

This work is made freely available under open access.

AUTHOR(S):	
TITLE:	
YEAR:	
Original citation:	
OpenAIR citation:	
Version history:	
This version of the	this protocol was originally made available on protocol was originally made available on been known revisions of the protocol.
Copyright stateme	
	iew protocol forms part of research that has been funded byand made publicly
	and made publicly
OpenAIR takedow	n statement:
students/library/lib consider withdraw any other reason s	Repository policy for OpenAIR @ RGU" (available from <u>http://www.rgu.ac.uk/staff-and-current-</u> prary-policies/repository-policies) provides guidance on the criteria under which RGU will ing material from OpenAIR. If you believe that this item is subject to any of these criteria, or for hould not be held on OpenAIR, then please contact <u>openair-help@rgu.ac.uk</u> with the details of ature of your complaint.
This protocol is dist	ibuted under a CC license.

Patients' lived experiences of cytotoxic medications prescribed for the management of malignant solid tumours: a systematic review Alison Brincat, Lorna Marie West, Derek Stewart, Anita Weidmann

Citation

Alison Brincat, Lorna Marie West, Derek Stewart, Anita Weidmann. Patients' lived experiences of cytotoxic medications prescribed for the management of malignant solid tumours: a systematic review. PROSPERO 2016 CRD42016048457 Available from:

http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.php?ID=CRD42016048457

Review question

The aim of the systematic review is to critically appraise, synthesise and present the available evidence of patients' lived experiences of cytotoxic medications prescribed for the management of malignant solid tumours.

In relation to the patients' pharmacological treatment journey for the management of malignant solid tumours:• What are the patients' lived experience?• What is the medication related burden? • What are the medication related beliefs?• What is the medication taking practice?• What is the relationship between the lived experience and medication related burden, medication related beliefs and medication taking practice?

Searches

Systematic review according to PRISMA guidelines will be conducted in the following databases: CINAHL, MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, Science Direct, Springerlink, International pharmaceutical abstracts and PsycArticles.

Manual searches of related studies listed in the reference, footnote and citations will be carried out to include more relevant papers. The search will be restricted to publications in the English language and will centre on the concepts of patients' lived experience, cytotoxic medications and malignant solid tumours. Concept mapping was applied to develop keywords and generate search terms.

Types of study to be included

All study designs of research studies which have employed any methodological approach including quantitative or mixed methodologies will be included.

Condition or domain being studied

The lived experiences of adult patients prescribed cytotoxic medications for the management of malignant solid tumours.

Participants/population

Inclusion criteria: patients who are 18 years and over irrespective of their gender, ethnicity and stage of disease receiving cytotoxic medications for the management of malignant solid tumours.

Intervention(s), exposure(s)

Articles will be reviewed if they describe the patients' lived experience of cytotoxic medications for the management of malignant solid tumours.

Comparator(s)/control

Not applicable.

Context

Primary outcome(s)

The primary outcomes will include the experiences, burden, beliefs and practice of patients in relation to

cytotoxic medications.

Secondary outcome(s)

None.

Data extraction (selection and coding)

The initial screening of all titles retrieved during the search will be carried out to identify potentially relevant papers. This will be followed by screening of abstracts and then by full paper against the pre-defined systematic review aim, questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria. A random sample of 10% of the retrieved titles and abstracts during the search strategy will be independently checked by two research members for consistency of inclusion/exclusion and enhance the reliability of the process. Any disagreement will be resolved by consensus within the research team; otherwise these will be reported in the final review. Any duplicate publications of the same studies will be eliminated and the number of duplicates will be recorded using Prisma 2009 flow diagram.

Qualitative and quantitative data extraction will be conducted using standardised data extraction tools. These will be developed so as to obtain specific information pertinent to the review aim and questions.

Risk of bias (quality) assessment

Quality assessment will be conducted on all included manuscripts by two independent reviewers using established critical appraisal tools. Disagreements will be resolved by consensus after discussion with the research team.

Strategy for data synthesis

Depending on the uncertainty of data that will be retrieved, it is difficult to anticipate strategies for data synthesis. However the synthesis would most likely be narrative. Key findings and conclusion will be generated in relation to the review aim and questions.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets

None planned

Contact details for further information

Ms Brincat a.brincat@rgu.ac.uk

Organisational affiliation of the review

Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen Scotland

Review team members and their organisational affiliations

Ms Alison Brincat. School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen Scotland Dr Lorna Marie West. School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen Scotland and Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of Malta, Msida Malta Professor Derek Stewart. School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen Scotland

Dr Anita Weidmann. School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen Scotland

Anticipated or actual start date

01 October 2016

Anticipated completion date 28 February 2017

Funding sources/sponsors

The project will be funded by the 'Get Qualified Scheme' upon successful completion of the doctorate course.

PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews

Conflicts of interest None known

Language English

Country Scotland

Stage of review Review_Ongoing

Subject index terms status Subject indexing assigned by CRD

Subject index terms

Antineoplastic Agents; Disease Management; Humans; Neoplasms

Date of registration in PROSPERO 27 September 2016

Date of publication of this version 27 September 2016

Details of any existing review of the same topic by the same authors

Stage of review at time of this submission The review has not started

Stage		Completed
Preliminary searches	No	No
Piloting of the study selection process	No	No
Formal screening of search results against eligibility criteria	No	No
Data extraction	No	No
Risk of bias (quality) assessment	No	No
Data analysis	No	No

Versions

27 September 2016

PROSPERO

This information has been provided by the named contact for this review. CRD has accepted this information in good faith and registered the review in PROSPERO. CRD bears no responsibility or liability for the content of this registration record, any associated files or external websites.