Kent Academic Repository Full text document (pdf)

Citation for published version

Benedetto, Alexandre and Bambade, Timothée and Au, Catherine and Tullet, Jennifer M.A. and Monkhouse, Jennifer and Dang, Hairuo and Cetnar, Kalina and Cabreiro, Filipe and Chan, Brian and Gems, David (2019) New Label Free Automated Survival Assays Reveal Unexpected Stress Resistance Patterns during C. Elegans Aging. Aging Cell . ISSN 1474-9718.

DOI

https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12998

Link to record in KAR

https://kar.kent.ac.uk/75623/

Document Version

Publisher pdf

Copyright & reuse

Content in the Kent Academic Repository is made available for research purposes. Unless otherwise stated all content is protected by copyright and in the absence of an open licence (eg Creative Commons), permissions for further reuse of content should be sought from the publisher, author or other copyright holder.

Versions of research

The version in the Kent Academic Repository may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check **http://kar.kent.ac.uk** for the status of the paper. **Users should always cite the published version of record.**

Enquiries

For any further enquiries regarding the licence status of this document, please contact: **researchsupport@kent.ac.uk**

If you believe this document infringes copyright then please contact the KAR admin team with the take-down information provided at **http://kar.kent.ac.uk/contact.html**

ORIGINAL PAPER

New label‐free automated survival assays reveal unexpected stress resistance patterns during *C. elegans* **aging**

Alexandre Benedetto1,[2](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4593-2114) | **Timothée Bambade¹** | **Catherine Au1,2** | **Jennifer M.A. Tullet1,3** | **Jennifer Monkhouse²** | **Hairuo Dang¹** | **Kalina Cetnar¹** | **Filipe Cabreiro1,[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3696-4843)** | **Brian Chan⁵** | **David Gems¹**

¹Department of Genetics, Evolution and Environment, Institute of Healthy Ageing, University College London, London, UK

2 Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

³School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK

4 MRC London Institute of Medical Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK

5 Division of Infection, Immunity & Respiratory Medicine, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Correspondence

Alexandre Benedetto, FHM, Division of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Furness Building, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4YW, UK. Email: a.benedetto@lancaster.ac.uk

Funding information

European Framework Program, Grant/Award Number: FP6-518230; National Institutes of Health, Grant/Award Number: 098565 and 12; Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (098565/Z/12/Z) awarded to David Gems, Grant/Award Number: 098565/Z/12/Z; Sart-up grant from Lancaster University awarded to Alexandre Benedetto Wellcome Trust Seed Award in Sciences (214076/Z/18/Z) awarded to Alexandre Benedetto, Grant/Award Number: Start-up package to AB

Abstract

Caenorhabditis elegans is an excellent model for high‐throughput experimental ap‐ proaches but lacks an automated means to pinpoint time of death during survival assays over a short time frame, that is, easy to implement, highly scalable, robust, and versatile. Here, we describe an automated, label‐free, high‐throughput method using death‐associated fluorescence to monitor nematode population survival (dubbed LFASS for label‐free automated survival scoring), which we apply to severe stress and infection resistance assays. We demonstrate its use to define correlations be‐ tween age, longevity, and severe stress resistance, and its applicability to parasitic nematodes. The use of LFASS to assess the effects of aging on susceptibility to se‐ vere stress revealed an unexpected increase in stress resistance with advancing age, which was largely autophagy-dependent. Correlation analysis further revealed that while severe thermal stress resistance positively correlates with lifespan, severe oxidative stress resistance does not. This supports the view that temperature‐sensitive protein‐handling processes more than redox homeostasis underpin aging in *C. el‐ egans*. That the ages of peak resistance to infection, severe oxidative stress, heat shock, and milder stressors differ markedly suggests that stress resistance and health span do not show a simple correspondence in *C. elegans*.

Aging Cell

WILEY

KEYWORDS

aging, autophagy, *C. elegans*, infection, stress, survival

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Survival assays are widely performed in both basic and applied bio‐ medical research to assess the frailty of organisms, tissues, and cells, and to determine the toxicity or efficacy of a chemical agent. In such assays, death is usually revealed by a light signal and/or an enzymatic reaction, requiring reagents that may interfere with the process under study (Atale, Gupta, Yadav, & Rani, 2014; Gill, Olsen, Sampayo, & Lithgow, 2003). The nematode *C. elegans* is a widely used experi‐ mental model in basic, pharmacological, and environmental research (Leung et al., 2008), and for the study of parasitic worm species (Holden‐Dye & Walker, 2007; Jones, Buckingham, & Sattelle, 2005)

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. *Aging Cell* published by Anatomical Society and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2 of 10 b A oring $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

that affect crops, cattle, and 3.5 billion people (Ojha, Jaide, Jinawath, Rotjanapan, & Baral, 2014). Its small size, optical transparency, and good genetics make *C. elegans* a convenient model organism for high‐ throughput chemical and bacterial library screens for the develop‐ ment of antihelminthic and antiaging drugs, and for elucidating the biology of host–pathogen interactions (Marsh & May, 2012). Since the late 1990s, *C. elegans* screening platforms have evolved to include microfluidics and automated robotic arms (Crane, Chung, & Lu, 2009; Rajamuthiah et al., 2014). Yet, full automation of *C. elegans* survival assays has been limited by death scoring techniques. This is very rel‐ evant to the aging field, where daily manual monitoring of worms for lifespan measurements had limited the throughput of aging studies. Recent techniques have enabled tracking of worm behavior over their lifespan, allowing for automated lifespan measurements (Churgin et al., 2017; Crane et al., 2009; Park, Jung, & Lee, 2017; Stroustrup et al., 2013). They include the Lifespan Machine that uses a scanner bed and can accommodate tens of worm plates at once (Stroustrup et al., 2013), and the WorMotel that uses 48‐well silicon chips to image arrays of singled freely moving nematodes (Churgin et al., 2017). However, many stress survival assays primarily aim to mea‐ sure median time of death, and what is particularly needed for their improvement is higher throughput and easier implementation, rather than the breadth of behavioral and imaging data afforded by other automated approaches. Moreover, determining time of death based on cessation of movement as it is traditionally done in lifespan assays (Churgin et al., 2017; Park et al., 2017; Stroustrup et al., 2013; Sutphin & Kaeberlein, 2009) may not be accurate enough for shorter‐term as‐ says (Coburn et al., 2013; Galimov et al., 2018) and can be confounded by genetic background (e.g., *unc‐22*), experimental conditions (e.g., levamisole treatment, Figure S2), and old age (Podshivalova, Kerr, & Kenyon, 2017), where nematode mobility is greatly reduced.

