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#### Abstract

The first measurements of the forward-backward asymmetry of the dimuon pair $\left(A_{\mathrm{FB}}\right)$, the triple-product asymmetry $\left(A_{2 \phi}\right)$, and the charge-parity-conjugation asymmetry $\left(A_{C P}\right)$, in $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow$ $K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays are reported. They are performed using data from proton-proton collisions collected with the LHCb experiment from 2011 to 2016, corresponding to a total integrated luminosity of $5 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The asymmetries are measured to be $A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(3.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6) \%, A_{2 \phi}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=$ $(-0.6 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6) \%, \quad A_{C P}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(4.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 0.7) \%, \quad A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=$ $(0 \pm 11 \pm 2) \%, A_{2 \phi}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(9 \pm 11 \pm 1) \%, A_{C P}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(0 \pm 11 \pm 2) \%$,


 where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. The asymmetries are also measured as a function of the dimuon invariant mass. The results are consistent with the standard model predictions.DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.091801

Decays of charm hadrons into final states containing muon pairs may proceed via the so-called short-distance $c \rightarrow u \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$flavor-changing neutral-current process. In the standard model (SM) such process can only occur through electroweak loop transitions that are highly suppressed by the Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani mechanism [1]. The shortdistance contribution to the inclusive $D \rightarrow X \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$branching fraction, where $X$ represents one or more hadrons, is predicted to be $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{-9}\right)$ [2]. The branching fraction can be greatly enhanced if new particles are exchanged in the loop, making these decays interesting for searches for physics beyond the SM. However, the SM branching fraction can increase up to $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{-6}\right)$ [2-5] because of long-distance contributions occurring through tree-level amplitudes involving intermediate resonances that subsequently decay into $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}$. Hence, the sensitivity to the short-distance amplitudes is greatest for dimuon masses away from the peaks of the resonances, although resonances populate the entire dimuon-mass spectrum due to their long tails. Additional discrimination between short- and long-distance contributions can be obtained by studying kinematic correlations between final-state particles of multibody decays and charge-parity $(C P)$ conjugation asymmetries. These asymmetries are predicted to be negligibly small in
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the SM but could be as large as $\mathcal{O}(1 \%)$ in scenarios of physics beyond the SM [4-11].

The semileptonic four-body decays $D^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ (charge-conjugated decays are implied unless stated otherwise), where $h$ is either a pion or a kaon, are described by five independent kinematic variables (Fig. 1): the dimuon invariant mass, $m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$, the dihadron invariant mass, $m\left(h^{+} h^{-}\right)$. the angle $\theta_{\mu}$ between the $\mu^{+}\left(\mu^{-}\right)$direction and the direction opposite to the $D^{0}\left(\bar{D}^{0}\right)$ meson in the dimuon rest frame, the angle $\theta_{h}$ between the $h^{+}\left(h^{-}\right)$ direction and the direction opposite to the $D^{0}\left(\bar{D}^{0}\right)$ meson in the dihadron rest frame, and the angle $\phi$ between the two planes formed by the dimuon and the dihadron systems in the rest frame of the $D^{0}$ meson (the angle $\phi$ is zero if the two planes are parallel). Among all the possible angular observables that can be constructed, the forward-backward asymmetry of the dimuon system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mathrm{FB}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\cos \theta_{\mu}>0\right)-\Gamma\left(\cos \theta_{\mu}<0\right)}{\Gamma\left(\cos \theta_{\mu}>0\right)+\Gamma\left(\cos \theta_{\mu}<0\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the triple-product asymmetry,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2 \phi}=\frac{\Gamma(\sin 2 \phi>0)-\Gamma(\sin 2 \phi<0)}{\Gamma(\sin 2 \phi>0)+\Gamma(\sin 2 \phi<0)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with the $C P$ asymmetry,
$A_{C P}=\frac{\Gamma\left(D^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)-\Gamma\left(\bar{D}^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)}{\Gamma\left(D^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)+\Gamma\left(\bar{D}^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)}$,


FIG. 1. Diagram showing the topology of a $D^{0} \rightarrow h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ decay, with the definition of the angles that are relevant for the measurement.
are considered to be promising probes for physics beyond the SM $[4,5]$.

