

## Applications of Polymer, Composite, and Coating Materials

## Surface Functionalization and Patterning by Multifunctional Resorcinarenes

Farid Behboodi-Sadabad, Vanessa Trouillet, Alexander Welle, Phillip B. Messersmith, and Pavel A. Levkin

ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b14771 • Publication Date (Web): 18 Oct 2018Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on October 30, 2018**Just Accepted**

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



# Surface Functionalization and Patterning by Multifunctional Resorcinarenes

*F. Behboodi-Sadabad,<sup>†,‡</sup> V. Trouillet,<sup>§,⊥</sup> A. Welle,<sup>⊥,||</sup> Phillip B. Messersmith,<sup>#</sup> Pavel A. Levkin<sup>\*†,‡</sup>*

<sup>†</sup>Institute of Organic Chemistry (IOC), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>‡</sup>Institute of Toxicology and Genetics (ITG), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

<sup>§</sup>Institute for Applied Materials (IAM), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

<sup>⊥</sup>Karlsruhe Nano Micro Facility (KNMF), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

<sup>||</sup>Institute of Functional Interfaces (IFG), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

<sup>#</sup>Departments of Materials Science and Engineering and Bioengineering, University of California Berkeley, 94720 Berkeley, USA

**KEYWORDS:** surface functionalization, resorcinarene, phenolic compounds, thiol-ene photochemistry, wettability

1  
2  
3 ABSTRACT: Plant phenolic compounds and catecholamines have been widely used to obtain  
4 substrate-independent precursor nanocoatings and adhesives. Nevertheless, there are downsides  
5 in using such phenolic compounds for surface modification such as formation of non-uniform  
6 coatings, need for multistep modification, and restricted possibilities for post-functionalization.  
7  
8 In this study, inspired by strong binding ability of natural polyphenols found in plants, we used  
9  
10 three different macrocyclic polyphenols, known as resorcin[4]arenes, to modify the surface of a  
11  
12 different substrates by simple dip coating into the dilute solution of these compounds. Eight  
13  
14 hydroxyl groups on the large rim of these resorcin[4]arenes provide multiple anchoring points to  
15  
16 the surface, while the lower rim decorated with different appending groups introduces desired  
17  
18 chemical and physical functionalities to the substrate's surface. Deposition of a uniform and  
19  
20 transparent resorcinarene layer on the surface was confirmed by several surface characterization  
21  
22 techniques. Incubation of the modified substrates in different environments indicated that the  
23  
24 stability of the resorcinarene layer was dependent on the type of substrate and the pH value. The  
25  
26 most stable resorcinarene layer was formed on amine-functionalized substrates. The surface was  
27  
28 modified with alkenyl functional groups in one step using a resorcinarene compound possessing  
29  
30 four alkenyl appending groups on its small rim. Thiol-ene photoclick chemistry was used to site-  
31  
32 selectively post-functionalize the surface with hydrophilic and hydrophobic micropatterns that  
33  
34 was confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and time-of-flight secondary ion  
35  
36 mass spectrometry (ToF-SIMS). Thus, we demonstrate that resorcin[4]arenes extend the scope of  
37  
38 applications of plant polyphenol and mussel-inspired precursors to tailor-made multifunctional  
39  
40 nanocoatings, suitable for a variety of potential applications in biotechnology, biology, and  
41  
42 material science.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 1. Introduction

Inspired by the strong solid-liquid interfacial activity of plant polyphenols, various nanocoatings and adhesive precursors have been derived from plant phenolic compounds<sup>1-5</sup>. Similarly, adhesive coatings based on catecholamines such as dopamine (DA)<sup>6-9</sup> have been developed inspired by the key role of marine adhesive polyphenols present in mussel foot proteins.

A substrate-independent coating can be formed on the surface by simple immersion of a substrate into a slightly basic solution of DA or polyphenols. The presence of multiple anchoring points to the surface plays an important role for the strong interaction of polyphenols with the surface via hydrogen bonds, coordination bonds,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking or hydrophobic interactions.<sup>10,11</sup>

Such nanocoatings have been used for surface modification and development of novel materials for biology,<sup>12,13</sup> material science,<sup>14</sup> energy research,<sup>15,16</sup> and various bio-medical applications<sup>17,18</sup>

However, there are still challenges in the usage of the coatings derived from catecholamines and plant polyphenols that limit applications of such coatings. For instance, several research groups<sup>19-22</sup> including ours,<sup>2</sup> tried to reduce nanoparticle-like structure formation in the coatings made from DA or polyphenols. Such aggregates cause high surface roughness, inhomogeneity, and subsequent weakness and instability of the coating.<sup>19,21-23</sup> Multistep post-functionalization<sup>19,24</sup> is required in order to introduce desired functional groups onto the DA and polyphenolic nanocoating precursors.<sup>25,26</sup> Examples include post-modification of polydopamine (PDA) layer with hydrophobic molecules or lipid-like molecules such as organic alkanethiols and alkane-phosphates.<sup>27</sup> Moreover, common choices for secondary functionalization are mainly limited to complexation with metal ions, boronate ester complexation, self-coupling reactions, and reaction with nucleophiles (including amine, imidazole, and thiol groups).<sup>28-30</sup>


1  
2  
3 Calixarenes represent building blocks for a complementary strategy for surface functionalization.  
4  
5 Calixarenes and their derivatives have been used for sensor development,<sup>31</sup> cancer  
6  
7 chemotherapy,<sup>32</sup> chemical separations,<sup>33</sup> molecular recognition,<sup>34,35</sup> transfection of DNA into  
8  
9 cells,<sup>36</sup> and other biological applications.<sup>37</sup> The all-*cis* configuration on a cyclic tetramer with  
10  
11 crown (cone) conformation in calix[4]arenes, which is the thermodynamically most stable  
12  
13 isomer,<sup>38–40</sup> provides a three-dimensional structure which can be decorated with desired  
14  
15 functional groups on the small or large rim.<sup>39,41</sup>  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 In a classical way, surface-reactive head groups on the small rim of the calixarenes were used to  
21  
22 covalently bind calixarenes onto the surface.<sup>42</sup> Mattiuzzi et al.<sup>43–45</sup> used rigid tetrapodant  
23  
24 calix[4]arenes as building blocks to form a monolayer on the surface by electrografting of  
25  
26 calix[4]arene diazonium salts on the surface from their large rim side. Multivalency of  
27  
28 calixarenes could increase their binding strengths. Using this strategy, a dense and closely  
29  
30 packed monolayer of calix[4]arenes was formed on the surface due to the unique macrocyclic  
31  
32 structure of the calixarene skeleton which prevents the formation of disorganized multilayers.<sup>43</sup>  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Resorcinarenes are resorcinol-derived calixarenes.<sup>39</sup> Resorcin[4]arenes can easily be obtained by  
38  
39 the acid catalyzed condensation of resorcinol with various aliphatic or aromatic aldehydes, which  
40  
41 occurs on refluxing the reactants in a mixture of ethanol and concentrated hydrochloric acid  
42  
43 (HCl) for several hours.<sup>39</sup> Usually, the cyclotetramer crystallizes from the reaction mixture in  
44  
45 reasonable to high yields in a simple one-step untemplated reaction, although for different  
46  
47 aldehydes different optimal reaction conditions exist.<sup>39</sup> In the context of surface modification,  
48  
49 thiol-gold,<sup>46</sup> ion-metal coordination,<sup>47</sup> amine-graphene oxide,<sup>48</sup> silane coupling,<sup>49</sup> and platinum  
50  
51 catalyzed<sup>38</sup> binding chemistries have been used to make a layer of resorcinarenes on surfaces.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In this paper we present a one-step strategy for surface functionalization by deploying  
4 bifunctional resorcinarenes that can adhere to different substrates including polyethylene (PE),  
5 polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), stainless steel (steel), aluminum (Al), zinc (Zn), amine-  
6 functionalized porous poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-co-(ethylene dimethacrylate)  
7 (poly(HEMA)), ), amine-functionalized glass, and silicon wafer using their large rim side, while  
8 their small rim side can be used for the post-functionalization. The produced nanocoatings  
9 demonstrated homogeneity and transparency.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19