We previously discovered that an endogenous burst of blue fluorescence, dubbed *death fluorescence* (DF), generated by auto‐ fluorescence de‐quenching of anthranilic acid conjugates, occurs in nematodes' intestine at the onset of organismal death (Coburn et al., 2013; Coburn & Gems, 2013; Galimov et al., 2018). Anthranilates are tryptophan-derived compounds generated by action of the kynurenine pathway (Coburn et al., 2013). We have exploited this natural phenomenon to develop automated, hassle‐free, and label‐free *C. elegans* survival assays for high‐throughput stress‐ and infection‐ sensitivity screens, using standard microplate readers and a simple, newly created autofluorescence data analysis program.

2 | **RESULTS**

2.1 | **Time‐lapse recording of** *C. elegans* **death fluorescence (DF) allows for automated, rapid, and sensitive multiplexed survival assays**

From time-lapse recordings of blue fluorescence during killing assays, we first verified that the timing of DF events in a population follows a Poisson distribution (Figure S1), and approximates a normal distribution when the median time of death exceeds 30 min (Figure

S1b). Considering the total fluorescence of a nematode population over time, we found that its half‐maximal fluorescence corresponds to half the worms undergoing DF (Figure S1a,b). Hence, the time of half-maximal fluorescence corresponds to the median time of death, which is the key parameter sought in survival assays. This prompted us to develop new, label-free, high-throughput, and automated survival assays relying on death fluorescence. To enable multiple condi‐ tions to be tested in parallel and to limit phototoxicity, we opted for a 384‐well microplate format to be read by a narrow bandpass fluo‐ rescence plate reader (Figure 1a). DF was optimally recorded with excitation/emission wavelengths of 360/435 nm (Figure S1c) using as few as 16 worms per well. With this setup, each measurement took 0.8 s, allowing 384 samples to be measured in under 5 min.

To assess the sensitivity of the method, we tested whether it could discriminate between different stress levels. Wild‐type adult hermaphrodites exposed to oxidative stress (0.002%–7% by volume *tert*‐butyl hydroperoxide [*t*‐BHP]) or heat stress (35–42°C) died within 8 hr, while those exposed to the pathogenic bacterium *Enterococcus faecalis* died within 24 hr. A robust dose dependency was observed for susceptibility to oxidative stress (Figure 1b), heat stress (Figure 1c), and infection **(**Figure 1d**)**. All three stress assays also clearly discriminated between strains with altered insulin/IGF‐1 signaling (IIS): a *daf‐16(mgDf50)* (FoxO) null mutant (stress sensitive), a *daf‐16* overexpresser, and a *daf‐2* insulin/IGF‐1 receptor reduction in function mutant (both stress resistant) (Figure 1e‐g). This is largely consistent with previous findings (Garsin et al., 2003; Henderson & Johnson, 2001; Lithgow, White, Melov, & Johnson, 1995; Tullet et al., 2017, 2008), though the hypersensitivity of *daf‐16 (mgDf50)* to death from *E. faecalis* infection was not detected using previous methods (Garsin et al., 2003; Zou, Tu, Niu, Ji, & Zhang, 2013). Hence, this la‐ bel-free automated survival scoring method (henceforth referred to as LFASS) proved sensitive, robust, and accurate in a variety of as‐ says, indicating its suitability for high-throughput approaches.

2.2 | **LFASS reveals a rise and fall in severe stress resistance during** *C. elegans* **aging**

To further test its functionality, we used LFASS to re‐examine links between stress resistance and aging, which is tedious to study by traditional means. A long‐standing view is that stochastic damage accumulation contributes to aging and that mechanisms that protect against such damage increase both stress resistance and lifespan (Harman, 1956; Lithgow & Walker, 2002; Shore & Ruvkun, 2013). Hence, accumulation of damage and loss of maintenance mecha‐ nisms should lead to a decline in stress resistance with advancing age. Consistent with this, aging *C. elegans* have shown increased susceptibility to a range of moderate stresses (i.e., stresses that take several days to cause death) (Darr & Fridovich, 1995; Labbadia & Morimoto, 2015; Vanfleteren, 1993; Youngman, Rogers, & Kim, 2011). Perhaps due to the technical difficulty of gathering data from multiple samples at short time intervals, the sensitivity of aging nematodes to more severe stress has not been systematically assessed.

FIGURE 1 LFASS provides robust automated scoring and analysis of *C. elegans* survival assays. (a) The LFASS pipeline. Dose dependency of *t*‐BHP‐induced oxidative stress (b), thermal stress (c), and *E. faecalis* bacterial infection (d) resistance measured by LFASS. Automated measurement of resistance to oxidative stress (e), thermal stress (f), and *E. faecalis* bacterial infection (g) by LFASS discriminates between infection sensitive and resistant IIS mutants

We therefore used the high temporal resolution capacity of LFASS to examine the effects of severe stress on *C. elegans* sur‐ vival as they age. Specifically, we exposed adult worms to 7% t-BHP (by volume, i.e., 777 mM, as opposed to 5 or 7.5 mM, as used previously) (Tullet et al., 2017, 2008) and 42°C (as opposed to 35°C as previously) (Labbadia & Morimoto, 2015), which in young adults both give a median survival time of only 1.5 hr (Figure 2a,b). We also studied how age affects resistance to accelerated *E. faecalis* OG1RF infection (death within 36 hr instead of 3 days) as a third severe stress paradigm. This led to some unexpected findings.

