

## Article

# Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice

Roddam, Hazel, Stewart, Heather Christine and Lewis, Felicity

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/29218/>

*Roddam, Hazel ORCID: 0000-0002-0637-1801, Stewart, Heather Christine ORCID: 0000-0002-4657-3221 and Lewis, Felicity (2019) Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice. European Journal of Physiotherapy . ISSN 2167-9169*

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21679169.2019.1645882>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

**Title Page**

Short title: Pre-Frail Exercise

Full title:

**Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for practice**

Felicity J. Lewis<sup>ab</sup>, Heather C. Stewart<sup>b</sup>, Hazel Roddam<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust, Community Emergency Response Team Southport and Formby, United Kingdom.

<sup>b</sup> School of Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, PR1 2HE, United Kingdom.

\*Correspondence: Dr Hazel Roddam, School of Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire PR1 2HE Email: [HRoddam@uclan.ac.uk](mailto:HRoddam@uclan.ac.uk)

Word Count:

Structured Abstract: 190

Main Body: 2931

18 **Effects of exercise interventions on physical function, mobility, frailty status**  
19 **and strength in the pre-frail population: A review of the evidence base for**  
20 **practice**

21

22 **Abstract**

23 **Background:** Frailty is associated with reduced functional ability. Pre-frail individuals are at  
24 increased risk of becoming frail and are more likely to transition back to a robust state than  
25 frail individuals. Exercise has been reported to have beneficial effects on physical function  
26 in combined pre-frail and frail populations. This review identified the need to investigate  
27 the pre-frail population in isolation.

28 **Objectives:** To investigate the effects of exercise interventions on physical function,  
29 mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population, and to support the role of  
30 physiotherapy in the management of pre-frailty.

31 **Data Sources:** The electronic databases AMED, CINAHL Complete, MEDLINE with Full Text  
32 and PubMed were searched using terms related to pre-frailty, exercise, strength, mobility  
33 and function.

34 **Results:** The search yielded 456 articles. Seven RCTs and two NRSs were eligible and  
35 methodological quality varied from good to poor. Interventions included combinations of  
36 strengthening, balance, functional, mobility, power and wii-fit exercises.

37 **Conclusions:** Exercise is an effective intervention to improve physical outcomes and  
38 potentially delay or reverse frailty in the pre-frail population. Further high quality research  
39 is required to support the recommendations made by this review.

40

#### 41 **Contribution of the Paper:**

- 42 • The term pre-frail refers to the state between robust and frail and is associated with  
43 an increased risk of becoming frail.
- 44 • Exercise interventions can have positive effects on physical function, mobility and  
45 strength in the pre-frail population.
- 46 • Physiotherapists are well placed to deliver exercise interventions and manage pre-  
47 frail patients.
- 48 • The current evidence base is insufficient; further research of high quality is required  
49 to investigate the effects of exercise and early physical exercise intervention in the  
50 pre-frail population.

51 **Keywords:** *Pre-frail, exercise, physical function, mobility, frailty*

52

53

54

#### 55 **Background**

56 Frailty is a dynamic state that refers to a lack of physiological reserve and reflects  
57 accelerated aging [1, 2]. Frailty is also associated with adverse health outcomes resulting in  
58 reduced functional ability and high usage of health and social care services in the UK [2-4].  
59 The term pre-frail refers to the state between robust and frail and is associated with an  
60 increased risk of becoming frail [5].

61

62 Currently the gold standard of care for managing frailty is the provision of a comprehensive  
63 geriatric assessment (CGA) [6]. CGAs are carried out by medical and allied health  
64 professionals (AHPs) with physiotherapists assessing key aspects of frailty such as physical  
65 function, mobility, strength and balance [7]. Following a CGA it is recommended that an  
66 individualised multi-disciplinary intervention plan is developed [7], which physiotherapists  
67 play a key role in delivering [8]. This is supported by Professor Hobbelen, a leading health  
68 researcher who at a 2016 European Region – World Confederation for Physical Therapy  
69 conference described physiotherapists as possessing the “golden bullet” of exercise to fight  
70 frailty [9].

