

This publication is made freely available under _____ open access.

AUTHOR(S):	
AUTHOR(3).	
TITLE:	
IIILL.	
YEAR:	
I	
Publisher citation:	
OpenAIR citation:	
Publisher copyright	t statement:
	version of an article originally published by
in	
(ISSN; e	:ISSN).
OpenAIR takedowr	n statement:
Section 6 of the "F	Repository policy for OpenAIR @ RGU" (available from http://www.rgu.ac.uk/staff-and-current-
students/library/lib	prary-policies/repository-policies) provides guidance on the criteria under which RGU will
	ing material from OpenAIR. If you believe that this item is subject to any of these criteria, or for
	should not be held on OpenAIR, then please contact openair-help@rgu.ac.uk with the details of
the item and the na	ature of your complaint.
r	
This publication is d	istributed under a CC license.



Entry routes into ICAS training: status versus sustainability

Journal:	Accounting History
Manuscript ID	ACH-15-0051.R2
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue: Histories of Accounting Education
Keywords:	entry routes, professional training, access, sustainability, student debt, social inclusion
Abstract:	Education plays a pivotal role in establishing the elite status of a profession. This paper sets out to understand the role of social and political influences in the determination of entry routes to professional accounting training with the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (ICAS). The paper charts the development of various entry routes which converted ICAS from essentially a non-graduate Institute in the mid-1950s to an all graduate Institute by the late 1980s which it has maintained until today.



Entry routes into ICAS training: status versus sustainability

Abstract

Education plays a pivotal role in establishing the elite status of a profession. This paper sets out to understand the role of social and political influences in the determination of entry routes to professional accounting training with the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (ICAS). The paper charts the development of various entry routes which converted ICAS from an Institute with few graduate entrants in the mid-1950s to an Institute with almost 100% graduate entrants today.

Key words: entry routes, access, student debt, professional training, sustainability, social inclusion

Introduction

"Ultimately the quality of the profession depends on the quality of the candidates it can attract. Entry requirements are the first step in this process" (IFAC, 2014: 33).

This quote, taken from the introduction of International Education Standard (IES) 1 Entry Requirements to a Program of Professional Accounting Education (effective date 1 January 2005), highlights the importance of the setting of entry requirements for access into training by professional accountancy bodies.

Accountancy is well established as an elite professional occupation in most parts of the world (FRC, 2003; Kirkham and Loft, 1993; MacDonald, 1995; Shackleton and Walker, 2001; Walker, 1995, 1999, 2004). This status has been derived through exclusionary closure of the market (Lee, 1995; Walker, 2008) which in part has been determined by the characteristics of those who practice the occupation (Crompton, 1987; Crompton and Sanderson, 1986; Milkman, 1983). Whilst professional status has been afforded through association with educational qualifications, (Abbott, 1988; Burrage, 1990; Crompton, 1987; Freidson, 1986; Larson, 1977; Richardson, 1988), in effect 'producing the producers' (Larson, 1977:71) controlling the educational input through the setting of entry requirements has aided the monopolisation of professional knowledge (Coronella et al., 2015; MacDonald, 1995). This social closure apparatus (Murphy, 1988; Weber,

1976) founded on individualist academic credentials (Hammond et al., 2009; Parkin, 1979) serves to legitimise and enhance the professional status of the accountancy body (Annisette and Kirkham, 2007; Ciancanelli et al., 1990; Gammie and Kirkham, 2008; ICAS, 1970; Inglis et al., 2011) as it provides a warrant of the abilities of the practitioner (MacDonald, 1995) and creates a certain social cachet (Beckman, 1990; Larson, 1977; MacDonald, 1995). Thus, by restricting access to their membership, professional accountancy bodies have been able to monopolise their social and economically advantaged positions (Lee, 1995; MacDonald, 1995; Parkin, 1979; Walker 2008; Wilmott and Sikka, 1997)

However, educational credentials often reflect social (Bourdieu, 1984) or cultural backgrounds (Coetzee, 2016; Hammond et al., 2009) as opposed to individual abilities or achievements and recent attention in the UK has focussed on the barriers of entry to, and accessibility of, the professions (Hall, 2009; Milburn Report, 2009; PARN, 2009; Sullivan, 2010).

The desire to increase accessibility to the accounting profession has also been recognised by the International Accounting Education Standards Board (IAESB). Whilst the initial IES 1 indicated that the entry requirement set should be the equivalent to that for admission to a recognised university degree program (IFAC, 2014), the revised standard which was effective from 1 July 2014, was less prescriptive. The

overall objective of the standard was still to protect the public interest through the setting and maintenance of high-quality standards, however, the concepts of fairness and proportionality were introduced. The standard therefore currently requires member bodies to:

"allow entrance only to those with a reasonable chance of successfully completing the professional accounting education programme, while not representing excessive barriers to entry" (IFAC, 2014: 230).

The revised IES 1 also recognises that completion of a full professional qualification is just one of a range of exit points from a professional accounting education programme.

This relaxing of the meritocratic criteria required for entry by IES1 introduces the principle of flexible access to professional accounting programmes and recognises that entry requirements may vary by jurisdiction due to differences in government and regulatory arrangements (IFAC, 2014). Entry requirements set by professional bodies may, however, also vary over time as the bodies respond to the market, political and societal influences which inter-act to impact on educational policy:

"Our arrangements for education and training must be kept under review and they must be changed from time to time to take account of new demands on the

profession and of alterations in the educational environment in which we live" (ICAS, 1970: 5).

This article sets out to understand the role of these various influences in the determination of ICAS education policy by concentrating on one particular aspect, namely, the shifting entry requirements of ICAS to professional accountancy training.

The paper will firstly set the scene for the discussion by outlining the ICAS context within the chartered accountancy market and the changing social and political landscape within the UK. The paper will then outline the method used to collect the material for the analysis before outlining how ICAS responded to the challenges they faced in the setting of their entry requirement. The paper closes with a discussion and some concluding comments.

The ICAS context within the chartered accountancy market in the UK

ICAS celebrated its 160 year history in 2014 and as such is the oldest professional accountancy body in the World (Anderson-Gough, 2009; ICAS, 2014). Over this time, its membership has grown from 146 members in 1864 (ICAS, 2004a) to 20,700

members in 2015 (FRC, 2016). Whilst ICAS may be one of the smaller UK based bodies (FRC, 2016), ICAS articulates success in its occupational quest for status in the social order (MacDonald, 1995; Weber, 1978) through claims of an elite status in the accountancy profession (ICAS, 2007a). Indeed the ICAS President in 2004 stated:

"ICAS not only has a long and distinguished history, it has a reputation for high standards in education and research, and for thought leadership in accounting. It has a reputation in the international accounting world for punching way above its weight" (ICAS, 2004a: v).

This sentiment was further reinforced in 2011, when it was articulated in the strategic plan:

"What has traditionally distinguished ICAS as a professional accountancy body is excellence in education, thoughtful applied research, rigorous but fair regulation and a powerful sense of professional community amongst our members" (ICAS, 2011a: 1).

Education, or in the words of Larson (1977:71) 'producing the producers' clearly plays a pivotal role in establishing the self-reported elite status:

"The education and training of young people entering our profession is one of the most important functions of our Institute and of ourselves as members" (ICAS, 1970: 5).

"Our CA students are our future members....they are a vital part of our professional community" (ICAS, 2011a: 1).

Whilst achieving exclusionary closure by status-based vertical segmentation of the market can afford professional bodies increased profitability (Wilmott and Sikka, 1997; Yee, 2012), another manifestation of this social closure strategy is to achieve and defend a monopoly in the market for services (Larson, 1977; MacDonald, 1995). This is achieved through the monopolisation of professional knowledge via education restricted to the select few granted entry (MacDonald, 1995) and through the establishment of a jurisdiction (Abbott, 1988). Despite the fact that accountancy in the UK has never persuaded the legislature to pass a statute to define its jurisdiction (Parkin, 1979) some monopoly building was achieved through legislative requirements for auditors to be chartered accountants (MacDonald, 1995). This resulted in some horizontal segmentation of the market, which highlighted the differentiation of tasks associated with particular accountancy bodies (Richardson and Kilfoyle, 2012), as chartered accountants (ICAS and ICAEW members) had a monopoly over audit services (MacDonald, 1995).

Since the 1990s, however, audit has become increasingly automated, which resulted in decreased audit revenue and a corresponding reduction in profit (Brock, 2006). Driven by their pursuit of higher profits, paralleled by the growth in client demands for consulting services, the larger professional accounting service firms (PASFs), and the Big 4 in particular, started the process of becoming multidisciplinary practices, expanding their offerings and, recruiting heterogeneous professionals (Andon et al., 2015; Greenwood and Suddaby, 2006; Hanlon, 2004; Suddaby et al., 2007; Suddaby et al., 2009; Suddaby and Greenwood, 2005). This has resulted in some of the PASFs such as Deloitte (Deloitte, 2015a) and Ernst & Young (Ernst & Young, 2015) who traditionally trained their staff with ICAS and ICAEW now offering the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA) training to their staff who join the financial services consulting arm of the firm. KPMG further extended this opportunity for employees following the apprenticeship route for school leaver and college leavers where they can study towards CIMA whilst working within tax, audit and advisory (KPMG, 2015). The segmentation of the market is therefore becoming more indistinct. Indeed, following the introduction of the Training Outside Public Practice scheme (TOPPs) in 1998 ICAS members can also undertake their training outside of the PASF environment¹ (Hunter, 1998), an environment traditionally associated with CIMA and the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (ACCA) training. Whilst very few students pursue this TOPPS route, ICAS and ICAEW who traditionally train their members in PASFs have more members employed in industry and commerce than in any other setting (FRC, 2016). The ability of members to transfer the domain of their employment demonstrates the esoteric collection of knowledge that the accountancy profession demands as opposed to a particular cognitive domain often associated with professional bodies (MacDonald, 1995). Thus, the horizontal segmentation of the accountancy profession has become blurred (Richardson, 1987).

Another segmental erosion is the geographical segmentation of the chartered market (ICAS versus ICAEW) whereby students working in Scotland traditionally trained with ICAS² and those working south of the border trained with ICAEW. In 1999, 90% of the 397 ICAS trainees who commenced training in that year were based in Scotland (Marrian and Allison, 2004). However, following the introduction of more bespoke training which resulted in Ernst and Young, PricewaterhouseCoopers and KPMG Tax Business School moving the training of some or all of their students based in England to ICAS, the intake numbers rose to 881 in 2000 and increased further to 997 in 2001 (Marrian and Allison, 2004). These increased numbers have remained relatively stable and in 2014, 59% of the ICAS student body were based outside of Scotland.