FIGURE 2 LFASS reveals distinct autophagy-dependent patterns of stress resistance to severe oxidative stress (a, d, g), heat shock (b, e, h), and *E. faecalis* infection (d, f, i) in aging *C. elegans* IIS mutants. (a) Severe oxidative stress resistance in WT hermaphrodites increases until day 10 in a DAF‐16‐independent manner, reaching *daf‐2* resistance levels. (b) Severe thermal stress resistance increases until day 6 in a DAF‐16‐dependent manner in WT hermaphrodites before decreasing with age, but never reaches *daf‐2* resistance levels. (c) Severe *E. faecalis* infection resistance peaks at day 4 in WT and *daf‐16* and at day 1 in *daf‐2* hermaphrodites. (d) Severe oxidative stress resistance requires SKN‐1. (e) Severe thermal stress resistance involves HSF‐1 and heat‐shock proteins. (f) Severe *E. faecalis* infection resistance involves NHR‐49. (g, h, i) Autophagy is required for WT patterns of severe oxidative, thermal, and infection (*E. faecalis*) stress resistance with age. Error bars, *SEM*. M: peak resistance for wild-type. Comparison to age-matched wild-type: * $p < 0.05$ down to $p < 0.0001$. Comparison to M within wild-type values # $p < 0.05$ down to $p < 0.0001$. Animals were aged at 25°C, and day 0 marks the late L4 stage

Wild-type nematodes showed initial increases in resistance to severe oxidative stress up to day 10 of adulthood, to high thermal stress up to day 6, and to severe *E. faecalis* infection up to day 4 (Figure 2a‐c), before exhibiting age‐dependent declines in stress resistance. It is unlikely that early increases in stress resistance are due to an age‐associated decrease in ingestion of *t*‐BHP or *E. fae‐ calis* since this effect persisted in animals with genetically or phar‐ macologically impaired feeding (Figures S2d and S4a,b) and was susceptible to mutational suppression (Figure 2d‐i). These results imply that young adult *C. elegans* differ markedly in terms of their susceptibility and resistance to moderate versus severe stressors.

2.3 | **Rising severe stress resistance in early adulthood shows differential DAF‐16 dependence**

To gain insight into the genetic specification of wild‐type severe stress resistance profiles, we next studied several well‐character‐ ized age (altered lifespan) mutants, monitoring severe stress re‐ sistance throughout life, which the high-throughput capability of LFASS makes possible. The IIS pathway is conserved from inver‐ tebrates to mammals, regulating growth, metabolism, and aging (Hesp, Smant, & Kammenga, 2015). Mutations affecting the *daf‐2* IIS receptor extend lifespan and increase stress resistance, while those affecting the downstream transcription factor DAF‐16/ FoxO shorten lifespan and cause stress hypersensitivity (Murphy & Hu, 2013). As expected, aging cohorts of *daf‐2* and *daf‐16* mu‐ tants showed resistance and hypersensitivity, respectively, to se‐ vere stress (Figure 2a,c, Table S1). Furthermore, the age increase in resistance to heat shock but not to *t*‐BHP or *E. faecalis* was largely *daf‐16*‐dependent (Figure 2a‐c, Tables S2–S4). This implies that age changes in *C. elegans* stress defense mechanisms differ be‐ tween stress modalities.

2.4 | **Autophagy underpins stress resistance dynamics in a context‐dependent fashion**

To further explore the genetic basis of wild‐type stress resistance profiles, we tested the effects of additional mutations known to in‐ fluence stress resistance. We found that the *skn‐1* (*NRF2*) antioxidant transcription factor (An & Blackwell, 2003) was required for the early age increase in oxidative stress resistance, the *hsf-1* heat-shock transcription factor (Garigan et al., 2002) for thermal stress resistance, and the *nhr‐49* transcription factor (Van Gilst, Hadjivassiliou, Jolly, & Yamamoto, 2005) for *E. faecalis* resistance (Figure 2d‐f), consistent with earlier studies involving corresponding moderate stress paradigms (Sim & Hibberd, 2016; Vihervaara & Sistonen, 2014; Zhang, Davies, & Forman, 2015).

We then explored the role of autophagy in stress resistance profiles using mutations in *atg* genes required for autophagic func‐ tion. In most contexts, *atg* mutants showed increased susceptibil‐ ity to stress (Figure 2g‐i, Table S1), apart from the *atg4.1(bp501)* mutant which lacks one of the two partially redundant *C. elegans* homologs of ATG4 (Wu, Li, Wang, Noda, & Zhang, 2012), consis‐ tent with the documented role of autophagy in protection against stress (Chapin, Okada, Merz, & Miller, 2015; Mizushima, Levine, Cuervo, & Klionsky, 2008). Yet, it is worth noting that *atg* mutants (including *atg‐4.1*) showed enhanced resistance to oxidative stress for the first 3–4 days of adulthood (Figure 2g1‐g3). This under‐ scores the Janus‐face nature of autophagy that can decrease or increase stress sensitivity and disease depending on stress modality and disease etiology (Benedetto & Gems, 2019; Shintani & Klionsky, 2004). Importantly, our results imply that the broad age‐dependent increase in severe stress resistance is promoted by autophagy.

2.5 | **Severe thermal but not oxidative stress resistance correlates well with longevity**

Data generated by LFASS suggest a closer correlation between se‐ nescence and susceptibility to thermal stress than to oxidative stress (Figure 2a,b). To explore this further, we re‐examined the relation‐ ship between strain differences in lifespan and stress resistance. To facilitate this, we developed a software package to automatically extract median time of death from DF curves, since manual analysis of high-throughput data generated by LFASS is very time-consuming (Figure S3, [https://github.com/ABA80/LFASS,](https://github.com/ABA80/LFASS) updates available on request from A.B.). After querying the user for key assay parameters, fluorescence time‐lapse data are automatically sorted, smoothened, fitted, and median times of death logged into a data output table. The <5% unfitted data can be reanalyzed individually with user guid‐ ance. Automated analysis yielded near‐identical results to manual analysis (Figure 3a,b) but in ~1/100th of the time, which we took advantage of for further screening (Figure S4).