71

72 Several reviews support the potential of exercise as an effective intervention to improve  
73 physical outcomes in frail and combined pre-frail and frail populations [11-13]. A recent  
74 systematic review investigating the effects of health promotion in the pre-frail population  
75 reported improvements in physical function with exercise [10]. However, the search terms  
76 did not include those relating to exercise and included studies investigating combined pre-  
77 and moderately frail populations.

78

79 Clinically observed differences suggest there is a need for the pre-frail population to be  
80 studied in isolation. During a recent 12-month Frailty Clinic pilot at a North West NHS Trust  
81 pre-frail patients (per the Rockwood Scale [14]) were more able to participate in physical  
82 rehabilitation than frail patients. Additionally, these pre-frail patients demonstrated greater  
83 improvements in physical function and mobility.

84

85 It was reported by Gill et al. that older people are more likely to transition to greater rather  
86 than lesser states of frailty over a prolonged period of time (54-months) [5]. It was also  
87 reported that the probability of transitioning back to a robust state from pre-frail and frail  
88 states was between 9.5-16.5% and 0-0.9% respectively [5]. These findings along with clinical  
89 observations suggest that the pre-frail population exist as a key group to target exercise  
90 interventions aimed at managing, delaying and reversing frailty.

91

## 92 **Objectives**

93 The aim of this review was to investigate the effects of exercise interventions on physical  
94 function, mobility, frailty status and strength in the pre-frail population. The current  
95 evidence base was systematically reviewed to determine if any clinical recommendations  
96 could be made. The secondary aim was to support the role of physiotherapy in the  
97 management of pre-frail patients.

98

99 **Methods**

100 This review is reported according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews  
101 and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [15]. The review question was built on the  
102 participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes and study design (PICOS) framework.  
103 The following methodology was carried out by one author.

104

105 **Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

106 Eligible studies were identified by searching the electronic databases AMED, CINAHL  
107 Complete, MEDLINE with Full Text and PubMed (last accessed December 2017). Search  
108 terms included terms related to pre-frailty, exercise, strength, mobility and function. The  
109 search was supplemented by reference list searching of eligible study reports and relevant  
110 reviews. Due to the low yield of articles relating specifically to the pre-frail population no  
111 limiters were set for date range or study type.

112

113 The titles and abstracts identified by the search were reviewed and the full texts of  
114 potentially eligible studies were evaluated against the following criteria:

115

116 **Inclusion Criteria:**

- 117 • Use of a recognised and referenced frailty tool to classify people as being pre-frail.
- 118 • Analysis of pre-frail people in isolation including sub-group analysis.

- 119 • Analysis of exercise as a single intervention compared to a control or comparator  
120 group.
- 121 • Use of outcome measures that relate to physical function, mobility, frailty status or  
122 strength.
- 123 • Outcome measures performed before and after the intervention period.
- 124 • Full text available in the English language.

125 **Exclusion Criteria:**

- 126 • Analysis of the pre-frail population with a specific health condition e.g. Parkinson's  
127 disease.
- 128 • Combined analysis of pre-frail and frail (including moderately frail) people.
- 129 • Analysis of exercise as part of a multi-factorial intervention.
- 130 • Use of outcome measures not relevant to the review question.

131

132 **Data Extraction**

133 A number of study characteristics were extracted from the eligible studies using a table to  
134 enable consistent recording. To analyse intervention effects within and between group  
135 differences in mean outcome scores were recorded. Significance levels and effect sizes  
136 were recorded where available.

137

138 **Assessing risk of bias**



139 Methodological quality was assessed using the critical appraisal skills programme (CASP)  
140 tool for randomised control trials (RCTs) [16].

141

## 142 **Results**

143 The search strategy yielded 456 articles ranging from 2001 to 2018; after duplicates were  
144 removed 191 articles remained for title and abstract screening. The full text of 16 articles  
145 were retrieved, after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria 10 articles were deemed  
146 eligible for inclusion in the review [17-26]. Reasons for exclusion are outlined in the study  
147 selection flow diagram (Figure 1).

148

149 Two articles reported on the same original study [19, 26], one consisted of a follow-up study  
150 after a period of de-training [26]. The study was included as the follow-up period was  
151 similar to other eligible studies [21, 22] and it was deemed clinically relevant to determine  
152 the long term effects of exercise interventions. Another two articles [22, 23] reported on  
153 different outcomes of the same study and were evaluated together, resulting in 9 studies to  
154 be included in the review.

