



OpenAIR@RGU

The Open Access Institutional Repository at Robert Gordon University

<http://openair.rgu.ac.uk>

This is an author produced version of a paper published in

Desalination and Water Treatment (ISSN 1944-3994, eISSN 1944-3986)

This version may not include final proof corrections and does not include published layout or pagination.

Citation Details

Citation for the version of the work held in 'OpenAIR@RGU':

SALU, O. A., ADAMS, M., ROBERTSON, P. K. J., WONG, L. S. and MCCULLAGH, C., 2011. Remediation of oily wastewater from an interceptor tank using a novel photocatalytic drum reactor. Available from *OpenAIR@RGU*. [online]. Available from: <http://openair.rgu.ac.uk>

Citation for the publisher's version:

SALU, O. A., ADAMS, M., ROBERTSON, P. K. J., WONG, L. S. and MCCULLAGH, C., 2011. Remediation of oily wastewater from an interceptor tank using a novel photocatalytic drum reactor. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 26 (1-3), pp. 87-91.

Copyright

Items in 'OpenAIR@RGU', Robert Gordon University Open Access Institutional Repository, are protected by copyright and intellectual property law. If you believe that any material held in 'OpenAIR@RGU' infringes copyright, please contact openair-help@rgu.ac.uk with details. The item will be removed from the repository while the claim is investigated.

Remediation of oily wastewater from an interceptor tank using a novel photocatalytic drum reactor.

Olumide Adegboyega Salu¹, Morgan Adams¹, Peter K.J. Robertson¹, Ling Say Wong²
and Cathy McCullagh^{1*}

¹Centre for Research in Energy and the Environment, The Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, AB10 1FR, Scotland, UK. Tel: +44(0)1224 262353, Fax: +44(0)124 262444, Email: c.mccullagh@rgu.ac.uk * corresponding author

²Sureclean Ltd., Blackdog Industrial Estate, Blackdog, Aberdeenshire, AB23 8BT, UK. Tel: +(44)1224 701400 email:Lingsay.Wong@sureclean.co.uk

Abstract

A novel photocatalytic reactor has been developed to remediate oily wastewaters. In the first instance degradation rates of model organic compounds, methylene blue (MB) and 4-chlorophenol (4CP) were determined. The experimental set-up investigated a 1:10 w/v catalyst to organic solution volume, 30g catalyst, 300mls MB (10 μ M) or 4-CP (100 μ M). The catalyst investigated was a pellet catalyst to improve separation of the remediated volume from the catalyst following treatment. MB concentration decreased by 93% after 15 minutes irradiation whilst 4-CP concentration decreased by 94% following 90 minutes irradiation. Oily waste water (OWW) from an interceptor tank typically containing diesel oils was obtained from Sureclean, an environmental clean-up company. The OWW was treated using the same conditions as MB and 4-CP, the model organic compounds. Levels of total organic carbon (TOC) and total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) were used to monitor the efficacy of the photocatalytic reactor. TOC reduced by 45% following two 90 minute treatment cycles. TPH reduced by 45% following 90 minutes irradiation and by a further 25% during a second stage of treatment. This reactor can be used as a polishing technique assembled within a wastewater treatment plant. Allowing for more than one pass through the reactor improves its efficiency.

Keywords: photocatalytic reactor; methylene blue; 4-chlorophenol; interceptor water remediation.

Introduction

Regulations that govern the allowable discharge of oil into municipal treatment plants and surface waters are becoming increasingly stringent [1]. Conventional approaches to treating oily wastewater have included gravity separation and skimming, dissolved air flotation, de-emulsification, coagulation and flocculation [2, 3]. However many of these methods do not remediate the oily waste to a level where disposal to sea is appropriate. When de-emulsification processes are applied the presence of the emulsifying agents facilitates the stabilisation of the oily emulsion therefore making remediation difficult [4, 5]. Heterogeneous photocatalysis has been explored as a technology for the efficient treatment of industrial wastewater and contaminated ground and drinking water [6,7]. As a method of water purification, photocatalysis has considerable advantages over some existing technologies; it destroys pollutants rather than merely transferring them to another phase (e.g. activation carbon adsorption, gas sparging) and does so without the use of potentially hazardous oxidants (e.g. ozone, chlorination) [8]. When a semiconductor is illuminated with light of energy greater than its bandgap, electrons and holes are formed. The bandgap energy is the energy difference between the valence band and the conduction band. The electron and holes formed are highly charged and initiate reduction and oxidation reactions, thereby mineralising the aqueous pollutants [9-11]. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is one of the most popular and widely used materials in photocatalysis due to its high photo stability and redox selectivity, non-toxicity and relatively inexpensive nature [12, 13].