Regression analysis of severe stress resistance and lifespan data showed a strong positive correlation between longevity and resistance to high thermal stress, but not severe oxidative stress (Figure 3c,d, Table S5). Moreover, there was no correlation between resistance to severe heat and oxidative stresses (Figure 3e). This

FIGURE 3 LFASS reveals a strong correlation between severe thermal—but not oxidative—stress resistance and longevity. (a) LFASS automated data analysis package and manual analysis of severe thermal stress resistance yield near‐identical results, and correlate almost perfectly (b). (c) Minimum, mean, and maximum severe oxidative stress resistance does not correlate with mean lifespan, while (d) minimum, mean, and maximum severe thermal stress resistance correlates well with mean lifespan, across the conditions tested. (e) Severe oxidative and thermal stress mean resistance does not correlate. § *p* < 0.05, §§ *p* < 0.01, §§§§ *p* < 0.0001. Minimum, mean, and maximum resistance is calculated over the first week of adulthood

argues against the importance of oxidative stress as a determinant of lifespan but is consistent with a role in aging of features of cellu‐ lar function that are sensitive to heat stress, such as protein‐folding homeostasis.

2.6 | **LFASS can be used to score survival in parasitic nematode species**

Beyond its utility for *C. elegans* research, we wondered whether LFASS could also be used with parasitic worms, for example, to facilitate anthelmintic drug screens. DF has been documented in several other free‐living nematode species (*C. briggsae*, *Pristionchus pacificus*) (Coburn et al., 2013), but its occurrence in parasitic nematodes has not been investigated. To investigate this, we first tested for blue DF in *Trichuris muris*, *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*, and *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*, killed with 7% (by volume) *t*‐BHP. DF was not measurable in adult nematodes (data not shown), and we could not collect enough *T. muris* L1 larvae to perform LFASS assays, but DF was detected in *N. brasilien‐ sis* and *H. polygyrus* L3 larvae (comparable in morphology and size to *C. elegans* adults) (Figure S5a,b). In each case, LFASS data showed a DF peak similar to *C. elegans*, but at slightly different wavelengths (Figure S5b) which might reflect differences in tryp‐ tophan‐derived metabolite content. However, 42˚C heat shock failed to kill either species (perhaps because it is only 4–5°C above their hosts' body temperature), while *H. polygyrus* showed resistance to *t*-BHP, possibly due to the protective double cuticle of its L3 stage (Figure S5c). *N. brasiliensis* L3 were readily killed and assayed by LFASS when exposed to 7% *t*‐BHP (Figure S5d). Overall, these results show the potential utility of LFASS for survival assays of larval stages of parasitic nematodes, which could greatly facilitate automated, high‐throughput anthelmintic screens.

3 | **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have used the phenomenon of death fluorescence to develop LFASS, a method for measuring nematode survival under stress (Figure 1). LFASS can be readily conducted using standard fluorometric plate readers to generate high-throughput data with high reproducibility. To illustrate the application of LFASS, we have used it here a) to test the effects of aging on severe stress resistance; b) to test the effects of mutations that affect lifespan on severe stress resistance; and c) to test the efficacy of LFASS for use with parasitic nematodes of medical and agricultural importance.

LFASS is unbiased, easily implemented, and versatile, requiring no added reagents and is compatible with transgenic, frail, or im‐ mobile worms. It does not require a strict sample size so that worm loading could be automated (e.g., using worm sorters and automatic dispensers). It is potentially applicable to modern screening platforms employing transparent materials (e.g., microfluidic chips and multi-well plates) and should be highly cost-effective for toxico‐pharmacological studies and genetic screens in the contexts of infection, stress resistance, and aging. Because it can be applied to some mammalian parasitic nematodes species, it could also be used to accelerate anthelmintic drug discovery. A limitation of LFASS is that DF decays over a few hours (Coburn et al., 2013). LFASS is therefore most effective for shorter assays (less than one day). It also means that while assays of less than a couple of hours duration require as few as 16 worms or less per condition, longer assays (e.g., bacterial infection) require larger numbers of worms

(>100 worms per well). This is because the interval between con‐ secutive death events needs to be short enough for individual worm fluorescence signals to add up and produce a clear DF peak at population level.

Yet, because of the ease with which LFASS can gather data at short time intervals, we were able to accurately measure the effects of aging on resistance to high levels of stress that result in a short survival time. Strikingly, this revealed early adulthood increases in severe stress resistance associated with age in all cases, which in the case of oxidative stress increased for much of adulthood (Figure 2).

These results contrast sharply with those obtained using more moderate stress paradigms, where resistance typically declined with age from the onset of adulthood (Darr & Fridovich, 1995; Labbadia & Morimoto, 2015; Youngman et al., 2011). One possible reason for dif‐ ferences in responses to severe and moderate stressors is that the rapidity with which severe stress impairs biological function precludes the development of a timely adaptive response (e.g., increased levels of antioxidant enzymes and heat‐shock protein levels, or increased protein turnover). By this view, severe stress resistance levels may more closely correspond to preset/intrinsic (as opposed to induced/ adaptive) stress responses (Lithgow, White, Hinerfeld, & Johnson, 1994). A further possibility is that severe stress resistance reflects resistance to the organismal death cascade (Galimov et al., 2018).

Our findings suggest that the mechanisms of intrinsic resistance differ between stress modalities, and in how they change with age. The different timings of peak resistance to severe infection (day 4), oxidative (day 12), and thermal (day 6) stress make pinpointing peak health in adulthood challenging, particularly as severe aging pathologies develop while severe thermal and oxidative stress resistance is still increasing (Ezcurra et al., 2018) (Figure S6).