155

## 156 **Study Characteristics**

157 A summary of the study characteristics is presented in Table 1. Two studies were conducted  
158 in Brazil [17, 22, 23], two in Japan [24, 25], two in Germany [19, 26] and one each in the USA  
159 [18], Netherlands [20] and the Republic of Korea [21]. Seven of the studies were RCTs [17-

160 23, 26] including a follow-up [26] and a pilot [18]. Two studies were non-randomised  
161 control trials (NRS) [24, 25]; one was a pilot [25]. Sample size ranged from 23 [18] to 238  
162 [20] and all studies used the Fried frailty phenotype criteria [27] to identify people as being  
163 pre-frail.

164

### 165 **Intervention Characteristics**

166 Study methodologies included comparing single exercise programmes to a control [17, 22,  
167 23] or robust comparator group [24, 25], comparing two different exercise programmes to a  
168 control [18-20, 26] and comparing a single intervention exercise programme to a combined  
169 exercise and nutrition intervention (cooking class) to a control [21]. For the latter study,  
170 only data relating to the single intervention exercise group and the control were considered  
171 in order to meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

172

173 The exercise interventions included components of strength, balance, mobility and function.  
174 One RCT and its follow-up study compared strength and power training [19, 26] and one  
175 RCT compared exercises to the wii-fit [18]. Progressive exercise programmes were utilised  
176 by all of the RCTs whereas the two NRSs did not.

177

178 The duration of the exercise sessions ranged from 45 to 90 minutes, 1 to 7 days a week for  
179 10 to 52 weeks. All studies carried out the exercise programme in a supervised group  
180 setting except one [25] which investigated an unsupervised daily home-based programme

181 following 1-2 instruction sessions. Only one of the studies utilising a group setting reported  
182 instructing participants to perform the exercises at home, detail relating to frequency is not  
183 given [21]. Three studies followed up the participants ranging from 10 weeks [22, 23] to 6  
184 months [21, 26] post-intervention. Two studies asked participants not to carry out any of  
185 the intervention exercises after the intervention period had ended [22, 23, 26], it is unclear  
186 if this was the case for the third study [21].

187

188 The control groups were asked to continue their daily routines and not start new physical  
189 activities [18-20, 22, 23, 26], attend lectures on physical activity and nutrition [19, 21] and  
190 carry out upper limb and neck stretches and relaxation [17].

191

192 Outcome measures utilised included the timed up and go (TUG) [18, 20, 22-25], one-leg  
193 balance test (OLB) [21, 24, 25], performance orientated mobility assessment (POMA) [20]  
194 and short physical performance battery (SPPB) [19, 26]. Lower scores for the TUG and  
195 higher scores for the OLB, POMA and SPPB indicate a better performance [28]. Other  
196 measures included sit to stand transfers [17, 18, 20], gait speed [17, 20-24], strength [19,  
197 21-26] and self-reported function [18-20, 21, 26].

198

### 199 **Methodological Quality**

200 A summary of the individual risk of bias for the included studies is presented in Table 2. All  
201 RCTs reported randomised allocation and was computer generated in those reporting on

202 methodology [17, 19-21, 26]. Three studies had concealment of allocation [17, 19, 20], one  
203 stated that it did not [21] and there was inadequate reporting for two studies [18, 22, 23].  
204 Selection bias was deemed to be low risk [17, 19, 20], high risk [21] and unclear [18, 22, 23].

205

206 It is unclear if drop-outs had any significant impact in five of the studies [17, 18, 21, 24, 25].  
207 Two reported no impact with drop-outs [19, 20] and one reported reduced statistical power  
208 [26]. Only two studies reported intention to treat analysis [19, 20]. Attrition bias was  
209 deemed to be low risk [19, 20, 22, 23], medium risk [26], high risk [21, 25] and unclear [17-  
210 18, 24].

211

212 In all studies the participants and personnel delivering the intervention were not blinded.  
213 Due to the nature of the interventions this was not deemed to significantly impact  
214 methodological quality. The assessors were blinded to allocation in six studies [17, 19-23,  
215 26], but not in either of the NRSs [24, 25] and it was unclear in one study due to inadequate  
216 reporting [18]. Detection bias was deemed to be low risk [17, 19-23, 26], high risk [24, 25]  
217 and unclear [18].