In this study, a new photoreactor based on a slurry-continuous flow reactor configuration is presented [14, 15]. This configuration combines the high surface area contact of catalyst with pollutant of a slurry reactor with a high illumination of catalyst. Its unique array of serially constructed baffles on the inside wall of the reaction vessel. The baffles continuously remove catalyst from the aqueous solution allowing the catalyst to be exposed to UV illumination as the reaction vessel rotates perpendicular to the UV illumination source. The novel reactor configuration exhibits certain features which are important for the viability of the process in a large scale application, these include: the ability to operate the reactor in both batch and continuous mode. The use of Hombikat pellet catalyst removes the filtration problems associated with batch reactors; the reactor is constructed from perspex which has a high light penetration which leads to improved energy utilization. The reactor configuration also eliminates the need for oxygenation other than oxygen uptake from air through openings on both sides of the reaction vessels. The degradation of MB, 4-CP and OWW is reported under 2 sets of conditions (i) UV illumination alone and (ii) catalyst + UV illumination.

Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Methylene blue, ~ 85 %, (remaining 15 % primarily) salt, and 4-chlorophenol were purchased from Aldrich and used in aqueous solution (Milli Q water). OWW sample was sourced from an interceptor at Sureclean Ltd and was used as received. Titanium dioxide (TiO_2) in pellet form was purchased from Sachtleben Chemie, Duisburg, Germany (Hombikat KO1) and used as purchased; the composition was reported to contain 80 % anatase and 20 % rutile. Specific surface area (BET) $95 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, primary particle size ~ 15 nm, mean pore diameter (N_2) ~ 150 Å, pH ~ 5.5.

2.2 Photocatalytic Reactor

The photocatalytic reactor consisted of (i) three serially connected rotating cylindrical vessels (570 mm length and 94 mm i.d.) with weir-like paddles constructed along the longitudinal length of the vessels and (ii) an external illumination source. Rotation of the cylindrical vessels was provided by three 12 V electrical motors and illumination was provided by 36 W Philips PL-L sunlamp UV tubes supplied by RS Components Ltd, Northants, UK. The tubes were mounted in pairs, adjacent to each other on a reflective mirror, and enclosed in a wooden box to provide control over exposure to ambient light. The lamps were cooled by air flowing freely within the openings between the reactor vessels and the UV tubes. Figs 1(a) and 1(b) show the patented photocatalytic drum reactor configuration [14]. Sampling was achieved via the open air vents at the fluid inlet side of the reactor drum.




Figure 1: Schematics of the photoreactor configuration

2.3 Photocatalytic Experiments

Stock solutions of MB (10 μM) and 4-CP(100 μM) were prepared. MB (10 μM , 300 mls) was added into the reaction vessel containing the pre-weighed catalyst (10-40 g). Samples were drawn after 7, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 minutes, centrifuged with Henderson T121 Centrifuge for 15 minutes at 6000 rpm to eliminate any suspended TiO_2 particles. The procedure was repeated for 4-CP. The change in absorbance of MB and 4-CP was monitored at different time intervals using UV-Vis Spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer Lambda 950). Maximum absorbances of 666 nm and 217 nm were used to calculate the concentration of MB and 4-CP respectively. Two other conditions were also investigated, the first was UV illumination alone and the second was with catalyst present and without any illumination using the same time intervals. The series of experiments were repeated for the remediation of OWW using the optimum catalyst concentration and the samples monitored using GCMS (Hewlett Packard 5890 with 5971A mass spectrometer) mobile phase: ZB-5 5% phenyl polysiloxane capillary column. Total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) content of OWW samples was assessed following the EPA standard method [16,17,18]. Organic carbon was analysed using Shimadzu TOC-V series with AS1 automatic sampler analyser via the TOC = TC -IC method where standards of sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate and potassium hydrogen phthalate were used as standards.

Results and Discussion

Remediation of MB using photocatalysis has been widely reported and has become a standard model for the assessment of novel catalysts and novel photocatalytic reactors [19-22]. In this work a novel photocatalytic reactor (ref) was assessed for the remediation of MB (10 μM) in the presence of TiO_2 catalyst (10g, 20g, 30g, 40g). Fig. 2 illustrates the remediation of MB in the presence and absence of varying weights of the pellet TiO_2 catalyst. A comparison of the degradation efficiencies shows that 67, 73, 86 and 82% of the MB in the samples was removed after 7 minutes of treatment with 10, 20, 30 and 40 g of catalyst. In contrast, 42% of the MB in the samples was degraded with UV irradiation alone, indicating that the catalyst increased the rate of MB degradation. The MB solution was completely remediated after 60 minutes of treatment in all experiments.