The $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays have been recently observed by the LHCb Collaboration [12] and their branching fractions have been measured to be $(9.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-7}$ and $(1.54 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-7}$, respectively, in agreement with SM predictions [4,5]. However, angular and $C P$ asymmetries are yet to be measured.

This Letter reports the first measurement of $A_{\mathrm{FB}}, A_{2 \phi}$, and $A_{C P}$ in $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ decays using $p$ collision data collected with the LHCb experiment at center-of-mass energies of 7,8 , and 13 TeV between 2011 and 2016. The combined data set corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of $5 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The analysis is performed using $D^{0}$ mesons originating from $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^{+}$decays, with the $D^{*+}$ meson produced at the primary $p$ collision vertex. The charge of the pion from the $D^{* \pm}$ decay determines the flavor of the neutral $D$ meson at production. The signal is studied in regions of dimuon mass defined according to the known resonances as in Ref. [12]. The regions dominated by the $\rho^{0} / \omega$ and the $\phi$ resonances are further split in two around the resonance mass to account for a possible variation of the asymmetries across the resonance [5,9,11]. For $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays the regions are (low-mass) $<525 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, ( $\eta$ ) $525-565 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, ( $\rho^{0} / \omega$-low) $565-780 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2},\left(\rho^{0} / \omega\right.$ high) $780-950 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, ( $\phi$-low) $950-1020 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, ( $\phi$-high) $\quad 1020-1100 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, and (high-mass) $>1100 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. The same regions are considered for $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays, except for the $\rho^{0} / \omega$ region, which is not split in two because of the reduced size of this sample, and the $\phi$ and high-mass regions, which are not kinematically accessible. The asymmetries are determined only in $m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$regions where a significant signal yield was previously observed [12]. No measurement is performed in the $\eta$ region of both channels and in the highmass region of $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$. Furthermore, to avoid potential experimenter's bias on the measured quantities, all asymmetries were shifted by an unknown value during the development of the analysis and examined only after the analysis procedure was finalized.

The LHCb detector $[13,14$ ] is a single-arm forward spectrometer designed for the study of particles containing
$b$ or $c$ quarks. It includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the $p$ p interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm , and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes placed downstream of the magnet. The polarity of the magnetic field is reversed periodically throughout the data-taking. Particle identification is provided by two ring-imaging Cherenkov detectors, an electromagnetic and a hadronic calorimeter, and a muon system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers. Events are selected online by a trigger that consists of a hardware stage, which is based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, followed by a software stage, based on information on charged tracks in the event that are displaced from any primary vertex. A subsequent software trigger exploits a full event reconstruction [15] to exclusively select $D^{0} \rightarrow$ $h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays. Candidate $D^{0}$ mesons are constructed by combining four charged tracks, each having momentum $p>3 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ and transverse momentum $p_{\mathrm{T}}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV} / c$, that form a secondary vertex separated from any primary vertex in the event. Two oppositely charged particles are required to be identified as muons.

The $D^{0}$ candidates satisfying the trigger requirements are further selected through particle-identification criteria placed on their decay products. Candidates with an invariant mass $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$in the range $1810-1940 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ are then combined with a charged particle originating from the same primary vertex and having $p_{\mathrm{T}}>120 \mathrm{MeV} / c$, hereafter referred to as soft pion, to form a $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0} \pi^{+}$ decay candidate. When more than one primary vertex is reconstructed, the one with respect to which the $D^{0}$ candidate has the lowest impact-parameter significance is chosen. The vertex formed by the $D^{0}$ and $\pi^{+}$mesons is constrained to coincide with the primary vertex and the difference between the $D^{*+}$ and $D^{0}$ masses, $\Delta m$, is required to be in the range $144.5-146.5 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ (corresponding to approximately $\pm 3 \sigma$ in mass resolution around the signal peak). A fiducial-region requirement is implemented to reduce instrumental charge asymmetries that can bias the $A_{C P}$ measurement. The fiducial region restricts the softpion trajectory to be within a fully instrumented region of the detector, because particles near the edge of the detector acceptance have different efficiencies to be reconstructed depending on their charge and the magnet polarity [16].