218

219 Eight studies reported comparable groups at baseline, the pilot RCT reported a significantly  
220 younger control group [18] and there was inadequate reporting by one study [25]. Overall  
221 methodological quality was deemed to be good [19, 20], fair [17, 22, 23, 26], fair-poor [21]  
222 and poor [18, 24, 25]. The poor quality studies were limited by inadequate reporting and

223 two were pilots designed to test feasibility and method [18, 25]. Consequently, the results  
224 of these studies were interpreted with caution and greater weighting was given to the RCTs.

225

## 226 **Impact of Interventions**

227 A summary of the individual study results is presented in Table 3. Studies that investigated  
228 two different exercise programmes reported comparable intervention effects at post-  
229 intervention and follow up [18-20, 26].

230

## 231 **Physical function**

232 Significant positive intervention effects were observed for sit to stand [17, 18], semi-tandem  
233 test [17], step test [17] and the SPPB [19]. Although no longer significant, SPPB scores  
234 remained higher than baseline for the intervention groups after a 24-week detraining period  
235 [26]. Variable intervention effects were reported for the OLB test [21, 24, 25]. Utilising  
236 combined physical outcome scores one RCT reported positive and negative intervention  
237 effects in pre-frail and frail sub-groups respectively [20]. No intervention effects were  
238 reported for self-reported function or disability [18-21, 26] except for the combined exercise  
239 and nutrition group [21].

240

## 241 **Mobility**

242 Positive intervention effects were reported for the TUG in pre-frail participants [18, 22-25]  
243 but, not for a robust comparator group [24]. After a 10 week detraining period one study  
244 reported lower than baseline TUG scores but it is unclear if this was significant [22].

245

246 Significant positive intervention effects on gait speed were reported [17, 21-24], which  
247 remained after a 10-week period of detraining [22]. However, one study reported no effect  
248 at post-intervention or 6-month follow-up [21]. Utilising the POMA, one study reported a  
249 positive intervention effect and no effect in pre-frail and frail sub-groups respectively [20].

250

## 251 **Frailty Status**

252 Only the pilot NRS [25] reported on frailty status with 23.5% of the pre-frail group  
253 transitioning to a robust state post intervention. No participants transitioned to a frail state.

254

## 255 **Muscle Strength**

256 Significant positive intervention effects on knee extensor strength were reported [22, 23,  
257 25]. Strength remained greater than baseline after a 10 week period of detraining but it is  
258 unclear if this was significant [22]. No significant effect was observed for either strength or  
259 power training on general lower limb strength [19]. However, the power training group  
260 demonstrated greater than baseline power after a 24-week detraining period [26]. No  
261 effect [24] and significant positive effects [21, 25] were reported for grip strength, but this  
262 was not maintained at 6-month follow-up [21].

263 **Discussion**

264 This review supports exercise as an effective intervention to improve physical outcomes in  
265 the pre-frail population. Due to the review limitations it is advised that the following be  
266 interpreted with caution.

267

268 **Physical Function**

269 Two comparable RCTs [19, 20] reported that exercise carried out for an hour, twice a week  
270 for 12 weeks resulted in improvements in physical function in the pre-frail population. In  
271 contrast, variable findings have been reported for frail populations [12, 13]; this supports  
272 clinical observations by suggesting that exercise is most effective in the earlier stages of  
273 frailty.

274

275 Four studies investigated functional balance with variable findings [17, 21, 24, 25]. Only the  
276 studies reporting a positive effect [17, 25] stated that the balance task being assessed  
277 formed part of the exercise programme. The two studies reporting no effect [21, 24]  
278 delivered the intervention once a week in comparison to twice weekly [17] and daily  
279 sessions [25]. This suggests that to observe improvements in functional balance, exercise  
280 programmes should include the tasks being assessed and be carried out for at least an hour,  
281 twice a week.

282

283 Positive intervention effects were reported for sit to stand transfers [17, 18]. One study  
284 reported a smaller intervention effect ( $p = .046$ ) compared to functional balance ( $p < .005$ ,  $p <$   
285  $.001$ ) [17]. However, unlike the assessed balance tasks, sit to stand practice did not form  
286 part of the exercise programme. This further supports the inclusion of assessed tasks into  
287 exercise programmes and suggests that greater improvements are observed with task  
288 repetition.