Interestingly, LFASS profiles imply that autophagy is required for much of the age‐dependent increase in severe stress resistance, apart from oxidative stress sensitivity in very early adulthood, where reducing autophagy increases resistance. It is surprising that autoph‐ agy activity initially sensitizes young adults to (up to day 4), but later protects middle‐aged worms against (days 5–12) severe oxidative stress. Autophagy also promotes the conversion of intestinal bio‐ mass into yolk, resulting in gut atrophy (Ezcurra et al., 2018); one possibility here is that by promoting intestinal senescence, autoph‐ agy increases susceptibility to oxidative stress. The results imply that first detrimental and then beneficial effects of autophagy pre‐ dominate. This underscores the double‐edged role played by auto‐ phagy in *C. elegans* aging (Benedetto & Gems, 2019; Ezcurra et al., 2018; Shintani & Klionsky, 2004).

Interestingly, comparison of strain differences in average resis‐ tance (over the first week of adulthood) to severe stress and lifespan showed that resistance to high temperature but not severe oxidative stress is strongly positively correlated with longevity. This is consis‐ tent with many recent studies that have argued against the impor‐ tance of oxidative stress as a major cause of aging in *C. elegans* (Gems & Doonan, 2009; Van Raamsdonk & Hekimi, 2010), and for that of protein‐folding homeostasis (Garigan et al., 2002; Hsu, Murphy, & Kenyon, 2003; Labbadia & Morimoto, 2014; Morley & Morimoto, 2004). It also supports the idea that thermal stress resistance is a good predictor of longevity (Munoz & Riddle, 2003).

In conclusion, our results paint a complex picture of the nuanced relationship between stress sensitivity and advancing age, where ani‐ mals of a given age can exhibit increased resistance to one stress mo‐ dality and hypersensitivity to another (Figure S6). To an extent, they challenge the assumption that aging is a process of loss of homeostasis from early adulthood and that one can understand *C. elegans* lifespan in terms of clear phases of progressive decline (Bansal, Zhu, Yen, & Tissenbaum, 2015; Huang, Xiong, & Kornfeld, 2004). Understanding the underlying mechanisms could prove useful in human contexts such as extreme sport practice (marathon running, high-altitude climbing, deep diving), acute poisoning, and exposure to heat waves. These findings illustrate the utility of LFASS for exploring new facets of nem‐ atode biology, here yielding fresh insights into the biology of aging.

4 | **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

4.1 | *C. elegans* **culture conditions**

Caenorhabditis elegans strains were maintained at 15˚C following standard culture conditions (Brenner, 1974), on NGM agar plates seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Aging worm cohorts were prepared as follows. Young adult hermaphrodites (30 per plate) were allowed to lay eggs for 24 hr. Several days later, L4 animals were collected and transferred to NGM/OP50 plates containing 15 µM fluorodeoxyur‐ idine (FUDR) (Sigma‐Aldrich #F0503) to block egg production, or to NGM with 15 µM FUDR and 25 µg/ml carbenicillin (Sigma‐Aldrich #C3416), and seeded with HT115 RNAi-producing bacteria, as described (Kamath & Ahringer, 2003), and in each case maintained at 25˚C. L4 larvae were collected in this way daily for 2–3 weeks, and then, adult hermaphrodites of each age were picked into multi‐well plates and subjected to LFASS all on the same day. For more details, see Supporting Information.

4.2 | **Parasitic nematode handling**

H. polygyrus was maintained by passaging through female C57BL/6J mice as described (Filbey et al., 2018; Hayes et al., 2017). *T. muris* was maintained in genetically susceptible mice as described (Hayes et al., 2017). *N. brasiliensis* was maintained by passaging through male Sprague‐Dawley rats as described (Lawrence, Gray, Osborne, & Maizels, 1996). For full details, see Supporting Information.

4.3 | **Time‐lapse microscopy experiments**

100–120 1‐day‐old adult hermaphrodites were mounted in M9 on 2% agarose pads between slide and coverslip without anesthetic un‐ less otherwise stated. Imaging was performed through a DAPI fil‐ ter set (Chroma Technology Corp, USA) using a 2.5× objective on a Leica DMRXA2 microscope (Leica Biosystems Nussloch GmbH, Germany). Successive bright‐field and DAPI images were acquired

every 30 s using the Volocity 6.3 software (Perkin Elmer, USA). For further details, see Supporting Information.

4.4 | **Time‐lapse microscopy analysis**

We used the Volocity 6.3 Quantitation module to generate graphic representations (kymographs) of single worm traces from the 2.5× time‐lapse imaging series. The time of death for each worm was deduced from the time of the intestinal blue fluorescence burst. Individual times of death during a single time‐lapse were fitted into bins and count distributions plotted and fitted with a Gaussian curve using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., USA). Overall fluorescence for each time point was measured using the ImageJ‐based open‐source package Fiji [\(http://fiji.sc/Fiji\)](http://fiji.sc/Fiji), plotted, and analyzed using GraphPad Prism 6.0.

4.5 | **Plate‐reader assays**

For oxidative stress and heat-shock assays, we picked 16 worms into 60 µl M9 per well for 384‐well plates, and 50 worms in 150 µl M9 for 96‐well plates, together with a pellet of *E. coli* OP50 bacte‐ ria to prevent starvation. For infection assays, *Enterococcus faecalis* GH10 bacteria were streaked onto brain–heart infusion kanamycin (BHIK) agar plates and used within a week, as described (Garsin et al., 2001). Liquid (BHI) *E. faecalis* cultures were grown for 3–5 hr at 37°C to saturation on the day. We then picked 100 worms per well into 50 µl M9 + 30 µl OP50 medium (for 384-well plates), and supplemented with 10 µl freshly saturated *E. faecalis* solution cooled to room temperature. A Tecan Infinite 200 plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Switzerland) was prewarmed at 25°C to match the tempera‐ ture at which aged cohorts were raised and *E. faecalis* infections assays performed. Blue fluorescence (excitation: 360 nm/emission: 435 nm) was recorded for each well every 2 min for 8 hr or every 5 min for 4 days for stress and infection assays, respectively.