20 We used three different resorcin[4]arenes, compounds **1**, **2**, and **3** (**Figure 1**), as building blocks  
21 for the functionalization of different substrates. The large rim of resorcinarenes **1**, **2**, and **3**  
22 consists of eight hydroxyl groups, serving as multiple anchoring points to the surface, whereas  
23 the small rim is decorated with four methyl, undecyl, or dec-9-enyl legs, respectively. Formation  
24 of uniform, aggregate-free, and transparent nanocoatings using all three compounds **1**, **2**, and **3**  
25 was demonstrated by apparent water contact angle (WCA) measurement, UV-vis spectroscopy,  
26 XPS, and AFM analysis. By varying the choice of “legs” on the small rim, desired functional  
27 groups can be directly introduced to the surface. For instance, compound **3** was synthesized with  
28 four pendant alkenyl groups at the small rim. UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry was used to  
29 site-selectively post-functionalize the **3**-modified surface with hydrophilic and hydrophobic  
30 functional groups, in order to tune the surface wettability against liquids possessing both high  
31 and low surface tension. This strategy could expand the choice of multifunctional building  
32 blocks for this class of bioinspired nanocoatings from catecholamines and plant phenolic  
33 compounds to tailor-made resorcinarenes.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of *C*-methylresorcin[4]arene (1), *C*-undecylresorcin[4]arene (2), and designed *C*-dec-9-enylresorcin[4]arene (3) and their schematic representation.

## 2. Results and Discussion

We investigated the coating potential of resorcin[4]arenes using two commercially available resorcin[4]arenes, *C*-methylresorcin[4]arene (**1**) and *C*-undecylresorcin[4]arene (**2**). In addition, *C*-dec-9-enylresorcin[4]arene (**3**) containing four appending alkenyl groups on its small rim was synthesized according to our previous report (Figure S1,S2).<sup>38</sup>



**Scheme 1.** (A) Formation of a uniform and transparent layer of multifunctional resorcin[4]arenes on the surface of a different of substrates by a one-step dip coating technique. (B) Modification of the surface with **3** and subsequent post-functionalization with hydrophilic (2-mercaptoethanol, ME) or hydrophobic (1*H*,1*H*,2*H*,2*H*-perfluorodecanethiol, PFDT) groups via UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry.



1  
2  
3 To investigate coating formation ability of resorcinarenes, different substrates were immersed in  
4 a 0.8 mg/mL solution of **1**, **2**, or **3** in an ethanol:tris buffer (10 mM, pH 8.5) mixture, for 24 h at  
5 room temperature with gentle agitation (**Scheme 1A,B**). Deposition of a resorcinarene layers on  
6 the surface of different substrates including polyethylene (PE), polymethyl methacrylate  
7 (PMMA), stainless steel (steel), aluminum (Al), zinc (Zn), amine-functionalized porous poly(2-  
8 hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-*co*-(ethylene dimethacrylate) (poly(HEMA)), and silicon wafer was  
9 investigated by apparent water contact angle (WCA) measurements (**Figure 2**), XPS, and AFM  
10 analysis (**Figure 3**, Figure S3, S4). The term poly(HEMA) refers to amine-functionalized porous  
11 poly(HEMA) throughout the text and figures.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24  
25 Apparent WCA and contact angle hysteresis (CAH) on flat PE, PMMA, steel, Al, Zn, and rough  
26 poly(HEMA) substrates before and after functionalization with **1**, **2** and **3** are shown in **Table 1**.  
27 All flat surfaces modified with the same compounds showed similar WCAs indicating successful  
28 functionalization and homogeneous coatings. The difference in WCAs in the case of the  
29 poly(HEMA) reflects its porous rough surface, which leads to either decrease or increase of the  
30 apparent WCA after the modification with either slightly hydrophilic **1** or hydrophobic **2** and **3**,  
31 respectively (Figure 2A,B).  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41  
42 Deposition kinetics of the resorcinarenes on the surface was monitored by measuring apparent  
43 water contact angle of poly(HEMA) substrate at different time intervals up to 36 h after  
44 immersing in the coating solution of **1**, **2**, and **3** (Figure 2C). Apparent contact angle reached a  
45 constant value of  $16^{\circ}\pm 3$ ,  $138^{\circ}\pm 3$ ,  $137^{\circ}\pm 4$  after 24 h of incubation in the coating solution of **1**, **2**,  
46 and **3**, respectively (Figure 2C).  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.** Apparent water contact angles ( $\theta$ ) and contact angle hysteresis ( $\Delta\theta$ ) of different substrates before and after modification with **1**, **2**, and **3**.

Substrate	Bare		+1		+2		+3	
	$\theta$	$\Delta\theta$	$\theta$	$\Delta\theta$	$\theta$	$\Delta\theta$	$\theta$	$\Delta\theta$
PE	86±2.1	~57	73±4.2	~60	107±4.3	~52	105±3.2	~51
PMMA	70±2.2	~46	67±4.3	~39	100±3.4	~37	103±4.6	~44
Steel	69±1.9	~33	64±3.9	~35	105±3.8	~39	102±3.9	~34
Al	74±2.2	~42	65±3.6	~38	109±2.9	~36	107±3.8	~34
Zn	75±2.4	~54	64±4.5	~48	108±3.8	~58	106±3.5	~55
Poly(HEMA)	2±1.1	-	15±2.5	~10	138±2.6	~40	137±2.3	~43

Stability of the coated layer was investigated by monitoring apparent WCA of PE, steel, and poly(HEMA) substrates coated with **1**, **2**, and **3**, at different time intervals after immersing or sonicating the substrates into a 1:1 ethanol:water mixture for 24 h (Figure 2D for **3**, Figure S5 for compound **1,2**). Apparent WCA of substrates coated with **1**, **2**, and **3** remained constant after immersing in a 1:1 ethanol:water mixture over 24 h, indicating deposition of a relatively stable resorcinarene layer on the surface at the mentioned environment. Sonication of the solution led to decrease of apparent WCA of the substrates modified with **3** from 105° and 102° of the bare PE and steel substrates to 85° and 70°, respectively, indicating detachment of the resorcinarene layer from the surface within the first 6 h of sonication (Figure 2D). Detachment of the resorcinarene from the surface could be due to relatively weak and reversible non-covalent interaction of the polyphenols with the surface.<sup>50</sup> However, **1**, **2**, and **3** formed a much more stable layer on the amine-functionalized porous poly(HEMA), confirmed by no change in apparent WCA even after sonication for 24 h, possibly due to covalent attachment of the


1  
2  
3 phenolic groups of the resorcinarenes to the amino groups on the surface<sup>50</sup> via Michael addition-  
4 type reaction or Schiff base formation.<sup>28–30</sup>  
5  
6

