

This publication is made freely available under _____ open access.

| AUTHOR(S): | |
|--|---|
| AUTHOR(3). | |
| | |
| | |
| TITLE: | |
| IIILL. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| YEAR: | |
| I | |
| | |
| Publisher citation: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| OpenAIR citation: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Publisher copyright | t statement: |
| | version of an article originally published by |
| in | |
| (ISSN; eISSN). | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| OpenAIR takedowr | n statement: |
| Section 6 of the "Repository policy for OpenAIR @ RGU" (available from http://www.rgu.ac.uk/staff-and-current- | |
| students/library/library-policies/repository-policies) provides guidance on the criteria under which RGU will | |
| consider withdrawing material from OpenAIR. If you believe that this item is subject to any of these criteria, or for | |
| any other reason should not be held on OpenAIR, then please contact openair-help@rgu.ac.uk with the details of | |
| the item and the nature of your complaint. | |
| | |
| r | |
| This publication is d | istributed under a CC license. |
| | |

Outpatient Parenteral Antibiotic Therapy (OPAT) – a qualitative study of patient perspectives in those choosing not to self-administer

Authors: AP Tonna^a, V Paudyal^a, K Forbes-McKay^b, S Falconer^c, G Anthony^a, I Tonna^c, R Laing^c, A Mackenzie^c, G Macartney^c, D Stewart^a

- a. School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen AB10
 7GJ
- b. School of Applied Social Studies, Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen AB10 7GJ
- c. Ward 111, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen AB25 2ZN

Correspondence: a.tonna@rgu.ac.uk

Funding: Financial support for this project was received by NHS Grampian

Background: OPAT is a well established, evidence based treatment for administration of intravenous (IV) antibiotics and models of administration include home self-administration. Despite this offering advantages, statistics indicate that less patients in the research centre home self-administer compared to other national centres.^[1]

Purpose: To explore the understanding and beliefs around home self-administration in a cohort of patients who choose not to home self-administer.

Materials and methods: Qualitative, semi-structured in-depth interviews were undertaken with a purposive sample of patients. Included patients were attending the outpatient clinic for IV antibiotic administration, had received more than seven days of antibiotics, and were aged 16 years and over. A semi-structured interview schedule, underpinned by the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF), was developed, reviewed for credibility and piloted. Interviews were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim and reviewed independently for transcribing accuracy. Data were analysed thematically by sever researchers using the TDF as the coding framework. The study was approved by the appropriate ethics committees.

Results: Twenty potential participants were approached and all agreed to be interviewed. 13 were male with a mean age of 54 years (SD 17.6). Themes mapped almost all of the TDF behavioural determinants, with the exception of reinforcement. The key behavioural determinants were knowledge, beliefs about capabilities, beliefs about consequences and environment, context and resources. Patients appeared to be very knowledgeable about their disease and its management and had good procedural knowledge for administration of IV antibiotics. Most were very positive about their capabilities to home self-administer, provided they were given the appropriate support, training and confidence. However few had any knowledge about the options available to them to administer IV antibiotics, particularly home self-administration.

Discussion: Findings indicate that the main barrier to not self-administering is the lack of knowledge about options available to them for IV antibiotic administration. Themes relating to the other behavioural determinants may in fact be facilitators to self-administration. While is must be acknowledged that patients may have been given this knowledge, there is an opportunity to review practice and develop an intervention to educate, train and support patients around home self-administration involves. This could ultimately impact patient behaviour, increasing the uptake of home self-administration of IV antibiotics.

