

## OpenAIR@RGU

# The Open Access Institutional Repository at Robert Gordon University

http://openair.rgu.ac.uk

This is an author produced version of a paper published in

Obesity Facts (ISSN 1662-4025, eISSN 1662-4033)

This version may not include final proof corrections and does not include published layout or pagination.

## Citation Details

### Citation for the version of the work held in 'OpenAIR@RGU':

ROLLAND, C., MULHOLLAND, Y., HALLAM, C., LULA, S., DYSON, L. and BROOM, I., 2012. Assessing the significance of ethnicity on VLCD induced weight loss and outcomes at 1 year follow up. Available from OpenAIR@RGU. [online]. http://openair.rgu.ac.uk

## <u>Citation for the publisher's version:</u>

ROLLAND, C., MULHOLLAND, Y., HALLAM, C., LULA, S., DYSON, L. and BROOM, I., 2012. Assessing the significance of ethnicity on VLCD induced weight loss and outcomes at 1 year follow up. Obesity Facts, 5 (S1), p. 94

#### Copyright

Items in 'OpenAIR@RGU', Robert Gordon University Open Access Institutional Repository, are protected by copyright and intellectual property law. If you believe that any material held in 'OpenAIR@RGU' infringes copyright, please contact openair-help@rgu.ac.uk with details. The item will be removed from the repository while the claim is investigated.

Title: Assessing the significance of ethnicity on VLCD induced weight loss and outcomes at 1 year follow up

#### Introduction:

Few studies have examined the relationship between ethnicity and longer-term outcomes following a very-low-calorie diet (VLCD).

#### Methods:

Ethnicity, VLCD induced weight loss (LighterLife Total) and weight maintenance outcomes at 1 year were extracted from the LighterLife UK Limited company database.

#### Results:

Data were available for 5412 participants (4911 females vs 501 males, p = 0.024) (2 Bangladeshi, 20 Pakistani, 23 Black Other, 32 Black African, 47 Black Caribbean, 87 Indian, 5201 Caucasian).

Height (m) and baseline BMI (kg/m²) were greater in Caucasians than Indians (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) (1.65  $\pm$  0.08 vs 1.62  $\pm$  0.09, p = 0.018 and 36.4  $\pm$  4.1 vs 34.6  $\pm$  4.1, p = 0.016 respectively); Indians were lighter (kg) (91.5  $\pm$  14.5) than Caucasians (99.4  $\pm$  16.8, p<0.0001) and Black other (105.1  $\pm$  20.5, p = 0.006).

Caucasians lost significantly more weight (kg)  $(26.0 \pm 11.2)$  than Black Africans  $(20.2 \pm 7.1)$ , p = 0.007) and Indians  $(20.3 \pm 7.6)$ , p <0.0001). Weight loss remained significantly different when baseline weight was taken into account (p<0.0001).

Percent attendance, weeks on weight loss, weight and BMI at year 1 were not significantly different. Despite differences in initial weight loss, percent weight loss maintained at 1 year was not significantly different between the ethnic groups.

#### Conclusion:

Although interpretation of these data is limited by the differences in sample size between the ethnic groups, it would appear that longer-term outcomes do not differ significantly between these ethnic groups.

- 1.Conflict of Interest: IB, SL, LD, CH are employed by LighterLife UK Ltd.
- 2. Funding: Research relating to this abstract was funded by LighterLife UK Limited