7  
8 We investigated the adhesive strength of the resorcinarene layer of **3** deposited on the steel, PE  
9 and (amine-functionalized) glass substrates by monitoring the apparent WCA of the substrates  
10 after immersing in aqueous buffer solutions at acidic (pH 3), neutral (pH 7), and basic pH (pH 9)  
11 for different time intervals (Figure S6). Apparent WCA of the substrates incubate at neutral pH  
12 didn't change after 48 h. However, apparent WCA decreased significantly after 12 h incubation  
13 in a basic (steel and PE) or in an acidic solution (amine-functionalized glass) (Figure S6).  
14 Significantly higher binding energy of bidentate hydrogen bonds in polyphenols than the binding  
15 energy of mono hydrogen bonds has been confirmed theoretically and experimentally.<sup>51</sup>  
16 Hydrogen bonds could be formed between the hydroxyl groups (as hydrogen donors) on  
17 resorcinarene and the oxygen atoms (as hydrogen acceptors) on the (oxidized) PE and steel  
18 substrates.<sup>52</sup> Generation of other types of interaction with the surface in addition to hydrogen  
19 bonds could be the reason of the stability of the resorcinarene layer on PE and steel substrates at  
20 pH 3, and pH 7 (Figure S6A,B).<sup>52</sup> However, in basic environment (pH 9) resorcinarene layer  
21 detached from the surface of PE and steel substrates possibility due to deprotonation of majority  
22 of the hydroxyl groups and their oxidation to quinone moieties<sup>53</sup> that leads to a weaker  
23 interaction with the PE and steel substrates (Figure S6A,B).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 On the other hand, oxidation of hydroxyl groups to quinone moieties at basic pH, could enable  
47 covalent binding of the polyphenols to the amine-functionalized surfaces.<sup>50</sup> Apparent contact  
48 angle of the **3**-modified (amine-functionalized) glass substrate did not change significantly in  
49 natural (pH 7) and basic condition (pH 9), possibly due to covalent interaction with the surface  
50 (Figure S6C). However, in acidic environment (pH 3), quinone structure is favored over  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

hydroxyl in polyphenols.<sup>53</sup> Therefore, weak surface interaction between the resorcinarene layer and amine-functionalized surface observed in acidic pH (Figure S6C) could be due to the shift in the chemical equilibria of hydroxyl-quinone toward quinone structure.<sup>50</sup>

Dependency of the adhesion strength and the stability of the substrate-independent polyphenolic nanocoatings on the substrate type, pH of the environment, and phenolic precursor was reported in the literature.<sup>50,52,54</sup>




**Figure 2.** Deposition of resorcinarenes (1,2,3) on different substrates. (A) Water droplets formed on the surface of PE, PMMA, steel, Al, Zn, and amine-functionalized porous poly(HEMA) substrates before and after modification with 1, 2, and 3 and corresponding apparent WCA. (B) (C) Deposition kinetics of 1, 2, and 3 on the poly(HEMA) substrate monitored by measuring apparent water contact angle measurements after immersing the substrate in the corresponding coating solutions for different time intervals. (D) Apparent WCA of PE, steel, and poly(HEMA) substrates modified with 3 after immersion or sonication in ethanol:water 1:1 mixture for 24 h.

1  
2  
3 Deposition of a resorcinarene on the surface was investigated by XPS analysis of the substrates  
4 modified with **3**. The strong decrease of the intensity of the characteristic substrate signals  
5 proves the modification of the substrate with **3** (Figure 3A,B and Figure S3). In the case of  
6 PMMA, C 1s at 288.9 eV attributed to O=C-O group was chosen as a marker. For further metal  
7 substrates, peaks stemming from the metal itself were observed, namely Fe 2p, Al 2p, and Zn 2p  
8 doublets for steel, Al, and Zn substrates, respectively. A decrease of at least 75% of the initial  
9 intensity for the bare substrates was observed for all the mentioned peaks, which points out the  
10 presence of a new layer on the surface. Furthermore, the carbon concentration increased and  
11 more importantly the contribution of the component at 285.0 eV (C-C, C-H) in the C 1s spectra  
12 increased after surface modification. This observation supports the deposition of **3** on the surface  
13 since this compound contains long alkyl chains.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Surface topography and thickness of the resorcinarene layer deposited on a silicon wafer was  
30 analyzed using AFM. A layer free from nanoparticle-like structures was observed on the silicon  
31 surface with an average thickness of  $1.0 \pm 0.15$ ,  $2.1 \pm 0.24$ , and  $1.9 \pm 0.18$  nm and mean square  
32 roughness (RMS) of 0.4, 0.6, 0.5 nm by immersion of the substrate into a coating solution of **1**,  
33 **2**, and **3**, respectively (Figure 3C,D, Figure S4). The average thickness of the resorcinarene layer  
34 was calculated by subtracting the average height of the scratched area from the average height of  
35 the unscratched area. Roughness values were similar to that of the bare substrates ( $\text{RMS}_{\text{bare Si}} = 0.3$   
36 nm, RMS of Si substrates before modification with **1**, **2**, and **3** were 0.3 nm, 0.4 nm, 0.4 nm,  
37 respectively) indicating the deposition of a uniform layer on the whole surface. These results  
38 agree well with the theoretical height values (estimated from MM2 energy minimization using  
39 Chem3D software) of ca. 0.8, 1.9, and 1.7 nm for **1**, **2**, and **3**, respectively. AFM analysis of a  
40 smaller area ( $5 \mu\text{m}^2$  squares) of the bare and modified silicon substrates with **1**, **2**, and **3**  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

indicated that a compact uniform layer of resorcinarenes, free from nanoparticle-like structure, formed on the surface (Figure S4). The thickness values measured by AFM were in agreement with the thickness values measured by ellipsometry,  $0.9\pm 0.12$ ,  $2.3\pm 0.19$ , and  $2.2\pm 0.21$  nm for the silicon substrate coated with **1**, **2**, and **3** respectively.



**Figure 3.** Surface modification using resorcinarenes (**1,2,3**). (A) Detailed C 1s and (B) Fe 2p XP spectra of PMMA and steel substrates before and after modification with **3**. (C) AFM height image of bare silicon, silicon after modification with **1**, **2**, and **3** after gentle scratching (on the left side of each sample). (D) Corresponding height profile along the lines.

In order to investigate the ability to post-functionalize the substrates modified with **3**, we used UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry to modify dec-9-enyl pendant groups on the small rim of **3** with 2-mercaptoethanol (ME) and 1*H*,1*H*,2*H*,2*H*-perfluorodecanethiol (PFDT) (Scheme 1C, **Figure 4**). Hydrophobic PFDT and hydrophilic ME were clicked on the **3**-modified surface by 1

1  
2  
3 min UV irradiation of PFDT and ME solutions. Apparent WCA measurements of **3**-modified  
4 poly(HEMA) and **3**-modified amine-functionalized glass substrates after post-functionalization  
5 indicated a high wettability contrast between PFDT- and ME-functionalized surface for liquids  
6 possessing both high and low surface tension (Figure 4A,B). The term glass refers to the amine-  
7 functionalized glass throughout the text and figures.  
8  
9