289

290 Consistent with systematic reviews investigating frail populations [11-13], the favourable  
291 results above were not reflected in the self-reported measures of function. This is in  
292 contrast to patients reviewed in the frailty clinic. Reasons for these opposing findings may  
293 be due to differences between research and practice. In the clinical trials the exercise  
294 programmes were pre-set and not person-specific. In clinical practice exercise programmes  
295 are individually developed based on patient identified goals with the aim to achieve  
296 meaningful improvements. Further research that reflects clinical practice is required.

297

## 298 **Mobility**

299 Most studies reported favourable intervention effects on gait speed [17, 22-24] and one  
300 study, delivering the intervention less frequently reported no effect [21]. These findings  
301 concur with findings for frail populations [11-13] and suggest exercise at a frequency of at  
302 least twice a week is required to increase gait speed.

303



304 Of the studies that utilised the TUG [17, 22-25] and the POMA [20] all reported positive  
305 intervention effects. This is in contrast to research relating to frail populations [11-13],  
306 suggesting that the early delivery of exercise interventions is required to gain improvements  
307 in functional mobility.

308

### 309 **Frailty Status**

310 One study reported that exercise reversed frailty [25]. Although of poor quality the findings  
311 concur with a recent RCT (N=245, pre-frail 73%, frail 27%) that reported a reduction in frailty  
312 following a 24 week exercise intervention ( $p < .01$ ) [29]. These combined findings may  
313 reflect the favourable effects exercise has on many of the Fried frailty domains and warrants  
314 further research.

315

### 316 **Muscle Strength**

317 The effectiveness of exercise on lower limb strength varied despite similar interventions and  
318 may reflect differences in measurement and frequency. Using an isokinetic dynamometer  
319 [23, 25] and a force plate (during sit to stand) [19] positive and no effect were reported  
320 respectively. The interventions for the RCTs [19, 23] were carried out twice [19] versus  
321 three [23] times a week. These findings suggest that an intervention frequency of greater  
322 than twice a week is required to increase lower limb strength in a pre-frail population.

323

### 324 **Review Strengths and Limitations**

325 **This review addresses a highly relevant and specific clinical question, adding to the**  
326 **growing evidence base relating to the pre-frail population. A transparent and systematic**  
327 **approach was used to identify and appraise the evidence base and the inclusion and**  
328 **exclusion criteria were clearly defined.**

329

330 This review has several limitations. The literature search, study selection and critique was  
331 carried out by one author. At study level, the control and intervention groups were not  
332 treated equally. The majority of the controls did not attend groups and some attended  
333 health lectures which may have altered their behaviour. Further standardised research is  
334 required.

335

336 The review is further limited by the inclusion of poor quality studies. Additionally, there is a  
337 lack of studies pertaining to the pre-frail population. As a result, firm conclusions cannot be  
338 made and it is recommended that the findings be interpreted with caution.

339

#### 340 **Clinical implications**

341 The review findings are deemed clinically relevant as the exercise programmes and outcome  
342 measures utilised by the studies reflect clinical practice. The findings support the  
343 prescribing of progressive exercise programmes that include strength, balance, and  
344 functional mobility exercises, delivered in group settings for an hour, two to three times a  
345 week, long term. Clinically, without appropriate funding it will be difficult to deliver the

346 recommended frequency of the group exercise sessions and offer this as a long term  
347 service. Supporting the pre-frail population to take ownership of their own health is  
348 therefore of great importance. Strategies to meet this challenge could include educating  
349 and motivating the pre-frail population to develop an exercise habit. Physiotherapists can  
350 support this by assisting in the development of pre-frail pathways and services. In particular  
351 physiotherapists could provide short courses of group exercise sessions in both the acute  
352 and community setting, form stronger links with third sector organisations to signpost  
353 people to local exercise and physical activity classes and develop joint initiatives with third  
354 sector organisations. Physiotherapists could also assist in developing and supporting  
355 targeted public health campaigns.

356

357 The review findings also suggest that exercise interventions are most effective at the pre-  
358 frail stage and one study even reported negative intervention effects in a frail sub-group  
359 [20]. Physiotherapists are well placed to deliver these early physical exercise interventions  
360 and possess the assessment skills to deliver first contact CGAs. This could result in  
361 significant cost savings to the National Health Service (NHS) by reducing Geriatricians  
362 workloads and potentially delaying and reversing frailty. This review therefore recommends  
363 early physical exercise interventions for the pre-frail population, of which physiotherapists  
364 can deliver.