ICAS therefore operates in a highly competitive market place where the recruitment of students for training who then progress to membership is critical. This has particular relevance for ICAS, as ICAS is not only responsible for the assessment regime as with

the other UK based bodies such as ICAEW, CIMA and ACCA, but unusually in the UK market, it is also responsible for the provision of the training (ICAS, 2016a). The education fees that ICAS collects are therefore a sizeable proportion of total revenue as identified in Table 1.

Table 1: Education income of ICAS

	1997	2002	2007	2012	2015
Education income expressed as a	21%	40%	34%	36%	37%
percentage of total income					

Source: ICAS, 2001, 2002, 2007b, 2012a and 2015

Whilst it has not been possible to identify the profit generated from the education activity within ICAS it is clear that the income from education contributes quite significantly to the income generation of ICAS. This is particularly the case following the increase from 21% to 40% in 2002, which reflected the doubling of student intake from the year 2000, but which has now stabilised at just over a third of income. ICAS, however, is not unusual in this regard as the other professional bodies based in the UK, with the exception of CIPFA and ICAEW, also report significant elements of their turnover being derived from education and exam fees (FRC, 2016). In the long term ICAS' objective of sustainability is achieved by having an increased level of membership fees derived from this increased student intake (ICAS, 2011a).

To summarise, in order to retain its status as an elite professional grouping, ICAS has to continuously renegotiate and defend its claims (MacDonald, 1995; Shackleton and Walker, 2001) and with an increasingly blurred segmentation within the accountancy market, entry standards may be an important component in this defence. This is of particular relevance when other occupations are trying to improve their status and appeal and gain academic parity (Evetts, 2003; Fournier, 1999; Hill et al., 1996; Perrott, 2002). ICAS, however, has also to recruit sufficient trainees to remain viable in an increasingly less stratified market place, which offers both threats and opportunities. Both of these challenges, status and sustainability, need to be framed within the changing social and political landscape within which ICAS has operated.

The changing social and political landscape

The changing educational landscape

Post the second world-war, Britain has become a post-industrial society, where most of the employment was within the 'middle class' service sector (Roberts, 2011; Vogt, 2016). The growth of this 'middle class' sector required many of these positions to be filled from beneath (Aldridge, 2001) and this upward mobility was facilitated by education (Bourdieu, 1986; Goldthorpe and Mills, 2008; Roberts, 2011). Educational reform widened the opportunities of working class children and boosted their educational attainments (Roberts, 2011). For example, in England and Wales, the

Education Act 1944 introduced the tripartite system of secondary education (grammar schools, secondary technical schools and secondary modern schools whilst fostering the creation of comprehensive schools which combined all three) and made all schooling free (Halsey et al., 1980). Similar provisions were enacted in Scotland a year later in the Education (Scotland) Act 1945. Working class children, however, predominantly went to the secondary modern schools and were thus prevented from following more academic routes (Benn and Chitty, 1996; Bennet et al., 2009). Thus the education system was not the panacea for social mobility as advocated by some stratification sociologists (Blau and Duncan, 1967; Coleman, 1981; Halsey et al., 1980) and class ridden diverseness continued (Jones, 2003). With the election of Labour in 1964, the selective grammar system was under threat, more comprehensive schools were created (Galton et al., 1980) which paved the way for more working class children to attend university (Roberts, 2011).

In terms of higher education, an educational ladder for social mobility had been provided in the UK from as early as the 1920s due to a small number of state university scholarships. As a result, British universities until a generation after the second world war, had a larger proportion of working class students than any other West European country except Sweden (Perkin, 1996). However, due to the unequal social stratification, pupils from working class backgrounds still only had a one in thirty

chance of a university place, compared to a one in four chance for the professional class (Halsey et al., 1980).

Following the publication of the Robbins Report on higher education in 1963, which recommended the immediate expansion of university places, the university sector in Britain expanded considerably (Anderson-Gough, 2009). Thus, while only 8.5% of the adult population aged 20-24 in the UK had a university or college degree in 1960, by 1988 this had risen to 22.8% (Perkin, 1996). However, this growth lagged behind the considerable student expansion that took place elsewhere in the world (Perkin, 1996).

The priority of subsequent governments was therefore to improve access to and quality within universities with a view to developing an inclusive, world class higher education sector (Department for Education and Skills, 2002). This resulted in further growth to the extent that by 2012, 38% of the adult population in the UK held an undergraduate degree in comparison to only 17% in 1992 (ONS, 2013). Thus, a university education is now regarded as the expected norm for many school leavers within the UK as opposed to an educational privilege reserved for the academically elite (Gammie and Kirkham, 2008).

However, despite increasing opportunities for a higher education in the UK, there was still government concern that insufficient had been done to widen access (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2014) as the class gap had remained virtually

unchanged (Halsey et al., 1980; Roberts, 2011). By 2011, over 70% of upper middle class children progressed into higher education compared with less than 20% in the working class (Roberts, 2011) and particular criticism has been levelled at the highly selective, research elite universities who have failed to make any progress in this regard (Milburn, 2012).

Therefore despite the opportunities offered by comprehensive schooling to those from the lower classes, and the unprecedented increase in higher education opportunities, there has been little change in the proportion of working class children going to university in the UK.

The burden of student debt

Education is one of the more expensive items in the UK welfare state (Perkin, 1996). Funding the provision of increasingly mass higher education has been a constant source of political debate and change as evidenced by Table 2 which provides a summary of the changing funding arrangements in England over the last 50 years.

Table 2: Higher education funding arrangements

Date	Rationale for change	Living costs	Tuition fees
1962	In order to encourage the expansion of higher education the UK Education Act of 1962 was passed which introduced the requirement for funding by education authorities.	by local education authority for full-time first degree students (Gillard, 2011) by education authorities.	
1990	By the late 1980s concerns had been raised regarding the growth in student numbers and the related cost to the government. Following a review, student loans were introduced (Wyness, 2010).	Maintenance grants continued but student loans introduced Initially, maximum loan was 1/6 of total support available, by 1996 maximum available loan increased to 1/2 Loans repaid after graduation and reaching specified income level. Repayments mortgage style with repayments a set monthly amount paid over a 5 year period (Bolton, 2015a)	
1998	Dearing Report published in 1997 which recognised that an increase in funding was necessary for higher education and that "graduates in employment should make a greater contribution to the costs of higher education in the future" (Dearing , 1997: 288). A number of options as to how this was achieved were considered with a recommendation being made to end free tuition for higher education. Specifically the Dearing Report recommended " for graduates in work to make a flat rate contribution of around 25% of the average cost of higher education tuition" (Dearing, 1997: 323). The report further recommended that the payment of both tuition fees and living costs by graduates in work should be contingent on their income level (Dearing, 1997). Despite the recommendations made by the Dearing Report, a flat rate upfront tuition levy was introduced for students starting their course from September 1998 with no student loan available in relation to this.	Maintenance grants cut with corresponding increase in student loans Style of loan changed to income contingent loan Repayments now based on income level rather than being flat rate over specified period (Blake, 2010)	Tuition fee levy introduced • £1000 upfront contribution • Means tested with exemptions available for poorest students (Blake, 2010) • No student loans available to cover tuition fee (Wyness, 2010)
1999	Government affordability concerns	Maintenance grants fully replaced by student loans (Wyness, 2010)	
2004	Social mobility concerns	Means tested grants of up to £1000 reintroduced – renamed Higher Education grant Student loans continued to be main source of support (Bolton, 2015b)	
2006	Government affordability and social mobility concerns	Higher Education grants replaced by new style of means tested maintenance grants of up to £2700 Student loans continued to be available (Bolton, 2015b)	Variable tuition fees introduced in England • Maximum of £3000 per year • Tuition fee loans introduced to cover these tuition fees (Bolton, 2015b)
2012	A further review of higher education was commissioned in 2009 with its findings published in the Browne Report in 2010. The report		Maximum tuition fee limit for students studying in England or English students

	highlighted that the current funding of higher education was unsustainable and in need of urgent reform. Its recommendations included higher education institutions being able to charge variable and higher tuition fees. The report further recommended that no upfront payment of tuition fees should be required by the student and that finance should be available to meet the costs of both tuition fees and living costs. Furthermore, the repayment of these should only be required once the student was benefitting from the education received. An emphasis was also placed on the need for any repayments to be at an affordable level (Browne, 2010).		studying in Scotland increased to £9000 per year Tuition fee loans still available • Repayable with interest once student earning at least £21000 • Repayment equal to 9% of income over £21000 threshold (Bolton, 2015a)
2016	Government affordability concerns	Maintenance grants no longer available for new students but level of student loans increased (Bolton, 2015b)	

Whilst funding arrangements of students residing in Scotland had traditionally mirrored that of English residents, funding in Scotland (and indeed Wales) changed following the Referendums in 1997, whereby certain powers including education were devolved to the respective Scottish and Welsh Governments. A detailed review was subsequently undertaken into higher education funding in Scotland, the findings of which were published in the Cubic Report in 1999. The recommendations included abolishing the income dependent tuition fee levy in Scotland and replacing this with a graduate endowment scheme (Alley and Smith, 2004). The resulting Education (Graduate Endowment and Student Support) (Scotland) Act 2001 enacted this recommendation and the means tested tuition fee levy was abolished in Scotland. Instead a graduate endowment scheme was introduced whereby a one off payment, initially set at £2000 was levied and this became due for payment in the April following a student's graduation. This one off payment was applied to any student, irrespective of their

parental/family income, who started their first full-time degree course on or after 1 August 2001 (Wyness, 2010). The graduate endowment scheme was subsequently abolished by the Scottish National Party, who came to power in 2007 narrowly defeating the incumbent Scottish Labour party, in February 2008. Students who had graduated in 2007 were thus no longer required to make the payment (Wyness, 2010) and hence students received free university education. This free education remains in Scotland at the time of writing (2017), and tuition fees continue to be paid by the Scottish Government for Scottish domiciled students studying in Scotland (Scottish Government, 2015).

Despite the advent of student endowments and fees, increasing numbers of students have entered higher education (Association of University Teachers, 2002; HESA, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2015; Scottish Executive, 2003). However, the introduction of the £9000 tuition fee in academic year 2012/13 reversed this trend in England where numbers dropped by 12.22% from the intake in 2011/12. By contrast, in Scotland, where for home students variable fees were not levied, there was actually an increase of 1.76%. Recent trends of students entering first year of full time undergraduate courses are reported in Table 3.

Table 3: Student numbers entering first year on full-time undergraduate courses

	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
England	381,060	410,085	427,890	426,375	438,705	385,105	417,160
% change		+7.26%	+4.34%	-0.35%	+2.89%	-12.22%	+8.32%
Wales	26,210	27,245	30,370	29,595	30,310	28,025	29,070
% change		+3.95%	+11.47%	-2.55%	+2.42%	-7.54%	+3.73%
Scotland	41,940	45,430	47,440	43,065	41,745	42,480	44,850
% change		+8.32%	+4.42%	-9.22%	-3.07%	+1.76%	+5.58%
Ireland	10,185	10,245	10,780	9,990	10,800	10,650	11,145
% change		+0.59%	+5.22%	-7.33%	+8.11%	-1.39%	+4.65%
Total	459,395	493,005	516,480	509,025	521,560	466,260	502,225
% change		+7.32%	+4.76%	-1.44%	+2.46%	-10.60%	+7.71%

Sources: (HESA, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2015)

Despite the reduction in England in 2012/13, the intake in 2013/14 showed a recovery with an increase of 8.32%, although the intake (n=417,160) was still below the highest level recorded in 2011/12 (n=438,705) albeit more than the levels recorded in 2007/08 (n=381,060).