A multivariate selection, based on a boosted decision tree (BDT) $[17,18]$ with gradient boosting [19], is then used to suppress background from combinations of charged particles not originating from a $D^{*+}$ decay. The features used in the BDT to discriminate signal from this combinatorial background are: the momentum and transverse momentum of the soft pion, the smallest impact parameter of the $D^{0}$ decay products with respect to the primary vertex, the
angle between the $D^{0}$ momentum and the vector connecting the primary and secondary vertices, the quality of the secondary vertex, its separation from the primary vertex, and a measure of the isolation of the $D^{*+}$ candidate from other tracks in the event. The BDT is trained separately for $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays, because of their different kinematic properties, using simulated decays as signal and data candidates with $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$ between 1890 and $1940 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ as background. A detailed description of the LHCb simulation can be found in Refs. [20,21]. To minimize biases on the background classification, the training samples are further randomly split in two disjoint subsets. The classifier trained on one subset is applied to the other, and vice versa.

The largest source of specific background is due to the hadronic four-body decays $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow$ $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$, where two pions are misidentified as muons. The misidentification occurs mainly when the pions decay in flight into a muon and an undetected neutrino. This background is suppressed by a multivariate muonidentification discriminant that combines the information from the Cherenkov detectors, the calorimeters and the muon system [22,23]. Thresholds on the BDT response and on the muon-identification discriminant are optimized simultaneously by minimizing the statistical uncertainty on the measured asymmetry, as determined in data from randomly tagged $D^{0}$ and $\bar{D}^{0}$ candidates. After selection, less than $1 \%$ of the events contain multiple candidates that share final-state particles. In these events one candidate is selected at random. The final samples comprise $1326 \pm 45$ $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $137 \pm 14 D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$signal decays, as determined from fits to the $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$ distributions.

The selected candidates are corrected for any distortion of the phase space caused by the reconstruction and selection criteria. The efficiency for reconstruction and selection is modeled across the full five-dimensional phase space. This is achieved by using a BDT with gradient boosting [17-19] as a classification tool that learns about the different features of the generated and selected samples and combines them into a single variable [24]. A weight corresponding to the inverse of the per-candidate efficiency is then computed as the ratio between the predicted probabilities for selected and generated candidates as a function of the BDT response. The training of this weighting BDT is performed on simulated events before and after selection, using $\left|\cos \theta_{\mu}\right|,\left|\cos \theta_{h}\right|$, $m\left(h^{+} h^{-}\right)$and $m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$as input variables. This choice is justified by the observation that the efficiency is symmetric with respect to the angular variables, that it does not depend on $\sin 2 \phi$, and that $\sin 2 \phi$ is not correlated with any other variable. As a consequence of the efficiency weighting, the effective sample size of the $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\right.$ $K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$) sample is reduced by about $13 \%$ ( $14 \%$ ).

The $C P$-asymmetry measurement is affected by $\mathcal{O}(1 \%)$ nuisance charge asymmetries introduced by the different
efficiency to reconstruct a positively or negatively charged soft pion, $A_{D}\left(\pi^{+}\right)$, and the different production cross sections of $D^{*+}$ and $D^{*-}$ mesons, $A_{P}\left(D^{*+}\right)$. For small asymmetries, the raw asymmetry between observed yields of $D^{*+} \rightarrow$ $D^{0}(\rightarrow f) \pi^{+}$and $D^{*-} \rightarrow \bar{D}^{0}(\rightarrow f) \pi^{-}$decays, where $f$ is a $C P$-symmetric final state, can be approximated as $A_{C P}^{\text {raw }}(f) \approx$ $A_{C P}(f)+A_{P}\left(D^{*+}\right)+A_{D}\left(\pi^{+}\right)$. The nuisance charge asymmetries are subtracted from the raw asymmetry using high-yield samples of $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0}\left(\rightarrow K^{+} K^{-}\right) \pi^{+}$decays. Therefore, $C P$ asymmetry is given by $A_{C P}\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=$ $A_{C P}^{\mathrm{raw}}\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)-A_{C P}^{\mathrm{raw}}\left(K^{+} K^{-}\right)+A_{C P}\left(K^{+} K^{-}\right)$, where $A_{C P}\left(K^{+} K^{-}\right)=(-0.06 \pm 0.18) \%$ is taken from the independent measurement of Ref. [25]. To account for different kinematic distributions in the signal and control modes, the procedure is performed in disjoint ranges of transverse and longitudinal momentum of the $D^{*+}$ candidate.

