteract Type I Errors, the Bonferroni correction was administered to all ANOVAs.

# Findings

## Qualitative

### **Pre-intervention**

Participants discussed their current levels of stress and anxiety, the impact of stress on daily life, their views on resilience, and what they wanted from the intervention. The following themes surfaced: (a) presence of stress (school, feedback, comparison); (b) support (social, supervisors, school); (c) balance; (d) physical activity; (e) relatively resilient; and (f) increased coping skills. When describing their current levels of stress and anxiety, all participants discussed constant feelings of stress and anxiousness, primarily resulting from school's multiple demands, such as deadlines for grants/scholarships and individual aspects of research projects (e.g. ethics, proposals, data collection, conferences); teaching abilities and marking (e.g. teaching assistantships), and PhD candidacy exams. Participants also explained that unlike their undergraduate student experiences, which included regular feedback for progress from exams and assignments, feedback from supervisors is often the only progress-related information received, which is sometimes infrequent and insufficient to capture academic progression. Reflecting on achievements through comparisons to peers was reported as leading to feelings of inadequacy. All

participants vocalized the importance of a strong support system, whether from family, friends, peers, supervisors, and/or school. With regard to supervisors, findings were divided; some participants reported a supportive relationship, while others the opposite. Findings were also split with respect to social interactions, with some participants describing a supportive cohort from school, or family and friends outside of school who they could utilize for support, while others felt isolated. Most participants felt school services were difficult to access due to long wait times and because they did not always match their needs (e.g. needing a counsellor, but being turned away because they were not deemed to be in crisis; being prescribed medication, when that was not wanted or perceived as needed). Every participant described a longing for better time-management and work-life balance. Participants voiced concerns about heavy workloads, often leading to prioritizing school above health. Almost all participants highlighted physical activity's positive impacts on mental health, but noted having to pay for classes at the host institution's gymnasium made it difficult to be active. All participants described feeling relatively resilient, as they had all been able to manage their stress and anxiety during difficult situations; however, they all desired to become more resilient. All participants expressed interest in learning new coping skills to manage stress and anxiety, so they could help others. Table 3 presents illustrative quotations.

Presence of Stress
School
"I don't know what a healthy school experience would look likeit's so far away
Feedback

Table	3:	<b>Pre-Intervention</b>	Themes
-------	----	-------------------------	--------

Presence of Stress	
School	
"I don't know what a healthy school experience would look likeit's so far away from what we manage"	
Feedback	
"Getting feedback, knowing where I am now the tasks are too long and there's nothing that you are getting backthere is	no
specific thing that you have to submit and get grades"	
Comparisons	
"Iget jealous [when] comparing myself [to my colleagues]"	
Support	
Social	
"Being away from my country, my community, my friends, I feel isolated which makes [life and school] more stressful."	
"[My cohort] still do[es] everything together that has been awesometo go through everything with them and feel comfortat	ole"
Supervisors	
I have [a] complicated relationship with my supervisor. I'm afraid to talk with [them]."	
"I have a really great supervisor, who is always like, "What you're doing is enough," which is sometimes the best thing to hear	
especially when I feel like [I'm not doing enough]"	
School	
"I couldn't count on [the university]they were really unprepared, or they didn't care enough I didn't feel supported."	
Balance	
"I want a balance of everything and trying to get thatwas a stressorI'm studying too much, I'm not going out to have fun, I g	jet
stressed. If I'm having too much fun and I'm not studying, I get stressed…"	
Physical Activity	
"I love exercising[it's] radically changed my ability toshake off whatever has been bothering me all day."	
"I would love to go to the gym but [you have to] pay for each classand I don't work if I want to do more than one class [I can	't]…"
Relatively Resilient	
I'm pretty resilient, but then deep down I don't know how to let go of some things."	
Increased Coning Skills	

Increased Coping Skills

"...If I participate [in this study], I will gain skills to communicate with people and also [have] the skills to cope with stress..."