The advantage of using the pellet catalyst over the commonly investigated TiO_2 powder Degussa P25 [23, 24] is the ease of separation following remediation. The settling velocity of aggregated TiO_2 with an average diameter of 0.3 μm is very slow and would require a long retention time in a clarifier. As the dosage of TiO_2 is increased in order to increase the photocatalytic rate, the high turbidity created by the high TiO_2 concentration can actually decrease the depth of UV penetration. This shadowing effect can drastically lower the rate of photocatalytic reaction on a unit TiO_2 weight basis. An obvious solution to this problem would be to immobilise the catalyst on a solid carrier [25, 26]. Alternatively utilising a pellet catalyst can overcome this problem offering an easily separable catalyst which could also be reused or recycled for further photochemical reactions.

The optimum results achieved for the remediation of MB were recorded when 30g TiO_2 catalyst was employed. This catalyst weight was used for the remaining experiments.

Figure 3 illustrates the remediation of 4-CP (100 μM) following 60 minutes irradiation in the presence and absence of TiO_2 catalyst (30 g). 20 % of 4-CP was remediated under photolysis alone. In the presence of the pellet TiO_2 catalyst almost complete remediation of 4-CP was recorded following 90 minutes irradiation, 94%, figure 3. Ilisz et al. reported the remediation of 2-chlorophenol (2-CP) (2 mM) using Degussa P25 adsorbed on a clay mineral and

reported 99% reduction in 2-CP following 7 hours irradiation [27]. Their initial concentration is higher than that reported in this paper however the use of the novel photocatalytic reactor would shorten the irradiation time period required to remediate a sample at this concentration. El-Sheikh et al. investigated TiO₂ adsorbed on activated carbon for the photo-oxidation of 3-chlorophenol and reported a reduction in 3-CP concentration of 40 % following 5 hours irradiation [28]. Following the experiment the TiO₂ loaded activated carbon would need to be separated, a task that can be time consuming and not efficient.




Figure 2: Degradation of MB (10µM) using in the presence and absence of catalyst loadings [10g(□), 20g(▲), 30g(○), 40g(x)] and under UV irradiation (◆).




Figure 3: Degradation of 4-CP (100µM) using in the presence (■) and absence(□) of catalyst loadings (30g) and under UV irradiation (◆).

Visual analysis of the waste water samples before and after treatment shows noticeable differences between the treated and untreated waste water samples. OWW samples which appeared dark grey before treatment had a faint brown appearance after 180 minutes of treatment with the drum photocatalytic reactor. Figure 4 shows the chromatograms obtained for interceptor 6 water samples. Major components identified in the untreated interceptor 6 samples through a NIST library search as follows 1-butanol at 2.14, 2-butoxy ethanol at 8.21, 20ethyl hexanoic acid at 14.06, decane at 15.56, dodecane at 17.52 and tetradecane at 19.32, all common components of OWW. From figure 4 a reduction in the abundance of all the identified components in OWW was recorded as treatment progressed. This indicated that the photocatalytic treatment was effective in removing volatile components of the OWW.




Figure 4: GCMS chromatograms of OWW before and after UV irradiation in the presence and absence of 30 g TiO₂. OWW was sampled from Interceptor 6. Volatile components identified in OWW sample.

Figure 5 illustrates the reduction in total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) in the OWW sample following photocatalytic remediation. The TPH was reduced to 441 ppm (15%) following UV irradiation alone for 180 mins whilst the number was reduced to 295 ppm (43%) following photocatalysis for 90 mins and to 156 ppm (70%) following a further 90 minutes irradiation in the presence of the same catalyst sample. Figure 6 illustrates the reduction in TOC of the OWW sample following two treatment stages. OWW (1) illustrates the TOC value determined for OWW prior to treatment at 2263 ppm. Following irradiation in the presence of TiO₂ catalyst for 90 minutes the TOC was reduced by 18 % to 1892 ppm. This sample was removed from the reactor and a fresh sample of catalyst (30 g) was added and the sample was treated for a further 90 minutes, OWW(2), figure 6. The TOC was reduced by a further 35 % to 1230 ppm.