Whilst increased levels of government funding has resulted in considerable expansion of higher education (ONS, 2013) with 55% of Scots in 2013/14, and 46.6% of individuals residing in England, entering higher education by the age of 30 (Weedon et al., 2016), it is clear that the availability of student funding is a key determinant in the attractiveness of higher education. However, what is less clear is the influence of funding type on the uptake of higher education by those from less privileged backgrounds.

Whilst the early evidence from Universities and Colleges Admissions Service (UCAS) (2013) indicated that young people from poorer backgrounds had not been deterred from applying, it was suggested that students who applied to start courses in the 2012/13 and 2013/14 academic years may have already made decisions about higher education before the changes were widely understood (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2014). It was therefore deemed, by the UK government, more important than ever to safeguard and promote access and student success and in April 2014 the Department for Business Innovation and Skills developed a strategy for widening access, articulating that the "unifying ideal in this strategy is that everyone with the potential to benefit from higher education should have equal opportunity to do so" (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2014:4). Widening participation in higher education therefore remains a UK government priority as this will help drive social mobility (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2015). Challenging goals have been set within this arena, namely, to double the participation rate of people from disadvantaged backgrounds entering higher education from 13.6% in 2009 to 27.2% in 2020 (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2015). With a current participation rate, in 2015, of 18.2% (Department for Business Innovation and Skills, 2015) there is still much to be done to achieve this ambition.

Interestingly, despite the increased uptake of higher education in Scotland (Weedon et al., 2016) and the divergent funding model through the funding of tuition fees by the

government (Scottish Government, 2015), 18 year olds in Scotland from the most advantaged backgrounds are four times more likely to go straight to university from school than those from the least advantaged backgrounds (Weedon et al., 2016). This compares unfavourably with England where the rate is 2.4 times (Weedon et al., 2016). Thus, increased participation in Scotland has not increased social diversity. Likewise, the potential for student debt in England has not decreased social diversity.

Social inclusion in the accounting profession

Whilst social mobility and widening participation is clearly a facet of the social and political agenda for higher education, it is also of relevance for professions. Increasing social diversity and social representativeness within professions has also received attention with arguments in favour of widening access positioned in relation to the business case (Ashley and Empson, 2013; European Commission, 2005; PARN, 2008; Milburn, 2009). Despite a claim by the Labour (socialist) Prime Minister in his speech at the annual conference in 1999, two years after coming to power in 1997, that the class war was over (Ashley and Empson, 2013) the Milburn Report (Milburn, 2009) suggested otherwise. The report, argued that by stifling entry to the UK professional services sector, of which accountancy is a component, the sector risked its reputation for dynamism, innovation and creativity (Milburn, 2009). The accounting profession is particularly criticised for a decline in social mobility over the past 30 years whereby it is

suggested that accountants born in 1958 spent their childhood in families with an income level around the national average, whereas those born in 1970 spent their childhood in families with an income level greater than 40% above the national average (Milburn, 2009). Indeed, there is recent evidence to suggest that accountancy firms remain socially exclusive, which is largely due to the requirement for high educational entry requirements and the propensity of the firms to recruit from the elite universities (Duff, 2016).

It is against this political and social backdrop of educational change and social inclusion, compounded by the organisational desire for status and sustainability that entry requirements to ICAS chartered accountancy training over the past 60 years will be considered.

Method

Subsequent to the post second world-war educational reforms, Scottish universities, by the mid-1950s were producing increasing numbers of graduates which led ICAS to challenge their education model (McKinstry, 2000). This challenge was articulated by the Lister Committee which reported to ICAS in 1955 on the examination and training of apprentices.

Using this paper (Lister, 1955) as the starting point for a review of the shifting entry requirements of ICAS to professional accountancy training, an in-depth archival analysis of Council minutes which considered this issue was undertaken. Council minutes were the most appropriate base as the Council of ICAS is responsible for the effective direction of the Institute's affairs (ICAS, 2016b), of which education is a key component and therefore any changes to entry requirements would be discussed at Council level. Any Education Reviews commissioned by Council were subsequently identified. Further elaboration on any key issues identified from the Council minutes from 2003 onwards was obtained from Qualification Board (QB) minutes. This board was set up in 2003 to formulate, advocate, implement and monitor policies and standards designed to achieve the strategic aims and objectives set by Council in relation to business education and professional competence (ICAS, 2016b).

The increase of graduates for chartered accountancy training

Universities in Scotland had been involved in the training of Scottish chartered accountants from as early as 1866 when the Society of Accountants in Edinburgh³ required that all apprentices attend law classes at the University of Edinburgh (Anderson-Gough, 2009). This involvement was further extended in 1926 when attendance at university classes in accountancy, law and economics became a compulsory component in the third year of the five year apprenticeship required in order

to become a chartered accountant (Paisey and Paisey, 2000; Walker, 1994). However, the Lister Committee questioned the attractiveness and status of the qualification as very few graduates applied to train as chartered accountants. The Lister Committee subsequently provided ICAS Council with a fundamental re-appraisal and revolution of the examination and training of Apprentices in a quest to ensure that:

"the syllabus and training scheme are such as to attract suitable new entrants in competition with other professions or, indeed, other accounting bodies" (Lister, 1955: 1).

This report, which was adopted by Council in early 1956, introduced a three-year indenture for 'slanted graduates' who were in effect accountancy graduates. This indenture was two years shorter than the five year apprenticeship in place at that time, thus ICAS introduced the principle of recognition for prior learning into their education process. However, despite this change, only a very small proportion of the annual intake trained in this way as can be seen from Table 4 below:

Table 4: Accounting Degree Entrants 1954-1969

Intake year	Accounting Degree	Total Entrants	%
	Entrants		
1954 and 1955	40	635	6.2
1959	17	321	5.3
1966	11	370	3.0
1967	15	354	4.2
1968	20	269	7.4

Sources: (Dewar, 1966; Shaw, 1969)

The next major education review was undertaken in 1966 by Dewar, who raised concern about achievement rates, both in terms of the numbers completing the qualification and the speed of doing so. From the populations of students starting in 1954/55, 22% did not qualify, and in 1959, this rose to 23%. For those that did complete the qualification only 52% from the 1954/55 intake and 43% from the 1959 intake had done so by the end of 6 years (Dewar, 1966). Examining which candidates were successful the Dewar Committee highlighted a direct correlation between success and those apprentices who had achieved a pass in Higher Mathematics at school (Dewar, 1966).

The desire for more graduates to enter into ICAS training was also articulated, as despite the three year indenture route introduced on the recommendation of Lister (1955) only 3% of the intake in 1966 had an accountancy degree (see Table 4 above). Whilst the total graduate intake (accounting degree and other degrees principally in

economics and law) was higher at around 9% (Dewar, 1966), graduates were clearly in the minority. With the expansion of higher education following the Robbins Report (1963) still in its infancy the Dewar Committee did not consider there were sufficient capacity at university to meet the demand from potential students. Dewar (1966) therefore deduced that there was insufficient students graduating from university to fill the ICAS training vacancies although this was likely to change. In addition, few of the accounting degrees matched the new syllabus that ICAS was proposing to introduce although the Institute was "pleased to learn that the universities were thinking along similar lines" (Dewar, 1966: 8).

The Dewar Committee subsequently made several recommendations which were accepted by Council in 1967 as follows:

- A minimum entry requirement of Attestation of Academic Fitness for entry to a
 Scottish University despite the correlation between performance in Higher
 Mathematics and success in the chartered accountancy examinations the
 requirement for this level of numeracy was rejected.
- In the long term recruitment for chartered accountancy training should be mainly through universities.

- Graduates from accounting degrees would only be examined by the Institute at
 the final level of examinations. Accounting graduates would therefore be exempt
 from the earlier examination stages.
- The compulsory attendance at university classes for the non-accounting graduates be brought forward from the third to the second year of the five year apprenticeship.
- A new examination syllabus should be introduced from 1968/69.
- A compulsory four week book-keeping course be introduced for all apprentices irrespective of their background.
- The practice of the Institute "recommending rates of remuneration for apprentices be discontinued so that masters are free to offer whatever remuneration they feel to be appropriate in the circumstances" (Dewar, 1966: 17)

By 1969 there were three widely different routes to becoming an ICAS member. Scheme A candidates had three years of relevant full-time academic study, namely a University degree in Accounting or Business Administration, followed by three years of practical experience during which they undertook part-time ICAS classes, which were held in the evenings and weekends, and sat examinations for all the final stage subjects. Scheme B candidates were graduates from a variety of non-accountancy related degrees

who underwent a four year apprenticeship whilst undertaking the same ICAS training and assessment that the Scheme C trainees were exposed to. Finally, Scheme C was a five year non-graduate apprenticeship which incorporated a full-time academic year which was undertaken in year two of the five year apprenticeship. Whilst the impact of these changes slightly altered the intake profile (see Table 5), the non-graduate route was still very prevalent.

Table 5: Apprentices registering indentures

Intake	Scheme			
	A	В	С	Total
1966/67	11	34	325	370
1967/68 (post-Dewar Committee's Report)	15	37	302	354
1968/69 (minimum entry qualification raised)	20	50	199	269
1969/70 (estimate)	50	55	190	295

Source: (Shaw, 1969: 1)

Scheme A, whilst building momentum was taking time as when the Dewar Committee first met only four universities offered Scheme A degrees (Williamson, 1969). By 1969, eight universities (including some in England) were offering Scheme A degrees with more on the way. However, the initial output of graduates from these newly developed degrees was not available until the early 1970s (Williamson, 1969). There

was also concern that the standard of degrees and faculty was variable, and that there were difficulties for smaller offices in affording graduate salaries (Williamson, 1969).

Another issue to vex the Institute, at this time, was the impact of raising the entrance qualification requirement, to the equivalent for entry to a Scottish University, for the 1968/69 intake. This resulted in a significant decline in students who entered training under Scheme C, with a fall from 302 students in 1967/68 to 199 students in 1968/69. This fall, which was only partially offset by the increase in graduates, raised some consternation:

"Whilst the increase in the number of graduates is an excellent thing from the academic point of view, the overall reduction will have a serious effect on the finances of our tutorial classes in due course" (Williamson, 1969: 2).