10  
11 Apparent WCA of poly(HEMA) substrate increased from 2° to 137°, 152°, and 87° after  
12 modification with **3**, and post-functionalization with PFDT and ME respectively (Figure 4A).  
13  
14 Due to the combined micro- and nanoporosity of the poly(HEMA),<sup>55</sup> apparent WCA reached  
15 152° after post-functionalization with PFDT. Water droplets rolled off the PFDT-functionalized  
16 surface (tilting angle 8°), while water droplets were pinned onto the ME-functionalized surface  
17 (Movie S1). **3**-modified poly(HEMA) substrate post-functionalized with PFDT was able to repel  
18 liquids with lower surface tension such as ethylene glycol (surface tension 47.7 mN/m) and  
19 cyclohexanol (surface tension 34.4 mN/m). However, surfaces post-functionalized with ME  
20 became again completely wettable for ethylene glycol and cyclohexanol (Figure 4A).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Apparent WCA of glass substrate increased from 47° to 72°, 86°, and 61° after modification with  
38 **3**, and post-functionalization with PFDT and ME respectively (Figure 4B). **3**-modified glass after  
39 post-functionalization with PFDT was able to repel liquids with lower surface tension than water  
40 (surface tension=72.8 mN/m) including ethylene glycol (surface tension 47.7 mN/m),  
41 cyclohexanol (surface tension=34.4 mN/m), and *n*-hexane (surface tension 18.4 mN/m) (Figure  
42 4B). By post-functionalization of the **3**-modified glass surface with ME, the contact angle of  
43 water, ethylene glycol, cyclohexanol, and *n*-hexane decreased from 72°, 55°, 19°, and 15° for the  
44 **3**-modified glass to 61°, 43°, 15°, and 6° for ME-functionalized surface. Small differences  
45 observed in the contact angles after post-functionalization with PFDT and ME (Figure 4A,B)  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1  
2  
3 could be due to the chemical structure of compound **3**, which contains a large relatively  
4 hydrophobic macrocycle (of four benzene rings) with four hydrophobic long alkenyl chains.  
5  
6 Thus, post-functionalization of **3** with hydrophilic hydroxy groups (from ME) leads to a decrease  
7  
8 of the surface energy but not a drastic one.  
9  
10

11  
12  
13 Apparent WCA measurements, on one hand, confirmed post-functionalization of the substrates  
14 modified with **3** via thiol-ene click reaction, and on the other hand, demonstrated the ability to  
15  
16 tune the surface wettability for a variety of liquids.  
17  
18

19  
20  
21 We investigated transparency of resorcinarene layer formed on the glass surface by measuring  
22  
23 UV-vis spectra before and after modification of the surface with **3** and post-functionalization  
24  
25 with PFDT and ME. UV-vis spectra indicated that glass remains transparent after deposition of  
26  
27 the resorcinarene layer and the subsequent post-functionalization (Figure 4C).  
28  
29

30  
31 Stability of resorcinarene layer of **3** was investigated by measuring apparent WCA at different  
32  
33 time intervals after sonication in a 1:1 ethanol:water mixture for 24 h. Apparent WCA of **3**-  
34  
35 modified substrates remained constant before and after post-functionalization via thiol-ene  
36  
37 photoclick reaction further confirming deposition of a more stable resorcinarene layer on the  
38  
39 surface (Figure 4D).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60






**Figure 4.** Tuning surface wettability by post-functionalization of **3** via UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry. Droplets of solvents with different surface tensions formed on the surface of poly(HEMA) (A) and glass (B) after modification with **1**, **2**, and **3**, and after post-functionalization of **3**-modified surface with *1H,1H,2H,2H*-perfluorodecanethiol (PFDT) and 2-mercaptoethanol (ME) via UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry. Corresponding apparent contact angles are shown in the graphs. (C) Transparency (%) of bare glass, **3**-modified glass, and after clicking PFDT and ME. (D) Apparent WCA of **3**-modified porous poly(HEMA) and glass substrates before and after thiol-ene reaction after sonication in ethanol:water 1:1 mixture for 24 h.

Thiol-ene photo-click chemistry is a versatile one-step procedure for spatial and temporal controlled coupling of thiol and alkene-functionalized compounds and has been used widely in materials science.<sup>56</sup> A layer of **3** contains alkenyl groups formed on the glass surface by immersing the substrate into the coating solution of **3** (0.8 mg/mL, in a 1:1 ethanol:tris buffer (10 mM, pH 8.5)). By UV irradiating through a photomask in the presence of PFDT and ME, we were able to control the post-functionalization of alkenyl groups spatially (**Figure 5A**). For instance, to make a micropattern of hydrophilic spots within hydrophobic borders on the **3**-

1  
2  
3 modified substrate, a solution of PFDT was filled in between the surface and a photomask  
4 followed by 1 min UV irradiation through a photomask, followed by washing and drying.  
5  
6 Finally, a solution of ME was filled into the setup and irradiated with UV light for 1 min to  
7  
8 introduce hydroxyl groups on the alkenyl chains of **3**, followed by washing and drying (Figure  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

5A).

Micropatterning of the **3**-modified surface with PFDT and ME was confirmed by XPS and ToF-SIMS (Figure 5B,C). XPS chemical mapping was first conducted in order to identify the position of the chemically different areas (Figure S7). Afterward, high energy resolution spectra could be recorded at well-defined locations evidenced by the mapping (Figure 5B). Two peaks at 291.9 eV and 294.1 eV in the C 1s spectrum and the corresponding F 1s signal at 689.0 eV proved<sup>57</sup> the presence of -CF<sub>2</sub> and -CF<sub>3</sub> functional groups in the PFDT-functionalized regions next to ME-functionalized regions. In another micropatterning experiment, ToF-SIMS analysis confirmed the presence of the mass fragments corresponding to F<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and CF<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the regions modified with PFDT (Figure 5C).




**Figure 5.** Photopatterning of the glass surface modified with **3** and formation of droplet microarray. (A) Schematic representation of site-selective functionalization of **3**-modified glass surface with PFDT or ME via UV-induced thiol-ene click chemistry. (B) C 1s XP spectra of the **3**-modified surface after post-functionalization with PFDT (top) and ME (bottom), indicating the formation of -CF<sub>2</sub> and -CF<sub>3</sub> functional groups on the surface after functionalization with PFDT. (C) ToF-SIMS F<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and CF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ion intensity maps of **3**-modified surface post-functionalized with PFDT (squares) and ME (borders). (D) Droplet microarray of dyed water, ethylene glycol, and cyclohexanol formed on **3**-modified glass slide after the micropatterning.

In order to investigate the dynamic wetting behavior of the surface, advancing and receding contact angles of water, ethylene glycol, and cyclohexanol on glass substrate were measured

1  
2  
3 before and after modification with **3**, and post-functionalization with PFDT and ME (**Figure 6**).

4  
5 The results of static and dynamic contact angle measurements indicated that although static  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
the contact angle hysteresis values showed much larger difference confirming a successful post-  
functionalization (Figure 6). These observations further confirmed the hypotheses that a  
resorcinarene layer containing bulk hydrophobic groups was deposited on all the surfaces.

Due to the high contrast of wettability between PFDT- and ME-functionalized regions (Figure  
4A,B, Figure 6), droplet microarrays could be spontaneously formed on the transparent  
micropatterned surface by rolling a droplet of liquid, including water, ethylene glycol, or  
cyclohexanol on the micropatterned surface.<sup>58</sup>



1  
2  
3 **Figure 6.** Apparent static, advancing, and receding contact angles of (A) water, (B) ethylene  
4 glycol, (C) cyclohexanol on (amine-functionalized) glass, before and after modification with **3**,  
5 and after post-functionalization with PTFE or ME.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 3. Conclusion

Inspired by strong adhesive properties of natural polyphenols found in plant polyphenols, we developed a new strategy to use resorcinarenes as building blocks for surface functionalization. Resorcinarenes can be synthesized in a simple one-step reaction and provide eight hydroxyl groups on the large rim that serve as multiple anchor points to PE, PMMA, steel, Al, Zn, (amine-functionalized) poly(HEMA), (amine-functionalized) glass, and silicon wafer substrates, while the small rim can be decorated with desired functional groups.

We used three resorcin[4]arenes, compound **1**, **2**, and **3**, to make transparent uniform layers on the surface of plastic, metals, and silicon oxides by a single-step dip coating of the substrates in the corresponding solutions of resorcinarenes. Investigation of the stability of the resorcinarene layer on the surface indicated that the extent of stability of the resorcinarene layer on the surface was dependent on the substrate type and the pH values. Variation of the stability may be attributed to the different interaction mechanism of the polyphenols with different substrates. The most stable layer of resorcinarene was formed on amine-functionalized substrates possibly due to covalent attachment of resorcinarene to the surface.