#### **Mid-intervention**

Participants described what they noticed since being in the study, and their stress/anxiety, and resilience. The following arose: (a) improved mental health (better emotional health, increased selfawareness, reduced stress/better equipped); (b) increased resilience; (c) improved communication (listening skills, less advice-giving, acknowledgments); (d) social support and connection; (e) shifting perspectives; and (f) difficulty scheduling. When describing their mental health, most participants said it had improved overall, and they experienced better emotional health and increased self-awareness. Most participants spoke about reduced levels of stress; however, some participants noted their stress was the same, but they were better equipped to handle their stressors. The majority of participants highlighted their ability to bounce back during stressful situations. All participants emphasized MI-via-CALC's positive impacts and described they had

become better listeners for their study peers, and everyone in their lives; and verbalized their new ease with listening versus advice-giving. All participants described feeling good when acknowledging others, because their receivers often reported feeling seen and heard; and, they enjoyed receiving acknowledgments for the same reason. A shared feeling of connection and support was common for all participants, and they described feeling comforted and grateful having support from their coaching partners and the rest of the group, explaining it was easier to speak to people who cared and had their best interests at heart, but did not judge or advise as friends or family might. Participants appreciated being surrounded by other postgraduates, because they could relate to each other in terms of workload and experiences. All participants explained being accountable to their partners and to the group helped with committing to changing behaviours. Lastly, all participants vocalized their newfound ability to view stress and anxiety from different perspectives, which helped with coping and stress management. Due to everyone being a busy postgraduate student with varying schedules, all participants voiced frustrations with scheduling coaching sessions. Table 4 provides explanatory quotations.

Table 4: Mid-Intervention Themes
Improved Mental Health
Better Emotional Health
"My emotional health has improved 70% through this programwhen I did the questionnaireI compared my emotionsto when I first
did itI realized a big difference. I was so happyI was too depressedthe first time"
Increased Self-Awareness
"[Coaching's] been positive. I'm continuing to always havequestions for myself evolv[ing] in knowing yourself so you can continue
to do the work that you want to do andto have the relationships you want to have I've shelvedemotion or stress or anxiety about
different things because it was too challenging to look in the face"
Reduced Stress/Better Equipped
"[Coaching] has made me more easy going[The stress didn't] disappear completelyI'm getting better at dealing with [stress]"
Increased Resilience
"I improved [my resiliency] a lot I never expected to be at this stage I'm really thankful!"
Improved Communication
Listening Skills
"When you're listening to someone you just zone out. NowI know I can focusbe open-ended about it, non-judgmentalI'm more
open to listeningin a way that I'm totally involved with what the other person is saying."
Less Advice-Giving
"I definitely try to give less advice now"
Acknowledgments
"Being acknowledged helped me change my perspectives. In hard or difficult situations, I used to be stuck[coaching helped me] see
through a different lensto feel the strength that I have the resiliency"
Social Support and Connection
"[Coaching] helps me work through things we're all graduate students a lot of what people want to changeare things I
completely relate with it's nice when somebody's vulnerable with you about something you also feel a little insecure about."
Accountability
"[Coaching's] given me an accountability, whether it's a discussed, blatant accountability orthat I'm going to be talking with
somebody about it."
Shifting Perspectives
"Through the support of the coachesI changed the way I see myself and the experience."
Difficulty Scheduling

#### Tal

"...The challenge is we do not have regular meeting times [with our partners].... it's hard to get ourselves and other people together."

### **Post-Intervention**

Participants shared their experiences and what had changed since starting the study. Themes that emerged were: (a) sense of connection and support; (b) improved mental health, resilience, and (self-)awareness (and awareness pertaining to others); (c) increased confidence; (d) gained skills (listening, shifting perspectives, acknowledging); (e) program frustrations (scheduling, pairings, more practice); and (f) overall positive experiences. All participants described feeling a sense of community, connection, and genuine support from their study peers. Overall, participants all believed their mental health and resilience improved, as did their self-awareness around mental health and accessing supports. Participants described increased confidence with managing daily stressors and anxiety, and with using MI-via-CALC. All participants highlighted the important skills they gained. They specifically noted becoming better listeners and giving less advice, learning to

view stress and anxiety from different perspectives, and learning to acknowledge their coaching partners and themselves when experiencing challenges. While a positive experience overall, there were frustrations. All participants felt organizing sessions was difficult due to varying schedules. While most participants preferred steady dyads versus shifting pairings, which occurred due to uneven participant numbers, three participants preferred shifting pairings. All participants expressed the desire for more coaching practice, whether with the study group, their coaching partners, friends or family, or the general public. Many participants also described being frustrated that sometimes their coach was not using the MI-via-CALC tools, or they were not used correctly, making sessions less beneficial. Additionally, a few participants noted their partners wanted to coach in public spaces, making it difficult to have meaningful and vulnerable sessions. Regarding the intervention overall, all participants expressed the desire for the program to be offered throughout their degree and to all students. Table 5 provides quotations that exemplify the above.