Figure 5: Reduction of TPH following 180 minutes. Figure 6: Reduction in TOC following a 2-stage

irradiation in the presence of TiO₂ catalyst (30g)

photocatalytic treatment of 2 90 minute irradiation periods in the presence of TiO₂ catalyst (30 g).

Conclusion

A novel photocatalytic reactor was assessed using MB and 4-CP as model compounds. In the presence of 30 g TiO₂ catalyst the reactor was capable of completely remediating the model waste streams. An oily waste water sample from an interceptor which stores diesel oil wastes was remediated. GCMS, TPH and TOC results illustrated the effectiveness of the novel photocatalytic reactor. This reactor could be applied within a waste water treatment system and used as a polishing technique.

Acknowledgements

References

- [1] <http://www.envirowise.gov.uk/uk/Integrated-Pollution-Prevention-And-Control-IPPC.html>
- [2] M. Cheyran, N. Rajagopalan, J. Membrane Science 151 (1998) 13-28.
- [3] V. Rajakovic, G. Aleksic, M. Radetic, Lj. Rajakovic, J. Hazard. Mat. 143 (2007) 494-499.
- [4] N.A. Garner, Effluent and Water Treatment J. 12 (1972) 82.
- [5] L.D. Lash, E.G. Kominek Chem. Eng. 82 (1975) 49.
- [6] A. -Mills, S. LeHunte, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 108 (1997) 1-35.
- [7] M.R. Hoffmann, S.T. Martin, W. Choi, D.W. Bahnemann, Chem. Rev. 95 (1995) 69-96.
- [8] M.A. Fox, M.T. Dulay, Chem. Rev. 93 (1994) 341-357.
- [9] M. Anpo, Pure Appl. Chem. 72 (2000) 1265-1270.
- [10] J-M. Herrmann, Catal. Today 53 (1999) 115-129.
- [11] N. Serpone, A. Salinaro, A.V. Emeline V.K. Ryabchuk, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 130 (2000) 83-94.
- [12] A. Fujishima, T.N. Rao, D.A. Tryk, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C 1 (2000) 1-21.
- [13] J. Fan, J.T. Yates Jr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118 (1996) 4686-4692.
- [14] Robertson, P.K.J., Campbell, I., Russell, D., World Patent, WO2005033016, 2006.
- [15]. M. Adams, I. Campbell, P.J.K. Robertson, 2008. Int. J. Photoenergy, Article ID 674537 doi:10.1155/2008/674537.
- [16] EPA SW-846 update 3rd Edn., Methods 3051, 3540, 3545, 3550, 3560, 3561, 5021, 5032, 5035, 8330, 8275, Office of Solid Waste, Washington DC, 1997.
- B. Minty, E.D. Ramsey, Ieuan Davies, D.I. James, P.M. O'Brien and M.I. Littlewood, Anal Commun. 35 (1998) 277-280.
- [17] P.J. Whittle, W.A. McCrum and M.W. Horne, *Analyst*, 1980, **105**, 679.
- [18] W.A. McCrum and P.J. Whittle, *Analyst*, 1982, **107**, 1081.
- [19] 26. S. Mozia, M. Toyoda, M. Inagaki, B. Tryba, A.W. Morawski, J. Hazard. Mater. 140 (2007) 369-375.
- [20] A. Mills, J. Wang *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.*, 127 (1999) 122-134.
- [21] A. Mills, M. McFarlane, Catal. Today, 129 (2007) 2-28.
- [22] H. Lachheb, E. Puzenat, A. Houas, M. Ksibi, E. Elaloui, C. Guillard and J. Herrmann, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 39 (2002/11/8) 75-90.
- [23] S. Otsuka-Yao-Matsuo, M. Ueda, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 168 (2004) 1-6.
- [24] T. Zhang, T. Oyama, S. Horikoshi, H. Hidaka, J. Zhao, N. Serpone, Sol. Energ. Mat. Sol. C. 73 (2002) 287-303.
- [25] C. Guillard, B. Beaugiraud, C. Dutriez, J-M Herrmann, H. Jaffrezic, N. Jaffrezic-Renault, M. Lacroix, Appl. Catal. B – Environ. 39 (2002) 331-342.
- [26] S. Zhou, A.K. Ray, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42 (2003) 6020-6033.
- [27]
- [28] A.H. El-Sheikh, Y.S. Al-Degs, A.P. Newman, D.E. Lynch, Sep. and Purif. Tech. 54 (2007) 117-123.