Compound **3** decorated with alkenyl appendages on the small rim enabled post-functionalization of the surface via thiol-ene photo click chemistry. The **3**-modified glass was post-functionalized with hydrophobic or hydrophilic functional groups by UV irradiating the substrate through a photomask for 1 min in the presence of PFDT or ME. Droplet microarray of liquids with either high or low surface tension was formed on the surface of the micropatterned glass substrate by rolling a drop of the liquid over the surface.

1  
2  
3 This strategy could expand the choice of building blocks for this class of bioinspired  
4  
5 nanocoatings from catecholamines and plant phenolic compounds to tailor-made multifunctional  
6  
7 resorcinarenes with a wide range of potential applications in chemistry, biology, and material  
8  
9 science.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

#### 4. Experimental Section

##### *Materials*

*C*-methylcalix[4]resorcinarene (**1**) and *C*-undecylcalix[4]resorcinarene monohydrate (**2**) resorcinol, 10-undecenal were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (China, Switzerland, Germany, India, respectively). 2-Hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) and ethylene dimethacrylate (EDMA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany) and purified through a short column filled with basic aluminum oxide to get rid of the inhibitors. All the other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany) and used without further purification. Formate (pH 3), phosphate (pH 7), and carbonate-bicarbonate (pH 9) buffers were prepared at 100 mM concentration.

Nexterion B glass slides were obtained from Schott AG (Mainz, Germany) and silicon wafers (CZ-Si-wafer 4 inch) from MicroChem GmbH (Berlin, Germany) were used. Polyethylene (PE), polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), stainless steel (steel), aluminum (Al), zinc copper alloy (Zn) substrates were kindly provided by Institute of Toxicology and Genetics (ITG) at the KIT. Bare glass slides and silicon wafer substrates were cleaned by sonication for 10 min in, deionized (DI) water, 2-propanol, and acetone and dried with nitrogen gas. DI water, 2-propanol, and ethanol were used to clean other substrates by 10 min sonication followed by drying with nitrogen gas. High-purity DI water with a resistivity of 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm was obtained from an inline Millipore water purification system. Acetone and the other solvents were obtained from Merck KGaA (Germany). Tris buffers were made at 10 mM concentration at pH 8.5. The final pH value adjusted by using a Mettler Toledo digital pH meter (China).

##### *Characterization*



1  
2  
3 Mass spectrometry was performed using an ESI-MS (Bruker ESI-TOF, Institute of  
4 Nanotechnology (INT), KIT) in positive mode.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a DRX-500  
5 (500 MHz) (Bruker, Germany), and chemical shifts were reported in ppm using residual solvent  
6 peaks as internal standards. The UV–vis absorbance (200–900 nm) of the bare and coated glass  
7 slides was measured using a Lambda 35 UV–vis spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Germany).  
8 Apparent contact angle of different liquids ( $\sim 4\ \mu\text{L}$ ) on bare and modified substrates was  
9 measured using a Drop Shape Analyzer model DSA25S (Krüss, Hamburg, Germany).  
10 Advancing contact angles were obtained by measuring the contact angle while the liquid was  
11 slowly added (at a rate of  $0.1\ \mu\text{L/s}$ ) from a  $\sim 4\ \mu\text{L}$  droplet to  $12\ \mu\text{L}$  in contact with the sample and  
12 a micrometer syringe. Receding contact angles were obtained with liquid slowly retracting (at a  
13 rate of  $0.1\ \mu\text{L/s}$ ) from a  $\sim 12\ \mu\text{L}$  droplet to  $4\ \mu\text{L}$ . Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was  
14 performed on a Dimension Icon AFM (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) in standard tapping mode in  
15 air (INT, KIT). Cantilevers used were of type HQ:NSC15/AI BS (MikroMasch) with a nominal  
16 force constant of  $40\ \text{N m}^{-1}$  and a resonance frequency of  $325\ \text{kHz}$ . The thickness of the  
17 resorcinarene layer on silicon substrates was measured using spectroscopic ellipsometry in dry  
18 state (M44, Woollam Co., Inc., Lincoln NE, USA). The ellipsometry measurements were  
19 performed at an angle of incidence of  $75^\circ$  in the spectral region of  $370\text{--}900\ \text{nm}$ . Ellipsometric  
20 parameters were fitted using a Cauchy model. ToF-SIMS experiments were performed on a  
21 TOF-SIMS 5 machine (ION-TOF GmbH, Münster, Germany) at the Institute of Functional  
22 Interfaces, KIT. XPS measurements were performed using a K-Alpha+ XPS spectrometer  
23 (ThermoFisher Scientific, East Grinstead, UK), IAM, KIT. Data acquisition and processing using  
24 the Thermo Advantage software is described elsewhere.<sup>59</sup> All coatings were analyzed using a  
25 micro-focused, monochromated  $\text{Al K}\alpha$  X-ray source ( $400\ \mu\text{m}$  spot size). The K-Alpha+ charge  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 compensation system was employed during analysis, using electrons of 8 eV energy, and low-  
4 energy argon ions to prevent any localized charge build-up. The spectra were fitted with one or  
5 more Voigt profiles (BE uncertainty:  $\pm 0.2$  eV) and Scofield sensitivity factors were applied for  
6 quantification.<sup>60</sup> All spectra were referenced to the C 1s peak (C-C, C-H) at 285.0 eV binding  
7 energy controlled by means of the well-known photoelectron peaks of metallic Cu, Ag, and Au,  
8 respectively. The K-alpha+ snapmap option was used to image an area of 3×3 mm with an X-ray  
9 spot of 50  $\mu$ m. (8 iterations were run in order to reach a better statistic).

### 19 *Methods*

20  
21  
22 **Synthesis of C-dec-9-enylresorcin[4]arene (3):** Compound **3** was synthesized by the acid  
23 catalyzed condensation of resorcinol with 10-undecenal by heating the reactants to reflux in a  
24 mixture of ethanol at 60°C for 16 hours according to our previous report.<sup>38</sup> Briefly, 12 N  
25 hydrochloric acid (32 mL was added to a solution of 10-undecenal (33.6 g, 0.2 mol) and  
26 resorcinol (22.0 g, 0.2 mol) in ethanol (200 mL) over 10 min at 0°C. The red oil that formed after  
27 stirring the mixture for 16 h at 60°C was poured into well-stirred deionized water (600 mL). The  
28 orange precipitate was filtered off and washed thoroughly with hot deionized water followed by  
29 drying. The solid product was dissolved in acetonitrile (ACN) at 40°C and kept at room  
30 temperature for 3 h. By decanting the solution, the precipitated dark oil was removed. The  
31 yellow resulting solution was concentrated around by one-third and cooled to 0°C. The solvent  
32 was decanted after precipitation of another part of the dark oil. This procedure was repeated until  
33 no more dark precipitation was formed. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to  
34 afford about 10 g (22%) of **3**. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and mass spectrometry (ESI-  
35 MS) analysis were used to characterize compound **3**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of **3** is shown  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 in Figure S1. ESI-MS (positive mode,  $m/z$ ):  $[M+Na]^+_{\text{theor.}}=1063.6991$ ,  $[M+Na]^+_{\text{exp.}}=1063.7035$   
4  
5 (Figure S2).  
6  
7

8  
9 **Deposition of the resorcinarene layer on the surface:** Cleaned substrates were immersed in a  
10  
11 0.8 mg/mL solution of **1**, **2**, or **3** in a 1:1, 3:1, or 1:1 ethanol:tris buffer (10 mM, pH 8.5) mixture,  
12  
13 respectively, for 24 h at room temperature with a gentle agitation. Resorcinarene modified  
14  
15 samples were then rinsed thoroughly with DI water and ethanol and dried with nitrogen gas.  
16  
17