365

366 **Conclusion**

367 This systematically-conducted review has demonstrated that exercise can have positive  
368 effects on physical function, mobility and strength in the pre-frail population. Exercise is  
369 also identified as a potentially effective intervention to delay and reverse frailty. This review  
370 highlights the potential of physiotherapists to become key members of a multidisciplinary  
371 team delivering services to the pre-frail population, such as the delivery of group based  
372 exercise classes.

373

374 However, due to an insufficient evidence base it is advised that the review findings be  
375 interpreted with caution. Further high quality research studying both the effects of exercise  
376 and early physiotherapy involvement on physical outcomes and frailty in the pre-frail  
377 population is recommended.

378

### 379 **Declarations of Interest**

380 The authors confirm they have no conflicts of interest.

381 As secondary research this study did not require ethical approval.

382 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,  
383 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

## **References**

397

1. Afilalo J, Alexander KP, Mack MJ, Maurer MS, Green P, Allen LA, et al. Frailty assessment in the cardiovascular care of older adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014 03/04;63(8):747-62.

398

399

400

2. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people (vol 381, pg 752, 2013). *Lancet*. 2013 OCT 19;382(9901):1328-.

401

402

3. Cornwell J. The care of frail older people with complex needs: time for a revolution. London: King's Fund. 2012.

403

- 404 4. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Dementia, disability and frailty  
405 in later life – mid-life approaches to delay or prevent onset. Clinical Guideline 16.  
406 London: NICE. 2015.
- 407 5. Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG, Han L. Transitions between frailty states among  
408 community-living older persons. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(4):418-23.
- 409 6. British Geriatrics Society and the Royal College of General Practitioners. Fit for Frailty  
410 – Part 2: Developing, commissioning and managing services for people living with  
411 frailty in community settings. British Geriatrics Society and the Royal College of  
412 General Practitioners. 2015.
- 413 7. British Geriatrics Society. Fit for Frailty – Part 1: Consensus best practice guidance for  
414 the care of older people living in community and outpatient settings. British  
415 Geriatrics Society. 2014.
- 416 8. British Geriatrics Society. [Online] Available at:  
417 [http://www.bgs.org.uk/nursepublications/nursing/nursepublications/consultation-](http://www.bgs.org.uk/nursepublications/nursing/nursepublications/consultation-physio-and-older-people)  
418 [physio-and-older-people](http://www.bgs.org.uk/nursepublications/nursing/nursepublications/consultation-physio-and-older-people). [Accessed 30<sup>th</sup> October 2017].
- 419 9. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. [Online] Available at:  
420 [http://www.csp.org.uk/news/2016/11/17/er-wcpt-physios-have-golden-bullet-fight-](http://www.csp.org.uk/news/2016/11/17/er-wcpt-physios-have-golden-bullet-fight-frailty-say-researchers)  
421 [frailty-say-researchers](http://www.csp.org.uk/news/2016/11/17/er-wcpt-physios-have-golden-bullet-fight-frailty-say-researchers). [Accessed 30<sup>th</sup> October 2017].
- 422 10. Frost R, Belk C, Jovicic A, Ricciardi F, Kharicha K, Gardner B, et al. Health promotion  
423 interventions for community-dwelling older people with mild or pre-frailty: a  
424 systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr. 2017 07/20;17(1):157-.
- 425 11. Chou C, Hwang C, Wu Y. Effect of exercise on physical function, daily living activities,  
426 and quality of life in the frail older adults: a meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil.  
427 2012;93(2):237-44.