The need to balance education and commercial considerations was therefore apparent. There was also a general feeling that ICAS could still be regarded as an 0-level profession and hence was unattractive to brighter students (Williamson, 1969). Shaw (1969) cited "Gresham's Law of Recruitment" to articulate this point:

"A graduate will be attracted to openings which *demand* his qualifications. Those openings which *prefer* but do not *require* high level entrance qualifications have difficulty in demonstrating the seriousness of their preference" (Shaw, 1969: 3).

Further concerns about increased competition from ICAEW, the effect of integration proposals⁴, and the decline in the public image of the chartered accountant set the scene for further quite radical change (Williamson, 1969).

The all graduate intake

This radical change was delivered, following the publication of the 'Tomorrow's CA in the Making' consultation report, commonly referred to as the Charles Report (ICAS, 1970). This report argued for exclusively graduate recruitment on three levels; it was essential for the Institute, it was advantageous to the master and it was attractive to the student entrant (ICAS, 1970). Five Institute reasons were given; (1) the need to demonstrate the intellectual foundation of the profession, (2) the alternative was a failed university profession, (3) the change in educational patterns had created a situation whereby people who could go to university did and there was no longer a pool of capable students who chose not to undertake a university education, (4) universities were accepting that accountancy was a valid academic discipline and (5) in the complexities of modern commercial life a conceptual basis of principles was essential to the efficient practice of professional skills (ICAS, 1970). It was further suggested that a graduate would only be attracted to openings which demanded rather than preferred their university qualifications:

"anyone who can go to university will do so and the former pool of students who elected not to enter university no longer exists" (ICAS, 1970: 27).

The Council of ICAS, however, did not fully endorse this all graduate proposal and stated:

"Council was not ready to endorse the principle of all graduate entry because of a desire not to shut the door altogether on entry direct from school and because of doubts as to the feasibility from the point of view of availability of training places for graduates" (ICAS, 1971).

Once again it is clear ICAS were keen to maintain some flexibility in entry although the sustainability of ICAS through the recruitment of sufficient trainees was obviously also a consideration. If firms were not willing to pay graduate salaries and hence offer CA training there would be insufficient numbers to sustain the education provision.

The Council of ICAS subsequently resolved that:

"the recruitment of graduates from all possible disciplines be given maximum encouragement with the aim of achieving as soon as possible majority of graduates among the intake" (ICAS, 1972).

The resulting routes to entry following this report in 1973/74 were as follows:

- Graduates with sufficient accountancy, law and economics (relevant degree holders) were eligible for a three year indenture during which they were required to attend block release classes and sit a range of examinations.
- Non-relevant graduates were required to undertake a one year full-time postgraduate diploma course at university, commonly referred to as the conversion course, which equated or nearly equated with an accountancy degree and these trainees thereafter followed the same route as the relevant graduates. The diploma was to be in the subjects of accountancy, law and economics.
- A Higher National Diploma⁵ (HND) apprenticeship as a four year programme for non-graduates was introduced which effectively wound down the school leaver route as all entrants needed now to be educated to at least HND level (ICAS, 1972).

All students (accounting graduates, HND accounting students and non-accounting graduates) would then attend ICAS day release classes to be held in Edinburgh, Glasgow, Dundee, Aberdeen and London for the new two part exam syllabus. However, despite the greatly increased intake of students in the late 1970s⁶ (Marrian and Allison, 2004) and in effect, an all graduate intake as the numbers entering into training from the HND route had fallen to around 2% of the intake (Marrian and Allison, 2004), when pass rates achieved in 1978 fell dramatically in 1980 the Council of ICAS asked once more for a review (ICAS, 1980a).

The conundrum of falling pass rates

The Institute's Examining Board reported to Council that the fall in pass rates related to an expansion of the syllabus learning content and poor performance by certain entry programmes (ICAS, 1980b). A subsequent report to Council noted that the HND students' performance had been disappointing so far although recent syllabus improvements to the HND should improve the situation. However, it was further recognised that any improvement might take three years to show through. Several recommendations were made, although perhaps the most controversial was the proposal to introduce a compulsory Preliminary Examination in the basic subject matters of Accounting, Maths, Law and Tax. This examination, undertaken at the end of a new four week course, which was compulsory for all students irrespective of their background, would act as a filtering mechanism for those who were unlikely to succeed (ICAS, 1981). Students were allowed two attempts at the exam within a period of three weeks and those failing at the second attempt became ineligible to continue in the student education scheme. Consultations on the proposals to members continued through 1982 and were approved by Council on 28 January 1983 for implementation from September 1983 (ICAS, 1983). In addition, to this new layer of assessment, the number of papers increased from six to eleven which were spread over two levels and a further layer of assessment was introduced by way of a multi-disciplinary case study (ICAS, 1983).

Responding to the threat of competition

This new structure, however, had a limited shelf life. University departments that produced relevant graduates expressed discontent over the preliminary course as they viewed the course as a repetition of their teaching and assessment. However, the death knell for this new education scheme was due to a concern about the impact of the increased examinations on non-accountancy graduates. It was noted that the number of examination papers made the CA qualification unattractive in comparison to other alternatives open to non-accounting graduates. Indeed, it was recognised there was a significant risk that non-accounting graduates would study for the examinations of ICAEW in Scotland. It was further reported to the Council of ICAS that 30 students had trained with ICAEW in 1986 and that this could rise to between 60 and 70 in 1987 (ICAS, 1987).

Council acting on a paper and proposals set out by the Education Committee, "The CA in the 1990s" (Lothian, 1985) responded by dispensing with the preliminary exam. The same paper also recommended that the requirement for non-relevant students to undertake the one year post-graduate diploma (the conversion course) be discontinued. This was an attempt to try to attract a higher quality of non-relevant student as this additional course was "an off-putting factor" (Lothian, 1985: 48). When concerns were further raised about the continuation of government funding for these post-graduate

diplomas in accounting, swift action was needed as the Institute firmly believed that it needed a competitive post-graduate scheme. The syllabus was immediately altered so that both accounting and non-accounting graduates could become a qualified CA within three years. In order to achieve a three year indenture period, without the need for any post-graduate conversion course at University, non-accounting graduates undertook their 'conversion' by way of an intensive 13 week block release course spread over the first nine months of the training contract.

These changes and their speed of change were controversial. The syllabus changes planned for 1992 were brought forward to 1988. The HND scheme, which struggled to find sufficient employment opportunities for diploma holders and had recorded significantly lower pass rates than either the relevant or non-relevant degree routes, was also closed although a clear route for special cases was to be maintained. With the changes, student intake numbers in 1989 were 446.

Catering for the non-graduate

In order to still offer a route into CA training from a non-graduate entry route, a proposal was put forward to create a bridge between the perceived high quality Association of Accounting Technicians (AAT) qualification and the CA qualification. A

scheme was proposed to Council of ICAS which followed a similar model with what is now known as CAI (ICAS, 1990). This was the first time that the AAT qualification was considered as an entry route, and the proposal was subsequently ratified by Council in 1991. However, the AAT scheme, as time would show, did not change the CA education entry model in Scotland as student entry numbers peaked at 20 and by 2014 had fallen to 3. Whilst ICAS could be seen to be keen to open routes for non-graduates, market demand was weak.

The entry routes to CA training were subsequently quite stable during the 1990s, and with only small numbers of AAT students joining CA training, ICAS was in effect a graduate only profession (Marrian and Allison, 2004). During this time ICAS Education concentrated on syllabus changes (Gammie et al., 1995) and trying to increase the graduate student numbers which had fallen due to training firm mergers and efficiencies brought about by technological advances (Marrian and Allison, 2004). The focus on growing numbers resulted in TOPPs whereby students could undertake their training in an industrial, commercial or public sector environment as opposed to a professional accounting office. However, this scheme did not generate a significant increase in numbers and the maximum intake from this scheme was around 5% (Marrian and Allison, 2004). The efforts of the ICAS education staff, however, bore fruit in 2000 when Ernst and Young agreed to train all of their graduate students who were employed in the UK with ICAS, following a bespoke UK wide CA education

model. PricewaterhouseCoopers swiftly followed suit although rather than training all of their students based in UK offices they split the trainees based in England between ICAS and ICAEW. Whilst these additional students resulted in a significant increase in numbers to 997 in 2001 (Marrian and Allison, 2004), and a different profile of students in terms of their degree background with around 70% of the intake now holding a non-accountancy degree (Gammie and Kirkham, 2008), the eligibility for entry remained the same.

ICAS had therefore not compromised on their requirement for degree entry but had expanded the intake by seeking out other geographical areas and other environments within which students could undertake their training. ICAS therefore continued to recognise the importance of a degree education as the underpinning for professional training. Indeed, when a review by the Office of Fair Trading in 2000 "A Review on Competition Restrictions in the Professions" suggested that access to the professions was too restrictive, ICAS was clear in its response in relation to the area of entry to the profession of accounting "our concern is not that there is too much restriction... but that there may be too little restriction for the public good" (ICAS, 2000a). However, this rebuttal to the Office of Fair Trading, did not prevent ICAS from developing some new proposals, although not all were ratified by Council.

The first of these proposals followed some discussions with the KPMG Tax Business School. The proposal was for an innovative new route to the CA qualification which in effect gave access to students who had undertaken the Association of Taxation Technicians (ATT) qualification at the KPMG Tax Business School. Students on this route would acquire ATT membership before either specialism in tax or following a pathway to CA. This new entry route was ratified by Council in November 2000 (ICAS, 2000b). Whilst these ATT students may or may not be graduates, this scheme was not dissimilar to the AAT scheme already in place. The main differences between the schemes was (1) the level of specialisation in tax for the ATT students and (2) the fact that all the students were employed by one of the big accounting firms which would have set very competitive selection criteria, thus guaranteeing some level of quality of intake.

In the second of these proposals ICAS, in 2001, developed a unique working model with the University of Newcastle and PricewaterhouseCoopers whereby students would gain a university degree alongside their CA qualification whilst also working with a firm of accountants. However, the Institute's QB had ultimately been unwilling to sacrifice control of the examination requirements to facilitate the venture and the proposal did not reach Council for further deliberation. ICAEW subsequently stepped in and formed the successful longstanding PricewaterhouseCoopers Flying Start scheme.

However, in 2003, Ernst & Young, ICAS and Lancaster University developed a variation of this degree entry programme whereby students study for a BSc (Hons) Accounting, Auditing and Finance degree over a 4 year period. This degree, like other fully-accredited accountancy degrees exempts students from the Test of Professional Competence (TPC)⁷. However, integrated within the degree programme are two out of the five Test of Professional Skills (TPS) subjects and 18 months practical work experience through placements at Ernst & Young. If students pass their professional examinations, excel at their work placement and obtain at least a 2.1 degree then they will be offered a graduate job (Lancaster University, 2015).