#### **Table 5: Post-Intervention Themes**

Sense of Connection and Support
"[Grad school] can feel isolatingany opportunity to make a meaningful connection with people you didn't previously know is
welcomed[the coaching] had a really good impact on me"
Improved Mental Health, Resilience, and Awareness
Mental Health
"I'm willing to take the time to try and focus on my mental health [the intervention] gave me permissionto be the best version of
myself in a mental health way."
Resilience
"There's been some vicarious resilience I've been able to glean from listeningto people solving their problemsI can bringthose
things into my life"
(Self-)Awareness
Being self-reflective and identifying what might be helpful for me in the change I want to make[I'm] a more well-rounded person in
terms of dealing with my own and other people's conflicts…"
Increased Confidence
"My energy changedI have more self-confidence knowing that every person is naturally creative, resourceful, and wholeit's
empowering"
Gained Skills
"I learned new skills in supporting myself and overcoming difficulties."
Listening
"[I] thought [listening to my receiver] would be difficultit was refreshing to see that I could actually listen to a person."
Shifting Perspectives
"I enjoyed the idea that experience is this weird, amorphous thing that is intangible; but impacts us in really physical ways. It's not of one
particular kind. Nothing is inherently good or bad. It's the perspective you bring"
Acknowledging
"I always end my interviews with an acknowledgementthat has been received positively"
Program Frustrations
Scheduling
"Scheduling is very hard. Scheduling with grad students who are different"
Pairings
It wasn't very good that [the pairings] turned to [me] coach[ing] somebody and somebody else coaches me [versus having set
pairings]."
[Having different partners] really works better for meI'm the receiver and this is the coach [for] two sessions and[in] one month
you will meet two different people."
More Practice
I need more practice and for some reason the practice we got wasn't enough."
Skill Level
"I felt that maybe some people [didn't] know what the tools are or how to use them."
Public Spaces
"Uncomfortable those sessions weren't as beneficial because they were in loud or crowded places."
Positive Experience
"Excited and full of energy the most positive thing that happened to me"
Implement Program for All Students
"I would love to see this utilized in a university setting I've been able to garner a lot of interest from people"
Continued Interest
If you are planning to have something similarat the university in the futureI would love to participate."

Although all participants engaged in several forms of coaching (i.e. telephone, in-person, FaceTime/Skype) successfully, at the end of the study, all participants expressed their preference

for in-person coaching, citing that in-person coaching afforded the opportunity to assess facial expressions and body language, which was needed as they were learning to implement MI-via-CALC skills. It was learned that participants favoured one-hour sessions, often exceeding 30- to 45-minutes, but sometimes had shorter sessions (15-minutes). Five participants did not work with all of their partners, eight participants did not have all of their sessions, and three participants worked with all of their partners and had all sessions. Thus, participants had between 24-28 sessions (~14 as coach, ~14 as receiver). Despite any discrepancies, all participants experienced approximately 30-coaching-related hours (a combined total from all program components). Findings from the check-in meetings coincided with the semi-structured interviews.

## Quantitative

Scale	F-Statistic	Pre-Intervention	Mid- Intervention	Post- Intervention	Statistical Significance (Between Time-Periods; <i>p</i> < .05) <sup>+</sup>
Brief Resilience Scale*	[F(1.22, 12.18) = .22, p > .017]	Mean = 3.14, Standard Deviation = .69	M = 3.21, SD = .62	M = 3.26, SD = .80	Over time <sup>#</sup> : No ( <i>p</i> = .70)
Mental Health Inventory Total	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = 1.51, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 67.27, SD = 13.42	<i>M</i> = 75.00, <i>SD</i> = 11.68	M = 73.55, SD = 13.92	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .24)
Anxiety	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = 2.01, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 46.18, SD = 21.49	M = 57.09, SD = 23.81	M = 53.45, SD = 23.21	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .16)
Depression	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = 2.06, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 59.09, SD = 17.44	M = 70.91, SD = 13.57	M = 69.09, SD = 17.87	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .15)
Behavioural Control	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = .18, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 66.82, SD = 19.40	<i>M</i> = 70.00, <i>SD</i> = 10.95	M = 70.91, SD = 18.28	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .84)
Positive Affect	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = .74, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 50.45, SD = 15.88	M = 55.91, SD = 15.30	M = 57.27, SD = 18.62	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .49)
SF-36 Physical Functioning*	[F(1.33, 13.30) = 1.96, p > .017]	M = 96.82, SD = 5.14	M = 87.27, SD = 26.11	M = 85.45, SD = 19.42	Over time: No (p = .19)
Role Limitations Due to Physical Health	[F(2, 20)] = .14, p > .05]	M = 72.73 SD = 42.51	<i>M</i> = 75.00 <i>SD</i> = 27.39	M = 68.18 SD = 41.97	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .87)
Role Limitations Due to Emotional Health	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = .39, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 27.27 SD = 29.13	M = 39.39, SD = 29.13	M = 39.39, SD = 44.27	Over time: No $(p = .68)$
Pain	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = 1.39, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 80.00, SD = 23.21	M = 79.09 SD = 23.59	<i>M</i> = 65.68, <i>SD</i> = 27.46	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .27)
Energy & Fatigue	[ <i>F</i> (2, 20) = 1.23, <i>p</i> > .05]	M = 37.73, SD = 17.23	M = 41.36, SD = 20.38	M = 46.36, SD = 20.99	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .31)
Emotional Well-Being	[F(2, 20)] = .81, p > .05]	M = 57.09, SD = 20.17	<i>M</i> = 64.00, <i>SD</i> = 17.25	M = 64.36, SD = 17.75	Over time: No $(p = .46)$
Social Functioning	[F(2, 20)] = 1.23, p > .05]	M = 54.55, SD = 21.85	<i>M</i> = 67.05, <i>SD</i> = 21.12	M = 62.50, SD = 29.05	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .31)
General Health	[F(2, 20)] = .26, p > .05]	M = 69.55, SD = 21.96	M = 71.82, SD = 21.13	M = 70.91, SD = 19.21	Over time: No ( <i>p</i> = .78)