4.6 | **Death fluorescence (DF) curve manual analysis**

Fluorescence time‐lapse recording data for each well were normalized. The maximum was chosen where a significant peak of fluorescence was observed. After normalization, the time of half-maximum fluorescence was determined. For full details, see Supporting Information.

4.7 | **DF curve automated analysis**

MATLAB 2014b and 2015a versions were used to write and execute the LFASS software package. Figure S3 describes the approach. For details, see Supporting Information.

4.8 | **Lifespan assays**

With the exception of RNAi experiments, all worm cohorts used in reported stress or lifespan assays were hermaphrodites maintained at 15°C on OP50‐seeded NGM plates and switched at the L4 stage to OP50 plates supplemented with 15 µM FUDR, and subsequently maintained at 25°C.

4.9 | **Statistics**

For lifespan statistics, we used the JMP 12.01 Pro software pack‐ age from SAS (USA). Lifespans were compared using the nonpara‐ metric log-rank test. Unless otherwise stated, all other statistics were performed using Prism 6.0 from GraphPad Software Inc. (USA). Stress resistance differences with age and across geno‐ types were assessed by two-way ANOVA with post hoc Dunnett's test. *p* values reported in supplementary tables are adjusted for multiple comparisons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank S. Bar‐Nun (Tel Aviv University) for useful discussion, M. Ezcurra, M.D. Piper, and G. Charras for comments on the manu‐ script. Some strains were supplied by the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center at the University of Minnesota (funded by the National Institutes of Health—Office of Research Infrastructure Programs P40 OD010440). The European FP-7 IDEAL program (FP6-518230) and a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (098565/Z/12/Z) to D. Gems, a Wellcome Trust Seed Award in Sciences (214076/Z/18/Z) to A. Benedetto, and a Lancaster University BLS start‐up package to A. Benedetto funded this work. We would like to thank Judi Allen and James Parkinson for providing us with *Trichuris muris*, *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*, and *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* para‐ sitic worms.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AB conceived the study, and designed and supervised experiments, with input from FC and DG. CA, AB, TB, BC, FC, KC, HD, JM, and JMAT performed experiments. AB conducted analysis and interpre‐ tation of data, with input from FC and DG. AB and DG wrote the manuscript.

ORCID

Alexandre Benedett[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4593-2114) <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4593-2114> Catherine A[u](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1923-9121) **D** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1923-9121> Jennifer M.A. Tulle[t](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2037-526X) **D** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2037-526X> Filipe Cabreir[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3696-4843) <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3696-4843> *Brian Cha[n](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9451-4621)* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9451-4621> *David Gems* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6653-4676>