Interestingly, each of these three proposals was developed in conjunction with major players in the employment market, KPMG, PricewaterhouseCoopers and Ernst & Young. ICAS was therefore responding to the needs of these major employers by designing schemes which fitted their business model. However, it is clear from the rejection of the PricewaterhouseCoopers scheme that ICAS were not prepared to relinquish control of their educational programme in order to chase student numbers.

The impact of rising student debt – the school leaver route

In 2003 concern was raised by ICAS about the impact of demographic changes on the pool of university graduates and the increasing costs of undertaking a university education in the UK. By this stage the tuition fee levy of £1000 had been introduced and maintenance grants had been abolished and replaced with loans. ICAS Council therefore suggested, through the 'Fast Forward to 2010' strategy document, that access should be widened to enable entry by school leavers. This strategy document set out to position the ICAS brand at the forefront of the profession and identified that one of its priorities was to confirm the ICAS qualification as:

"a pre-eminent accounting and business qualification for the future by driving excellence in a way which is responsive to changes in demographic and market conditions. This will be achieved whilst preserving the overall standard and relevance of the final qualification" (ICAS, 2003: 4).

The document listed four education proposals, one of which was to:

"open the CA qualification to well qualified school leavers and other non-graduate entrants" (ICAS, 2003: 5).

Whilst it was possible prior to this proposal, for non-graduates to gain access to CA training through either the AAT or ATT KPMG route, this proposal extended the access by offering a supplementary route to an ICAS training contract. The proposal was specifically targeted at school leavers who had received no additional educational training post-secondary school education.

With such pervasive arguments put forward by ICAS in 1970 for an all-graduate entry route, and their subsequent rebuttal to the Office of Fair Trading report in 2000, the 2003 proposal to re-open access to school leavers appeared to be a retrograde step for an Institute wishing to position itself at the forefront of the accountancy profession (Gammie and Kirkham, 2008). Gammie and Kirkham (2008) argued that if ICAS were to pursue the school leaver initiative then the proportion of non-graduate trainees was likely to increase with consequential implications for the composition of the overall membership. Any lessening or erosion of the link between accountancy and university education, whether symbolic or material, may portray an altered professional identity (Crompton, 1987, 1997; Milkman, 1983). This may ultimately influence the career choices of potential recruits (Bebbington et al., 1998; ICAS, 1970). Gammie and Kirkham (2008) concluded that if a school leaver route was made available, over time, this may serve to alter the absolute and relative educational profile of ICAS CAs.

Despite concerns raised, the Education Department of ICAS were subsequently tasked with conducting research into the school leaver initiative which was reported back to the Student Education Committee in July 2004 (ICAS, 2004b) and the QB in October 2004. The conclusions drawn were that insufficient numbers of students were likely to be attracted to a dedicated school leaver route to make an additional ICAS training model cost-effective. Student numbers in higher education were still rising and the increased cost to students of going to university was not acting as a deterrent. The principle of a school leaver route into ICAS training was, however, still deemed worthwhile and therefore it was recommended that the AAT and the ATT route should continue to be used. It was also agreed that in view of student endowments and fees and the potential impact that this could have on the number of school leavers attending university, the demand for university places should be reviewed annually (ICAS, 2004b). It was also reported at the QB meeting (ICAS, 2004c) that the Executive Group were concerned ICAS were losing CA firms who had traditionally offered ICAS training contracts to other Institutes and QB were requested to consider alternative models of delivery, as opposed to alternative entry routes, which were cheaper and more attractive to employers facing high costs of training (ICAS, 2004c).

Hence, at the August 2005 meeting of QB (ICAS, 2005a), when the first annual review of the demand for university places was presented, support was expressed by QB for a further investigation into a school leaver route (ICAS, 2005a). As a result, discussions

were held with one of the 'Big 4' firms with a view to running a pilot scheme. This scheme was presented and agreed by QB in November 2005 (ICAS, 2005b).

The pilot scheme offered students the opportunity to commence their CA training without any prerequisite education, apart from a school academic background equivalent to that required from a graduate⁸. It was to be a small, controlled pilot scheme with an expected intake of approximately 20 individuals. Trainees on the scheme would be required to undertake the same three levels of ICAS examinations as graduates, namely; TPC, TPS and the Test of Professional Expertise (TPE). However instead of a three year training contract, trainees on the school leaver route would be required to undertake a five year contract. This five year term, which incidentally mirrored the five year apprenticeship in existence until the early 1970s, would shorten the time taken to qualify for school leavers. In comparison to graduates from an English university where honours degrees take three years to complete, school leavers could qualify 12 months sooner⁹. In comparison to graduates of Scottish universities where honours degrees take four years to complete, the time saving would be 24 months.

The rationale for the school leaver proposal was market led. It was introduced in recognition of the potential for a smaller pool of graduates following an anticipated decline in the take-up of a university education based on cost. Interestingly, the issue of

graduate salaries as previously highlighted by Williamson in 1969 was also raised. This alternative educational route to qualification was also viewed as a possible means of alleviating any drift of CA training firms towards other UK accountancy bodies, such as ACCA, which offered school leaver routes. There was a general feeling that smaller offices were employing school leavers and training these students with ACCA as they were cheaper to employ than graduates. ICAS, however, was careful not to throw caution to the wind. By keeping the scheme to a small pilot, with a target intake of only 20 students out of a total student intake of 1020 in 2005 and 1188 in 2006, if the scheme was unsuccessful it was unlikely to have any impact on how ICAS was viewed as a professional body. The pilot also enabled ICAS to evaluate (i) whether this type of initiative would be attractive to school leavers and (ii) whether a lack of any post-secondary school education would be detrimental to the trainees' progress.

At the November 2006 and 2007 meetings of the QB, the first two annual reports of the pilot scheme were discussed (ICAS, 2006, 2007c). It was noted that the 'Big 4' firm running the pilot had experienced difficulty in recruiting good quality 'A' level candidates and that in order to do so, significant effort had been required by the recruitment team to ensure that their selection standards were maintained whilst also meeting the recruitment targets of the business. The firm confirmed that it was difficult to recruit school leavers as there was still a significant amount of pressure from both schools and parents for school leavers to go to university. Thus only very small numbers

were recruited onto the pilot scheme (2005 intake, n = 6; 2006 intake, n = 8; 2007 intake, n = 4), which fell significantly short of the annual target of 20. Feedback on the performance of the students on the pilot scheme suggested that the school leavers were just as strong as the graduates in their work based performance. They were perceived to be enthusiastic, willing and quick to learn. The students were viewed to have a positive focused attitude, approached their work seriously and were thought to have a surprising level of maturity for their age (ICAS, 2007c). Despite this positive evaluation only one of the six trainees recruited by the firm for the 2005 intake was still with the firm. The scheme was subsequently abandoned.

Whilst the advent of the variable university fees in England of £3000 in 2006 had no negative impact on the uptake of university education, there was increasing speculation following the Browne report "Securing a Sustainable Future for Higher Education" (Browne, 2010) that this variable fee would increase in England, which by now was where the majority of the ICAS trainees were based. Whilst ICAS, voiced concern about both the direct and indirect implications in England of the Browne report they were also wary about the situation in Scotland where no variable fees were in existence. Noting the Scottish Government Green Paper "Building a Smarter Future: Towards a Sustainable Scottish Solution for the Future of Higher Education" published in 2010, ICAS concluded that the prospect of fiscal spending gaps would mean that student fees would in time be applied in Scotland. ICAS therefore responded to these government

sponsored reports by re-engaging once again with non-graduate entry to the profession. In February 2011, at a time when 99% of the annual student entry were graduates, the QB reiterated their support for the principle of a school leaver entry program and further suggested that other forms of non-graduate entry (Technician and HND) also be reconsidered (ICAS, 2011b).

During this time the Education team at ICAS were actively engaged with both the university sector and the 'Big 4' with a view to expanding the provision of integrated ICAS degrees along the lines of the Ernst & Young degree at Lancaster. This activity resulted in the launch in 2011 of a school leavers' programme with KPMG which was deemed to be a compelling alternative to pursuing a traditional university route (KPMG, 2011). The programme which spans a 6 year period offers school leavers the opportunity to get a job in a KPMG audit team, obtain an accounting degree at Birmingham or Exeter University¹⁰, and become a fully qualified chartered accountant with ICAS. Students receive a starting salary straight from school and KPMG pay the university tuition & accommodation fees together with the professional qualification fees. The impetus for this development was not only in response to university fees but also in response to the need to increase social diversity within the firm. Oliver Tant, the Head of Audit at KPMG, was subsequently reported as stating:

"We believe that our proposition offers a truly innovative model that will help to broaden access to the accountancy profession. We want to increase the diversity of the intake into the profession and this scheme is central to our plans to make that happen. At a time when the affordability of higher education is uppermost in many people's minds, this scheme clearly offers an alternative route that should see students emerge not only with first class qualifications but also a positive bank balance. We very much look forward to working with Exeter and Birmingham to make the scheme a success" (Lymer, 2011).

Whilst this scheme has not had sufficient time to demonstrate progression and achievement rates from the school leaver intake to professional qualification, both universities are attracting between 40-50 students on an annual basis. This integrated offering is therefore clearly attractive to school-leavers, which is in contrast to the previous school leaver scheme piloted in 2005 where the firm struggled to fill the places on offer. The lure of a university education to school leavers as articulated by ICAS in 1970 and Gammie and Kirkham (2008) would therefore appear very much still to be in evidence.

However, there still remained concern by firms, and the Big 4 in particular, that the increased variable university fees of up to £9000 being levied by universities would deter some good quality candidates from going to university and that there was a

growing demand from students and parents alike for an alternative to the traditional career path into professional services (Woods, 2011). Stephen Isherwood, Ernst & Young's Head of Graduate Recruitment was reported as stating:

"With rising tuition fees and living costs, students are considering their next move after A-Levels more carefully than they perhaps have done in previous years. University is no longer the default; they are looking at all the options available" (Woods, 2011).

Chris Loughran, Midlands practice senior partner at Deloitte, further highlighted social inclusion as the driver for the school leaver development:

"Not all students want, or are able, to go to university, but that shouldn't exclude them from having equally attractive career opportunities. Talent is the lifeblood of a business like ours and it is crucial that we do all we can to ensure that talented young people with the ability to learn and develop can find their way into Deloitte, whatever their background. As a business, we want to be as inclusive as possible" (Business Birmingham, 2011).

The Big 4 therefore launched or extended their school leaver programmes which did not include any element of university tuition. Whilst PricewaterhouseCoopers were regarded as the trendsetters in the school leaver programme market (All About School

Leavers, 2012) Deloitte launched their Bright Start programme in 2011 which built on a successful small scale regional programme already in existence (Business Birmingham, 2011) and Ernst &% Young followed suit in 2012 (Woods, 2011).