#### Table 6: Repeated-Measures ANOVAs (Pre-, Mid, & Post-Intervention)

Notes:

\* Mauchly's test of sphericity was violated; Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied. Adjusted p values calculated using adjusted degrees of freedom; p < .017.

+ p < .05 unless marked by \*.

# 'Over time' refers to differences between related means overall (Laerd Statistics, 2013).

There were no statistically significant findings (Table 6).

# Discussion

The majority of participants in the current pilot study were female, which may be explained, in part, by 55% of the postgraduates at the host institution being female (2.691; [Office of Institutional Planning & Budgeting, 2018]). Further, more women report their mental health issues versus men (Bushnik, 2016); and between the ages of 20 to 34, women (25.2%) are more likely to report high levels of perceived life stress compared to men (20.7%; [Bushnik, 2016]). Additionally, research has highlighted that female postgraduate students experience higher stress levels versus males (Jungbluth, MacFarlane, Veach, & LeRoy, 2011; Matheny, Ashby, & Cupp, 2005). The researchers have previously witnessed this phenomenon (i.e. more women being enrolled in MI-via-CALC studies for stress/anxiety [Fried & Irwin, 2016] and in peer-mentorship studies for mental health [Fried et al., 2018]). It has also been observed in other mental-health-related research (see Eaton et al., 2012), and in research addressing university students' mental health specifically (Hjeltnes, Binder, Moltu, & Dundas, 2015). Although no definitive interpretation can be offered to explain the high enrollment number of international students in the current study (n = 6/11), it should be noted that previous research has highlighted that international students experience higher levels of stress and anxiety compared to their domestic counterparts (Hyun, Quinn, Madon, & Lustig, 2007). It is possible, however, that the higher enrollment rate is due to the nature of the study, such that peer/social support has been shown to be an important factor for mitigating stress among international postgraduate students (Sullivan & Kashubeck-West, 2015). In fact, given Breaking Grad's peer coaching focus, the social support experienced by participants might have been a key contributor for the positive findings.

The researchers of the current study found promising, but mixed, results. Qualitatively, all participants reported perceived improvements in their mental health and resilience and attributed this to their engagement in the Breaking Grad program. Participants reported increased selfawareness, skill acquisition, the ability to shift perspectives, and a sense of community and support. The qualitative findings are consistent with a previous MI-via-CALC study (Fried & Irwin, 2016), wherein the impact of MI-via-CALC was evaluated on the stress management of 30 full-time undergraduate students and the researchers found themes similar to the current study (e.g. decreased/more manageable levels of stress/anxiety, shifting perspectives, increased selfawareness, skill acquisition). The findings from the Breaking Grad pilot study also coincide with what is known about some mentorship-based interventions (Cyr et al., 2016; Fried et al., 2018). Findings from Cyr and colleagues (2016) mirror those of the current study, such that participants positively viewed peer-based support for mental health. Additionally, the current findings are synchronous with those of Fried et al.'s (2018) peer mentorship study, wherein participants emphasized peer mentoring's positive impacts. Participants lauded the intervention for helping to improve their mental health, providing support and important skills for mental health and in general, and increasing physical activity and resilience.