18  
19 **Preparation of amine-functionalized surfaces:** Cleaned glass-plates were immersed in 1 M  
20  
21 NaOH for 1 h and afterward washed with deionized water followed by immersing them in 1 M  
22  
23 HCl for 30 min, washing with deionized water and drying with a nitrogen gun. Bare glass plates  
24  
25 were immersed into 50 mL of ethanol containing 20 %vol. (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane.  
26  
27 Then, the solution was stirred at RT for 5 min. The substrates were washed with ethanol and  
28  
29 dried with nitrogen gas. Details of the preparation of amine-functionalized micro- and  
30  
31 nanoporous poly(HEMA) could be found in our reports.<sup>55,61</sup>  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 **Post-functionalization of C-dec-9-enylresorcin[4]arene (3) via thiol-ene photoclick**  
37  
38 **chemistry:** Amine-functionalized glass or amine-functionalized porous poly(HEMA) substrates  
39  
40 were modified with **3** according to the deposition process described above. **3**-modified substrates  
41  
42 were wetted with ethyl acetate solution of 20 vol% of *1H,1H,2H,2H*-perfluorodecanethiol  
43  
44 (PFDT), covered by a quartz slide, and irradiated by 5.0 mW.cm<sup>-2</sup> 260 nm UV light for 1 min.  
45  
46 After removing the quartz slide, the glass was washed with ethanol and dried with nitrogen gas.  
47  
48 For post-functionalization with 2-mercaptoethanol (ME), the **3**-modified substrates were wetted  
49  
50 with ethanol-water (1:1) solution containing 10 wt% of ME, covered by a quartz slide, and  
51  
52 irradiated by UV light for 1 min. The substrates was washed extensively with ethanol and dried.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **Photopatterning on the 3-modified surface:** The same procedure as used for post-  
4 functionalization was employed for photopatterning, however, instead of quartz slide a quartz  
5 chromium photomask was used to UV irradiate PFDT solution for 1 min. After removing the  
6 photomask, the substrate was washed with ethanol and dried. The resulting substrate was wetted  
7 again with ethanol-water (1:1) solution containing 10 wt% of ME, covered by a quartz slide, and  
8 irradiated by UV light for 1 min. The substrate was washed extensively with ethanol and dried.  
9  
10 For ToF-SIMS analysis, the 3-modified glass was first post-functionalized with ME by UV  
11 irradiating the ME solution through a photomask, followed by washing and subsequent post-  
12 functionalization with PFDT as described above. A droplet microarray formed on the  
13 micropatterned surface spontaneously by rolling a colored droplet of the liquid on the surface.  
14  
15 Food dyes were used to give color to the liquids forming droplet microarray.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## 29 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### 30 31 32 **Supporting Information.**

33  
34  
35  
36 The following files are available free of charge.

37  
38  
39 Tuning the wettability of the 3-modified poly(HEMA) after post-functionalization with PFDT  
40 or ME (Movie)  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra and ESI-MS spectra of compound 3; Detailed Zn 2p scan and Al 2p scan of  
46 XPS spectra of Zinc copper alloy and aluminum substrates; Apparent water contact angle of PE,  
47 steel, and amine-functionalized porous poly(HEMA) substrates modified with 1 or 2; Principal  
48 component analysis (PCA) of F 1s / XPS mapping of the 3-modified surface after post-  
49 functionalization with PFDT and ME (PDF)  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 AUTHOR INFORMATION  
4  
5

6 **Corresponding Author**  
7

8 \*E-mail: levkin@kit.edu.  
9

10  
11  
12 **Notes**  
13

14 The authors declare no competing financial interest.  
15  
16

17  
18 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**  
19

20 The research was supported by the Helmholtz Association's Initiative and Networking Fund  
21 (grant VH-NG-621) and the European Research Council starting grant (ERC-2013-StG337077-  
22 DropCellArray). The K-Alpha+ instrument was financially supported by the Federal Ministry of  
23  
24 DropCellArray). The K-Alpha+ instrument was financially supported by the Federal Ministry of  
25 Economics and Technology on the basis of a decision by the German Bundestag. F.B.S thanks  
26  
27 Karlsruhe House of Young Scientists (KHYS) for providing research travel grant.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33 **ABBREVIATIONS**  
34

35 AFM, atomic force microscopy; XPS, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy; ToF-SIMS, time-of-  
36  
37 flight secondary ion mass spectrometry, WCA, water contact angle, DA, dopamine; PDA,  
38  
39 polydopamine, ME, 2-mercaptoethanol; PFDT, 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecanethiol; PE,  
40  
41 polyethylene; PMMA, polymethyl methacrylate; steel, stainless steel; Al, aluminum; Zn, zinc;  
42  
43 poly(HEMA), amine-functionalized porous poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-co-(ethylene  
44  
45 dimethacrylate).  
46  
47  
48