ICAS needed to respond to this developing market and in 2012, the five year training contract was once again introduced, whereby students with sufficient school grades to obtain entry into a university, could progress straight to their CA training within an authorised training office directly from school. The magnitude of the changing fee structure and the potential impact on prospective students, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds were important drivers to this change. Based on employer research an initial target was set at a modest number of 30 students per annum, which equated to around 3% of the target intake. Interestingly, the number of students commencing their training on this route has yet to reach the target thereby indicating that this route to date has yet again not proved popular with students or more importantly with employers.

During the introduction of this new five year school leaver route, a further suggestion was put forward to Council at their August 2012 meeting (ICAS, 2012b) by the ICAS Education team. This new route, named the professional entry route would be open to anyone. This flexibility could subsequently widen access to any individual who aspired

to gain the CA qualification. The crucial difference, however, between this programme and either the graduate entry or school leaver entry programmes was the elimination of the requirement for a training contract. The need to follow an education programme and gain work experience at the same time was also to be abolished as was the requirement to be employed by an authorised employer. Indeed, this new route would allow students to gain their work experience with several different employers (Allison, 2012). Council were, however, not convinced and the concept was returned to the Education team for further deliberation. When this route was re-presented to Council in November 2012 (ICAS, 2012c) Council approved the proposals for this new route. In terms of entry requirements, candidates for this route would either need a degree or five years' experience in a financial environment. Council would, however, have discretion to accept entrants by exception which in practical terms would operate through delegation of the decision making to either the CEO or the Education Executive Director (ICAS, 2012c). The resulting candidates entering into training from this professional entry route have also been small with only 10 candidates joining in 2015. ICAS, therefore, ostensibly remains a graduate profession as evidenced by the most recent statistics from the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) whereby ICAS has the highest proportion of graduates (98%) of all the UK accounting bodies (FRC, 2016).

Discussion

The status of a profession is influenced by the characteristics of those who practice an occupation (Crompton, 1987; Crompton and Sanderson, 1986; Milkman, 1983), therefore the setting of entry standards to permit access to professional accountancy training is of particular relevance for establishing and maintaining elite status (Coronella et al., 2015; MacDonald, 1995). Indeed, ICAS has long recognised the importance of education, of which setting entry requirements is a component, to the legitimisation of ICAS as a pre-eminent professional body as advocated in the sociology of professions' literature (*inter alia* Abbott, 1988; Burrage, 1990; Crompton, 1987; Friedson, 1986; Hammond et al., 2009; Larson, 1977; MacDonald, 1995; Richardson, 1988).

ICAS has a unique position in the UK as unlike other bodies such as ICAEW, CIMA and ACCA who set the qualification syllabus and assessments, ICAS also undertakes the actual training of aspiring professional accountants. The financial impact of education within ICAS is therefore twofold; first, to contribute an element of income from educational activities such as tuition and examination fees and second, to generate members who will subsequently pay membership fees. ICAS therefore needs to be cognizant of the attractiveness and consequent financial viability, both individually and collectively, of any training routes that emanate from the different entry routes on offer, whilst further ensuring the sustainability of the Institute with sufficient numbers of students qualifying each year.

Education in the UK, post the second world-war, underwent significant change with the reform of the secondary education system (Galton et al., 1980; Halsey et al., 1980; Roberts, 2011) and the massification of higher education (ONS, 2013; Perkin, 1996). A university education therefore became the expected norm for academically bright students (Gammie and Kirham, 2008) and this challenged the ability of ICAS to attract these individuals directly from school. In order to maintain and indeed enhance the status of the Institute, various education reports commissioned by the Council of ICAS (Dewar, 1966; ICAS, 1970; Lister, 1955; Shaw, 1969; Williamson, 1969), were unanimous in their view that it was vital to position the Institute as a graduate rather than a school leaver professional body. This re-positioning of the Institute would in turn render the Institute more attractive to graduates which would ultimately change the profile of those entering CA training and further legitimise and enhance the professional status of ICAS.

The first ICAS response to this re-positioning challenge was to shorten the training for accountancy graduates from five to three years thus recognising the prior learning of students from these types of degrees (Lister, 1955). However, when this intervention failed to have the desired effect, ICAS raised the bar to entry for school leavers and redesigned their training for non-accountancy graduates so that the qualification became far more attractive to students from a variety of different university disciplines (Dewar, 1966). Further changes followed in 1973 on the recommendation of the Charles Report

(ICAS, 1970) to further restrict non-graduate entry and ICAS therefore essentially morphed into a graduate only Institute. Whilst professional status was clearly the driving force behind these changes, the sustainability of the Institute was also on the agenda. This was evidenced by the concern voiced about (1) the competition threat from other professional bodies such as ICAEW in a time of increasingly blurred geographical segmentation (Williamson, 1969), (2) the unintended consequence of falling student numbers on the school leaver route following the introduction of the more rigorous entry requirements (Williamson, 1969) and (3) the lack of sufficient training opportunities for graduates who were more expensive to employ (ICAS, 1971). Despite strong recommendations by the Charles Report (ICAS, 1970) to exclude non-graduates from training, there was a reluctance by Council to fully endorse this proposal grounded upon the risk of student number retraction (ICAS, 1971). The concern was therefore centred around sustainability as opposed to social inclusion and there was no mention in the minutes about the potential impact of an all graduate intake on social diversity within the Institute.

With ICAS establishing itself as an all graduate Institute, the focus of Council turned to the attractiveness of the qualification to graduates, particularly those from a non-relevant background. Following the work of Lothian (1985) significant changes were made to the entry requirements of non-relevant graduates who were no longer required to undertake a conversion course at university but instead received all of their

accountancy training from ICAS. This subsequently paved the way for ICAS to double their student numbers as the Institute was awarded contracts with the Big 4, the first being awarded in 2000, to train students based in England, the majority of whom has progressed from non-relevant degrees.

Whilst ICAS was still firmly of the view that restricting access to the profession was in the public interest (ICAS, 2000a), the advent of student loans in 1990 (Bolton, 2015a) and tuition fee levies in 1998 (Blake, 2010; Wyness, 2010) raised concerns about the demand for university places and the subsequent supply of graduates, particularly those from less privileged backgrounds. ICAS therefore, working in conjunction with universities and some of the largest employers of their trainees, introduced an integrated training whereby students were sponsored through a specially designed degree which not only incorporated some of the ICAS education system but also included some work experience with their sponsoring firm. Whilst these schemes were in effect a school leaver route as students were recruited by the firms directly from school, these schemes maintained the status afforded by a university education whilst encouraging social diversity, as students would not incur the debt they would otherwise have if they completed a degree first before applying for a training place. Recruitment was aimed at schools throughout the UK where attainment was below average and where there were government statistics of a greater proportion of students from economically poor backgrounds. When, however, university fees were raised to a maximum of £9000 in England in 2012 (Bolton, 2015a) the Big 4 were of the view that there was a growing demand for alternative career routes that did not include a university education. The Big 4 were therefore keen to launch and expand their school leaver routes and ICAS obliged by reintroducing a school leaver route through a five year apprenticeship which would accommodate these students. Furthermore ICAS also introduced a professional route which opened up access to those individuals with five years relevant work experience. However, despite similar entry routes being offered in 2015 to those offered in the 1960s the profile of the 2015 intake is far removed from that recorded in the 1960s as evidenced in Table 6.

Table 6: 2015 intake versus 1967 intake

Entry route	Number 2015	Percentage of total intake 2015	Cumulative percentage of total intake 2015	Number 1967	Percentage of total intake 1967	Cumulative percentage of total intake 1967
Relevant graduate (Scheme A in 1967)	380	38.8%	38.8%	15	4.2%	4.2%
Non-relevant graduate (Scheme B in 1967)	570	58.1%	96.9%	37	10.5%	14.7%
Professional entry – graduate and those with appropriate work experience	10	1.1%	98.0%	Not available in 1967		

School leaver – with no university education (Scheme C in 1967)	15	1.5%	99.5%	302	85.3%	100%
Technician	5	0.5%	100%	Not available in 1967		
Total	980			354		

(Allison, 2015, personal communication; Shaw, 1969)

The profile of student intake has changed significantly over the period and ICAS has developed into ostensibly an all graduate professional body and continues to remain this way despite non-graduate routes being re-introduced, with 98% of the 2015 intake coming from a degree background in comparison to only 14.7% in 1965. This contrasts with the other professional bodies based in the UK as evidenced by the statistics provided by the FRC in Table 7.

Table 7: Graduate intake (expressed as a % of the total intake)

Professional body	2001	2015
ICAS	92%	98%
CAI	93%	93%
ICAEW	90%	76%
CIPFA	52%	47%
CIMA	45%	53%
ACCA	50%	51%

Source: (FRC, 2002, 2016)

Whilst some bodies such as CIMA and ACCA have traditionally had significant numbers of non-graduates, other bodies such as ICAEW, which was more graduate focussed, have seen an erosion of graduate intake over recent years falling from 90% in 2001 (which was broadly equivalent to ICAS with 92%) to 76% in 2015. This resulted from successful AAT schemes in England. ICAS, by contrast has increased its' graduate intake to 96% in 2015. Is there an unintended consequence of this move to an all graduate intake by ICAS?

Despite the expansion of university places (ONS, 2013; Perkin, 1996) this expansion has not resulted in greater social inclusion in the higher education environment (Halsey et al., 1980; Roberts, 2011). The introduction of the higher fees in England is likely to further exacerbate this situation despite early evidence that young people from poorer backgrounds have not been deterred to any greater extent than those from more affluent backgrounds from applying to university following the introduction of the higher fees (UCAS, 2013). Changing the profile on entry to a graduate only profile is therefore likely to result in a decrease in social inclusion. This is particularly the case, when organisations such as the Big 4, concentrate their recruitment on the more prestigious universities (Burkham and Lee, 2002; Feinstein, 1999, 2003) which are criticised for their recruitment of disproportionate numbers of students from poorer areas and lower social classes (Duff, 2016; Milburn, 2012; Sutton Trust, 2010). Unfortunately, there are no statistics available from any of the accountancy bodies on social diversity of their

student intake or indeed membership, however, the accountancy profession has been identified as an occupational group that has suffered the greatest decline in social mobility over the past 30 years (Milburn, 2009).



Conclusion

Educational changes post the second world-war underpinned the desire of ICAS in the 1950s and 1960s to alter the educational profile of its members by raising the entry qualifications and converting what was ostensibly a school leaver professional body into a graduate only body. This social closure founded on academic credentials (Hammond et al., 2009; Murphy, 1988; Parkin, 1979) was deemed necessary to maintain and enhance the professional status of the Institute in the face of market competition from other accountancy bodies and career competition from other professions.

Following the transformation into a graduate body, albeit with at least one non-graduate route open, subsequent attention focused on responding to market demands in an attempt to maintain and grow student numbers and ensure the financial viability of the Institute. This altered focus, in a competitive market where segmentation has become blurred (Richardson, 1987) was a further drive to maintain market share (Larson, 1977).