The asymmetries $A_{\mathrm{FB}}, A_{2 \phi}$, and $A_{C P}$ of the signal decays are determined through unbinned maximum-likelihood fits to the $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$distributions of the selected candidates, weighted with the inverse of the per-candidate phase-space-dependent efficiency. The data are split into different tag categories (defined by the sign of $\cos \theta_{\mu}$, the sign of $\sin 2 \phi$ or the soft-pion charge) and a simultaneous fit is performed on the obtained data sets with the asymmetries as free parameters. The data are described by the sum of three components: the signal, the misidentified background, and the combinatorial background. Analogously to Ref. [12] the signal is described with a Johnson's $S_{U}$ distribution [26] with parameters determined from simulation. The mass shape of the misidentified background is determined using separate data samples of $D^{0} \rightarrow$ $h^{+} h^{(\prime)-} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decays where the $D^{0}$ mass is calculated assigning the muon-mass hypothesis to two oppositely charged pions. The combinatorial background is described by an exponential function. The shape of this background is fixed from data candidates with $\Delta m$ above $150 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ that fail the BDT selection. Only the yields and the asymmetries of each component are allowed to vary in the fits, which are performed separately in each $m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$ region. The resulting efficiency-weighted yields are reported in Table I, together with the measured signal asymmetries. Figure 2 shows the $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$distribution of the efficiency-weighted candidates integrated in $m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$, with the fit projection overlaid.

The following sources of systematic uncertainties affect the measured asymmetries: accuracy of the mass model used in the fit, accuracy of the phase-space-dependent efficiency, neglected asymmetric angular efficiencies and finite resolution on angular variables (affecting only $A_{\mathrm{FB}}$ and $A_{2 \phi}$ ); neglected background from $D^{*+}$ candidates made up of correctly reconstructed $D^{0}$ candidates paired with unrelated soft pions (affecting only $A_{C P}$ and $A_{\mathrm{FB}}$ ); accuracy of the correction for the nuisance charge asymmetries and neglected backgrounds from $D^{*+}$ candidates originating

TABLE I. Efficiency-weighted yields and measured signal asymmetries for (top) $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and (bottom) $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ decays in the dimuon-mass regions. For the asymmetries the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. Measurements are reported only in regions where a significant signal was previously observed [12]. The sum of the yields in the dimuon-mass regions is not expected to match the yield of the full range, because the latter includes also the regions where no yields are reported.