REFERENCES

- An, J. H., & Blackwell, T. K. (2003). SKN‐1 links *C. elegans* mesendo‐ dermal specification to a conserved oxidative stress response. *Genes & Development*, *17*(15), 18821893. [https://doi.org/10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1107803) [gad.1107803](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1107803)
- Atale, N., Gupta, S., Yadav, U. C., & Rani, V. (2014). Cell-death assessment by fluorescent and nonfluorescent cytosolic and nuclear staining techniques. *Journal of Microscopy*, *255*(1), 7–19. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12133) [org/10.1111/jmi.12133](https://doi.org/10.1111/jmi.12133)
- Bansal, A., Zhu, L. J., Yen, K., & Tissenbaum, H. A. (2015). Uncoupling lifespan and healthspan in *Caenorhabditis elegans* longevity mutants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(3), E277–286. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.14121](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1412192112) [92112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1412192112)
- Benedetto, A., & Gems, D. (2019). Autophagy promotes visceral aging in wild‐type *C. elegans*. *Autophagy*, *15*(4), 731‐732.
- Brenner, S. (1974). The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*, *77*(1), 71–94.
- Chapin, H. C., Okada, M., Merz, A. J., & Miller, D. L. (2015). Tissue‐spe‐ cific autophagy responses to aging and stress in *C. elegans*. *Aging*, *7*(6), 419–434.<https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.100765>
- Churgin, M. A., Jung, S. K., Yu, C. C., Chen, X., Raizen, D. M., & Fang‐Yen, C. (2017). Longitudinal imaging of *Caenorhabditis elegans* in a micro‐ fabricated device reveals variation in behavioral decline during aging. *Elife*, *6*, e26652. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.26652>
- Coburn, C., Allman, E., Mahanti, P., Benedetto, A., Cabreiro, F., Pincus, Z., … Gems, D. (2013). Anthranilate fluorescence marks a calcium‐ propagated necrotic wave that promotes organismal death in *C. el‐ egans*. *PLoS Biology*, *11*(7), e1001613. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journ](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001613) [al.pbio.1001613](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001613)
- Coburn, C., & Gems, D. (2013). The mysterious case of the *C. elegans* gut granule: Death fluorescence, anthranilic acid and the kynurenine pathway. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *4*, 151. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2013.00151) [fgene.2013.00151](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2013.00151)
- Crane, M. M., Chung, K., & Lu, H. (2009). Computer‐enhanced high‐ throughput genetic screens of *C. elegans* in a microfluidic system. *Lab on a Chip*, *9*(1), 38–40. <https://doi.org/10.1039/b813730g>
- Darr, D., & Fridovich, I. (1995). Adaptation to oxidative stress in young, but not in mature or old, *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Free Radical Biology Medicine*, *18*(2), 195–201. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(94)00118-4) [org/10.1016/0891-5849\(94\)00118-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-5849(94)00118-4)
- Ezcurra, M., Benedetto, A., Sornda, T., Gilliat, A. F., Au, C., Zhang, Q., … Gems, D. (2018). *C. elegans* eats its own intestine to make yolk leading to multiple senescent pathologies. *Current Biology*, *28*, 2544– 2556.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.06.035>
- Filbey, K. J., Camberis, M., Chandler, J., Turner, R., Kettle, A. J., Eichenberger, R. M., … Le Gros, G. (2018). Intestinal helminth infec‐ tion promotes IL‐5‐ and CD4(+) T cell‐dependent immunity in the lung against migrating parasites. *Mucosal Immunology*, *12*(2), 352– 362. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-018-0102-8>
- Galimov, E. R., Pryor, R. E., Poole, S. E., Benedetto, A., Pincus, Z., & Gems, D. (2018). Coupling of rigor mortis and intestinal necrosis during *C. elegans* organismal death. *Cell Reports*, *22*(10), 2730–2741. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.050) doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.02.050
- Garigan, D., Hsu, A. L., Fraser, A. G., Kamath, R. S., Ahringer, J., & Kenyon, C. (2002). Genetic analysis of tissue aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: A role for heat‐shock factor and bacterial proliferation. *Genetics*, *161*(3), 1101–1112.
- Garsin, D. A., Sifri, C. D., Mylonakis, E., Qin, X., Singh, K. V., Murray, B. E., … Ausubel, F. M. (2001). A simple model host for identifying Gram‐ positive virulence factors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *98*(19), 10892–10897. [https](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191378698) [://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191378698](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191378698)
- Garsin, D. A., Villanueva, J. M., Begun, J., Kim, D. H., Sifri, C. D., Calderwood, S. B., … Ausubel, F. M. (2003). Long‐lived *C. elegans* daf‐2 mutants are resistant to bacterial pathogens. *Science*, *300*(5627), 1921. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1080147>
- Gems, D., & Doonan, R. (2009). Antioxidant defense and aging in *C. ele‐ gans*: Is the oxidative damage theory of aging wrong? *Cell Cycle*, *8*(11), 1681–1687. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.8.11.8595>
- Gill, M. S., Olsen, A., Sampayo, J. N., & Lithgow, G. J. (2003). An automated high‐throughput assay for survival of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, *35*(6), 558–565. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(03)00328-9) [doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849\(03\)00328-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(03)00328-9)
- Harman, D. (1956). Aging: A theory based on free radical and radiation chemistry. *The Journal of Gerontology*, *11*(3), 298–300. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/11.3.298) [org/10.1093/geronj/11.3.298](https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/11.3.298)
- Hayes, K. S., Cliffe, L. J., Bancroft, A. J., Forman, S. P., Thompson, S., Booth, C., & Grencis, R. K. (2017). Chronic *Trichuris muris* infection causes neoplastic change in the intestine and exacerbates tumour formation in APC min/+ mice. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(6), e0005708.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005708>
- Henderson, S. T., & Johnson, T. E. (2001). daf-16 integrates developmental and environmental inputs to mediate aging in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Current Biology*, *11*(24), 1975–1980. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00594-2) [doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822\(01\)00594-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(01)00594-2)
- Hesp, K., Smant, G., & Kammenga, J. E. (2015). *Caenorhabditis elegans* DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor and its mammalian homologs associate with age‐related disease. *Experimental Gerontology*, *72*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2015.09.006>
- Holden‐Dye, L., & Walker, R. J. (2007). Anthelmintic drugs. *WormBook*, 1–13, <https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.143.1>
- Hsu, A. L., Murphy, C. T., & Kenyon, C. (2003). Regulation of aging and age‐related disease by DAF‐16 and heat‐shock factor. *Science*, *300*(5622), 1142–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1083701>
- Huang, C., Xiong, C., & Kornfeld, K. (2004). Measurements of age-related changes of physiological processes that predict lifespan of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *101*, 8084–8089. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400848101) [org/10.1073/pnas.0400848101](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400848101)
- Jones, A. K., Buckingham, S. D., & Sattelle, D. B. (2005). Chemistry‐ to‐gene screens in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, *4*(4), 321–330.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1692>
- Kamath, R. S., & Ahringer, J. (2003). Genome‐wide RNAi screening in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Methods*, *30*(4), 313–321. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-2023(03)00050-1) [org/10.1016/S1046-2023\(03\)00050-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-2023(03)00050-1)
- Labbadia, J., & Morimoto, R. I. (2014). Proteostasis and longevity: When does aging really begin? *F1000Prime Reports*, *6*, 7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.12703/P6-7) [org/10.12703/P6-7](https://doi.org/10.12703/P6-7)
- Labbadia, J., & Morimoto, R. I. (2015). Repression of the heat shock re‐ sponse is a programmed event at the onset of reproduction. *Molecular Cell*, *59*(4), 639–650.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.06.027>
- Lawrence, R. A., Gray, C. A., Osborne, J., & Maizels, R. M. (1996). Nippostrongylus brasiliensis: Cytokine responses and nematode ex‐ pulsion in normal and IL‐4‐deficient mice. *Experimental Parasitology*, *84*(1), 65–73.<https://doi.org/10.1006/expr.1996.0090>
- Leung, M. C., Williams, P. L., Benedetto, A., Au, C., Helmcke, K. J., Aschner, M., & Meyer, J. N. (2008). *Caenorhabditis elegans*: An emerg‐ ing model in biomedical and environmental toxicology. *Toxicological Sciences*, *106*(1), 5–28.<https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfn121>
- Lithgow, G. J., & Walker, G. A. (2002). Stress resistance as a determinate of *C. elegans* lifespan. *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development*, *123*(7), 765–771.
- Lithgow, G. J., White, T. M., Hinerfeld, D. A., & Johnson, T. E. (1994). Thermotolerance of a long‐lived mutant of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *The Journal of Gerontology*, *49*(6), B270–276. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/49.6.B270) [geronj/49.6.B270](https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/49.6.B270)