However, when concerns were raised in 2003 about the impact of demographic changes to the pool of university graduates and the increasing costs of undertaking a university education in the UK, the focus of ICAS returned once more to the changing educational landscape, which has resulted in a proliferation of non-graduate routes into training. These routes are all characterised by opening access but have all had very limited take up. Despite the massification of higher education in the UK (ONS, 2013) to the extent

that a degree is no longer regarded as an elite qualification (Gammie and Kirkham, 2008) it would appear that employers, career advisors, family members and students have been reluctant to trade a university education with direct non-graduate entry to a professional training scheme. Therefore whilst the ICAS statistics of graduate intake proportions would indicate social closure apparatus at work, the reality is not that of elitism by ICAS but rather a series of failed initiatives to open the market. The signs of elitism may now be the province of the largest accounting firms who have concentrated their recruitment on the more prestigious universities which produce disproportionate graduates from lower social classes (Duff, 2016; Milburn, 2012; Sutton Trust, 2010). These firms are, however, attempting to address this elitism issue through a change in their recruitment approach whereby applications are now evaluated on the basis of skills and behaviours demonstrated in the application process as opposed to school results and university attended (Agnew, 2016).



Notes

- ⁴ This proposal had been put forward and subsequently rejected by ICAEW to integrate what are now known as CIMA, ACCA and the Chartered Institute of Public Finance Accountants (CIPFA) into the three chartered Institutes, namely; ICAS, ICAEW and the Chartered Accountants Ireland (CAI) (McKinstry, 2000).
- ⁵ An HND is a higher education qualification available within the UK which normally takes two years to complete. Some HNDs can be used as a stepping stone to obtaining a higher qualification (Whatuni, 2016).
- ⁶ Between 1970 and 1975 membership rose from 8608 to 9308, which averages an increase in membership of 140 per year. Between 1975 and 1980, membership rose from 9308 to 10368, which averages an increase of 212 (ICAS, 2004a).
- ⁷ Trainees who have undertaken relevant degrees can be exempt from all or part of this level, dependent on the examinations taken at university.

¹ Although trainees on this route account for a very small proportion of the annual student intake.

² In the late 1980s small numbers of ICAS students (between 10 and 20 a year) attended classes in London (Marrian and Allison, 2004).

³ The Society of Accountants in Edinburgh, the Institute of Accountants and Actuaries in Glasgow and the Society of Accountants in Aberdeen were the predecessor organisations of ICAS.

⁸ For this particular 'Big 4' organisation, this equated to 24 UCAS points from A levels (A levels are taken in the final year (6th) of secondary school, which were awarded as follows: A - 10 points, B - 8 points, C - 6 points, D - 4 points, E - 2 points) together with a grade B in GCSE Mathematics and a grade C in GCSE English Language (GCSEs are taken in the 4th year of secondary school). Once an applicant had met the academic criteria, subsequent selection was based on a rigorous recruitment process which consisted of an online numerical and reasoning assessment, two interviews and a one day assessment centre.

⁹ For students undertaking their degrees in England, the three year honours degree followed by the three year training contract, results in a minimum training time of six years to qualify as a CA. For students undertaking their degrees in Scotland, the additional year at university, plus the same length of training contract, results in a minimum training time of seven years to qualify as a chartered accountant.

¹⁰ A similar programme is offered with Durham University and ICAEW

References

Abbott A (1988) *The System of Professions: An Essay on the Division of Expert Labor.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Agnew H (2016) 'Big Four' look beyond academics. Available at: https://www.ft.com/content/b8c66e50-beda-11e5-9fdb-87b8d15baec2 (accessed 18 January 2017).

Aldridge S (2001) *Social mobility: A discussion paper*. London: Performance and Innovation Unit.

All About School Leavers (2012) Types of school leaver programme. Available at: http://www.allaboutschoolleavers.co.uk/articles/article/48/types-of-school-leaver-programme (accessed 27 November 2015).

Alley S and Smith M (2004) Timeline: Tuition Fees. The Guardian.

Allison M (2012) An Education Conversation: A background paper for Council members, Edinburgh: ICAS.

Anderson-Gough F (2009) Education. In: Edwards J and Walker S (eds) *The Routledge Companion to Accounting History*. New York: Routledge, 297-316.

Andon P, Free C and O'Dwyer B (2015) Annexing new audit spaces: challenges and adaptations. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* 28(8): 1400-1430.

Annisette M and Kirkham LM (2007) The advantages of separateness explaining the unusual profession-university link in English Chartered Accountancy. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 18(1): 1-30.

Ashley L and Empson L (2013) Differentiation and discrimination: Understanding social class and social exclusion in leading law firms. *Human Relations*, 66(2): 219-244.

Association of University Teachers (2002) Welcome Cash Boost for Education. Available at: http://www.aut.org.uk/news/135/html (accessed 25 May 2006).

Bebbington J, Thomson I and Wall D (1998) Accounting students and constructed gender: an exploration of gender in the context of accounting degree choices at two Scottish Universities. *Journal of Accounting Education* 15(2): 241-267.

Beckman S (1990) Professionalization: Borderline Authority and Autonomy in Work. In: Dingwall R and Lewis P (eds) *The Sociology of the Profession Lawyers, Doctors and Others*. London: MacMillan Press, 115-138.

Benn C and Chitty C (1996) *Thirty years on: is comprehensive education alive and well or struggling to survive?* London: David Fulton Publishers.

Bennet T, Savage M, Silva E, Warde A, Gayo-Cal M and Wright D (2009) *Culture, Class, Distinction.* London: Routledge.

Blake H (2010) Grants, loans and tuition fees: a timeline of how university funding has evolved. *The Telegraph*.

Blau P and Duncan O (1967) The American Occupational Structure. New York: Wiley.

Bolton P (2015a) Student Loans Statistics: Briefing Paper Number 1079. October. *House of Commons Library*.

Bolton P (2015b) The value of student maintenance support: Briefing Paper Number 00916. July. *House of Commons Library*.

Bourdieu P (1984) *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*. London: Routledge.

Bourdieu P (1986) The forms of capital. In Richardson J (ed) *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*. New York: Greenwood, 46 -58.

Brock D (2006) The changing professional organization: a review of competing archetypes. *International Journal of Management Reviews* 8(3): 157-174.

Browne J (2010) Securing a sustainable future for higher education: an independent review of higher education funding and student finance. Report, Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, UK, October.

Burkham D and Lee V (2002) *Inequality at the starting gate: Social background differences in achievement as children begin school.* Washington DC: Economic Policy Institute.

Burrage M (1990) Introduction: the professions in sociology and history. In: Burrage M and Torstendahl R (eds) *Professions in Theory and History*. London: Sage Publications.

Business Birmingham (2011) Deloitte scheme gives school leavers a bright start. Available at: http://businessbirmingham.com/media-hub/latest-news/deloitte-scheme-gives-school-leavers-a-bright-start/# (accessed 27 November 2015).

Ciancanelli P, Gallhofer S, Humphrey C and Kirkham L (1990) Gender and accountancy: Some evidence from the UK. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* 1(2): 117-144.

Coetzee S (2016) Contemporary Challenges Facing the South African Accounting profession: Issues of Selection, Recruitment and transformation, Doctoral Thesis, Aberdeen: Robert Gordon University

Coleman S (1981) Private schools, public schools and the public interest. *The Public interest* 0(64): 19.

Coronella S, Sargiacomo M and Walker P (2015) Unification and Dual Closure in the Italian Accountancy Profession, 1861–1906. *European Accounting Review* 24(1): 167-197.

Crompton R (1987) Gender, Status and Professionalism. Sociology 21(3): 413-428.

Crompton R (1997) Women and Work in Modern Britain. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crompton R and Sanderson K (1986) Credentials and careers: some implications of the increase in professional qualifications amongst women. *Sociology* 20: 25-42.

Dearing, R (1997) Higher education in the learning society. The National Committee of Inquiry in to Higher Education. Report for the National Committee of Inquiry into Higher Education.

Deloitte (2015a) Learning and Development: Consulting. Available at: http://www2.deloitte.com/uk/en/pages/careers/articles/learning-and-development-students-consulting.html (accessed 7 December 2015).

Department for Education and Skills (2002) Government Aims for Higher Education. Available at: http://www.dfes.gov.uk/highereducation/policies (accessed 25 May 2006).

Department for Business Innovation and Skills (2014) National strategy for access and student success in higher education. Available at:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/299689/b is-14-516-national-strategy-for-access-and-student-success.pdf (accessed 26 November 2015).

Department for Business Innovation and Skills (2015) Fulfilling our Potential: Teaching Excellence, Social Mobility and Student Choice. Available at: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/474227/BIS-15-623-fulfilling-our-potential-teaching-excellence-social-mobility-and-student-choice.pdf (accessed 26 November 2015).

Dewar (1966) *Education and Training of Apprentices Report of the Special Committee*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Duff A (2016) Social mobility and Fair Access to the accountancy profession in the United Kingdom: Evidence from Big Four and mid-tier firms. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*.

Ernst & Young (2015) Financial Services Advisory Consulting Programme. Available at:http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Careers/Students/Advisory---Financial-Services-Advisory-Consultant-Programme (accessed 15 June 2015).

European Commission (2005) *The Business Case for Diversity: Good practices in the Workplace.* Brussels: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Evetts J (2003) The Sociological Analysis of Professionalism. *International Sociology* 18(2): 395-415.

Feinstein L (1999) *The relative importance of academic, psychological and behavioural attitudes developed in childhood.* London: Centre of Economic Performance.

Feinstein L (2003) Inequality in the early cognitive development of British children in the 1970 cohort. *Economica* 70(277): 73-97.

Fournier V (1999) The Appeal to Professionalism? as a Disciplinary Mechanism. *The Sociological Review* 47(2): 280-307.

FRC (2002) Key Facts and Trends in the Accountancy Profession. London: The Financial Reporting Council Ltd.

FRC (2003) Key Facts and Trends in the Accountancy Profession. London: The Financial Reporting Council Ltd.

FRC (2016) Key Facts and Trends in the Accountancy Profession. London: The Financial Reporting Council Ltd.

Friedson E (1986) *Professional Powers*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Galton M, Simon B and Croll P (1980) *Inside the primary classroom* (The ORACLE Report) London: Routledge and Kegan Paul

Gammie E, Allison M and McDonagh J (1995) *Education review 1994-95*. Edinburgh: The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Gammie E and Kirkham L (2008) Breaking the link with a university education in the creation of a chartered accountant: The ICAS story. *The British Accounting Review* 40(4): 356-375.

Gillard D (2011) *Education in England: A Brief History*. Available at: http://www.educationengland.org.uk/history/index.html (accessed 17 June 2015).

Goldthorpe J and Mills C (2008) Trends in Intergenerational Class Mobility in Modern Britain: Evidence From National Surveys, 1972—2005. *National Institute Economic Review* 205(1): 83-100.