Although the *Breaking Grad* intervention was positively viewed by all participants, everyone vocalized frustrations. Participants described busy schedules and shifting pairs as stressors that caused difficulties scheduling sessions, leading to missed sessions and opportunities to work with all assigned partners. It is not surprising that scheduling was challenging given that postgraduate students were asked to commit to 30-plus hours to fulfill program requirements – in addition to their

already heavy workloads. There is a known correlation between academic workload and perceived stress among postgraduates students – those that spend significant amounts of time in classes, labs, and working on assignments report high levels of stress (Kausar, 2010; Wyatt & Oswalt). It is important to note that although participants' time demands increased from their study engagement, their perceived levels of stress did not.

Quantitatively, there was no statistical significance, which was not consistent with qualitative findings. This lack of significance is likely from the small sample size, versus being evidentiary of program failure. Particularly apt for the current and underpowered pilot study, "statistical significance should not be confused with the size or importance of an effect" and although "results are not 'statistically significant' it cannot be assumed that there was no impact...[as] statistically non-significant results might or might not be inconclusive" (Cochrane Community, 2013, pp. 1-2). Therefore, as advised by Field (2013), the means were also visually inspected. The visual inspection noted improvements: means for every scale increased from pre-intervention to midintervention, and from pre-intervention to post-intervention. Some means decreased slightly from mid-intervention to post-intervention. These findings are similar to Fried and Irwin's (2016) study exploring MI-via-CALC's impact on undergraduate students' stress and anxiety. Findings from the current study imply the biggest changes transpired between the onset of the intervention until the middle and were mostly maintained until the end – while noting slight decreases for some scales – again, a similar finding to Fried and Irwin (2016). The slight decreases in means in the current study may be attributed to the timing of the post-intervention assessments (i.e. end of term, which tends to be stressful due to assignments).

## Limitations

There are a number of limitations in this pilot study worth noting. First, self-selection bias may have been at play, meaning there was no way to distinguish between individuals who voluntarily consented and participated versus those who did not. Additional limitations were: (a) many potential participants were unable to attend mandatory training; (b) small sample size which affected the ability to detect potential statistical significance and generalize findings; (c) arbitrarily-matched pairings, meaning not all pairings may have been a good fit; (d) some participants had challenges using the coaching tools, disallowing their partners to receive the full coaching experience; (e) some sessions were in public settings, which made receivers uncomfortable, such that they did not want to divulge personal information in an environment where they could be overheard by others not enrolled in the study; and (f) the lack of a control/comparison group, making it difficult to determine the effects of the current peer coaching model compared to other interventions or other types of coaching/mentorship models.

# Conclusions

Despite the limitations, this pilot study of Breaking Grad demonstrated potential. Participants' positive experiences underscored MI-via-CALC's helpful attributes in a peer coaching and mentorship program for postgraduate students to improve mental health and build resilience. That is, all participants reported it helped them to better cope with stress and anxiety, while enhancing their resilience. Due to insufficient statistical power to draw conclusions from the quantitative findings, the qualitative findings are especially important when educing conclusions. Based on this pilot study, the authors recommend that an MI-via-CALC-peer-mentorship program: (a) be assessed in both larger-scale and longer-term interventions among postgraduates; (b) utilize a comparison group; (c) offer additional (mandatory) training sessions at the beginning of the study (to allow for more participants); and (d) use consistent dyads.

## **Future Implications**

The researchers would like to offer this program to a larger group of students, or to integrate MIvia-CALC into pre-existing programing and services targeting postgraduate students, if possible. Researchers at other institutions should consider implementing similar programs. Idyllically, results from additional studies will contribute to this small but growing body of knowledge and eventually inform policies, programing, and practices aimed at tackling postgraduate students' mental health.

## **Endnotes**

[1] ~

One participant began anxiety medication and Cognitive Behavioural Therapy after midintervention. They were not removed as the inclusion criteria was for the start of the study; and seeking additional help may have been be a result of the intervention.

# Acknowledgements

Katie Shillington, Danielle Senior, & Zain Raza

# References

Ammentorp, J., Uhrenfeldt, L., Angel, F. and et al, (2013) 'Can life coaching improve health outcomes? – A systematic review of intervention studies', BMC Health Services Research, 13(428), pp.1-11. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6963-13-428.

Arkin, R.M. and Rucks, L. (2007) Encyclopedia of Social Psychology (Online edn.). Sage. DOI: 10.4135/9781412956253.

Arnold, C. (2014) 'Paying graduate school's mental toll', Science. DOI: 10.1126/science.caredit.a1400031.