| $\begin{aligned} & m\left(\mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) \\ & {\left[\mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}\right]} \end{aligned}$ | Efficiency-weighted yields |  |  | Signal asymmetries |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signal | Misidentified background | Combinatorial background | $A_{\text {FB }}$ [\%] | $A_{2 \phi}[\%]$ | $A_{C P}[\%]$ |
| $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <525 | $90 \pm 17$ | $233 \pm 25$ | $108 \pm 22$ | $2 \pm 20 \pm 2$ | $-28 \pm 20 \pm 2$ | $17 \pm 20 \pm 2$ |
| 525-565 |  |  |  | ... |  |  |
| 565-780 | $326 \pm 23$ | $253 \pm 24$ | $145 \pm 21$ | $8.1 \pm 7.1 \pm 0.7$ | $7.4 \pm 7.1 \pm 0.7$ | $-12.9 \pm 7.1 \pm 0.7$ |
| 780-950 | $141 \pm 14$ | $159 \pm 15$ | $89 \pm 14$ | $7 \pm 10 \pm 1$ | $-14 \pm 10 \pm 1$ | $17 \pm 10 \pm 1$ |
| 950-1020 | $244 \pm 16$ | $63 \pm 13$ | $43 \pm 9$ | $3.1 \pm 6.5 \pm 0.6$ | $1.2 \pm 6.4 \pm 0.5$ | $7.5 \pm 6.5 \pm 0.7$ |
| 1020-1100 | $258 \pm 14$ | $33 \pm 9$ | $44 \pm 9$ | $0.9 \pm 5.6 \pm 0.7$ | $1.4 \pm 5.5 \pm 0.6$ | $9.9 \pm 5.5 \pm 0.7$ |
| >1100 | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| Full range | $1083 \pm 41$ | $827 \pm 42$ | $579 \pm 39$ | $3.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6$ | $-0.6 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6$ | $4.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 525 | $32 \pm 8$ | $5 \pm 13$ | $124 \pm 20$ | $13 \pm 26 \pm 4$ | $9 \pm 26 \pm 3$ | $-33 \pm 26 \pm 4$ |
| 525-565 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ |
| > 565 | $74 \pm 9$ | $39 \pm 7$ | $48 \pm 8$ | $1 \pm 12 \pm 1$ | $22 \pm 12 \pm 1$ | $13 \pm 12 \pm 1$ |
| Full range | $110 \pm 13$ | $49 \pm 12$ | $181 \pm 19$ | $0 \pm 11 \pm 2$ | $9 \pm 11 \pm 1$ | $0 \pm 11 \pm 2$ |

from $b$-hadron decays (affecting only $A_{C P}$ ). The leading systematic uncertainties are due to the accuracy of the efficiency correction, for all asymmetries; to possible asymmetric efficiencies as a function of $\cos \theta_{\mu}$ and $\sin 2 \phi$, for $A_{\mathrm{FB}}$ and $A_{2 \phi}$, respectively; and to the uncertainty on the nuisance charge asymmetry, for $A_{C P}$. The total systematic uncertainties amount to less than $20 \%$ of the statistical uncertainties for both decay modes and all dimuon-mass regions (Table I).

The analysis is repeated on statistically independent data subsets chosen according to criteria likely to reveal biases from specific instrumental effects. These criteria include the data-taking year, the magnetic-field orientation, the number of primary vertices in the event, the per-event track
multiplicity, the trigger classification, the $D^{*+}$ transverse momentum and the impact parameter of the $D^{0}$ candidate with respect to the primary vertex. The resulting variations of the measured asymmetries are consistent with statistical fluctuations, with $p$ values between $3 \%$ and $95 \%$ and without deviations from a flat distribution.

In summary, measurements of angular and $C P$ asymmetries in $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$decays are performed using the proton-proton collision data collected with the LHCb experiment between 2011 and 2016. This is the first time such measurements are performed with rare decays of charm hadrons. The asymmetries are measured both integrated and as a function of dimuon mass. The integrated asymmetries are


FIG. 2. Distribution of $m\left(h^{+} h^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$for (a) $D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$and (b) $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$efficiency-weighted candidates, with fit projections overlaid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(3.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6) \%, \\
A_{2 \phi}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(-0.6 \pm 3.7 \pm 0.6) \%, \\
A_{C P}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(4.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 0.7) \%, \\
A_{\mathrm{FB}}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(0 \pm 11 \pm 2) \%, \\
A_{2 \phi}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(9 \pm 11 \pm 1) \%, \\
A_{C P}\left(D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right) & =(0 \pm 11 \pm 2) \%,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first uncertainty is statistical and the second systematic. These measurements, as well as the asymmetries in each dimuon-mass region, are consistent with zero and will help constrain scenarios of physics beyond the SM [4-8,10,11].
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