Greenwood R.and Suddaby R (2006) Institutional entrepreneurship in mature fields: The big five accounting firms. *Academy of Management journal* 49(1): 27-48.

Hall M (2009) Socioeconomic barriers into the professions: A literature review. London: EHRC/Professional Associations Research Network.

Halsey A, Heath A and Ridge J (1980) *Origins and Destinations: Family, Class and Education in Modern Britain*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Hammond T, Clayton B and Arnold P (2009) South Africa's transition from apartheid: The role of professional closure in the experiences of black chartered accountants. *Accounting, Organisations and Society* 34(6): 705-721.

Hanlon G (2004) Institutional Forms and Organizational Structures: Homology, Trust and Reputational Capital in Professional Service Firms. *Organization* 11(2): 186-210.

HESA (2009) Students in Higher Education Institutions 2007/08. Available at: https://www.hesa.ac.uk/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3080 (accessed 12 August 2015).

HESA (2010) Students in Higher Education 2008/09. Available at: https://www.hesa.ac.uk/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3430 (accessed 12 August 2015).

HESA (2012) Statistical First Release 169 - Student Enrolments and Qualifications. Available at: https://www.hesa.ac.uk/sfr169 (accessed 12 August 2015).

HESA (2014) Statistical First Release 197 - Student Enrolments and Qualifications. Available at: https://www.hesa.ac.uk/sfr197 (accessed 12 August 2015).

HESA (2015) Statistical First Release 210 – Student Enrolments and Qualifications. Available at: https://www.hesa.ac.uk/sfr210 (accessed 12 August 2015).

Hill Y, MacGregor J and Dewar K (1996) Nurses' access to higher education: a quality productnull. *Quality Assurance in Education* 4(2): 21-27.

Hunter A (1998) Letter from the President to ICAS Members: A new approach to ICAS Education and Training. January. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Inglis R, Shelly M, Morley C and De Lange P (2011) A collective, undifferentiated accounting profession: an Australian study. *Accounting & Finance* 51(3): 711-731.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1970) *Tomorrow's CA in the Making (the Charles Report)*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1971) *Council Minutes 4535. February*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1972) *Council Minutes 4785. February*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1980a) *Council Minutes 7133. June.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1980b) *Council Minutes 7219*. *November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1981) *Council Minutes 7247. January*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1983) *Council Minutes 7642. January*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1987) *Council Minutes 8512. May.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (1990) *Council Minutes 9133. June*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2000a) *Council Response to the Office of Fair Trading. June.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2000b) *Council Minutes November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2001) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2002) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2003) *Fast Forward to 2010*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2004a) *ICAS: 150 Years and Still Counting A Celebration*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2004b) *Student Education Committee Minutes. July.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2004c) *Qualification Board. October*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2005a) *Qualification Board. August.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2005b) *Qualification Board. November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2006) *Qualification Board. November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2007a) *CA Clearly Ahead: ICAS Strategy*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2007b) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2007c) *Qualification Board. November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2011a) The ICAS Strategic Plan Building a Professional Community. Available at: https://www.icas.com/about-icas/our-strategy (accessed 12 November 2012).

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2011b) *Council Minutes, February*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2012a) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2012b) *Council Minutes, August.* Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2012c) *Council Minutes, November*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2014) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2015) *Annual Review*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2016a) Why become a CA with ICAS, Available at:https://www.icas.com/education-and-qualifications/why-should-you-join-icas (accessed 3 May 2016).

Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (2016b) *Governance of ICAS, Available at:* https://www.icas.com/about-icas/governance-of-icas (accessed 28 July 2016).

International Accounting Education Standards Board (2013) Entry Requirements to professional Accounting education programs (Revised.) New York: International Federation of Accountants.

International Federation of Accountants (2014) *Handbook of International Education Pronouncements: 2014 Edition* New York: International Federation of Accountants.

Jones K (2003) Education in Britain: 1944 to the present. Cambridge: Polity Press.

Kirkham LM and Loft A (1993) Gender and the Construction of the Professional Accountant. *Accounting, Organizations & Society* 18(6): 507-558.

KPMG (2011) A-Level Trainees/ School Leavers Programme. Available at: http://www.kpmgcareers.co.uk/A-LevelTrainees/SchoolLeavers'Programme_(1730).aspx?pg=1730 (accessed 14 November 2011).

KPMG (2015) KPMG 360 Programme. Available at:

https://www.kpmgcareers.co.uk/school-leavers/kpmg360-programme (accessed 3 November 2015).

Lancaster University (2015) EY Assurance Scholarship. Available at: http://www.lancaster.ac.uk/lums/accounting-and-finance/undergraduate/ey-assurance-scholarship/ (accessed 7 December 2015)

Larson M (1977) *The Rise of Professionalism*. California: University of California Press.

Lee T (1995) The professionalization of accountancy: a history of protecting the public interest in a self-interested way. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* 8(4): 48-69.

Lister J (1955) *Examination and Training of Apprentices*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Lothian N (1985) *The CA in the 1990's: An Educational profile*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Lymer A (2011) KPMG announces school leaver programme with ICAS and Universities of Birmingham and Exeter. Available at: http://www.accountingeducation.com/index.cfm?page=newsdetails&id=151449 (accessed 26 November 2015).

MacDonald K (1995) *The Sociology of the Professions*. London: Sage Publications.

McKinstry S (2000) 27 Queen Street, Edinburgh: Home of Scottish Chartered Accountants 1891-2000. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants.

Marrian I and Allison M (2004) ICAS Education, 1979-2004: A Moving Picture. In: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland (eds) *ICAS: 150 Years and Still Counting a Celebration*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland, 115.

Milburn, A (2009) Unleashing aspiration: the final report of the panel on fair access to the professions. Report for Cabinet Office. London, July.

Milburn A (2012) Fair Access to Professional Careers: A progress report by the Independent Reviewer on Social Mobility and Child Poverty. Report for Cabinet Office, London, May.

Milkman R (1983) Female factory labor and industrial structure: Control and conflict over" woman's place" in auto and electrical manufacturing. *Politics & Society* 12(2): 159-203.

Murphy R (1988) Social Closure. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Office for National Statistics (ONS) (2013) Full Report – Graduates in the UK Labour Market 2013. London: Office for National Statistics.

Paisey C and Paisey N (2000) *A Comparative Study of Undergraduate and professional Education*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

PARN (2008) PARN Snapshots: Governance. Available at: http://www.parnglobal.com/parn-snapshots-governance.htm (accessed 10 January 2011).

PARN (2009) PARN's summary report to the Panel on Fair Access to the Profession. Available at: www.parnglobal.com/userfiles/files/396_panel-report---fair-access (accessed 12 January 2011).

Parkin F (1979) *Marxism and Class Theory: a Bourgeois Critique*. London: Tavistock Publications.

Perkin H (1996) *The Third Revolution: Professional elites in the modern world.* London: Routledge.

Perrott S (2002) Gender, Professions and Management in the Public Sector. *Public Money & Management* 22(1): 21.

Richardson AJ (1987) Professionalization and Intraprofessional Competition in the Canadian Accounting Profession. *Work & Occupations* 14(4): 591-615.

Richardson AJ (1988) Accounting Knowledge and Professional Privilege. *Accounting, Organizations & Society* 13(4): 381-396.

Richardson AJ and Kilfoyle E (2012) Merging the Profession: A Historical Perspective on Accounting Association Mergers in Canada. *Accounting Perspectives* 11(2): 77-109.

Roberts K (2011) Class in Contemporary Britain. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Scottish Executive (2003) Higher Education Students in Higher Education Institutions in Scotland: 2001-02. Available at:

http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2003/03/16806/20400 (accessed 12 June 2015).

Scottish Government (2010) Building a Smarter Future: Towards a Sustainable Scottish Solution for the Future of Higher Education. Available at:

http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/335256/0109656.pdf (accessed 15 November 2015).

Scottish Government (2015) Financial Help for Students: Financial Help Matrix. Available at:

http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Education/UniversitiesColleges/16640/financial-help (accessed 11 August 2015).

Shackleton K and Walker S (2001) A Future for the Accountancy Profession: The Quest for Closure and Integration, 1957-1970. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Shaw JC (1969) *Recruitment and Training – Possible Developments*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Suddaby R, Cooper D, and Greenwood R (2007) Transnational regulation of professional services: Governance dynamics of field level organizational change. *Accounting, Organizations and Society 32*(4): 333-362.

Suddaby R, Gendron Y and Lam H (2009) The organizational context of professionalism in accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society.* 34(3): 409-427.

Suddaby R and Greenwood R (2005) Rhetorical strategies of legitimacy. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 50: 35–67.

Sullivan R (2010) Barriers to the legal profession. London: Legal Services Board.

Sutton Trust (2010) *Responding to the new landscape for university access.* London: Sutton Trust.

UCAS (2013) Demand for full-time undergraduate higher education (2013 cycle, March deadline). Available at: https://www.ucas.com/sites/default/files/ucas-demand-report-2013.pdf (accessed 15 November 2015).

Vogt K (2016) The post-industrial society: from utopia to ideology. *Work Employment & Society* 30(2): 366-376.

Walker SP (1994) *Accountancy at the University of Edinburgh 1919–1994: The Emergence of a 'Viable Academic Department'*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Walker SP (1995) The genesis of professional organization in Scotland: a contextual analysis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 20(4): 285-310.

Walker SP (1999) Introduction. Accounting, Business & Financial History 9(1): 1-6.

Walker SP (2004) The genesis of professional organisation in English accountancy. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 29(2): 127.

Walker SP (2008) Innovation, convergence and argument without end in accounting historynull. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* 21(2): 296-322.

Weber M (1976) *The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism.* London: George Allen and Unwin.

Weber M (1978) Economy and Society. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Weedon E, Kadar-Satat, G, Blackburn, L and Riddell S (2016) *Access in Scotland*. London: The Sutton Trust. Available at: http://www.suttontrust.com/researcharchive/access-in-scotland (accessed 20 July 2016).

Whatuni (2016) What is an HND and an HNC? Available at https://www.whatuni.com/advice/choosing-a-course/what-is-a-hnd-and-a-hnc/2116/ (accessed 14 December 2016).

Williamson R (1969) *Education – A pattern for the Future*. Edinburgh: Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland.

Wilmott H and Sikka P (1997) On the commercialization of Accountancy thesis: A review essay. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 22(8): 831-842.

Woods D (2011) Ernst & Young offers school leavers an alternative to University. Available at: http://www.hrmagazine.co.uk/article-details/ernst-young-offers-school-leavers-an-alternative-to-university (accessed 27 November 2015).

Wyness G (2010) *Policy Changes in UK Higher Education Funding, 1963-2009*. London: Department of Quantitative Social Science. Institute of Education, University of London.

Yee H (2012) Analyzing the state-accounting profession dynamic: Some insights from the professionalization experience in China. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 37: 426-444.