- Bates, B. (1992) 'The effect of demands for honesty on the efficacy of the Carleton Skills-Training Program', *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis*, 40(2), pp.88-102. DOI: 10.1080/00207149208409650.
- Brazier, J.E., Harper, R., Jones, N.M. and et al, (1992) 'Validating the SF-36 health survey questionnaire: New outcome measure for primary care', *British Medical Journal*, 305(6846), pp.160-164. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.305.6846.160.
- Bushnik, T. (2016) 'The health of girls and women in Canada', in *Women in Canada: A gender-based statistical report*. Statistics Canada. Available at: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/89-503-x/2015001/article/14324-eng.htm.
- Canadian Federation of Students Ontario (2018) Not in the syllabus Findings from the Canadian Federation of Students-Ontario's survey on graduate student mental health. Available at: http://cfsontario.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/Not-In-The-Syllabus-Report\_ENG.pdf.
- Clay, R.A. (2013) 'Mental health issues in college on the rise: APA is working with the White House to help address the problem', *Monitor on Psychology*, 44(11), pp.54-55. Available at: https://www.apa.org/monitor/2013/12/elc-mental-health.
- Cochrane Community (2013) Interpreting Statistical Significance. Available at: https://community.cochrane.org/sites/default/files/uploads/inline-files/Interpreting%20statistical%20significance.pdf.
- Cohen, J.S. and Miller, L.J. (2009) 'Interpersonal mindfulness training for well-being: A pilot study with psychology graduate students', *Teachers College Record*, 111(12), pp.2760-2774.
- Cook, C. (2014) Becoming a resilient person The science of stress management . Available at: Retrieved from www.edx.org.
- Cyr, C., McKee, H., O'Hagan, M. and Priest, R. (2016) *Making the case for peer support: Report to the peer support project committee of the Mental Health Commission of Canada* (2nd edn.). Mental Health Commission of Canada. Available at: https://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/2016-07/MHCC\_Making\_the\_Case\_for\_Peer\_Support\_2016\_Eng.pdf.
- Eaton, N.R., Keyes, K.M., Krueger, R.F. and et al, (2012) 'An invariant dimensional liability model of gender differences in mental disorder prevalence: Evidence from a national sample', *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 121(1), pp.282-288. DOI: 10.1037/a0024780.
- Evans, T.M., Bira, L. and Gastelum, J.B. (2018) 'Evidence for a mental health crisis in graduate education', *Nature Biotechnology*, 36(3), pp.282-284. DOI: 10.1038/nbt.4089.

Field, A.P. (2013) Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics (4th edn.). Los Angeles: Sage.

- Fried, R.R. and Irwin, J.D. (2016) 'Calmly coping: A Motivational Interviewing via Co-Active Life Coaching (MI-via-CALC) pilot intervention for university students with perceived levels of high stress', *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 14(1). Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/6e73b6c5-29f6-49bb-9503-dc4e3f483bbf/1/.
- Fried, R.R., Karmali, S. and Irwin, J.D. (2018) 'Making the grade: Mentors' perspectives of a course-based, Smart, Healthy Campus pilot project for building mental health resiliency through mentorship and physical activity', *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 16(2), pp.84-98. DOI: 10.24384/000566.
- Garratt, A.M., Ruta, D.A., Abdalla, M.I. and Russell, I.T. (1994) 'SF-36 health survey questionnaire: II. Responsiveness to changes in health status in four common clinical conditions', *Quality In Health Care*, 3(4), pp.186-192. DOI: 10.1136/gshc.3.4.186.
- Grima, F., Paillé, P., Mejia Morelos, J.H. and Prud'homme, L. (2014) 'Exploring the benefits of mentoring activities for the mentor', *Career Development International*, 19(4), pp.469-490. DOI: 10.1108/CDI-05-2012-0056.

Guba, E.G. and Lincoln, Y.S. (1989) Fourth generation evaluation. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