49 **REFERENCES**  
50

- 51 (1) Sileika, T. S.; Barrett, D. G.; Zhang, R.; Lau, K. H. A.; Messersmith, P. B. Colorless  
52  
53 Multifunctional Coatings Inspired by Polyphenols Found in Tea, Chocolate, and Wine.  
54  
55 *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10766–10770.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (2) Behboodi-Sadabad, F.; Zhang, H.; Trouillet, V.; Welle, A.; Plumeré, N.; Levkin, P. A.  
4 Bioinspired Strategy for Controlled Polymerization and Photopatterning of Plant  
5 Polyphenols. *Chem. Mater.* **2018.**, *30*, 1937-1946.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 (3) Behboodi-Sadabad, F.; Zhang, H.; Trouillet, V.; Welle, A.; Plumeré, N.; Levkin, P. A.  
12 UV-Triggered Polymerization, Deposition, and Patterning of Plant Phenolic Compounds.  
13 *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, *27*, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201700127.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 (4) Rahim, M. A.; Björnmalm, M.; Bertleff-Zieschang, N.; Besford, Q.; Mettu, S.; Suma, T.;  
20 Faria, M.; Caruso, F. Rust-Mediated Continuous Assembly of Metal–Phenolic Networks.  
21 *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201606717.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 (5) Ejima, H.; Richardson, J. J.; Caruso, F. Metal-Phenolic Networks as a Versatile Platform  
28 to Engineer Nanomaterials and Biointerfaces. *Nano Today* **2017**, *12*, 136–148.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 (6) Lee, H.; Dellatore, S. M.; Miller, W. M.; Messersmith, P. B. Mussel-Inspired Surface  
34 Chemistry for Multifunctional Coatings. *Science* **2007**, *318*, 426-430.  
35  
36  
37  
38 (7) Du, X.; Li, L. X.; Li, J. S.; Yang, C. W.; Frenkel, N.; Welle, A.; Heissler, S.; Nefedov, A.;  
39 Grunze, M.; Levkin, P. A. UV-Triggered Dopamine Polymerization: Control of  
40 Polymerization, Surface Coating, and Photopatterning. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 8029-8033.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (8) Ryu, J. H.; Messersmith, P. B.; Lee, H. Polydopamine Surface Chemistry: A Decade of  
47 Discovery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 7523–7540  
48  
49  
50  
51 (9) Du, X.; Li, L.; Behboodi-Sadabad, F.; Welle, A.; Li, J.; Heissler, S.; Zhang, H.; Plumere,  
52 N.; Levkin, P. A. Bio-Inspired Strategy for Controlled Dopamine Polymerization in Basic  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Solutions. *Polym. Chem.* **2017**, *8*, 2145–2151.  
4  
5  
6  
7 (10) Schlaich, C.; Wei, Q.; Haag, R. Mussel-Inspired Polyglycerol Coatings with Controlled  
8 Wettability: From Superhydrophilic to Superhydrophobic Surface Coatings. *Langmuir*  
9 **2017**, *33*, 9508–9520.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 (11) Yu, L. X.; Cheng, C.; Ren, Q. D.; Schlaich, C.; Noeske, P. L. M.; Li, W. Z.; Wei, Q.;  
15 Haag, R. Bioinspired Universal Monolayer Coatings by Combining Concepts from Blood  
16 Protein Adsorption and Mussel Adhesion. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 6624–  
17 6633.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 (12) Ding, Y. H.; Floren, M.; Tan, W. Mussel-Inspired Polydopamine for Bio-Surface  
25 Functionalization. *Biosurface and Biotribology* **2016**, *2*, 121–136.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 (13) Ye, Q.; Zhou, F.; Liu, W. M. Bioinspired Catecholic Chemistry for Surface Modification.  
31 *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4244–4258.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 (14) Ejima, H.; Richardson, J. J.; Caruso, F. Metal-Phenolic Networks as a Versatile Platform  
37 to Engineer Nanomaterials and Biointerfaces. *Nano Today* **2016**, *12*, 136–148.  
38  
39  
40  
41 (15) Liu, Y. L.; Ai, K. L.; Lu, L. H. Polydopamine and Its Derivative Materials: Synthesis and  
42 Promising Applications in Energy, Environmental, and Biomedical Fields. *Chem. Rev.*  
43 **2014**, *114*, 5057–5115.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 (16) Jeong, Y. K.; Park, S. H.; Choi, J. W. Mussel-Inspired Coating and Adhesion for  
50 Rechargeable Batteries: A Review. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 7562–7573.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55 (17) Kaushik, N. K.; Kaushik, N.; Pardeshi, S.; Sharma, J. G.; Lee, S. H.; Choi, E. H.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Biomedical and Clinical Importance of Mussel-Inspired Polymers and Materials. *Mar.*  
4 *Drugs* **2015**, *13*, 6792–6817.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 (18) Ryu, J. H.; Hong, S.; Lee, H. Bio-Inspired Adhesive Catechol-Conjugated Chitosan for  
10 Biomedical Applications: A Mini Review. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *27*, 101–115.  
11  
12  
13  
14 (19) Zieger, M. M.; Pop-Georgievski, O.; Pereira, A. D.; Verveniotis, E.; Preuss, C. M.; Zorn,  
15 M.; Reck, B.; Goldmann, A. S.; Rodriguez-Emmenegger, C.; Barner-Kowollik, C.  
16 Ultrathin Monomolecular Films and Robust Assemblies Based on Cyclic Catechols.  
17 *Langmuir* **2017**, *33*, 670–679.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 (20) Zhang, C.; Lv, Y.; Qin, W. Z.; He, A.; Xu, Z. K. Polydopamine Coatings with Nanopores  
25 for Versatile Molecular Separation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 14437–14444.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 (21) Hong, S.; Kim, J.; Na, Y. S.; Park, J.; Kim, S.; Singha, K.; Im, G.-I.; Han, D.-K.; Kim, W.  
31 J.; Lee, H. Poly(Norepinephrine): Ultrasooth Material-Independent Surface Chemistry  
32 and Nanodepot for Nitric Oxide. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9187–9191.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 (22) Cho, J. H.; Katsumata, R.; Zhou, S. X.; Kim, C. Bin; Dulaney, A. R.; Janes, D. W.;  
39 Ellison, C. J. Ultrasooth Polydopamine Modified Surfaces for Block Copolymer  
40 Nanopatterning on Flexible Substrates. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 7456–7463.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (23) Zhang, C.; Ou, Y.; Lei, W. X.; Wan, L. S.; Ji, J.; Xu, Z. K. CuSO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Induced Rapid  
47 Deposition of Polydopamine Coatings with High Uniformity and Enhanced Stability.  
48 *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 3054–3057.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 (24) Ge, H.; Kong, Y.; Pan, Y.; Deng, L.; Shou, D.; Lu, Q. Polydopamine Core Half-  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Polyamidoamine Dendrimers Based Drug-Delivery Platform and Characterization by  
4 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2015**, *162*, G87–G93.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 (25) Huang, S. Y.; Zhang, Y.; Shi, J. F.; Huang, W. P. Superhydrophobic Particles Derived  
10 from Nature-Inspired Polyphenol Chemistry for Liquid Marble Formation and Oil Spills  
11 Treatment. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2016**, *4*, 676–681.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 (26) Faure, E.; Falentin-Daudre, C.; Jerome, C.; Lyskawa, J.; Fournier, D.; Woisel, P.;  
17 Detrembleur, C. Catechols as Versatile Platforms in Polymer Chemistry. *Prog. Polym.*  
18 *Sci.* **2013**, *38*, 236–270.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 (27) You, I.; Jeon, H.; Lee, K.; Do, M.; Seo, Y. C.; Lee, H. H. A.; Lee, H. H. A. Polydopamine  
25 Coating in Organic Solvent for Material-Independent Immobilization of Water-Insoluble  
26 Molecules and Avoidance of Substrate Hydrolysis. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* **2017**, *46*, 379–385.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 (28) Krogsgaard, M.; Nue, V.; Birkedal, H. Mussel-Inspired Materials: Self-Healing through  
33 Coordination Chemistry. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 844–857.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 (29) Barclay, T. G.; Hegab, H. M.; Clarke, S. R.; Ginic-Markovic, M. Versatile Surface  
39 Modification Using Polydopamine and Related Polycatecholamines: Chemistry, Structure,  
40 and Applications. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *4*, DOI: 10.1002/admi.201601192.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (30) Yang, J.; Stuart, M. A. C.; Kamperman, M. Jack of All Trades: Versatile Catechol  
47 Crosslinking Mechanisms. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 8271–8298.  
48  
49  
50  
51 (31) Mokhtari, B.; Pourabdollah, K. Application of Calixarenes in Development of Sensors.  
52 *Asian J. Chem.* **2013**, *25*, 1–12.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (32) Yousaf, A.; Hamid, S. A.; Bunnori, N. M. Applications of Calixarenes in Cancer  
4  
5 Chemotherapy. *Drug Des., Dev. Ther.* **2015**, *9*, 2831–2838.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (33) Li, N.; Harrison, R. G.; Lamb, J. D. Application of Resorcinarene Derivatives in Chemical  
10  