10 of 10 a Aqinq Coll **b 10of 10 b BENEDETTO ET AL.**

- Lithgow, G. J., White, T. M., Melov, S., & Johnson, T. E. (1995). Thermotolerance and extended life‐span conferred by single‐gene mutations and induced by thermal stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *92*(16), 7540– 7544.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.16.7540>
- Marsh, E. K., & May, R. C. (2012). *Caenorhabditis elegans*, a model organ‐ ism for investigating immunity. *Applied and Environment Microbiology*, *78*(7), 2075–2081. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07486-11>
- Mizushima, N., Levine, B., Cuervo, A. M., & Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Autophagy fights disease through cellular self‐digestion. *Nature*, *451*(7182), 1069–1075. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06639>
- Morley, J. F., & Morimoto, R. I. (2004). Regulation of longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by heat shock factor and molecular chap‐ erones. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *15*(2), 657664. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e03-07-0532) [org/10.1091/mbc.e03-07-0532](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e03-07-0532)
- Munoz, M. J., & Riddle, D. L. (2003). Positive selection of *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutants with increased stress resistance and longevity. *Genetics*, *163*(1), 171–180.
- Murphy, C. T., & Hu, P. J. (2013). Insulin/insulin-like growth factor signaling in C. elegans. *WormBook*, 1–43. [https://doi.org/10.1895/wormb](https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.164.1) [ook.1.164.1](https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.164.1)
- Ojha, S. C., Jaide, C., Jinawath, N., Rotjanapan, P., & Baral, P. (2014). Geohelminths: Public health significance. *Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, *8*(1), 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.3183>
- Park, H. H., Jung, Y., & Lee, S. V. (2017). Survival assays using *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Molecules and Cells*, *40*(2), 90–99. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2017.0017) [org/10.14348/molcells.2017.0017](https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2017.0017)
- Podshivalova, K., Kerr, R. A., & Kenyon, C. (2017). How a mutation that slows aging can also disproportionately extend end‐of‐life de‐ crepitude. *Cell Reports*, *19*(3), 441–450. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.062) [celrep.2017.03.062](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.062)
- Rajamuthiah, R., Fuchs, B. B., Jayamani, E., Kim, Y., Larkins‐Ford, J., Conery, A., … Mylonakis, E. (2014). Whole animal automated plat‐ form for drug discovery against multi‐drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(2), e89189. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journ](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089189) [al.pone.0089189](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089189)
- Shintani, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2004). Autophagy in health and disease: A double‐edged sword. *Science*, *306*(5698), 990–995. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099993) [org/10.1126/science.1099993](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099993)
- Shore, D. E., & Ruvkun, G. (2013). A cytoprotective perspective on lon‐ gevity regulation. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *23*(9), 409–420. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.04.007) [org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.04.007)
- Sim, S., & Hibberd, M. L. (2016). *Caenorhabditis elegans* susceptibility to gut *Enterococcus faecalis* infection is associated with fat metabolism and epithelial junction integrity. *BMC Microbiology*, *16*, 6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0624-8) [org/10.1186/s12866-016-0624-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0624-8)
- Stroustrup, N., Ulmschneider, B. E., Nash, Z. M., Lopez‐Moyado, I. F., Apfeld, J., & Fontana, W. (2013). The *Caenorhabditis elegans* lifespan machine. *Nature Methods*, *10*(7), 665–670. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2475) [nmeth.2475](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2475)
- Sutphin, G. L., & Kaeberlein, M. (2009). Measuring *Caenorhabditis elegans* life span on solid media. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, *27*, 1152. <https://doi.org/10.3791/1152>
- Tullet, J. M. A., Green, J. W., Au, C., Benedetto, A., Thompson, M. A., Clark, E., … Gems, D. (2017). The SKN‐1/Nrf2 transcription factor

can protect against oxidative stress and increase lifespan in *C. ele‐ gans* by distinct mechanisms. *Aging Cell*, *16*(5), 1191–1194. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12627) doi.org/10.1111/acel.12627

- Tullet, J. M., Hertweck, M., An, J. H., Baker, J., Hwang, J. Y., Liu, S., … Blackwell, T. K. (2008). Direct inhibition of the longevity‐promoting factor SKN‐1 by insulin‐like signaling in *C. elegans*. *Cell*, *132*(6), 1025– 1038.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.01.030>
- Van Gilst, M. R., Hadjivassiliou, H., Jolly, A., & Yamamoto, K. R. (2005). Nuclear hormone receptor NHR‐49 controls fat consumption and fatty acid composition in *C. elegans*. *PLoS Biology*, *3*(2), e53. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030053) doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030053
- Van Raamsdonk, J. M., & Hekimi, S. (2010). Reactive Oxygen Species and Aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: Causal or casual relationship? *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, *13*(12), 1911–1953. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3215) [org/10.1089/ars.2010.3215](https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2010.3215)
- Vanfleteren, J. R. (1993). Oxidative stress and ageing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *The Biochemical Journal*, *292*(Pt 2), 605–608. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2920605) [org/10.1042/bj2920605](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2920605)
- Vihervaara, A., & Sistonen, L. (2014). HSF1 at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*, *127*(Pt 2), 261–266. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.132605>
- Wu, F., Li, Y., Wang, F., Noda, N. N., & Zhang, H. (2012). Differential function of the two Atg4 homologues in the aggrephagy pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *287*(35), 29457–29467. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.365676>
- Youngman, M. J., Rogers, Z. N., & Kim, D. H. (2011). A decline in p38 MAPK signaling underlies immunosenescence in *Caenorhabditis el‐ egans*. *PLoS Genetics*, *7*(5), e1002082. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journ](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002082) [al.pgen.1002082](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002082)
- Zhang, H., Davies, K. J. A., & Forman, H. J. (2015). Oxidative stress response and Nrf2 signaling in aging. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, *88*(Pt B), 314–336. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbio](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.05.036) [med.2015.05.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.05.036)
- Zou, C. G., Tu, Q., Niu, J., Ji, X. L., & Zhang, K. Q. (2013). The DAF‐16/ FOXO transcription factor functions as a regulator of epidermal in‐ nate immunity. *PLoS Path*, *9*(10), e1003660. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003660) [journal.ppat.1003660](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003660)

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article. 

How to cite this article: Benedetto A, Bambade T, Au C, et al. New label‐free automated survival assays reveal unexpected stress resistance patterns during *C. elegans* aging. *Aging Cell*. 2019;00:e12998. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12998) [org/10.1111/acel.12998](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12998)