- Hettema, J., Steele, J. and Miller, W.R. (2005) 'Motivational interviewing', *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, pp.91-111. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143833.
- Hjeltnes, A., Binder, P., Moltu, C. and Dundas, I. (2015) 'Facing the fear of failure: An explorative study of client experiences in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program for university students with academic evaluation anxiety', *International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-Being*, 10(1), pp.27990-28003. DOI: 10.3402/qhw.v10.27990.
- Hyun, J.K., Quinn, B.C., Madon, T. and Lustig, S. (2007) 'Mental health need, awareness, and use of counseling services among international graduate students', *Journal of American College Health*, 56(2), pp.109-118. DOI: 10.3200/JACH.56.2.109-118.
- Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2005) 'Health promotion theory in practice: An analysis of Co-Active Coaching', International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring, 3(1), pp.29-38. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/ce68f0f5-e8ed-4e92-98ee-10efec065297/1/.
- Jenkinson, C., Coulter, A. and Wright, L. (1993) 'Short form 36 (SF36) health survey questionnaire: Normative data for adults of working age', *British Medical Journal*, 306(6890), pp.1437-1440. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.306.6890.1437.
- Jungbluth, C., MacFarlane, I.M., Veach, P.M. and LeRoy, B.S. (2011) "Why is everyone so anxious?: An exploration of stress and anxiety in genetic counseling graduate students', *Journal of Genetic Counselling*, 20(3), pp.270-286. DOI: 10.1007/s10897-010-9348-3.
- Kausar, R. (2010) 'Perceived stress, academic workloads, and use of coping strategies by university students', *Journal of Behavioral Sciences*, 20(1), pp.31-34.
- Kieffer, K.M. (2002) 'On analyzing repeated measures designs with both univariate and multivariate methods: A primer with examples', *Multiple Linear Regression Viewpoints*, 28(1), pp.1-16.
- Kimsey-House, H., Kimsey-House, K., Sandahl, P. and Whitworth, L. (2018) *Co-Active Coaching: The proven framework for transformative conversations at work and in life* (4th edn.). Boston: Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
- Laerd Statistics (2013) *Repeated measures ANOVA*. Available at: https://statistics.laerd.com/statistical-guides/repeatedmeasures-anova-statistical-guide.php.
- Liu, R.H., Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2015) 'Health behaviour outcomes of Co-Active Coaching interventions: A scoping review', International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring, 13(1), pp.15-42. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/e5ed5683-2acb-40c6-be03-6e93563539b9/1/.
- Mantler, T., Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2013) 'The experience and impact of motivational interviewing- via-coaching tools on national smoker's telephone hotline employees', *International Journal of Evidenced Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 11(1), pp.55-68. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/b6fa0107-17c9-4ecd-9e0c-47fd673f896e/1/.
- Mantler, T., Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2014) 'Assessing motivational interviewing via Co-Active life coaching on selected smoking cessation outcomes', Addiction Research and Theory, 22(5), pp.1-12. DOI: 10.3109/16066359.2014.946410 .
- Mariotti, A. (2015) 'The effects of chronic stress on health: New insights into the molecular mechanisms of brain–body communication', *Future Science OA*, 1(3). DOI: 10.4155/fso.15.21.
- Matheny, K.B., Ashby, J.S. and Cupp, P. (2005) 'Gender differences in stress, coping, and illness among college students', Journal of Individual Psychology, 61(4), pp.365-379.
- Maul, A. (2018) The SAGE encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mental Health Commission of Canada (2016) *Peer support*. Available at: http://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/English/focus-areas/peer-support.
- Miller, W.R. and Rollnick, S. (2002) *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing People for Change* (2nd edn.). New York: The Guilford Press.

- National Multiple Sclerosis Society (1997) Multiple sclerosis quality of life inventory (MSQLI): A user's manual. Available at: https://www.nationalmssociety.org/NationalMSSociety/media/MSNationalFiles/Brochures/MSQLI\_-A-User-s-Manual.pdf.
- Newnham-Kanas, C., Gorczysnki, P., Morrow, D. and Irwin, J.D. (2009) 'Annotated bibliography of life coaching and health research', *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 7(1), pp.39-103. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/b615c155-9d16-4796-bd45-37d807c56e79/1/.
- Newnham-Kanas, C., Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2010) 'Motivational coaching: A functional juxtaposition of three methods for health behaviour change: Motivational interviewing, coaching, and skilled helping', *International Journal of Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 8(2), pp.27-48. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/95786bfd-83d8-4186-a79d-5d7a8b483791/1/.
- Office of Institutional Planning & Budgeting (2018) *Student: Full-time constituent enrolment by faculty & gender*. Western facts, 2018. Available at: http://www.ipb.uwo.ca/documents/2018 faculty gender.pdf.
- Olsen, J.M. (2014) 'Health coaching: A concept analysis', Nursing Forum, 49(1), pp.18-29. DOI: 10.1111/nuf.12042 .
- Olsen, J.M. and Nesbitt, B.J. (2010) 'Health coaching to improve health lifestyle behaviours: An integrative review', American Journal of Health Promotion, 25(1), pp.e1-e12. DOI: 10.4278/ajhp.090313-LIT-101.