11 Separations. *J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem.* **2014**, *78*, 39–60  
12  
13  
14 (34) Zhang, F.; Sun, Y.; Tian, D.; Shin, W. S.; Kim, J. S.; Li, H. Selective Molecular  
15  
16 Recognition on Calixarene-Functionalized 3D Surfaces. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*,  
17  
18 12685–12693.  
19  
20  
21  
22 (35) Joseph, R.; Rao, C. P. Ion and Molecular Recognition by Lower Rim 1,3-Di-Conjugates  
23  
24 of Calix[4]Arene as Receptors. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 4658–4702  
25  
26  
27  
28 (36) Samanta, K.; Ranade, D. S.; Upadhyay, A.; Kulkarni, P. P.; Rao, C. P. A Bimodal,  
29  
30 Cationic, and Water-Soluble Calix[4]arene Conjugate: Design, Synthesis,  
31  
32 Characterization, and Transfection of Red Fluorescent Protein Encoded Plasmid in Cancer  
33  
34 Cells. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 5109–5117.  
35  
36  
37  
38 (37) Nimse, S. B.; Kim, T. Biological Applications of Functionalized Calixarenes. *Chem. Soc.*  
39  
40 *Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 366–386.  
41  
42  
43  
44 (38) Levkin, P. A.; Ruderisch, A.; Schurig, V. Combining the Enantioselectivity of a  
45  
46 Cyclodextrin and a Diamide Selector in a Mixed Binary Gas-Chromatographic Chiral  
47  
48 Stationary Phase. *Chirality* **2006**, *18*, 49–63.  
49  
50  
51  
52 (39) Jain, V. K.; Kanaiya, P. H. Chemistry of Calix 4 Resorcinarenes. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2011**,  
53  
54 *80*, 75–102.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (40) Böhmer, V. Calixarenes, Macrocycles with (Almost) Unlimited Possibilities. *Angew.*  
4 *Chem., Int. Ed.* **1995**, *34*, 713–745.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9 (41) Tauran, Y.; Coleman, A. W.; Perret, F.; Kim, B. Cellular and in Vivo Biological Activities  
10 of the Calix [ n ] Arenes. *Curr. J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *19*, 2250–2270.  
11  
12  
13  
14 (42) Kim, H. J.; Lee, M. H.; Mutihac, L.; Vicens, J.; Kim, J. S. Host-Guest Sensing by  
15 Calixarenes on the Surfaces. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 1173–1190.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 (43) Mattiuzzi, A.; Jabin, I.; Mangeney, C.; Roux, C.; Reinaud, O.; Santos, L.; Bergamini, J.-  
21 F.; Hapiot, P.; Lagrost, C. Electrografting of Calix[4]arenediazonium Salts to Form  
22 Versatile Robust Platforms for Spatially Controlled Surface Functionalization. *Nat.*  
23 *Commun.* **2012**, *3*, DOI:10.1038/ncomms2121.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30 (44) Santos, L.; Mattiuzzi, A.; Jabin, I.; Vandencastele, N.; Reniers, F.; Reinaud, O.; Hapiot,  
31 P.; Lhenry, S.; Leroux, Y.; Lagrost, C. One-Pot Electrografting of Mixed Monolayers with  
32 Controlled Composition. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 15919–15928.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 (45) De Leener, G.; Evoung-Evoung, F.; Lascaux, A.; Mertens, J.; Porras-Gutierrez, A. G.; Le  
39 Poul, N.; Lagrost, C.; Over, D.; Leroux, Y. R.; Reniers, F.; Hapiot, P.; Le Mest, Y.; Jabin,  
40 I.; Reinaud, O. Immobilization of Monolayers Incorporating Cu Funnel Complexes onto  
41 Gold Electrodes. Application to the Selective Electrochemical Recognition of Primary  
42 Alkylamines in Water. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12841–12853.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 (46) Balasubramanian, R.; Kwon, Y. G.; Wei, A. Encapsulation and Functionalization of  
51 Nanoparticles in Crosslinked Resorcinarene Shells. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2007**, *17*, 105–112.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (47) Xiong, D.; Li, H. Colorimetric Detection of Pesticides Based on Calixarene Modified  
4 Silver Nanoparticles in Water. *Nanotechnology* **2008**, *19*, DOI: 10.1088/0957-  
5 4484/19/46/465502.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 (48) Zhou, J.; Chen, M.; Diao, G. W. Assembling Gold and Platinum Nanoparticles on  
12 Resorcinarene Modified Graphene and Their Electrochemical Applications. *J. Mater.*  
13 *Chem. A* **2013**, *1*, 2278–2285.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 (49) Ruderisch, A.; Iwanek, W.; Pfeiffer, J.; Fischer, G.; Albert, K.; Schurig, V. Synthesis and  
20 Characterization of a Novel Resorcinarene-Based Stationary Phase Bearing Polar  
21 Headgroups for Use in Reversed-Phase High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. *J.*  
22 *Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1095*, 40–49.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 (50) Lee, H.; Scherer, N. F.; Messersmith, P. B. Single-Molecule Mechanics of Mussel  
30 Adhesion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2006**, *103*, 12999–13003.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35 (51) Yu, J.; Kan, Y.; Rapp, M.; Danner, E.; Wei, W.; Das, S.; Miller, D. R.; Chen, Y.; Waite, J.  
36 H.; Israelachvili, J. N. Adaptive Hydrophobic and Hydrophilic Interactions of Mussel Foot  
37 Proteins with Organic Thin Films. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, 15680-15685.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43 (52) Lu, Q. Y.; Danner, E.; Waite, J. H.; Israelachvili, J. N.; Zeng, H. B.; Hwang, D. S.  
44 Adhesion of Mussel Foot Proteins to Different Substrate Surfaces. *J. R. Soc., Interface*  
45 **2013**, *10*, DOI: 10.1098/rsif.2012.0759.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 (53) Quideau, S.; Deffieux, D.; Douat-Casassus, C.; Pouysegu, L. Plant Polyphenols: Chemical  
52 Properties, Biological Activities, and Synthesis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 586–  
53 621.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (54) Barrett, D. G.; Sileika, T. S.; Messersmith, P. B. Molecular Diversity in Phenolic and  
4 Polyphenolic Precursors of Tannin-Inspired Nanocoatings. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*,  
5 7265–7268.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 (55) Geyer, F. L.; Ueda, E.; Liebel, U.; Grau, N.; Levkin, P. A. Superhydrophobic-  
12 Superhydrophilic Micropatterning: Towards Genome-on-a-Chip Cell Microarrays. *Angew.*  
13 *Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8424–8427.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (56) Xi, W. X.; Scott, T. F.; Kloxin, C. J.; Bowman, C. N. Click Chemistry in Materials  
19 Science. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2014**, *24*, 2572–2590.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 (57) Reshetenko, T. V; St-Pierre, J.; Artyushkova, K.; Rocheleau, R.; Atanassov, P.; Bender,  
25 G.; Ulsh, M. Multianalytical Study of the PTFE Content Local Variation of the PEMFC  
26 Gas Diffusion Layer. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2013**, *160*, F1305–F1315.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 (58) Feng, W. Q.; Li, L. X.; Du, X.; Welle, A.; Levkin, P. A. Single-Step Fabrication of High-  
33 Density Microdroplet Arrays of Low-Surface-Tension Liquids. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*,  
34 3202–3208.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 (59) Parry, K. L.; Shard, A. G.; Short, R. D.; White, R. G.; Whittle, J. D.; Wright, A. ARXPS  
41 Characterisation of Plasma Polymerised Surface Chemical Gradients. *Surf. Interface Anal.*  
42 **2006**, *38*, 1497–1504.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 (60) Scofield, J. H. Hartree-Slater subshell photoionization cross-sections at 1254 and 1487  
49 eV. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **1976**, *8*, 129–137.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 (61) Feng, W. Q.; Li, L. X.; Ueda, E.; Li, J. S.; Heissler, S.; Welle, A.; Trapp, O.; Levkin, P. A.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Surface Patterning via Thiol-Yne Click Chemistry: An Extremely Fast and Versatile  
4  
5 Approach to Superhydrophilic-Superhydrophobic Micropatterns. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*  
6  
7 **2014**, *1*. DOI: 10.1002/admi.201400269.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 SYNOPSIS  
4  
5

6 Inspired by the adhesive role of natural polyphenols found in plant phenolic compounds, we  
7 developed a new strategy to use multifunctional resorcinarenes as building blocks for surface  
8 nanocoatings via a single-step dip-coating. Functionalization of the surface with a resorcinarene  
9 decorated with peripheral alkenyl groups enables facile surface post-functionalization and  
10 patterning via the UV-induced thiol-ene reaction.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18  
19 KEYWORDS: surface functionalization, resorcinarene, phenolic compounds, thiol-ene  
20 photochemistry, wettability  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 *F. Behboodi-Sadabad, V. Trouillet, A. Welle, Phillip B. Messersmith, Pavel A. Levkin\**  
4  
5

6  
7 **Surface Functionalization and Patterning by Multifunctional Resorcinarenes**  
8  
9