- 428 12. de Labra C, Guimaraes-Pinheiro C, Maseda A, Lorenzo T, Millán-Calenti JC. Effects of  
429 physical exercise interventions in frail older adults: a systematic review of  
430 randomized controlled trials. *BMC geriatrics*. 2015;15(1):154.
- 431 13. Giné-Garriga M, Roqué-Fíguls M, Coll-Planas L, Sitjà-Rabert M, Salvà A. Physical  
432 exercise interventions for improving performance-based measures of physical  
433 function in community-dwelling, frail older adults: a systematic review and meta-  
434 analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2014;95(4):753,769. e3.
- 435 14. Rockwood K, Song X, MacKnight C, Bergman H, Hogan DB, McDowell I, et al. A global  
436 clinical measure of fitness and frailty in elderly people. *CMAJ*. 2005 Aug  
437 30;173(5):489-95.
- 438 15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Prisma Group. Preferred reporting items  
439 for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS medicine*.  
440 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- 441 16. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme. [Online] Available at: CASP Randomised  
442 Controlled Trial Checklist. 2017. [Accessed: 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2017].
- 443 17. Arantes PM, Dias JMD, Fonseca FF, Oliveira AM, Oliveira MC, Pereira LS, et al. Effect  
444 of a Program Based on Balance Exercises on Gait, Functional Mobility, Fear of Falling,  
445 and Falls in Prefrail Older Women: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Topics in Geriatric  
446 Rehabilitation*. 2015;31(2):113-20.
- 447 18. Daniel K. Wii-Hab for Pre-Frail Older Adults. *Rehabilitation Nursing*. 2012;37(4):195-  
448 201.
- 449 19. Drey M, Zech A, Freiberger E, Bertsch T, Uter W, Sieber CC, et al. Effects of strength  
450 training versus power training on physical performance in prefrail community-  
451 dwelling older adults. *Gerontology*. 2012;58(3):197-204.

- 452 20. Faber MJ, Bosscher RJ, Paw, Marijke J Chin A, van Wieringen PC. Effects of exercise  
453 programs on falls and mobility in frail and pre-frail older adults: a multicenter  
454 randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2006;87(7):885-96.
- 455 21. Kwon J, Yoshida Y, Yoshida H, Kim H, Suzuki T, Lee Y. Effects of a combined physical  
456 training and nutrition intervention on physical performance and health-related  
457 quality of life in prefrail older women living in the community: a randomized  
458 controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association.*  
459 2015;16(3):263. e1,263. e8.
- 460 22. Lustosa LP, Pereira LSM, Coelho FM, Pereira DS, Silva JP, Parentoni AN, et al. Impact  
461 of an exercise program on muscular and functional performance and plasma levels  
462 of interleukin 6 and soluble receptor tumor necrosis factor in prefrail community-  
463 dwelling older women: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.*  
464 2013;94(4):660-6.
- 465 23. Lustosa LP, Silva JP, Coelho FM, Pereira DS, Parentoni AN, Pereira LS. Impact of  
466 resistance exercise program on functional capacity and muscular strength of knee  
467 extensor in pre-frail community-dwelling older women: a randomized crossover trial.  
468 *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy.* 2011;15(4):318-24.
- 469 24. Sugimoto H, Demura S, Nagasawa Y, Shimomura M. Changes in the physical  
470 functions of pre-frail elderly women after participation in a 1-year preventative  
471 exercise program. *Geriatrics & gerontology international.* 2014;14(4):975-82.
- 472 25. Takano E, Teranishi T, Watanabe T, Ohno K, Kitaji S, Sawa S, et al. Differences in the  
473 effect of exercise interventions between prefrail older adults and older adults  
474 without frailty: A pilot study. *GERIATR GERONTOL INT.* 2017 09;17(9):1265-9.



- 475 26. Zech A, Drey M, Freiburger E, Hentschke C, Bauer JM, Sieber CC, et al. Residual  
476 effects of muscle strength and muscle power training and detraining on physical  
477 function in community-dwelling prefrail older adults: a randomized controlled trial.  
478 BMC geriatrics. 2012;12(1):68.
- 479 27. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in  
480 older adults: evidence for a phenotype. The Journals of Gerontology Series A:  
481 Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2001;56(3):M146-57.
- 482 28. Rehabilitation Measures Database. [Online] Available at:  
483 <https://www.sralab.org/rehabilitation-measures>. Accessed [25<sup>th</sup> October 2017].
- 484 29. Ng TP, Feng L, Nyunt MSZ, Feng L, Niti M, Tan BY, et al. Nutritional, physical,  
485 cognitive, and combination interventions and frailty reversal among older adults: a  
486 randomized controlled trial. Am J Med. 2015;128(11):1225,1236. e1.
- 487
- 488
- 489
- 490