Patton, M.Q. (2002) Qualitative research & evaluation methods (3rd edn.). London: Sage.

- Pearson, E.S., Irwin, J.D. and Morrow, D. (2013) 'The CHANGE program: Methodology for comparing interactive co-active coaching with a prescriptive lifestyle treatment for obesity', *International Journal for Evidence Based Coaching and Mentoring*, 11(1), pp.69-84. Available at: https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/4e5b004f-eca4-4146-a010f615ada65d5c/1/.
- Ragins, B.R. and Scandura, T.A. (1999) 'Burden or blessing? Expected costs and benefits of being a mentor', *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 20(4), pp.493-509. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1379(199907)20:4<493::AID-JOB894>3.0.CO;2-T.
- RAND (2018) 36-item short form survey (SF-36) scoring instructions. Available at: https://www.rand.org/health/surveys\_tools/mos/36-item-short-form/scoring.html.
- Rector, N.A., Bourdeau, D., Kitchen, K. and Joseph-Massiah, L. (2008) *Anxiety disorders. An information guide*. Canada: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health.
- Ribeiro, I.J.S., Pereira, R., Freire, I.V. and et al, (2017) 'Stress and quality of life among university students: A systematic literature review', *Health Professions Education*, pp.1-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.hpe.2017.03.002.

Selye, H. (1956) The stress of life. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Smith, B., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K. and et al, (2008) 'The brief resilience scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back', International Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 15(3), pp.194-200. DOI: 10.1080/10705500802222972.
- Steinhardt, M. and Dolbier, C. (2008) 'Evaluation of a resilience intervention to enhance coping strategies and protective factors and decrease symptomatology', *Journal of American College Health*, 56(4), pp.445-453. DOI: 10.3200/JACH.56.44 .445-454.
- Stillwell, S.B., Vermeesch, A.L. and Scott, J.G. (2017) 'Interventions to reduce perceived stress among graduate students: A systematic review with implications for evidence-based practice', *World-Views on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 14(6), pp.507-513. DOI: 10.1111/wvn.12250.
- Sullivan, C. and Kashubeck-West, S. (2015) 'The interplay of international students' acculturative stress, social support, and acculturation modes', *Journal of International Students*, 5(1), pp.1-11.
- Tugade, M.M. and Frederickson, B.L. (2011) 'Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back from negative emotional experiences', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86(2), pp.320-333. DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.86.2.320.
- Veit, C.T. and Ware, J.E. (1983) 'The structure of psychological distress and well-being in general populations', Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 51(5), pp.730-742.
- Westra, H.A. and Dozois, D.J.A. (2006) 'Preparing clients for cognitive behavioral therapy: A randomized pilot study of motivational interviewing for anxiety', *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 30(4), pp.481-498. DOI: 10.1007/s10608-006-9016-y.
- World Health Organisation (2016) What is health promotion?. Available at: https://www.who.int/features/qa/health-promotion/en/.
- Windle, G., Bennett, K.M. and Noyes, J. (2011) 'A methodological review of resilience measurement scales', *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 9(1), pp.1-18. DOI: 10.1186/1477-7525-9-8.
- Wyatt, T. and Oswalt, S.B. (2013) 'Comparing mental health issues among undergraduate and graduate students', *American Journal of Health Education*, 44(2), pp.96-107. DOI: 10.1080/19325037.2013.764248.
- Yusufov, M., Nicoloro-SantaBarbara, J., Grey, N.E. and et al, (2019) 'Meta-analytic evaluation of stress reduction interventions for undergraduate and graduate students', *International Journal of Stress Management*, 26(2), pp.132-145. DOI: 10.1037/str0000099.

Zey, M.G. (1984) The mentor connection. Homewood, IL: Dow Jones-Irwin. .

Zimmerman, M.A. and Bingenheimer, J.B. (2002) 'Natural mentors and adolescent resiliency: A study with urban youth', *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 30(2), pp.221-243. DOI: 10.1023/A:1014632911622.

# About the authors

**Rebecca R. Fried**, PhD candidate and Certified Professional Co-Active Coach, researches coaching and motivational interviewing on mental-health-related behaviours.

**Dr. Melanie-Anne P. Atkins** established Western's Wellness Education Centre in 2016, developing mental health literacy curricula for students, faculty, and staff.

**Dr. Jennifer D. Irwin**, Associate Professor, Certified Professional Co-Active Coach, and Motivational Interviewing trainer, researches coaching and motivational interviewing on health-related behaviours.